

DATE LABEL

THE ASIATIC SOCIETY

1, Park Street, Calcutta-16

The Book is to be returned on the date last stamped.

[illegible]

A HISTORY OF ANCIENT GEOGRAPHY

H. F. TOZER

**Second Edition
with additional Notes**

by

J.D. AKHTAR

Formerly Lecturer, Punjab University


ESS ESS PUBLICATIONS

DELHI - 110052

First Published 1897

910.9
T. 669. hist

312.54



43418

Published by Sheel Sethi for Ess Ess Publications, KD/8A, DDA Flats, Ashok Vihar, Delhi-110052 & printed at Rakesh Press, Naraina Industrial Area, New Delhi-110028.

PREFACE.

THE subject which is treated of in the present volume has been already dealt with on a scale adapted to the needs of advanced scholars by the late Sir E. H. Bunbury in his *History of Ancient Geography*—a book equally conspicuous for learning and for judgement, and one which, it may safely be affirmed, will not readily be superseded. But the size of that comprehensive work unfits it for the use of ordinary students, and its elaborate detail and numerous digressions, though none of these are superfluous, tend to withdraw the mind of the reader from the process of development of the story which it tells. For these reasons it has been thought that a shorter and simpler book on the same topic may be of service by bringing out to view the more salient points which it involves, and by rendering clearer the continuous progress of the science from its early dawn in the Homeric period to its fullest extension in the Augustan age. It is hoped that in this way the interest of other than classical readers may be enlisted in the subject—an interest which it deserves on account of the variety of the questions with

which it deals, and its direct connexion with the study of modern geography. The narratives of expeditions—such as that of Alexander in Asia, and, on a smaller scale, those of Hanno on the west coast of Africa and of Pytheas in the northern sea—and the history of early enquiries into the causes which regulate the movement of the tides, and of the progressive attempts that were made to construct a scheme of latitudes and longitudes, possess an interest which is not limited to the period at which these were made. With a view to the convenience of this class of readers technical phraseology has been as far as possible avoided, and quotations from classical writers have been relegated to the notes. On the other hand, to suit the requirements of students these quotations have been given in full, whenever the subject which they illustrate is affected by the expressions used in the original, or the works in which they occur are not easily accessible.

The author desires to express his obligations in the first place to Sir E. H. Bunbury's work, already mentioned, which he has consulted throughout; and also, though in a lesser degree, to Dr C. Müller's *Geographi Graeci Minores*, and Dr Hugo Berger's *Geschichte der Wissenschaftlichen Erdkunde der Griechen*. On the subject of the spread of the Greek colonies he has consulted the chapter in Curtius' *History of Greece* on "The Hellenes beyond the Archipelago," and for Alexander's Eastern expedition the twelfth volume of Grote's *History*. His other obligations have been acknowledged in the notes.

Five of the maps which accompany the book—viz. No. 2, "The World according to Hecataeus"; No. 3, "The

World according to Herodotus"; No. 5, "Alexander's Eastern Expedition"; No. 7, "The Periplus of the Erythraean Sea"; and No. 9, "The World according to Ptolemy"—have been based partly on the corresponding maps in Bunbury's *Ancient Geography*, and partly on those in Smith and Grove's *Ancient Atlas*.

H. F. T.

OXFORD,

February 17, 1897.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

INTRODUCTORY.

Importance of the History of Geography—Subdivisions of Geography: (1) Mathematical, (2) Physical, (3) Descriptive and Political, (4) Historical—The Mediterranean Sea the Starting point in the Enquiry—Its Advantages—Commerce and Settlements of the Phœnicians in the Aegean Sea, in Africa and Sicily, and at Gades—Their selfish Policy detrimental to Knowledge—The Greeks; their Qualifications for the Study of Geography—Greece a suggestive Country for this Subject, in its General Features, and its Peculiar Phenomena—Disappearance of Rivers—Currents of the Euripus—Volcanic Phenomena and Earthquakes—The Study of Geography almost confined to the Greeks—Greek Explorers—Greek Scientific Geographers—Hardly any Roman Geographers—Geographical Eras and Centres—Greek Colonies—Miletus and the Ionian School—Herodotus—Early Expeditions—Alexander's Campaigns—Foundation of Alexandria—Roman Conquests—Augustan Age—Ptolemy—Stimulating Influence of Geographical Discoveries—Curious Information thus obtained—Means of testing the Reports of Early Travellers—Marvellous Narratives not necessarily Incredible 1—18

CHAPTER II.

GEOGRAPHY OF THE HOMERIC PERIOD.

The Argonautic Legend—Its Historical Significance—Homeric Conception of the Earth—The River Oceanus—The Giant Atlas—Geography of the Homeric poems—The North and East of the Aegean—Interior of Asia Minor—Greece—Accuracy of Local Epithets—Description of the Styx—Inaccurate Account of Ithaca—Outer Geography of the Iliad; of the

Odyssey—Ignorance of the Western Countries—Wanderings of Ulysses—Their Mythical Character—Exceptions to this—Rumours about far distant Countries—The Pygmies—Long Days and Nights of Northern Europe—Primitive Trade-routes—The Amber Trade—Route through Pannonia—Route through Gaul—Entrepôt at the Mouths of the Po—Story of the Sisters of Phaëthon—The River Eridanus—The Tin Trade—Tin not imported from India, but from Spain, and Britain—The Cassiterides Islands—Opinions as to their Situation—Trees imported into Greece; the Palm, the Pomegranate, the Cypress, the Plane—The Cardinal Points determined by the Winds—The Four Winds in Homer—Character of the Greek Winds 19—42

CHAPTER III.

SPREAD OF THE GREEK COLONIES.

Geography advanced by the Greek Colonies—Causes of their Establishment—Qualifications for a Site—Early development of the Colonies—Communication with the Natives—Information transmitted to Greece—Colonies on the Euxine—Dangers and Attractions of that Sea—Sinope, *circ.* 770 B.C.—Cyzicus on the Propontis—Colonies on the North Coast of the Euxine—Olbia, 645 B.C.—Panticapæum (Kertch)—Dioscurias—Chalcidic Colonies in Thrace—Megarian Colonies in the Propontis: Byzantium, 658 B.C.—Greek Colonies in Italy, Cumæ, Neapolis, Rhegium and Messana—Sybaris, 721 B.C.—Croton, 710 B.C.—Paestum—Metapontum—Locri—Tarentum, 708 B.C.—Colonies in Sicily: Naxos, 735 B.C.—Syracuse, 734 B.C.—Gela, 690 B.C.—Agrigentum, 580 B.C.—Himera, 648 B.C.—The Phocæans at Massilia, 600 B.C.—Colonies of Massilia—Its Influence in Gaul—Cyrene, 631 B.C.—Its Site and Commerce—The Greeks in Egypt—Their Settlement at Naucratis, *circ.* 650 B.C.—Summary 43—58

CHAPTER IV.

EARLY GEOGRAPHICAL SPECULATIONS: HECATAEUS.

Speculations on Mathematical Geography—Anaximander, *circ.* 580 B.C.—Spherical Form of the Earth—Theory of Zones—Speculations on Physical Geography—Volcanic Phenomena—The Delta of Egypt—Inundation of the Nile—Explanations of it, by Thales, Hecataeus, Anaxagoras, Herodotus, Aristotle, and Eratosthenes—Invention of the Gnomon—Map-making—Its Early Difficulties—Aristagoras and his Map at Sparta, 499 B.C.—Delphi the Centre of the Earth's Surface—Origin of the Belief—Division

of the World into Continents—Twofold or Threefold Partition—Principles of Demarcation—Boundary of Europe and Asia—Names of the Continents—Their Origin—Hecataeus of Miletus. (*circa* 520 B.C.) the Father of Geography—His Political Wisdom—His Sources of Information—His Geographical Work—Its Arrangement—Its General Geography—Its Contents—Europe—Asia—Africa 59—74

CHAPTER V.

HERODOTUS.

Importance of Herodotus to Geography—His Life, and Travels—His General Views of Geography—His Primitive Cosmical Beliefs—Symmetrical Correspondences—Courses of the Nile and the Ister—Attempts at Drawing a Meridian—His Conception of the Map of the World—No Northern Sea—Continuity of the Southern Ocean—Inlets from the Ocean—The Caspian an Inland Sea—Size of the Palus Maeotis—The Three Continents—Boundaries between them—His Confusion about the Araxes—His Actæ, or Projecting Tracts—Central and Western Europe—His Imperfect Knowledge of them—Scythia—His Acquaintance with it—Its Shape, and Inhabitants—Peoples to the North of Scythia—The Agathyrsi, Neuri, Budini, and Geloni—Lands to the North-east—Gold of the Ural Mountains—The Argippæi—The Issedones—Asia—Sources of his Information—Scanty Notices of the Geography—Error about Asia Minor—Ignorance of the Mountain Chains—Knowledge of the Rivers—The Royal Road—Its Course through Asia Minor, Cilicia, Armenia, Matiene and Cissia—India—Its Races, and Products—The Nile Valley—Meroë—The Two Branches Unnoticed—The Automoli—The Macrobian Aethiopians—Northern Coast of Africa—Eastern Portion—Western Portion—Dumb Commerce—Interior of Africa—The Three Tracts—The Oases—The Garamantes—The Troglodyte Aethiopians—Expedition of the Nasamones—Narrative of Herodotus 75—97

CHAPTER VI.

EXPEDITIONS BEFORE THE TIME OF ALEXANDER.

Real and Fictitious Expeditions—Circumnavigation of Africa under Necho—The Story derived from the Egyptians or Phoenicians—Argument from the Sun being seen on the Right Hand—Criticism of it—Improbability of the Voyage—Expedition of Scylax of Caryanda—Objections to its Authenticity—Voyage of Sataspes—Reasons for believing in it—Expedition of

Hanno—His Narrative of it—Island of Cerne (Herne)—Promontory of Soloeis (C. Cantin)—River Lixus (Wady Draa)—River Bambotum (Senegal River)—(Cape Verde and Gambia River)—The Western Horn (Bay of Bissagos)—Flaming Mountain-sides—Explanation of the Phenomenon—Mt. Theon Ochema (Mt. Sagres)—The Southern Horn (Sherboro Sound)—Capture of Gorillas—Expedition of Himilco—The 'Ora Maritima' of Avienus—Account of the Oestrymnides—Of the Mid-Atlantic—Of the Sargasso Sea—The Retreat of the Ten Thousand—Character of Xenophon's 'Anabasis'—Geographical Features of Armenia—Its Mountains and Rivers—Sources of the Euphrates and Tigris—Lake of Van—The March from Cunaxa to Armenia—The Zabatus (Greater Zab)—Land of the Carduchi (Kurdistan)—The Centrites (River of Sert)—Source of the Tigris—The Teleboas (Kara-su)—Eastern Euphrates (Murad-su)—Highlands of Armenia—Underground Dwellings—The Phasis (Aras)—The Harpasus (Tchoruk)—Gymnias—Trapezus (Trebizond)—The first view of the Sea—The Poisonous Honey—The 'Periplus' of Scylax—Its probable Date—Its Contents—Doubts as to its Genuineness—Interesting Notices in it 98—121

CHAPTER VII.

ALEXANDER'S EASTERN EXPEDITION.

Effects of Alexander's Conquests—His Political and Social Aims—Development of Geography—Novel Aspects of Nature—Narratives of the Expedition—The Expedition originated by Philip—His Death, 336 B.C.—The Project renewed by Alexander—Battle of the Granicus, 334 B.C.—Battle of Issus, 333 B.C.—Siege of Tyre, 332 B.C.—Occupation of Egypt—Visit to the Temple of Zeus Ammon—March to the Tigris, 331 B.C.—Battle of Arbela—March to Persepolis—Depôt at Ecbatana (Hamadan) 330 B.C.—Description of Iran or Ariana—Flight of Darius into Parthia—The Caspian Gates (Sirdar Pass)—Death of Darius—Hecatompylus in Parthia—The Hyrcani and Mardi—The Caspian Sea—Artacoana (Herat)—Drangiana (Seistan)—Arachosia (Candahar)—Paropamisus Range (Hindu Kush)—Alexandria ad Caucasum—Invasion of Bactria, 329 B.C.—The Oxus (Jihonn)—Its Ancient Course—Maracanda (Samarcand)—The Polytimetus (Zerafshan)—Alexandria Eschate—Mistakes concerning the Jaxartes and the Caspian—March to the Indus, 327 B.C.—Campaign in the Punjab, 326 B.C.—The Hydaspes (Jhelum)—The Hyphasis (Bias)—Descent of the Indus—Pattala (Hyderabad) 325 B.C.—Bore of the Indus—Indian Trees—Return March of Craterus through Drangiana, of Alexander through Gedrosia—Arrival at Persepolis—Embassies from the West—Death of

Alexander, 323 B.C.—The Voyage of Nearchus—Alexandri Portus (Karachi)—Harmozia (Ormuz)—Pearl Fishery—Encounter with whales—Arrival at Susa	122—143
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------

CHAPTER VIII.

GEOGRAPHY UNDER THE SUCCESSORS OF ALEXANDER.

THE VOYAGE OF PYTHEAS.

Intellectual Influence of this Period—Egypt under the Ptolemies—Position of Alexandria—Canal from the Red Sea to the Nile—Stations on the Red Sea—The Cinnamon Country (Somaliland)—The Upper Nile—Megasthenes in India, <i>circa</i> 290 B.C.—Envoy to Chandragupta at Pataliputra—His Work—Verified from Native Sources—His Knowledge of India—Its Boundaries—The Indus and Ganges—The Royal Road—The Rainy Season—Administration of the Country—The Caste-system—Life of the Indians—The Brahmins—The Voyage of Pytheas, <i>circa</i> 330 B.C.—Varying Estimates of him—His Work—Twofold Object of his Voyage—His Scientific Attainments—His Route to Britain—The Armorican Promontory (Brittany)—The British Tin Mines—Island of Ictis (St Michael's Mount)—His Account of Britain—Customs of the Inhabitants—Evidence in Favour of his Northern Voyage—Did Pytheas enter the Baltic?—The Northern Sea—Thule (probably Mainland in the Shetlands)—The Arctic Circle—"Sleeping place of the Sun"—Pytheas' Parallels of Latitude—Wonders of the Arctic Regions—Comparison to the Pulmo Marinus—The Amber Coast—Testimony of Pliny and Diodorus—The Word 'glaesum'	144—164
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------

CHAPTER IX.

MATHEMATICAL GEOGRAPHY.

Slow Development of Mathematical Geography—Impulse given to it by Aristotle, by Subsequent Expeditions, and by the Museum of Alexandria—Spherical Form of the Earth—Aristotle's Arguments for it—Argument from Objects seen on the Sea Horizon—Strabo's Statement of it—Measurement of the Earth—Method employed before Eratosthenes—Method of Eratosthenes—Criticism of it—Eratosthenes' Measurement of the Habitable World—Its Breadth—Its Length—Parallels of Latitude—First Parallel of Eratosthenes—Other Parallels—The Climates of Hipparchus <i>circa</i> 140 B.C.—Meridians of Longitude—Theory of Zones—Aristotle's View—Virgil's Description—Eratosthenes' Map of the World—Shape of the Inhabited World—His Sphragides or 'Seais'—His Geographical Treatise—Its Contents—Its Chief Errors	165—183
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------

CHAPTER X.

PHYSICAL AND HISTORICAL GEOGRAPHY.

Physical Features of Greece—Impression produced by them on Aristotle—Physical Geographers—Agatharchides—His Account of the Aethiopian Gold-mines—Similar Description in the Book of Job—Eudoxus of Cyzicus—Artemidorus—Posidonius—His Travels—His Varied Interests—Error about the Circumference of the Earth—Tides—Observations of Aristotle, of Pytheas, and of Posidonius—Winds—Aristotle's Scheme—Timosthenes' Scheme—Popular Scheme—'Temple of the Winds' at Athens—Periodical Winds—Rivers—Their Sources, Underground Courses, Power of Erosion, Deposit of Alluvium, Tidal Waves—Earthquakes and Volcanic Action—Views of Anaximenes, Anaxagoras, and Aristotle—Earthquakes relieved by Volcanoes—Observations of Posidonius—Flora—Theophrastus' *History of Plants*—The *Descriptio Montis Pelii*—Fauna—Anthropological Notices—Agatharchides on the Ichthyophagi and Aethiopians—Posidonius on the Iberians and Gauls—Historical Geography as found in Aristotle—His Restricted Views—Ephorus the Forerunner of Polybius—Geographical Section of his History—His Advanced Criticisms—Polybius *circa* 210–128 B.C.—How affected by the Circumstances of his Age—His Travels in Western Europe—His Opinion of the Importance of Travel—Interest in Physical Geography—His Application of Geography to History—Descriptions of Countries—Cisalpine Gaul—Media—Descriptions of Cities—Sinope—Agrigentum—New Carthage—General Remarks

184–215

CHAPTER XI.

GEOGRAPHY AS PROMOTED BY THE ROMAN CONQUESTS.

Exploration of Unknown Lands, by the Greeks and by the Romans—Opportunity afforded by the Mithridatic War—Campaigns of Lucullus in Armenia and Mesopotamia—Pompey in Iberia and Albania—Narrative of Theophanes—His Description of the Caucasus, of the Cyrus and Araxes, and of the Tribes—The Iberi—The Albani—The Tribes bordering on the Euxine—Expedition of Balbus against the Garamantes, of Petronius in Aethiopia—The 'Atlantic Islands' (Madeira)—*Fortunatee Insulae* (The Canaries)—Progressive Conquest of Spain by the Romans—Southern and Eastern Provinces—Lusitania—Central Districts—Tribes of the North-West—Formation of the Roman Province in Gaul—

Caesar's Conquest of Gaul—His Ethnographical and Geographical Notices—Transference to Towns of Names of Tribes—Caesar's Description of the Country of the Veneti—His Expeditions into Britain—His Information about it—Acquaintance of the Romans with Germany—Campaigns of Drusus and of Tiberius—Conquest of Rhaetia, Vindelicia, and Noricum—Of Pannonia—Importance to Geography of the Roman Roads—Careful Measurement of Distances—The Wall-map of Agrippa—Itineraries derived from it 216—237

CHAPTER XII.

STRABO.

Strabo and the Augustan Age—His Geography a Summary of the Knowledge then existing—Strabo's Life, Teachers, and Places of Residence—Extent of his Travels—Almost Limited to Asia Minor, Egypt, and Central Italy—Advantages which he Derived from them—His Philosophical Opinions—Stoic Tenets—His Political Opinions—Imperial Sympathies—Strabo's Historical Work—Date of Composition of his *Geography*—Place where it was written—Readers for whom it was intended—Its Comprehensiveness—Subjects Incidentally introduced—Predominance of Historical Geography—Influence of a Land on its Inhabitants—Artistic Treatment of the Subject—Methods of lightening the Narrative—Neglect of Strabo's Work in Antiquity—Admiration of it in the Middle Ages—Modern Estimates—Limits of Strabo's Survey, in Europe, Asia, and Africa—Contents of the *Geography*—The Introduction—Remarks on Mathematical, Physical, and Historical Geography—Spain, Gaul, and Britain—Italy and Sicily—Northern and Eastern Europe—Greece—Veneration for Homer as a Geographical Authority—Northern and Central Asia—Asia Minor—Southern Asia—Egypt and the Rest of Africa

238—260

CHAPTER XIII.

GEOGRAPHY FROM THE DEATH OF AUGUSTUS TO THAT OF TRAJAN (14—117 A.D.).

Roman Writers on Geography—Pomponius Mela—Pliny—His *Historia Naturalis*—Its Deficiencies—Its Statistical Geography—Notices of Places in Asia—The Jordan—The Dead Sea—The Essenes—Palmyra—The Tigris—Its Upper Course—Strabo's Account—The Lake of Van—Criticisms of the Ancient Accounts—Strabo's and Pliny's Stories—Disappearance of the Tigris—Common Source of the Tigris and Euphrates

—Possible Explanation of the Fable—Pliny's Information about Taprobane—Ambassadors sent thence to Rome—Their Account of the Inhabitants—The <i>Periplus Maris Erythraei</i> —African Coast—Aromata Prom. (Cape Guardafui)—Menuthias (Zanzibar)—Arabian Coast—Arabia Eudaemon (Aden)—Syagrus Prom. (Cape Fartak)—Island of Dioscorides (Socotra)—Indian Coast—Baraces and Eirionon Ihlets (Gulf and Runn of Cutch)—Barygaza (Baroche)—Bore of the Nerbudda—Nelcynda—The Direct Route to India—Voyage of Hippalus—Notices of Eastern Asia—This (China)—Dionysius Periegetes—His Date—His Geographical Poem—Its General Geography—Description of Africa—Of Europe—Of the Islands—Of Asia—General Remarks upon it—Progressive Knowledge of Britain—Conquests of Claudius, Suetonius Paullinus, Agricola, and Antoninus Pius—Germany and Scandinavia—Dacia conquered by Trajan—Suetonius Paullinus crosses the Atlas—Nero's Expedition to the Nile—The Marshy Region	261—292
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------

CHAPTER XIV.

ROMAN FRONTIER DEFENCES AND ROADS.

Natural Limits of the Roman Empire—Frontier Defences—Chiefly organised by Hadrian—The <i>Periplus</i> of Arrian—Dio's Account of Hadrian's System—The German Limes—Chains of Military Posts—Defences of the Upper Euphrates—The Roman Roads—The Via Aurelia—Via Aemilia Scauri—Via Julia—Road through Southern Gaul and Spain—The Via Flaminia—Via Aemilia—Passes of the Alpes Cottiae, Graiae, and Penninae—Roman Roads in Gaul, and in Britain—Watling Street—Fosse Way—Ermine Street—Icknield Street—Passes of the Alpes Rhaeticae and Juliae—Road through Pannonia to Byzantium—The Via Appia—The Via Egnatia—Main Roads through Asia and Africa—Roman Itineraries—The Antonine Itinerary—Its Probable Date—Not a completely Homogeneous Document—Its Contents—The <i>Itinerarium Maritimum</i> —The Jerusalem Itinerary—The Peutinger Table—Its Transcription, and probable Date of Composition	293—312
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------

CHAPTER XV.

ESTIMATES OF MOUNTAINS IN ANTIQUITY.

Hadrian's Mountain Ascents—Indistinct Conception of Mountain Summits—Strabo on Alpine Features—Use of Crampons and Tobogganing—Mountains differently viewed by the Ancients and the Moderns—Religious

Feeling in Antiquity—Ascents of Etna prompted by Research—Strabo on the Summit of Etna—The Poem of *Actna*—Ascents of Mount Argæus—Of Tmolus—Ascents for the Sake of the Panorama—Sunrise seen from Mt. Ida—Lucian on a Mountain View—Description of a Mountain Climb—Mountains regarded as Look-out Places—Story of Lynceus—Mountains as Signalling Stations—The Beacon-fires in Aeschylus, probably corresponding to a Real Line of Stations—The Shield at Marathon—Mountain Telegraphy in Thucydides, Xenophon, and Polybius—Development of the Art of Signalling—Estimates of the Heights of Mountains—Scientific Measurement by Dicaearchus, and Xenagoras . 313—337

CHAPTER XVI.

PTOLEMY AND LATER GEOGRAPHERS.

Marinus Tyrius—His Attempt to reform the Map of the World—Its Deficiencies—Ptolemy—His Great Reputation—His Error about the Circumference of the Earth, and the Length of the Habitable World—The Fortunate Isles his Prime Meridian—His System of Projection—His Geographical Treatise—His Maps—His Corrections of Previous Maps—His Chief Errors—His Account of Britain—Accurate Delineation of the Coast—Erroneous Position of Scotland—Possible Explanation of this—Ptolemy's Tables of the Coast of Britain—The Southern Coast—The Western Coast—The Eastern Coast—Ireland—Other Additions to Geographical Knowledge—The Volga (Rha)—The Altai Chain (Imaus)—Direct Trade Route to China—Sources of the Nile—Mountains of the Moon—The Soudan—Rivers Gir and Nigir—Pausanias—His Resemblance to Herodotus—His Illustrations of Physical Geography—Fountains—Their Different Colours—Warm Springs—Fountain of Deine—Caverns—The Corycian Cave—Trees—Cotton—Pausanias' Researches in Greece—His Descriptions of Olympia and Delphi—Routes which he followed—Contents of his Book—The Question of his Veracity—The View Adverse to Pausanias—Explanations of his Statements—Difficulties involved in the Supposition—Recent Testimonies in his Favour—Stephanus Byzantinus—His *Ethnica*—Character of its Contents—Solinus—His *Memorabilia*—Mediæval Estimate of him—Modern Estimate—Orosius—His *Historiæ*—Its Geographical Section—Transient Character of Ptolemy's Influence—Earlier Errors revived—Retrospect and Summary—Continuous Advance of Knowledge of General Geography, and of Scientific Geography

338—370

LIST OF MAPS.

1. The Greek Colonies	<i>To face page</i> 43
2. The World according to Hecataeus	" " 71
3. The World according to Herodotus	" " 75
4. Xenophon's Route across Armenia	" " 113
5. Alexander's Eastern Expedition	" " 123
6. The World according to Strabo	" " 239
7. The Periplus of the Erythraean Sea	" " 275
8. The Chief Lines of Road in the Roman Empire	" " 299
9. The World according to Ptolemy	" " 341
10. The Coasts of the British Islands according to Ptolemy	" " 347

CHAPTER I.

INTRODUCTORY.

Importance of the History of Geography—Subdivisions of Geography: (1) Mathematical, (2) Physical, (3) Descriptive and Political, (4) Historical—The Mediterranean Sea the Starting-point in the Enquiry—Its Advantages—Commerce and Settlements of the Phoenicians in the Aegean Sea, in Africa and Sicily, and at Gades—Their selfish Policy detrimental to Knowledge—The Greeks; their Qualifications for the Study of Geography—Greece a suggestive Country for this Subject, in its General Features, and its Peculiar Phenomena—Disappearance of Rivers—Currents of the Eurippus—Volcanic Phenomena and Earthquakes—The Study of Geography almost confined to the Greeks—Greek Explorers—Greek Scientific Geographers—Hardly any Roman Geographers—Geographical Eras and Centres—Greek Colonies—Miletus and the Ionian School—Herodotus—Early Expeditions—Alexander's Campaigns—Foundation of Alexandria—Roman Conquests—Augustan Age—Ptolemy—Stimulating Influence of Geographical Discoveries—Curious Information thus obtained—Means of testing the Reports of Early Travellers—Marvellous Narratives not necessarily Incredible.

THE History of Geography forms an integral part of the history of the development of the human race. It chronicles the gradual advances which men made in their intercourse with their fellow men, and the results of those advances in enlarged views of life and increased civilisation. It notes their progress in speculation on such subjects as the shape and magnitude of the earth, the position of the continents on its surface, the tides and other recurring phenomena, and on the changes which they either saw taking place before their eyes, or inferred as having happened in the past from the appearance of existing objects. Finally, as its most rightful function, it traces the increase of the knowledge which they possessed of various countries—of their outline and surface, their mountains and rivers, their products and commodities. And as geography is the most central in its position of all the sciences,

**Importance
of the History
of Geography.**

standing as it does half-way between history, sociology and the other studies which relate to man on the one side, and those which deal with the composition of the earth which is his dwelling-place, such as geology, on the other; so the history of geography, especially that of its earlier stages, when these cognate subjects were still in their infancy, is fruitful in information relating to them.

It will be seen from this that geography is a comprehensive subject, and requires to be studied from several different points of view; and for this reason it may be well at starting that we should consider the subdivisions under which it may be most advantageously treated. These are Mathematical, Physical, Descriptive or Political, and Historical Geography. Mathematical Geography

**Subdivisions
of Geography:**

(1) Mathe-
matical,

deals with those questions which depend on the sciences of astronomy and geometry—the relation of the earth to the other heavenly bodies, the measurement of its circumference, the division of its surface into zones, the alternations of the seasons, and the like; and also all such points as are connected with map-making—the relative position of places and countries on the face of the globe, the altitude of mountains, the determination of parallels and meridians, and eventually the construction of a scheme of latitude and longitude, and the delineation of these on a round or plane surface.

(2) Physical, Physical Geography treats of the surface of the earth, together with its component elements and the influences that affect it. Under this head fall the distribution of land and water, the composition of the rocks and the metals which they contain, the changes in the ground together with the causes which have produced them, and varieties of soil, climate and vegetation. Descriptive and Political Geography

(3) Descrip-
tive and Poli-
tical,

sets forth in detail the characteristics of the several portions of this area, regarding it especially as the habitation of man, and subdividing it according to the political aggregation of its occupants. To this head also belongs all information respecting the works which have been produced upon its surface by the hand of man—the dwelling-places which he has constructed, the changes which he has effected by means of

harbours, embankments and drainage, and the development of the products of the soil. Historical Geography regards the earth from the point of view of its effect (4) Historical. on human society and the progressive development of the race. With this object it considers the modifying influence on national character which has been produced by the aspect of a country, by the facilities or impediments which it presents in respect of communication with other peoples, and by the occupations which it naturally fosters. And it also points out the effect which geographical features have produced, both in determining campaigns and battles, which have been the turning-points of the world's history, and in fixing beforehand the routes which must be followed by trade and commerce; and, on a larger scale, in affecting the power which particular countries have exercised at certain periods. It is easy to perceive from this review how many points of contact with other studies geography presents; and none of these can be ignored in a history of geography, if it is to afford an adequate survey of the subject.

The natural starting-point for such a history must be the shores of the Mediterranean, because the peoples that dwelt in the neighbourhood of that sea first cultivated the science of geography on an extended scale, and it was from that quarter that the information was originally derived which furnished the material for such a study. The reason of this is to be found fully as much in the geographical features of that portion of the globe, as in the character of the nations that inhabited it. Thus. Strabo in the Introduction to his Geography¹ draws attention to the superiority of the coasts of the inland seas—such as the Persian Gulf, the Red Sea, and the Mediterranean—over those of the ocean, from which they are inlets, in respect of the variety of their outline; and he adds that from that point of view the Mediterranean has the advantage over all the others. By means of this multiplicity of form, communication was promoted between distant races through the islands which served as stepping-stones from one country to another, and the numerous creeks and harbours which

The Mediterranean Sea the Starting-point in the Enquiry.

Its Advantages.

¹ 2. 5. 26.

provided a place of refuge in bad weather. The conformation of its northern shore is especially noticeable in this respect; and, in addition to this, the relative position of the peninsulas of Greece, Italy and Spain, which project into it on this side from the continent of Europe, tended still more to facilitate the intercourse between them. Thus the same causes which promoted the civilisation of the inhabitants of this region of the globe by enlarging their minds and enabling them to communicate to one another the arts of life, laid at the same time the foundations of a progressive and comprehensive study of geography. The case was widely different with countries like India and China, which from their remote situation and strongly marked boundaries were cut off from any but the most limited contact with others; and the same thing is almost equally true of Egypt, which land, though it communicated with the Mediterranean, was developed on lines of its own owing to its dependence on the Nile, and was traditionally exclusive in its ideas and policy. Whatever knowledge of geography was possessed by the nations which occupied these countries, was too much restricted in its horizon to be of service for general study.

The people who were the first depositaries of geographical knowledge in the Mediterranean were the Phoenicians. Long before the dawn of Greek history that wonderful race had established their trading stations at various points on the shore of that sea, and even on the confines of the ocean. The names of their two principal cities—Tyre, originally Sur, “the rock,” with reference to its site on a barren island, and Sidon, “the fishers’ town”—sufficiently indicate their early aptitude for maritime pursuits; and the narrow strip of coast which formed their country, cut off as it was from the rest of Syria by the rocky wall of Libanus, denied them any other outlet for their boundless vigour than that offered by the sea. We can trace their advance along the three basins into which the Mediterranean is naturally divided—from the Syrian coast to the Cyrenaica, which here advances towards the southernmost parts of Greece; from thence to the still more strongly marked limit which is formed by Sicily and the Carthaginian territory; and at last to the Pillars of Hercules at

Commerce
and Settlements of the
Phoenicians

its western end. In the first of these seas we note their progress by way of Cyprus and Rhodes to Crete, which island, from its position at the southern limit of the Aegean, and between the extremities of the continents of Greece and Asia Minor, was suited to be a starting-point for future advances.

In the Aegean itself we find numerous evidences in the Aegean Sea, of their presence. Thus the name Samos, which, whether it occurs in the island of that name or in Samothrace, was recognised by the Greeks as meaning 'a height',¹ is derived from the Semitic *shamah* 'to be high.' Lampsacus, as the city at the entrance of the Hellespont from the Propontis was called, signified in that language the town "at the ford." Atabyrium, the highest summit in Rhodes, is the same as Tabor; in fact the Greeks thus designated the well-known mountain in Palestine. Iardanos also, the stream in Crete, has the same name as the Jordan, *yarden* being the Phoenician word for 'river'; and Adramyttium in Mysia corresponds to Hadrumentum in Africa. Elsewhere we find traces of the Phoenician religion. In Thasos there was a temple of the Tyrian Heracles², i.e. Melcarth; and in several places where the local name Macaria is found associated with traditions of Heracles, it would seem to be a corruption of the title of the same god. The cult of Aphrodite Urania which existed in Cythera, a Phoenician station, was in reality that of Astarte, and in several places called Astyra we find the traces of her name. Again, the 'Great Gods' that were worshipped in Samothrace, though in all probability they were not originally Semitic divinities, yet seem at one time to have passed under the influence of the Phoenicians from their name Cabeiri, which is derived from *kabir* 'great,' a title applied by that people to their leading deities. In connexion with the purple fisheries, by which they obtained the Tyrian dye, we find the Phoenicians in the Laconian gulf and at Hermione in the Argolic Acte, both of which places were famed for their purple; and in the same connexion we discover their traces at Corinth, on the coins of which city the purple-mussel appears, and where Sisyphus is said to have been father of Porphyryon, that is the purple-trade, and

¹ Strabo, 8. 3. 19, *σάμους ἐκάλουν τὰ ὄρη.*

² Herod. 2. 44.

to have founded the worship of Melicertes or Melcarth. Nor were they behindhand in the pursuit of the precious metals in these parts, for Herodotus¹ tells us that they worked the gold mines in Thasos; and in other places there are evidences of their mining operations.

At an early period also we meet with the Phoenicians at the western extremity of the central basin of the Mediterranean. Here on the African coast they founded their colony of Utica, the date of which, if we may trust the authorities, was about eleven hundred years before Christ; and the same neighbourhood three centuries later saw the establishment of the more famous city of Carthage. The causes of the prosperity of that place, which was destined to be the rival of its parent state, were its central position in the Mediterranean, owing to which it commanded the spaces of sea both to the east and west of it, its nearness to Sicily and Italy, which brought it into communication with Europe, and the access which it enjoyed to the interior of Africa; these advantages rendered it an almost ideal trading station. On the opposite coast of Sicily, also, the most favourable points were occupied either by Phoenician or Carthaginian settlements. At the westernmost point stood Lilybaeum—the town ‘opposite Libya,’ as its Semitic name signifies; to the northward of this rose the conspicuous mountain on which Eryx stands, with its famous temple of Venus Erycina, in which the worship of Astarté was perpetuated; and not far off they had a station at Panormus, where they commanded one of the finest harbours in the island. Again, in the third bay of the Mediterranean, that which reaches from Sicily to the Straits, they established themselves in Sardinia and Corsica, along the Spanish coast, and in the neighbouring Balearic islands; and even Massilia was probably one of their stations before the arrival of the Greek settlers. Yet, wonderful to relate, all these advances had been anticipated by more adventurous voyages, for long before this time, and several centuries before the Greeks were even aware that the Mediterranean was an enclosed sea, these energetic traders had passed the Pillars of Hercules, and reached the ocean. There—a few years earlier,

¹ Herod. 6. 47.

as it would seem, than the foundation of Utica—they fortified themselves in an impregnable position at Gades or Gadeira—Agaddir, “the enclosure”—which became and at Gades. thenceforth their starting-point for expeditions to Britain, and for the establishment of trading stations on the western coast of Africa. The neighbouring region of southern Spain was known through them as Tarshish or Tartessus—a name which was derived from the tribe that inhabited it, the Turti or Turdetani. Of this we hear even in the genealogy in the tenth chapter of the book of Genesis¹, and in Solomon’s time (1000 B.C.) it is mentioned in connexion with the navy of Hiram, King of Tyre².

The geographical information about various countries which was thus obtained by the Phoenicians must have been very great, and this, together with the astronomical and other scientific knowledge which enabled them to undertake such extensive expeditions, Their selfish Policy detrimental to Knowledge. would have been extremely valuable for the study of geography, if they had come down to us. Unfortunately the whole of it is lost beyond recovery. This is the result of the narrow and jealous commercial policy of that people, which caused them to keep secret their maritime discoveries, so as to prevent other nations from entering on the same field. In Herodotus we meet with various stories relating to the difficulties incurred in obtaining the products of distant lands, which were circulated by the Phoenicians with the object of discouraging competition and concealing the origin of those articles. The trees from which they obtained the frankincense in Arabia were reported to be guarded by winged serpents³; the lake where cassia was gathered was infested with large bats, as a defence against which those who collected it had to wrap themselves in the hides of oxen⁴; and cinnamon was acquired by artifice from the nests of birds, which were built on inaccessible rocks. The historian’s report of the last of these fables, which recalls some of the stories in the Arabian Nights, runs as follows:—“Great birds, they [the Arabians] say, carry the sticks which we Greeks, taking the word from the Phoenicians, call cinnamon to their nests, which are formed of clay and attached

¹ Gen. 10. 4.² 1 Kings 10. 22.³ Herod. 3. 107.⁴ *Ibid.* 3. 110.

to precipitous mountains, which no foot of man can approach. So the Arabians, to get the cinnamon, use the following artifice. They cut up the limbs of the oxen and asses and other beasts of burden which die in their land into large pieces, and carry them into those regions, and when they have placed them near the nests withdraw to a distance. Thereupon the birds swoop down, and carry with them the pieces of meat up to their nests, which, being unable to sustain the weight, break and fall to the ground; after which the Arabians come and collect the cinnamon, which is then transported into other countries¹." Under these circumstances it is not surprising that, while the Phoenicians themselves were familiar with the western parts of the Mediterranean, all that the Greeks learnt from them at an early time was vague rumours of a great mountain called Atlas, which supported the heavens, or of lofty rocks, called the Pillars of Hercules, which marked the limit of the world in that direction. The tradition of this system of exclusiveness was maintained until a late period. Strabo tells us, when speaking of the Cassiterides², that a Phoenician shipmaster from Gades, when on his way to those islands, being followed by a Roman vessel which desired to discover the region from which tin was obtained, purposely ran his ship on a shoal in order to involve the other in the same destruction; and that, when he returned home, he was indemnified by the state for the loss of his cargo. We cannot wonder if posterity also has suffered from the effects of this selfish policy.

The loss to geography, however, which has arisen from this cause, has been amply compensated by that study having passed into the hands of the Greeks. That people, more than any other nation in antiquity, were fitted to deal with the subject, and to give the due proportion to its various branches. They too were a maritime race, and had learnt to regard the sea as the highway of nations, or, as Homer expresses it, the "watery ways³." The uncertain navigation of the Aegean, studded as it is by high peaks which attract the storms, taught the Greek mariner a lesson of caution and hardihood; and this, combined with the adventurous

¹ Herod. 3. 111.

² Strabo, 3. 5. 11.

³ ὕγρὰ κέλευθα.

The Greeks;
their Qualifi-
cations for the
Study of Geo-
graphy.

spirit which characterised the people, fitted them to undertake expeditions into distant lands. But it was the national intellect of the Greeks that especially qualified them for geographical investigation. Their comprehensiveness of mind was suited to a subject which, as we have seen, embraces a wide area of knowledge, and imparted to it a philosophical as well as a scientific character. Hence at an early period we find that the information gathered by their traders was recorded, and made the basis for enquiries into the origin and constitution of the world. Their acuteness of observation caused them to notice the peculiarities of the countries which they visited, and of the objects which they met with in them; and these they learnt to compare with one another, and to speculate on their resemblances. This was the commencement of physical geography, which formed a link between the study of the earth at large and the detailed investigation of physical phenomena. The versatility of their intellect prevented them from confining themselves to one side of the study, and led them to regard it from several points of view. Thus mathematical, and physical, and historical geography, each in its turn, obtained recognition, and at last systematic treatises were written, in which all these aspects of the subject were combined. To this we may add a certain expansiveness of temperament—the very reverse of the exclusiveness of the Phoenicians—which impelled them to communicate to others the knowledge which they themselves obtained.

The country also which was inhabited by the Greeks on both sides of the Aegean was peculiarly suggestive for geographical study, both in its general characteristics and in the peculiar phenomena which it exhibits.

Greece a
suggestive
Country for
this Subject,

For a science like astronomy, requiring as it does above all things a clear atmosphere and an unimpeded range of view, the plains of Babylonia were a more fitting home. Geometry, which, we are told, originated in the necessity of measuring the ground in Egypt after the landmarks had been obliterated by the inundation of the Nile, would naturally look to that country as its birthplace. But for geography Greece had lessons to teach which nowhere else could be learnt to equal advantage on account of the extraordinary variety of its natural

features. It was a land of mountains, many of which were of sufficient altitude to be snow-clad in winter ; and these, while they were ranged in definite chains, at the same time displayed conspicuous summits. The levels that were interposed between them were either upland plains, like that of Mantinea, which lies more than 2000 feet above the sea, or maritime plains, such as those of Athens and Argos, which, though enclosed on three sides by lofty barriers, terminated on the fourth in an open line of coast. The rivers were for the most part torrents, which flowed with a rushing current in winter and were dry in summer ; but there were not wanting streams of greater volume, like the Achelous and the Alpheius, which had a perennial supply of water. The promontories of Greece, which project conspicuously into the sea, while they inspired the sailor with dread on account of the dangerous currents in their neighbourhood, were recognised as landmarks for which to steer, and as geographical limits, which bounded the intervening spaces of sea. Everywhere, too, the islands met the eye in endless succession, with an infinite variety of form. Yet none of these features were as characteristic as the sea itself, which penetrated the land in innumerable bays, which it subdivided again into smaller creeks and harbours, thus producing a great irregularity of outline, and a seaboard of extraordinary length in proportion to the area of the country. By means of these the Greeks were familiarised with every phase of that element, and learnt to watch its changes, and to notice the influence which it exercised on human life and history.

But besides these general features, there were many peculiar phenomena in the lands which bordered on the Aegean which could not fail to interest an imaginative people. This was the case with the sudden disappearance of rivers—a feature which is not uncommon in limestone districts, but is unusually frequent in Greece—and their reappearance after a subterranean course. The Alpheius was a well-known instance, for it sinks into the ground in the earlier part of its course in the district of Asea, between the territory of Megalopolis and that of Tegea ; and this is thought to have been the origin

**in its General
Features,**

**and its
Peculiar
Phenomena.**

**Disappear-
ance of Rivers.**

of its earlier name, Nyctimus, i.e. the river of night or darkness. The currents of the Euripus at Chalcis, which sway to and fro at irregular intervals in the twenty-four hours, were noticed at an early time by the Greeks, many of whom must have traversed it owing to the safe passage afforded by the land-locked Euboic sea; and thus their minds were prepared for the strange recurrence of the tides of the Ocean, with which those who lived about the tideless Mediterranean sea could have no acquaintance. Still more impressive were the earthquake movements, to which throughout its history Greece has been greatly exposed, and the volcanic phenomena with which these are connected. The volcanic island of Thera in the middle of the Aegean, with its calcined and strangely coloured rocks and precipices, though it was not in activity during the early part of the historic period, presented a weird aspect to the eyes of the Greek mariner. The jets of mephitic vapour which were of frequent occurrence in Western Phrygia suggested the idea of a connexion with the infernal regions, and were called Charonia or Plutonia, among which the Plutonium at Hierapolis was the most famous. The numerous hot springs in Greece, especially those of Thermopylae, and of Aedepsus in the north of Euboea, were associated with Heracles in his character of the fire-god; and the Peloponnese, on account of the frequent occurrence of earthquakes in that district, as well as for other reasons, became the focus of the worship of Poseidon, the 'earth-shaker.' In these and similar ways the peculiar features of the country in Greece attracted the attention of its inhabitants, and their observations upon them took the form of superstitions and religious fancies; but these in their turn formed the basis for further investigation, and furnished the facts which were afterwards turned to account by philosophers and men of science. Aristotle in particular, when discussing physical theories, constantly draws his illustrations from objects and places in his native land. So too, the connexion between the conformation of a country and the politics of the states which occupy it—an idea which is of primary importance for historical geography—was impressed on the minds of the Greeks by the feeling that the limits of their own states were

Currents of
the Euripus.

Volcanic
Phenomena
and Earth-
quakes.

assigned by nature, and that both their occupations and their sphere of action were determined by their local position.

It is not unreasonable to dwell at some length on the character of the Greeks and the influence exercised upon them by the land which they inhabited, because the study of geography in ancient times was from first to last almost entirely in their

**The Study of
Geography al-
most confined
to the Greeks.**

hands. We might have expected that a people like the Romans, whose conquests were widely extended, and whose interests in distant countries were numerous on account of their commerce and the needs of their administration, would have borne their part in cultivating a subject of so great practical importance. But this was not the case. Not only were the foundations of the science laid by the Greeks, but it was mainly through them that the observations made in the course of military campaigns, and the knowledge gained through the spread of trade, were recorded. The first explorer who brought back in-

**Greek Ex-
plorers.**

formation with regard to the north-western portions of Europe was Pytheas, a Greek of Massilia; and his discoveries in the ocean to the northward of Britain, though they were made at a period nearly coeval with Alexander the Great, were hardly superseded even when the greater part of that island was in the power of the Romans. Almost everything that was known in antiquity concerning the interior of India was derived, either from the companions of Alexander, or from Megasthenes, who was sent by Seleucus Nicator as ambassador to Chandragupta at Pataliputra on the Ganges. The shores of the western part of the Mediterranean, both on the side of Europe and of Africa, were investigated, and their noticeable features recorded, by Artemidorus and Polybius; while the interior of Spain, of Gaul, and of the southern part of Britain was visited by Posidonius, who devoted especial attention to the races that inhabited those countries, and to their occupations, customs, and religious rites. When Pompey in the course of his campaigns against Mithridates opened out the countries that lay between the Euxine and the Caspian, it was his Greek companion and friend, Theophanes of Mytilene, who collected and published the results of his discoveries. Meanwhile the study of mathe-

matical geography was monopolised by Greek *savants*, from Eratosthenes to Ptolemy; and in the Augustan age, when the Roman empire embraced the whole of the civilised world, it was Strabo, a Greek of Amasia in Pontus, who described it in a systematic treatise. In fact, the only two geographical works in Latin which we possess are those of Pomponius Mela and Pliny, both of them writers of the post-Augustan period; and the former of these books is a mere *résumé*, which adds but little to our knowledge, while that part of Pliny's *Natural History* which deals with geography is both unskilful in its arrangement of facts, and uncritical in its treatment of them. Strabo, though he was ultra-Roman in his views of politics, and desired to approve his work to Roman readers, cannot conceal his contempt for that people as geographers. "Roman writers," he says, when speaking of Spain, "imitate the Greeks, but not with much success; for they borrow their statements from them, and do not themselves bring to the subject much love of enquiry; so that, where the Greeks fail us, these do not greatly help to supplement them¹." And Pliny is even severer in his judgment of his countrymen; for he remarks with regard to the contradictory reports that were current in his day concerning the interior of Mauretania, that "the Roman authorities, while they take no trouble to investigate the truth, are not ashamed to invent falsehoods; and nowhere is there greater liability to error than where misstatements are supported by persons in high position²."

Greek
Scientific
Geographers.

Hardly any
Roman Geo-
graphers.

The study of geography among the Greeks when once it had been started was continuously progressive, but, as might be expected, a more rapid advance was made during certain periods and at certain centres. It was natural also that different aspects of the subject should attract attention at different times, since in one age greater facilities were offered for the accumulation of materials, while in another there was a tendency to speculate on the facts thus obtained, and to start new theories on the larger scientific questions involved. As soon as the Greek colonies began to be thickly sown along the coasts of the Mediter-

Geographi-
cal Eras and
Centres.

Greek
Colonies.

¹ 3. 4. 19.

² *Hist. Nat.* 5. 12.

anean and the Euxine, information flowed in abundantly to the parent states concerning the countries in their neighbourhood, and was rapidly disseminated among the Greeks at large. At

Miletus and the Ionian School. this early period Miletus, owing to its important colonies on the Euxine, became the chief centre of geographical enquiry; and the philosophers of the

Ionian school, of which that city was the headquarters, availed themselves of the knowledge thus obtained to aid their speculations on the origin and nature of the earth. The theories which they put forward on these points may have been crude and tentative, but they mark an important advance in the treatment of the subject, because the spirit of mere wonder which had hitherto prevailed, and had associated unfamiliar sights with the working of supernatural agencies, was now giving way before the investigation of natural causes. Miletus also gave birth to the first

Herodotus. treatise on geography in the work of Hecataeus. The westward advance of Persia to the neighbourhood of the Aegean, and the subsequent conflict between that empire and Greece, together with the increasing familiarity of the Greeks with Egypt, further widened the field of view; and the progress thus obtained is embodied in the History of Herodotus. Then

Early Expeditions. follow a succession of expeditions in lands hitherto unknown—the voyage of Hanno along the western coast of Africa, the retreat of the Ten Thousand under Xenophon through Armenia, and above all the eastern campaigns of Alexander, in the course of which

Alexander's Campaigns. a vast area of country was for the first time revealed. The cities which were founded by that great conqueror, and the interest in the pursuit of knowledge that was displayed by his successors in Egypt and in Asia, aided still further the advance of discovery. But the event which more than any other served to foster the science of geography was the foundation of Alexandria. That city, from its

Foundation of Alexandria. central position in respect of the three continents, and from the attractions which it offered to traders, at once became a focus of information; and its famous Museum was the resort of men of science, like Eratosthenes and Hipparchus, by whose researches mathematical geography was established on a

firm basis. The influence which it thus exercised continued to be felt until the latest period, for Ptolemy is spoken of by Suidas and other writers as an Alexandrian. Meanwhile the rise of the power of Rome was bringing into prominence the countries of Western Europe, and that city, from the patronage which its leading men extended to geographical research, attracted persons who were interested in that study. Under such circumstances we cannot be surprised that the political and practical side of the subject made itself felt; and so we find that historical geography assumed a conspicuous position, with Polybius for its most prominent exponent. In the epoch that followed, the conquests of the Romans were the principal agency in advancing the frontiers of knowledge; and the war against Mithridates in Asia, and Caesar's campaigns in Gaul, made extensive additions to this field. The Augustan age, owing to the good order that everywhere prevailed, and the facility of communication caused by the encouragement given by the Romans to the construction of roads, marked the culminating point of the study; and whatever additions were made to the subject during the remainder of the first century of our era, related to outlying regions, such as Britain, the inland parts of Mauretania, and the coasts of the Indian sea. After this, the scientific side of geography came once more to the front, for it was discovered that map-making was serviceable for purposes of administration, and on this account it was encouraged by the Romans. Hence in the second century, when other branches of the study were becoming decadent, mathematical geography reached its highest development in the works of Ptolemy.

Roman Conquests.

Augustan Age.

Ptolemy.

Stimulating Influence of Geographical Discoveries.

It is no easy matter for us at the present day to realise to ourselves the feelings of the ancients with regard to geographical enquiry. We are satiated with discoveries; and since the sources of the Nile have been reached by travellers, and the leading features of the interior of Africa have been made known to us, only small portions of the habitable globe remain still to be explored, so that we are forced to turn to the Polar regions as the last re-

maintaining stimulus to investigation. But in antiquity the case was different, because of the numerous questions that then remained unsolved, and the ignorance which prevailed concerning wide tracts of country. The belief that the earth was surrounded by water, which we find to have existed as early as the Homeric age, continued to be a subject for speculation down to the latest period. Even when the Roman empire had reached its furthest limits, it was acknowledged that there were peoples, such as the Chinese at the extremity of Asia, the tribes in the interior of Africa, and others by the northern sea, of whom nothing was known beyond uncertain rumours; and, in addition to this, a large portion of the surface of the globe still remained to be accounted for, which might in part be covered by continents, and these perhaps inhabited. But at an earlier period these influences made themselves much more strongly felt. The excitement awakened in the minds of the Greeks by narratives of voyages to Tartessus, when once their pioneers had made their way in the wake of the Phoenicians to that remote land, can only be compared to the feelings of the European nations during the age of American discovery, when the strange objects and stranger tales which were brought back by adventurers suggested the hope of extensive conquests and of subsequent profit. The reports which

Curious Information thus obtained.

reached them about the increasing cold and heat of the climate as the traveller advanced toward the north or the south, suggested questions respecting the limits of the habitable world. Differences in the colour, the dress, and the modes of life of various tribes in remote regions, the mention of which we frequently meet with in the pages of Herodotus, aroused their curiosity with regard to the lands which these inhabited. From the time that they became acquainted with Egypt, the rise of the Nile in summer and its inundations presented to them an endless subject of speculation; and still greater was the impression made upon them by the evidences of the ancient civilisation of that country—the Pyramids and other extraordinary buildings, the highly developed arts of life, and the results of scientific enquiry, as shown in the calendar and the principles of geometry. These are specimens of the stimulating influence on the Greeks of an extended knowledge of

foreign lands; and by these they were impelled towards the further prosecution of such researches, and the attempt to determine their bearing on other studies.

Since the sources of information about distant lands in antiquity were so various, and in some respects so uncertain, it required, and still requires, the exercise of considerable judgement to determine the amount of credibility that attaches to the evidence which was thus obtained. No doubt, the suspicion with which "travellers' tales" have been received in all ages is in many ways unreasonable, for truth is stranger than fiction, and those who have seen unwonted sights in far countries, however much they may be tempted to exaggerate by the impossibility of putting their statements to the proof, have less need than others to draw on their imagination. At the same time there is a marked difference between the observations of professed explorers and those of traders, or of soldiers who have returned from foreign campaigns; and it was almost entirely from these and similar classes of men that intelligence was obtained in ancient times. To determine the value of such statements a certain exercise of criticism is required, so as to distinguish what has been gathered by hearsay from that which is the result of personal enquiry; and the character of the narrator himself, and the circumstances under which his information was procured, have also to be taken into account. It is the privilege of a later age, when additional facts bearing on these points have been brought to light, to be able to pronounce with greater confidence on the trustworthiness of such testimony. By this means fully as much has been done in the way of confirming, as in that of disallowing, the traditions of a past age. Thus it is easy to understand that the statements of Pytheas with regard to the wonders of the northern sea, and even his voyage to that region, would appear incredible, when they were subjected to the criticism of an unimaginative thinker, like Polybius, who declined to believe anything that he could not verify or explain; and yet we may acknowledge that, since that time, confidence in that traveller has been restored by a comparison of his narrative with the results of modern enquiry. We shall have to return to this subject at a later time,

Means of
testing the
Reports of Early
Travellers.

Marvellous
Narratives not
necessarily In-
credible.

when we come to speak of Pytheas' travels: for the present we may remark, as a proof of his having been in Britain, that he mentions mead, the favourite British beverage, as being made and drunk there, when he says, "those of the inhabitants who have corn and honey make a drink of those ingredients"¹; and further, he is shewn to have reached the northern extremity of that island by his noticing the extraordinary rise of the tide in the adjoining sea, which is at all times a remarkable phenomenon². In such cases we may feel confident that these peculiar statements, corresponding as they do to what are now well ascertained facts, were not mere inventions, but the result of observation on the spot. In other instances, too, where information of this kind has been more indirectly transmitted—even in the mythical accounts of distant portions of the earth which Homer gives us—when we meet with facts which were marvels to the men of that time, but now are capable of easy explanation, we need find no difficulty in accepting them as true. In this way we are to a certain extent provided with landmarks to guide us in exploring a region of knowledge, the outlines of which are vague and shadowy. It is not unreasonable to assume a sceptical attitude towards narratives of extraordinary voyages, which profess to have been undertaken at a time when it is highly improbable that they would have been carried out, unless such narratives are corroborated by further evidence. Strange statements, also, about unknown countries and peoples may fairly be relegated to the region of fable, if their tone is extravagant, and nothing has subsequently been brought to light that may confirm them. But, on the other hand, the verification of such statements goes far to establish the truthfulness of the reporter, the more so because of their original unlikelihood; and when these occur in accounts of extended voyages and travels, a further presumption is created in favour of the authentic character of those expeditions themselves.

¹ Strabo, 4. 5. 5; see Elton, *Origins of English History*, p. 30.

² Pliny, 2. 217; see Elton, p. 71.

CHAPTER II.

GEOGRAPHY OF THE HOMERIC PERIOD.

The Argonautic Legend—Its Historical Significance—Homeric Conception of the Earth—The River Oceanus—The Giant Atlas—Geography of the Homeric poems—The North and East of the Aegean—Interior of Asia Minor—Greece—Accuracy of Local Epithets—Description of the Styx—Inaccurate Account of Ithaca—Outer Geography of the Iliad; of the Odyssey—Ignorance of the Western Countries—Wanderings of Ulysses—Their Mythical Character—Exceptions to this—Rumours about far distant Countries—The Pygmies—Long Days and Nights of Northern Europe—Primitive Trade-routes—The Amber Trade—Route through Pannonia—Route through Gaul—Entrepôt at the Mouths of the Po—Story of the Sisters of Phaëthon—The River Eridanus—The Tin Trade—Tin not imported from India, but from Spain, and Britain—The Cassiterides Islands—Opinions as to their Situation—Trees imported into Greece; the Palm, the Pomegranate, the Cypress, the Plane—The Cardinal Points determined by the Winds—The Four Winds in Homer—Character of the Greek Winds.

IN endeavouring to discover the ideas on the subject of geography that existed among the Greeks at a primitive period, we naturally turn to the Homeric poems, as being the earliest literary creations of that people, and as furnishing a singularly comprehensive view of the range of knowledge of that time. Yet even before the Iliad and Odyssey were composed we meet with intimations of attempts on the part of the Greeks to extend their field of observation, in the stories of maritime enterprise which were already in circulation. Foremost among these is the fabled voyage of the Argo, a tale of adventure which is spoken of in the Odyssey as being even then 'world-famous'.¹ The elaborate development which this story underwent at a later time, and the numerous details which gathered round it, render it difficult to reduce it to its original form, for the tale of Medea's love became attached to it, and the return of the Argonauts from Colchis to Greece became the basis of fanciful narratives of the circumnavigation of the

The Argonautic Legend.

¹ Ἀργὸ πᾶσι μέλουσα, *Od.* 12. 70.

eastern or the western continent: but the name of Jason, and the story of the passage of the vessel between moving rocks, by which the Symplegades appear to be meant, form part of it from the first, so that we seem to be justified in associating it with the Euxine. Aetes is mentioned as the ruler of the land which Jason visited, but the country itself is not named; and it is more reasonable to suppose that it was identified with Colchis after the commerce of the Greeks had been extended to the Phasis by the advance of their colonies, than that a band of adventurers should have penetrated at that early age to the east of the Black Sea. Still, after making all deductions,

**Its Historical
Significance.**

we can hardly avoid the conclusion that some expedition of this kind took place, and that the fame of it was widely spread in the neighbourhood of the Aegean. In other cases where notices of distant countries are found imbedded in the early legends of the Greeks, they can usually be traced to a Phoenician source; and when they refer to the far west, the same thing is true even with regard to a much later period. The mythological personage who is most frequently found in this connexion is Heracles; and this divinity, where he appears in the character of a traveller—for instance, when he drives off the herds of Geryon, the scene of which incident is laid near Gades, or when he slays the giant Antaeus in Libya—is to be regarded as representing the Phoenician Melcarth.

The form of the earth, as it was conceived by Homer, is a circular plane—an idea which would be naturally suggested by the appearance of the horizon, as it is seen in any extensive view, especially from a mountain height. This plane was encircled by the Ocean, a broad and deep river, which was the parent of all waters—not only of the various seas, but of the rivers and fountains¹—and this stream flowed continually onward, so that in its revolving course it is spoken of

**Homeric
Conception of
the Earth.**

**The River
Oceanus.**

¹ *Il.* 21. 195—7:

.....βαθυρρεῖται μέγα σθένορ Ὀκεανόιο,
ἐξ οὗ περ πάντες ποταμοὶ καὶ πάντα θάλασσα
καὶ πᾶσι κρήναι καὶ φρεῖατα μακρὰ νάουσιν.

as returning upon itself¹. It is difficult to determine what was the origin of this notion, which appears to us all the more strange, because the question whether the habitable world was surrounded by water was never solved in ancient times. It may have been simply mythological, though this view is not supported by our finding it associated with any similar myths, which might illustrate it. Perhaps it is more probable that it emanated from a Phœnician source, since traders of that nation were acquainted with the outer sea, both in the direction of Spain and in that of Arabia; and the belief in the continuity of its waters may have been suggested to them in the same way as it was to the scientific men of a later age, by their observing the recurrence of the movement of the tides wherever they met with it. The name Oceanus, also, seems to be more easily explained by a Semitic than by a Greek etymology². Over the earth was reared a vaulted firmament of bronze, in which the stars were set, and the pillars by which this was supported were upheld by Atlas. This giant, also, and the story associated with him, were probably creations of the Phœnicians, and were connected in their minds with the chain of mountains of that name, with which they were familiar in the north-west of Africa. Of the area which was comprehended within this framework only a very small portion was known, or even made the subject of conjecture, in the Homeric age. The geographical knowledge of the Greeks at that time was confined almost entirely to the lands in the neighbourhood of the Aegean.

The Giant
Atlas.

Geography
of the Homeric
poems.

The North
and East of the
Aegean.

With these countries the author of the *Iliad* shews a more or less familiar acquaintance. The features of the Trojan plain, the stream-like current of the Hellespont, the opposite coast of Thrace, and the island of Tenedos, are all well known to him. The same thing is true of the district immediately to the southward of this, where the territory of Troy is bounded by the wooded range of Ida, which reaches the sea at its western extremity in the promontory of Lectum; and the islands in the north of the Aegean, and the conspicuous heights on the

¹ ἀψόρροτος, *Il.* 18. 399.

² Kiepert, *Lehrbuch d. a. Geographie*, p. 30.

neighbouring mainland, are introduced into the narrative with due observance of their relative position. All these are mentioned in the description of the course pursued by Hera, when she passed from Olympus to Ida to meet Zeus on the summit of that mountain. There we are told that she first visited the Thracian mountains and Athos, and thence took her flight by way of Lemnos and Imbros to Lectum, where she left the sea and ascended over the ridges to Gargarus, the highest point of Ida, leaving the God of Sleep, who had accompanied her, to keep watch on one of the lofty pines¹. Samothrace, which reaches the greatest elevation of all the islands in these parts, and commands a view of the plain of Troy, is selected for the station from which Poseidon viewed the combats of the Greeks and Trojans², as Zeus did from Gargarus. Olympus itself is rightly designated as a long and snowy and many-crested mountain³. Along the coast of Asia Minor to the south of Mt. Ida, and in the neighbouring inland districts, various features of the ground are mentioned, either incidentally, or in connexion with the tribes who furnished contingents to the army of the Trojans. Thus we hear of Mt. Tmolus and the Gygaean lake as being in the territory of the Maeonians, the river Maeander and the heights of Mycale in that of the Carians, and the Xanthus in Lycia⁴; while the Cayster is introduced in a simile as being the haunt of innumerable waterbirds⁵, and Mt. Sipylus figures in the story of Niobe⁶. But there is no intimation of Greek colonies existing on the mainland of this country, though Rhodes and the islands in its vicinity, as well as parts of Crete, were occupied by them⁷. Of the districts of Asia Minor that lay further to the east very little knowledge is shown; the Halys is not mentioned⁸, and the Paphlagonians, and beyond them the Halizones, are only noticed as being allies of the Trojans⁹. It is worthy of remark that in the Odyssey also, though the scene of that poem is laid in western Greece, quite the most

Interior of
Asia Minor.

¹ *Il.* 14. 225--230, 280--291.

² *Il.* 13. 10--14.

³ *μακρὸς ἄγανιφος πολυτείρας*, *Il.* 1. 402, 420, 499.

⁴ *Il.* 2. 865, 866, 869, 877. ⁵ *Il.* 2. 461.

⁶ *Il.* 24. 615.

⁷ *Il.* 2. 645--650.

⁸ *Il.* 2. 851, 856.

reliable piece of geography relates to the Aegean. This is the description of the course pursued by the Greek chieftains after leaving Troy. We find them sailing first from Tenedos to Lesbos, and there debating whether of two routes they shall take across the sea to reach Greece; the one being straight to Euboea, leaving Psyra on their left—a voyage which according to the ideas of navigation of that time could only be undertaken in fair weather—the other between Chios and cape Mimas on the peninsula of Erythrae, from whence they would pass to Geraestus, the southern Euboean promontory¹.

In these poems also a generally accurate knowledge of Greece is shown, though that land is not described by any collective name. Hellas, in particular, the subsequent appellation of the entire area, is here confined to a district of Thessaly. The Achelous, which is spoken of as the mightiest of rivers, and only second to the ocean stream², forms the western limit, for while Aetolia is known to the poet, Acarnania and Epirus are not mentioned. The clearest evidence of familiarity with the country is furnished by the epithets by which places are designated; for instance, the 'well-walled' Tiryns³, to describe the still famous fortifications of that city; and especially the 'hollow' Lacedaemon 'full of fissures', by which the valley of Sparta is graphically delineated, deeply sunk as it is between the heights of Parnon and Taygetus, and seamed with rifts on its surface. The accuracy of the local epithets in Homer was noticed in ancient times by Eratosthenes⁴, and his judgement is corroborated by the observation of modern travellers. The most remarkable description of a geographical feature in Greece which we meet with in the poems, is that of the cascade of the Styx in Arcadia, which falls over a perpendicular cliff of great height at the side of one of the deepest and wildest

Greece.

Accuracy of
Local Epithets.Description
of the Styx.¹ *Od.* 3. 159—178.² *Il.* 21. 194.³ *Il.* 2. 559.⁴ *κοίλην Λακεδαιμόνα κητώεσσαν, Il.* 2. 581; *Od.* 4. 1.

⁵ Strabo, 1. 2. 3; τὰ δὲ δὴ κατὰ τὴν Ἑλλάδα καὶ τοὺς σύνεγγυς τόπους καὶ λίαν περιέρχοντες ἐξεννοεῖσθαι, πολυτρήρωνα μὲν τὴν Θέσσην λέγοντα, Ἀλῆαρον δὲ ποταμὸν, ὡχετόμενον δὲ Ἀρθηδόνα, Αἰθῶνα δὲ πηγῆς ἐπὶ Κηφισοῖς, καὶ οὐδέμιαν προσθήκην κωδὲς ἀπορίπτειν.

chasms in that country. This was associated in the minds of the people with their primitive traditions about a river of Hades, which they conceived of as a mighty stream falling down in a cataract to the underworld, and then running with a great volume of water to infinite distance. Homer speaks of this as the 'down-dropping' water of Styx¹, and the 'precipitous streams of the water of Styx²'; and the same thing is expressed by Hesiod in a somewhat amplified form when he calls it the 'cold water which is poured down from a lofty inaccessible cliff³,' and the 'primaeval imperishable water of Styx, which it pours down through a precipitous spot⁴.' The account, however, which is given of the islands to the west of Greece, though it contains sufficient elements of truth to prevent it from being characterised as fictitious, is irreconcilable with the idea of personal observation. Not only is the poet's conception of the grouping of those objects different from the reality, but in the description of Ithaca, which forms the central point among them, there are features which no ingenuity can harmonise with the actual appearance of that island. No person who had seen it could have spoken of it as in any sense 'low-lying⁵,' and there is nothing in its vicinity that at all corresponds to the islet of Asteris, which figures conspicuously in one portion of the story⁶. All the information which the poet possessed about this neighbourhood would appear to have been obtained at second-hand. In concluding the review which has thus been given of the Homeric geography of Greece and of the neighbourhood of the Aegean, it should be added that the so-called 'Catalogue of Ships' has been admitted as an authority alongside of the poems themselves.

Inaccurate
Account of
Ithaca.

¹ *Il.* 15. 37; τὸ κατειβόμενον Στυγὸς ὕδωρ.

² *Il.* 8. 369; Στυγὸς ὕδατος αἰπὰ ῥέεθρα.

³ *Theog.* 785—7;

.....πολύωνυμον ὕδωρ,
ψυχρὸν, ὃ τ' ἐκ πέτρης καταλείβεται ἡλιβάταιο
ὕψηλῃς.

⁴ *Ibid.* 805, 6;

.....Στυγὸς ἀφθιτον ὕδωρ
ὠγύγιον, τό θ' ἔστι καταστυφέλου διὰ χώρου.

⁵ χαμαλή, *Od.* 9. 25.

⁶ *Od.* 4. 846.

That document, no doubt, is of a later date than they are, and it is necessary, also, to allow for some interpolations that were subsequently introduced into it; but, notwithstanding this, it is of great value as an evidence of the condition of Greece at the early time when it was compiled, and since we are now considering as a whole the period which is spoken of as the Homeric age, there is no reason for excluding the testimony which it affords.

When we turn to what has been called the 'outer' geography of the Homeric poems, that is, to the knowledge possessed by the Greeks at that early date of the countries which were not in their immediate vicinity, we find that the extent of their acquaintance with them was very limited. At the time when the *Iliad* was composed, rumours seem to have reached them from the northern regions of wandering tribes, like the Scythians, though that people itself is not mentioned. Zeus is described as turning away his eyes from the combats on the plain of Troy, and looking "upon the land of the Thracian horse-breeders, and the Mysians, fierce fighters hand to hand, and the proud Hippemolgi that drink mare's milk, and the Abii, the most righteous of men¹." The Mysians who are here introduced are the tribe in Thrace, from whom the people of the same name in Asia Minor originally sprang, while the Abii, or 'men without property,' whose poverty and simplicity of life obtained for them the reputation of justice, are, like the Hippemolgi, nomad races inhabiting the lands beyond the Haemus. At the same time, the name of the great river of those parts, the Ister, does not occur. In the east of the Mediterranean, Sidon is known as the chief city of the Phoenicians, and it was regarded as coming within the range of Greek experience, for we hear of Hecuba as possessing "embroidered robes, the work of Sidonian women, whom godlike Alexandros himself brought from Sidon, when he sailed over the wide sea, that journey wherein he brought home high-born Helen²." Yet the existence of the great empires of Assyria and Babylonia is not suspected. Egypt, again, is only mentioned in connexion

¹ *Il.* 13. 4—6. The quotations from Homer that are given in this chapter are taken from Lang, Leaf and Myers' translation of the *Iliad*, and Butcher and Lang's translation of the *Odyssey*.

² *Il.* 6. 289—292.

Outer Geo-
graphy of the
Iliad;

with the fame of the wealth of Thebes—"Egyptian Thebes, where the treasure-houses are stored fullest—Thebes of the hundred gates, whence sally forth two hundred warriors through each with horses and chariots¹." Beyond this, on the borders of the Ocean stream, dwell the Aethiopians, a 'blameless' people, whom the Gods themselves visit, and partake of their feasts². This description would lead us to regard them as a semi-mythical race; but their name, the 'burnt-faced' or 'swarthy' men³, proves that the Greeks were already aware of a dark-skinned nation inhabiting the far South.

In the *Odyssey* the geographical horizon is somewhat more widely extended, as might be expected in a poem which is rather later in date, and has for its subject a story of travel. Communication with the East has now become more frequent, and Egypt is several times mentioned. Menelaus, when, on his return journey from Troy, he was driven by a storm from the shores of Crete to that country, spent eight years in wandering to and fro along the coasts of Phoenicia, Cyprus, and Libya; and the presents which he brought home with him thence to Greece imply that he was hospitably received⁴. The Nile, however, is known as yet by no distinctive name, but only as the 'river Aegyptus'; and the island of Pharos, which was destined in the future from its opportune position near the coast to shelter the harbours of Alexandria, is described as being a day's sail from land. "There is an island," Menelaus says, "in the wash of the waves over against Egypt, and men call it Pharos, within one day's voyage of a hollow ship, when shrill winds blow fair in her wake⁵." The region called Libya, which the same hero visits, and of which the poet says, "there the ewes yearn thrice within the full circle of a year; there neither lord nor shepherd lacketh aught of cheese or flesh or of sweet milk, but ever the flocks yield store of milk continual⁶," is the Cyrenaica, which was especially renowned for its fertility.

¹ *Il.* 9. 381—4.

² *Il.* 1. 423; 23. 206.

³ *Αἰθίοπες* from *αἰθω* ὄψ.

⁴ *Od.* 3. 300; 4. 81—5, 128, 617.

⁵ *Ἀγυῖατος, διπλῆτος ποταμοῖο*, *Od.* 4. 477.

⁶ *Od.* 4. 354—7.

⁷ *Od.* 4. 86—9.

The Aethiopians are now sufficiently well known to be divided into two families, eastern and western—"the Aethiopians that are sundered in twain, the uttermost of men, abiding some where Hyperion sinks and some where he rises¹"—a distinction which corresponds to that drawn at a later time by Herodotus, who speaks of "the Aethiopians above Egypt" and "the Aethiopians towards the rising of the sun²." Of the countries to the west of Greece great ignorance still prevails; Sicania, the old name of Sicily³, and the race of Sicels that inhabited that island⁴, are introduced; but beyond these, and an incidental mention of the Thesprotians in Epirus⁵, scarcely any advance appears to have been made. This need hardly surprise us, when we consider that the outlets of Greece, and consequently the opportunities for communication which it provides, are all towards the east. The coast on that side is deeply indented with bays, which provide a shelter for the mariner, and the principal maritime plains, like those of Attica and Argolis, open out in that direction; whereas the western coast of the Peloponnese has hardly a harbour to offer except those of Pylos and Methone in Messenia, and the inlets which lie further to the north are backed on the land side by inhospitable mountains.

Ignorance of
the Western
Countries.

In the wonderland of Ulysses' adventurous wanderings we look almost in vain for any real information about distant countries. Not that these strange scenes are to be regarded as the mere creation of the poet's brain; they were rather the product of the popular fancy, which had combined a variety of old mythological fables with reports derived from Phœnician traders. But the attempt to associate the incidents of the journey with definite places—to identify the land of the Cyclopes with Sicily, or the island of Aeolus with one of the Lipari islands, or Circe's isle with the Circean promontory—cannot bear the test of examination. Even Phœacia, notwithstanding the venerable tradition which both in ancient and modern times has placed it at Corfu, and the fitness of that delectable island to represent

Wanderings
of Ulysses.

Their
Mythical
Character.

¹ *Od.* i. 23, 24.

² Herod. 7. 69, 70.

³ *Od.* 24. 307

⁴ *Od.* 20. 383.

⁵ *Od.* 14. 315.

what is described in the *Odyssey*, must be relegated to the region of myth. In two instances only can we trace with tolerable certainty a basis of truth underlying the story—in the account of the land of the Lotophagi, and in that of the dangers of Scylla and Charybdis. As regards the former of these we are told that Ulysses was driven out to sea by a violent wind from Malea—a cape which in all ages has been dreaded on account of its storms—and that on the tenth day he reached the country of the Lotophagi. There the natives gave to his companions the lotus to taste; “and whosoever of them did eat the honey-sweet fruit of the lotus, had no more wish to bring back tidings nor to come back, but chose rather to abide there with the lotus-eating men, ever feeding on the lotus, and forgetful of returning¹.” A storm such as is here described would naturally carry a ship towards the coast of Africa; and as a district is found there, in the neighbourhood of the Lesser Syrtis, where the lotus-shrub grows, and bears a sweet fruit—a fact which might easily reach the ears of the Greeks through the Phoenicians—it is reasonable to suppose that we are dealing with reality. Polybius himself visited this region of Libya, and has left a description both of the tree and of its fruit², and his account is confirmed by those who have recently followed in his footsteps. Scylla and Charybdis also, notwithstanding the weird imagery with which they are decked out in the Homeric story, may well have been an embodiment of the dangers presented by the rocks and

¹ *Od.* 9. 94—7.

² Polyb. 12. 2. The description is so graphic that it deserves to be quoted in full. “The lotus is not a large tree; but it is rough and thorny, and has a green leaf, like the rhamnus, a little longer and broader. The fruit is like white myrtle-berries when they are come to perfection; but, as it grows, it becomes purple in colour, and in size about equal to round olives, and has a very small stone. When it is ripe they gather it; and some of it they pound up with groats of spelt, and store in vessels for their slaves; and the rest they also preserve for the free inhabitants, after taking out the stones, and use it for food. It tastes like a fig or a date, but is superior to them in aroma. A wine is made of it also by steeping it in water and crushing it, sweet and pleasant to the taste, like good mead; and they drink it without mixing it with water. It will not keep, however, more than ten days, and they therefore only make it in small quantities as they want it. Vinegar also is made out of it.” (Shuckburgh’s translation.)

eddies of the Straits of Messina, as reported to the Greeks by those who had passed through them¹.

But, although the knowledge of the world which the Greeks of this age possessed was so narrowly limited, there are not wanting intimations that reports had penetrated to them of strange races and strange sights in the remotest parts of the habitable world, both towards the south and the north. There was a proverbial saying current among the Greeks, which Aristotle has preserved for us, that Africa has always some novelty to offer²; and it is to that land that we may look to furnish our first instance. At its furthest extremity, by the Ocean stream, where we have already found the Aethiopians located, we hear of a diminutive people, the Pygmies, or 'men no bigger than your fist³.' Their deadly enemies are the cranes; and when those birds migrate southwards at the approach of the cold season, they are supposed to be preparing to attack these puny foes. Thus in the Iliad the war-shouts of the Trojan army are compared to the cries of the embattled cranes, "which flee from the coming of winter and sudden rain, and fly with clamour towards the streams of ocean, bearing slaughter and fate to the Pygmy men⁴." Now, if this mention of a race of dwarfs in Africa stood alone, we might consign them to the same class of imaginary beings as the Idaean Dactyls and similar mythological figures. Tom Thumbs, like giants, have ever been familiar personages in folk-tales. But the case is different when we find good evidence, both in ancient and modern times, of the existence of such a diminutive people in the heart of the Dark Continent. In the story of the Nasamones in Herodotus, to which we shall have occasion hereafter to recur, we are told that far in the interior of Libya those explorers were seized and carried off by dwarfish men, under the middle height, and black-complexioned⁵. Aristotle also speaks of similar tribes as dwelling beyond the marshy tracts about the upper Nile⁶.

Rumours
about far Dis-
tant Countries.

The Pygmies.

¹ For a thorough discussion of the questions connected with the wanderings of Ulysses, see Bunbury's *Hist. of Anc. Geography*, vol. 1. pp. 49—67.

² *Hist. Animal.*, 8. 28. 7; ἀεὶ φέρεῖ τι Λιβύῃ καὶ νότον.

³ Πυγμαῖες from πυγμή.

⁴ *Il.* 3. 3—6.

⁵ Herod. 2. 32.

⁶ *Ar. op. cit.* 8. 12. 2; μεταβάλλουσι γὰρ [αἱ γέραναι] ἐκ τῶν Σκυθικῶν πεδίων

And in our own days the experiences of Du Chaillu, Schweinfurth and Stanley in the course of their travels in Central Africa leave no doubt of their existence. We may conclude therefore that the Pygmies of Homer were a real people, and that their smallness of stature caused them to be regarded as fit antagonists for the cranes. The Egyptians might easily have heard of these, and through them the story may have found its way into Greece.

Still more interesting are the evidences which we discover of a faint acquaintance with the wonders of a northern clime in certain passages in Homer, which can hardly be otherwise explained than as referring to the long summer days and winter nights of the lands in the neighbourhood of the Arctic Circle. Ulysses, when narrating his visit to Telepylus, the city of the Laestrygones, which he reached in the course of his wanderings, describes it as a place, "where herdsman hails herdsman as he drives in his flock, and the other who drives forth answers the call. There might a sleepless man have earned a double wage, the one as neatherd, the other shepherding white flocks: so near are the outgoings of the night and of the day¹." The meaning of the last clause, which at first sight is somewhat enigmatical, becomes clear if for 'night and day' we substitute 'darkness and dawn,' or 'sunset and sunrise': in fact, we could hardly wish for a better paraphrase of it than is given in Tacitus' description of the shortness of the summer nights in the north of Britain—"finem atque initium lucis exiguo discrimine internoscas²." No sooner does Night appear, than Day reappears; and consequently there need be no intermission of work, such as the darkness causes. Again, the long unrelieved nights of an arctic winter seem to be described in the account which the poet gives of the Cimmerians, a people who dwell by the Ocean stream, which here we meet once more at the opposite extremity of the earth. The hero's ship, we are told, "came to the limits of the world, to the deep-flowing Oceanus. There is the land and the city of the

εἰς τὰ ἔλη τὰ ἄνω τῆς Αἰγύπτου, ὅθεν ὁ Νεῖλος ρεῖ, οὗ καὶ λέγονται τοῖς Πυγμαλίοις ἐπιχειρεῖν· οὐ γάρ ἐστι τοῦτο μῦθος, ἀλλ' ἐστι κατὰ τὴν ἀλήθειαν γένος μικρὸν μὲν, ὥσπερ λέγεται, καὶ αὐτοὶ καὶ οἱ ἵπποι, τραγλοῦνται δ' εἰς τὸν βίον.

¹ *Od.* 10. 82—6.

² *Agr.* c. 12.

Cimmerians, shrouded in mist and cloud; and never does the shining sun look down on them with his rays, neither when he climbs up the starry heavens, nor when he again turns earthward from the firmament, but deadly night is outspread over miserable mortals¹." The same conception is expressed in a modified form, though still only approximating to the reality, in the story mentioned by Herodotus, that in the furthest countries to the northward of Scythia there existed a people who slept for six months in the year².

From these evidences of a knowledge, however vague, of the remote regions of the earth, which the Greeks of a primitive age possessed, we may now pass to the consideration of certain objects of commerce with

Primitive
Trade-routes.

distant lands that are mentioned in Homer—a subject which is full of geographical interest, because of its bearing on the early trade-routes. The two principal articles which we hear of in this connexion are amber and tin, both of them substances of small bulk relatively to their value, and therefore easy of transport. The former of these, which served no useful purpose, and was only employed as an ornament, was esteemed on account of its beauty and curiosity, as well as its rarity. We hear of it more than once in the *Odyssey* as being used for necklaces—in the story of Eumæus, whose nurse was tempted by Phœnician traders with a present of this nature to betray him³; and in the description of the gifts made to Penelope by the suitors⁴. Amber beads were also discovered by Schliemann in the tombs at Mycenæ⁵. Now this material, which is a resinous substance formed by exudation from pine-trees, was found in antiquity almost entirely on the northern coasts of Europe. A certain amount of it, though somewhat different in character, was also brought from Liguria; but chemical analysis has shewn that that which reached Greece belonged to the northern species⁶. On the shores of Friesland and the neighbouring islands, between the mouths of the Rhine and the Elbe, it is washed up by the tide; and the coasts of the Baltic in

The Amber
Trade.

¹ *Od.* 11. 14—19.

² Herod. 4. 25.

³ *Od.* 15. 460.

⁴ *Od.* 18. 296.

⁵ Schliemann, *Mycenæ*, pp. 203, 245.

⁶ Schliemann, *Tiryns*, p. 372.

North Germany furnish large quantities : in Courland also, towards the Gulf of Riga, it is dug up as a fossil. The question therefore arises, by what line of traffic it reached the Mediterranean. In

Route
through Pan-
nonia. Roman times we have clear evidence that it was brought across Germany by way of Pannonia to the head of the Adriatic, for Pliny tells us that in

Nero's reign a Roman knight, who was despatched for that purpose, brought a large supply by that route to Rome¹. It is highly probable that the northern traffic had followed the same direction from a very early time. The testimony which is borne to this by archæological discoveries is very strong²; and it is only thus that we can explain the legends that existed among the Greeks concerning offerings that were sent at a primitive period on several occasions by the Hyperboreans in the far north to Delos by the way of the Adriatic and Dodona, sometimes under the escort of maiden envoys of that race³. This supposition also furnishes the easiest explanation of the numerous intimations which connect the amber trade with the mouth of the Po; for, though it has been attempted to explain these by supposing the existence of another route from the German Ocean to the head of the Adriatic by the way of North Italy, yet this view has little more than conjecture to support it. At the same time it may well have happened that amber found its way to the Mediterranean in another direction; and this was undoubtedly the case subsequently

Route
through Gaul. to the expedition of Pytheas to Northern Europe in the fourth century B.C., when both the tin and amber trades were opened out to the merchants of Massilia by means of the overland route across Gaul and down the valley of the Rhone. Indeed, there is evidence to show that even before that date these articles followed that line of traffic, as

¹ Pliny, *H. N.*, 37. 45; Sexcentis millibus passuum fere a Carnunto Pannomæ abesse litus id Germaniæ ex quo invehitur percognitum nuper; vivitque eques Romanus ad id comparandum missus ab Juliano curante gladiatorum munus Neronis principis, qui et commercia ea et litora peragravit, tanta copia irvecta ut retia coercendis feris podium protegentia sucinis nodarentur, arma vero et libitina totusque unius dici apparatus esset e sucino.

² See Mr A. J. Evans's remarks in Freeman's *History of Sicily*, vol. 4, p. 220.

³ Herod. 4. 33—5.

Müllenhoff has proved by an ingenious comparison of passages relating to this subject in Diodorus and Pliny. For, while the former of these writers tells us, though without giving his authority, that tin and amber were brought to the Mediterranean by this route, it is clear from the corresponding notices in Pliny that the source from which his information was drawn was Pytheas; and thus Pytheas is made to testify that the overland trade existed before his day¹. How far back it may have dated we have no means of knowing, but possibly it may have been earlier than the foundation of Massilia. In any case, however, and by whatever route amber may have reached the shores of the Mediterranean, it is certain that in the Homeric age, if it was transported by sea to Greece, it must have passed through the hands of the Phoenicians, inasmuch as they were the only seafaring people of that time. We have already seen that in one passage of the *Odyssey* persons of that race are mentioned as having it in their possession.

The idea that there was an *entrepôt* for amber at the mouths of the Po, which has been hinted at above, is connected with two interesting and much debated points—viz. first, the origin of the legend of the sisters of Phaëthon, which was localised in this spot; and secondly, the etymology of the name Eridanus, which was applied to the river Po by the Greeks, and after them by the Roman poets. According to the well-known story, Phaëthon, the son of Helios, persuaded his father to allow him for one day to drive the chariot of the sun through the heavens: but, being unable to check the horses in their career, he first set the heavens on fire, and then approached too near the earth; whereupon Zeus struck him with a flash of lightning, and he fell to the ground near the mouth of the Eridanus. His sisters, who there lamented his untimely fate, were changed into poplars, and their tears became amber. The last trait here mentioned is a clear proof that amber circulated in that neighbourhood, and also that it was believed to exude from the trunks of trees. How the myth of Phaëthon's death came to be naturalised there, we have no means of ascer-

Entrepôt at
the Mouths of
the Po.

Story of the
Sisters of
Phaëthon.

¹ Diodor. 5. 22. 4 and 5. 23. 1, 5 compared with Pliny 4. 94 and 37. 35, 36; see also Müllenhoff, *Deutsche Altertumskunde*, 1. p. 476.

taining; but Pliny's explanation of that part of it which relates to the sisters is reasonable enough; for he refers it to the custom of wearing amber necklaces, which still continued in his time to be practised by the women in that region, partly for the sake of ornament, and partly as a supposed remedy for the *goitre*, and other evil results of drinking the water of Alpine streams¹. The belief that amber exuded from trees may perhaps have been derived, along with the material, from its original home in Northern Europe; but it is more probable that it was inferred by observation from the analogy of the gum which was seen to drop from pine trees, and which the amber resembled, both in its appearance, and in its inflammable nature and its aromatic scent when burnt. By the Greeks it was associated with the familiar tree of North Italy, the poplar, though it was in reality totally unconnected with it.

The origin of the name Eridanus is a still more perplexing question. Kiepert would explain it by a Phœnician etymology, as if it were a perversion or adaptation of the word *yarden* (Jordan) 'river,' which we have noticed as being found in Crete in the form *Iardanos*². Others have thought, with greater probability, that it is connected with the river-name Rhodanus, and perhaps also Rhenus, and that the early Greeks associated it at first with a great river in north-western Europe, from which amber came; whence, after the head of the Adriatic became a great centre of the amber trade, the title was transferred to the principal stream of those parts, the Padus³. The name of the little river Rhodaune, which flows into the Baltic near Dantzig, has also been introduced in this connexion. Still, as Herodotus observed⁴, Eridanus is a purely Greek word; and as the myth with which it is associated is also genuinely Græek, there is no need to go further afield to discover

The River
Eridanus.

¹ Pliny, 37. 44. Pado vero adnexa fabula est evidente causa, hodieque Transpadanorum agrestibus feminis monilium vice sucina gestantibus, maxime decoris gratia, sed et medicinae, creditur quippe tonsillis resistere et faucium vitiis, vario genere aquarum juxta Alpīs infestante guttura hominum.

² *v. supra*, p. 5.

³ Bunbury in *Dict. Geogr.*, art. 'Eridanus,' and other authorities: cp. Herod.

3. 115.

⁴ Herod. *loc. cit.*

its etymology. The root from which it is derived signifies 'early morn,' so that the word would originally be an epithet of the Sun¹, which is the case also with the name Phaëthon, or the 'shining' deity.

If amber was a valuable article of commerce on account of its quaint beauty, tin was much more so because of its usefulness. In the Homeric age it was employed, The Tin Trade. both for decorative purposes—thus, for instance, in the *Iliad* we find it introduced in the description of the inlaying of the figures on the shield of Achilles²—and still more as an alloy, for, by the mixture of this with copper, bronze was produced, a metal which on account of its superior hardness was especially serviceable for armour. Of this the weapons of that time were regularly made, and consequently the supply of tin that was required to produce it must have been very large. Yet tin is one of the scarcest of metals, and is found in but few countries of the world. In ancient times the only places where it was known to exist in any quantity were Spain and Britain. At the present day it is also imported into Europe Tin not im-ported from India, from the Malay peninsula and islands, but it is an error to suppose that this was the case in antiquity The mistake arose from the idea that the Greek name for tin, *kassiteros*, was derived from the corresponding word in Sanscrit, *kastira*: but it is now known that *kastira* does not occur in that language until late in the middle ages, and from this we may conclude that the Greek word was the original. The same remark applies to the Arabic word for tin, *kasdir*. In Spain it was principally found in Galicia, in the north-west but from Spain, corner of the peninsula, where, though the supply is now exhausted or nearly so, tin mines are known to have existed from the testimony of Strabo and Pliny³, and traces

¹ Ἡριδαρός, like ἡριγενής, from ἥρι, 'early': see Müllenhoff, *op. cit.* i. p. 221.

² *Il.* 18. 564;

ἀμφὶ δὲ κνανέην κάπετον, περὶ δ' ἔρκος ἐλασσε
κασσιτέρον·

³ *ibid.* 574; αἱ δὲ βόες χρυσοῦ τετεύχματο κασσιτέρου τε.

³ Strabo, 3. 2. 9, where Posidonius is quoted as saying γενέσθαι [τὸν κατ-

of the workings have been found in modern times. But by far the largest amount was brought from Britain, where and Britain. the Cornish mines furnished that metal in an abundance elsewhere unknown. The Phoenicians were the importers, and their *entrepôt* for what was obtained from both those countries was Gades; though, as we have seen in the case of amber, an overland route for this traffic through Gaul to the mouth of the Rhone probably existed at an early period. It is a reasonable conjecture that in Northern Gaul this line of trade passed by way of Brittany, for the Veneti, who occupied part of that district, are spoken of by Caesar as being bold navigators, and accustomed to make the voyage to Britain¹. And if, as seems probable, Ushant and the other islands in the neighbourhood of the Armorican peninsula are the Oestrymnides which are mentioned by Avienus, we have direct testimony to the presence of tin in connexion with them even before the time of Pytheas. For Avienus, whose account of the inhabitants of the Oestrymnides tallies in many points with what Caesar says of that region, speaks of those islands as being rich in tin; and his description is professedly based on that of Himilco, the Carthaginian explorer, whose voyage took place not later than the fifth century before our era².

τίτρον] ἐν τοῖς ὑπὲρ τοῦς Αὐστράτους βαρβάρους: Pliny, 34. 156; nunc certum est [plumbum album] in Lusitania gigni et in Callaetia.

¹ Caesar, *B. G.*, 3. 8. 1; Naves habent Veneti plurimas, quibus in Britanniam navigare consuerunt, et scientia atque usu nauticarum rerum reliquos antecedunt, et in magno impetu maris atque aperto paucis portibus interjectis, quos tenent ipsi, omnes fere qui eo mari uti consuerunt, habent vectigales.

² Avien., *Ora Maritima*, 90—102;

Et prominentis hic jugi surgit caput,
Oestrymnin istud dixit aevum antiquius,
Molesque celsa saxei fastigii
Tota in tepentem maxime vergit notum.
Sub hujus autem prominentis vertice
Sinus dehiscit incolis Oestrymnicus,
In quo insulae sese exserunt Oestrymnides
Laxe jacentes et metallo divites
Stanni atque plumbi. Multa vis hic gentis est,
Superbus animus, efficax sollertia,
Negotiandi cura jugis omnibus;

The city of Corbilo at the mouth of the Loire, which is spoken of by Strabo¹ as having been a great emporium, seems to have been the place from which the tin was carried overland to Massilia and Narbo.

A question which is inseparably connected with the subject of the tin trade with Britain is that of the position of the Cassiterides, or Tin Islands. In modern times The Cassiterides Islands. these have usually been identified with the Scilly Islands, on the ground of their being the nearest group of islands to the Cornish coast; but this view can hardly be maintained. It is not, perhaps, a fatal objection to it that tin is not found in Scilly, because, if these islands were made a depot for trade, it might have been conveyed thither from the mainland; but they do not in other respects correspond to the required conditions. The ideas which prevailed among the ancients Opinions as to their Situation. respecting the situation of the Cassiterides were no doubt singularly vague, but the principal authorities whose testimony has come down to us, especially Strabo, Diodorus, and Ptolemy, connect them with Spain rather than with Britain². Strabo and Diodorus also clearly distinguish between the tin which was brought from the Cassiterides and that from Britain³. The former of these writers, indeed, speaks of them as lying to the northward of the country of the Artabri (Galicia) in the latitude of Britain⁴; but according to his idea of the relative position of these countries this would place them a great distance to the west of the Scilly Islands. Some recent writers, again, are of opinion that by this name were meant either the Oestrymnides, or the islands in the neighbourhood of Vigo Bay and Corunna towards the north-west angle of Spain;

Notisque cymbis turbidum late fretum
Et belluosi gurgitem oceani secant.

(See Müllenhoff, *op. cit.* 1. pp. 90, 91; Berger, *Geschichte der wissenschaftlichen Erdkunde der Griechen*, 2. p. 61.)

¹ 4. 2. 1.

² Strabo, 3. 5. 11; Diodor., 5. 38. 4; Ptolemy, 2. 6. 76.

³ Strabo, 3. 2. 9; Diodor. 5. 38. 4. 5.

⁴ 2. 5. 15; τοῖς Ἀρτάβροις ἀντικείμεναι πρὸς ἄρκτον αἱ Κασιτερίδες καλούμεναι νῆσοι πελάγαι, κατὰ τὸ Βρεττανικὸν πῶς κλίμα ἰδρύνεσθαι.

which latter view would connect them with the Galician tin mines: but neither of these suppositions, if it stood alone, would account for the idea which commonly prevailed, that they lay in the open ocean far away from land¹. On the whole, the most probable explanation, and that which agrees best with what we know of the concealment practised by the Phoenicians in respect of commerce, is this—that while the trade in tin continued to be their monopoly, all that the Greeks learnt concerning its origin was, that it was found in islands in the northern sea—by which Britain, together with the islets off its coast, or perhaps Ireland, were vaguely meant. This indistinct conception of the Cassiterides may have prevailed for many centuries; and Herodotus, it should be observed, who is the first writer that mentions them, discredits their existence altogether². At a later period, when the nations about the Mediterranean obtained more accurate information concerning the north-western coasts of Europe, it was natural that they should affix the name to one or other of the groups of islands with which they found the trade to be associated³. Thus by some writers it may have been attached to the Oestrymides, by others to the islands of the Galician coast, and even the Scillies may in some cases have been intended; while at the same time the old fabulous notion of their remoteness from the continent maintained its ground. It may seem, at first sight to militate against this view, that Strabo expressly tells us that the Romans after numerous attempts discovered the way to these islands; and that after Publius Crassus, who voyaged thither, learnt that the mines were worked at no great depth below the surface of the ground, and that the inhabitants were peacefully disposed, the communication with them was facilitated⁴. This would imply that they were a definite group of islands, the position of which was clearly ascertained. There is no reason to doubt that Crassus made such an expedition; but whatever the place was to which he went, his account is quite untrust-

¹ *πελάγαι*, Strabo, *loc. cit.*; *ἐν τῷ ὠκεανῷ*, Diodor. 5. 38. 4; *ἐν τῷ δυτικῷ ὠκεανῷ*, Ptol., *loc. cit.*

² Herod. 3. 115.

³ See Kiepert, *Lehrbuch d. a. Geographie*, p. 528.

⁴ Strabo, 3. 5. 11.

worthy, because he represents the Cassiterides as producing tin, whereas that metal is not found in any of the groups of islands which lie off the coasts of Gaul, or Britain, or Spain. The explicit character of his statements, however, seems to have deceived his contemporaries, and Strabo among them. The geographer's ideas on the subject are simply fabulous, for, as we have seen, he believed that the islands, which he says were ten in number, lay in the open sea to the northward of Cape Finisterre, in which position no islands ever have been; and from this we may learn how little reliable information on the subject had been obtained by the Romans.

A further link of connexion between Greece and distant lands may be traced in the trees which were introduced from abroad into that country. These, like the objects of which we have just been speaking, were in most instances imported by the Phoenicians, but they came from a different direction, namely from the side of Asia. Foremost among them is the palm, the name of which in Greek, *phoenix*, at once reveals the region from which it came. This tree is not mentioned in the *Iliad*, but in the *Odyssey* we hear of the famous palm-tree of Delos, of which Ulysses, who compares Nausicaa's beauty to it, says that it caused him, though a much travelled man, to stand still in amazement¹. It continued to be held in reputation as the earliest specimen that was known in Greece, so that Euripides calls it "the first-born palm". The exotic character of the tree, however, is proved by its fruit refusing to ripen in the climate of Greece; this Pausanias remarked in antiquity², and the same thing is true at the present day. The pomegranate, also, was a genuinely Phoenician tree; it was sacred to Adonis, and Aphrodite (*i.e.* Astarte) was said herself to have planted it in Cyprus⁴. In Homer it is

Trees im-
ported into
Greece;

the Palm,

the Pome-
granate,

¹ *Od.* 6. 162—7.

² *πρωτόγονος φοῖνιξ*: Eur. *Hec.* 458.

³ Speaking of the temple of Artemis in Aulis he says (9. 19. 8) *φοῖνικες πρὸ τοῦ ἱεροῦ πεφύκασιν, οὐκ ἐς ἅπαν ἐδώδιμον παρεχόμενοι καρπὸν, ὥσπερ ἐν τῇ Παλαιστίνῃ*.

⁴ See Hehn, *Kulturpflanzen und Haustierte*, (3^d edit.) p. 206.

mentioned among the trees that grew in the garden of Alcinous, and also as one of the fruits, the sight of which contributed to the punishment of Tantalus¹. The cypress, too, which
 the Cypress, we find growing in Calypso's garden², and which gave its name to two places that occur in the Homeric catalogue, Cyparissus and Cyparisseis³, is to be referred to the same origin. Its primitive home was in the highlands of Afghanistan, and from that country it migrated first to Persia—where its spiry shape won for it a sacred character as a symbol of fire-worship—and afterwards to Syria and the coasts of the Mediterranean⁴. The last

tree which shall be mentioned as having come
 the Plane. to Greece from foreign parts, and which is at the same time the most beautiful, is the plane-tree: but this, though its original habitat seems to have been in the interior of Asia, was unconnected with the Phœnicians, for it is not a Semitic tree⁵. It passed to Greece by way of Asia Minor, in which country it attained a remarkable growth⁶. The only passage in Homer in which it is noticed, is the description in the *Iliad* of the omen which appeared to the assembled Greeks at Aulis before they started for Troy, when a snake appeared from under the altar, "beneath a fair plane-tree, whence flowed a glittering stream⁷."

It remains to notice the winds as they are represented in Homer, for it is through them that the first attempts
 The Cardinal Points determined by the Winds. were made to determine the cardinal points. It is true that two of these points, the east and the west, were already distinguished by the direction of sunrise and sunset,—or, as it is otherwise expressed, of dawn and darkness—which must, in fact, be the most natural starting-points for the observation of relative position among primitive races, except those who dwell towards the distant north or south. Hence in the *Iliad*, Hector, when professing his contempt for omens, says to Polydamas, "Thou bidst us be obedient to birds long of wing, whereto I give no heed, nor take any care

¹ *Od.* 7. 115; 11. 580.

² *Od.* 5. 64.

³ *Il.* 2. 519, 595.

⁴ Hehn, pp. 244 foll., 530.

⁵ *Ibid.* p. 255.

⁶ *Ibid.* p. 254.

⁷ *Il.* 2. 307.

thereof, whether they fare to the right, to the dawn and to the sun, or to the left, to mist and darkness¹." And in the *Odyssey* Athena says of Ithaca, "it is not so nameless but that many men know it, both all those who dwell towards the dawning and the sun, and they that abide over against the light towards the shadowy west²." But the Greeks, who were familiar with a maritime life, soon found that the winds were their best guides in determining the quarters of the heavens. Homer is acquainted only with four winds—Boreas, Eurus, Notus and Zephyrus—which represent the four chief points of the compass. In the *Iliad* we meet with them in pairs; thus two of them are introduced in the description of the excitement of the meeting in the second book, when "the assembly swayed like sea-waves of the Icarian main, that east wind and south wind raise, rushing upon them from the clouds of father Zeus³"; and the two others in the ninth book—"like as two winds stir up the main, the home of fishes, even the north wind and the west wind that blow from Thrace⁴." In the *Odyssey* all four are mentioned together, where Poseidon raises a storm for the destruction of Ulysses—"the east wind and the south wind clashed, and the stormy west, and the north, that is is born in the bright air, rolling onward a great wave⁵." Each of these winds has its distinctive character. Boreas, coming from his home in the Thracian mountains, is a clear and strong blast, as has been described in the passage last quoted, while Notus is wet and stormy. These features correspond to the ideas which we associate with them in our climate, but the case is not the same with the other two winds. Eurus, instead of being cold and dry, as the east wind is with us, is spoken of as soft and warm, so that the snow which lies on the ground is melted by it⁶; here the *scirocco*, or south-east wind, is meant. Zephyrus appears in two

The Four
Winds in
Homer.

Character of
the Greek
Winds.

¹ *Il.* 12. 237—240.

² *Od.* 13. 238—241.

³ *Il.* 2. 144—6.

⁴ *Il.* 9. 4, 5.

⁵ *Od.* 5. 295, 6.

⁶ *Od.* 19. 205, 6;


ὡς δὲ χιὼν κατατήκετ' ἐν ἀκροπύλοιςιν δρεσσιν,
ἔδρος κατέτηξεν, ἐπὶν Ζέφυρος καταχέυη.

characters—both as a balmy gale, which refreshes the Elysian fields, and renders fruitful the gardens of Alcinous¹, and as a violent wind, which falls heavily on the crops². In the latter case the north-west wind is intended—that Zephyrus, whose dwelling, we are told, was a cavern in the land of Thrace³. The simple conception of the cardinal points, which has been thus indicated, sufficed for a primitive age. At a later period, when observation had become more accurate, it was replaced by a more minute subdivision of the winds, and of the quarters from which they blew; and this was accompanied at the same time by a more elaborate nomenclature.

¹ *Od.* 4. 567; 7. 119.

² *Il.* 2. 148.

³ *Il.* 23. 200 and 230.



The Greek Colonies.

Edwin Wilson, Cambridge.

CHAPTER III.

SPREAD OF THE GREEK COLONIES.

Geography advanced by the Greek Colonies—Causes of their Establishment—Qualifications for a Site—Early Development of the Colonies—Communication with the Natives—Information transmitted to Greece—Colonies on the Euxine—Dangers and Attractions of that Sea—Sinope, *circa* 770 B.C.—Cyzicus on the Propontis—Colonies on the North Coast of the Euxine—Olbia, 645 B.C.—Panticapæum (Kertch)—Dioscurias—Chalcidic Colonies in Thrace—Megarian Colonies in the Propontis: Byzantium, 658 B.C.—Greek Colonies in Italy, Cumæ, Neapolis, Rhegium and Messana—Sybaris, 721 B.C.—Croton, 710 B.C.—Iæstum—Metapontum—Locri—Tarentum, 708 B.C.—Colonies in Sicily: Naxos, 735 B.C.—Syracuse, 734 B.C.—Gela, 690 B.C.—Agrigentum, 580 B.C.—Himera, 648 B.C.—The Phocæans at Massilia, 600 B.C.—Colonies of Massilia—Its Influence in Gaul—Cyrene, 631 B.C.—Its Site and Commerce—The Greeks in Egypt—Their Settlement at Naucratis, *circa* 650 B.C.—Summary.

THE first great advance that was made in the study of Geography was due to the spread of the Greek colonies along the shores of the Euxine and the Mediterranean, commencing with the eighth century before the Christian era. By their means the horizon of knowledge was rapidly and continuously extended, enquiry was promoted into the natural features of the interior of the neighbouring continents and the condition of their inhabitants, and an impulse was given to speculation on larger questions connected with the formation and constituent elements of the Earth. This remarkable movement, a phenomenon in early Greek history second only to that of the creation of the Homeric poems, was due to more than one cause. In the first place, it provided an outlet for the superfluous population of the Greek cities, which about that time was increasing with extraordinary rapidity, and threatened to involve them in serious domestic perplexities. In other cases colonisation served as a remedy for political feuds and party

Geography
advanced by
the Greek
Colonies.

Causes of
their Estab-
lishment.

spirit; as was notably the case in the foundation of Tarentum, when the Partheniae, or youths illegitimately born of Spartan mothers during the First Messenian war, after making an abortive attempt at revolution in their native city, were drafted off to a foreign country. At the same time, a vent was thus found for the ardent love of enterprise which accompanied the first vigorous growth of the Hellenic communities; and the trading spirit, which, combined with a fondness for nautical pursuits, was early developed among the Greeks, gave a practical turn to the prevailing feeling of restlessness. The last-named motive soon became all-absorbing, and the sites which were chosen for the new settlements were chiefly determined by their suitability for commercial purposes. The conditions which

**Qualifications
for a Site.**

were considered most favourable for these were a position on the sea-shore with a safe harbour in the recesses of a bay, and a steep height close at hand which admitted of being easily fortified: in the neighbourhood a moderate extent of fertile land, suitable for cultivation, and—most important of all—a ready access to a district of considerable area in the interior, the inhabitants of which by means of barter might furnish articles for export. Here and there an additional attraction was provided by the presence of some special source of wealth, such as fisheries, or mines, or forests for the supply of timber. The freedom which was thus exercised by

**Early Development of
the Colonies.**

the new settlers in the choice of an abode, enabling them, as it did, to select the position most suited to their wants, was the main cause of the early development of the colonies as compared with that of the parent states. Where the conditions were so advantageous, the natural result was the speedy accumulation of wealth, and, as a consequence of this, a more rapid development of culture than would have been possible elsewhere. In the fine arts this result is especially conspicuous. The noblest specimens of early Greek architecture are the temples of Paestum, Selinus, and Agrigentum, and no coins from Greece Proper will bear comparison with those of Magna Graecia.

The intercourse which thus sprang up between the foreign settlers and the natives soon became an abundant source of

information about remote countries. The Greeks established themselves in these in the character of traders, and therefore it was to their interest to maintain friendly communication with the inhabitants. Not unfrequently they intermarried with them, and thus a mixed race was formed, who were able to act as an intermediate agency between the two. The influence of Hellenic civilisation, also, gradually pervaded in some degree the neighbouring tribes, and broke down the barriers which fear and jealousy had at first interposed between them and the strangers. By this means the spirit of enquiry, which was never wanting to the Greeks, found constant opportunities of satisfying itself by collecting information concerning the tribes beyond, with whom they were only acquainted by hearsay. And the knowledge thus obtained was again transmitted to Greece. For, however much at a later period the colonies might be disposed to rebel against any dictation on the part of the mother city, at first, and for a long time, the bond of union between the two was very strong: the custom of taking the sacred fire from the hearth of the parent state, which was observed on the sending out of a colony, was emblematical of the close connexion which was afterwards maintained, and consequently there was frequent communication between the emigrants and those whom they left behind. We can picture to ourselves what happened, when a vessel returned home from one of these newly founded cities, bringing news of those who had gone forth to seek their fortunes;—on the one side, anxious enquiries by relations about their kindred, and eager questions about the prospects of trade or the need of despatching additional bands of settlers; and, on the other, narratives of dangers experienced on the voyage, and descriptions of the coasts and cities visited on the way; above all, elaborate accounts of the strange peoples and curious objects, which were peculiar to those regions. Reports such as these could not fail to awaken a keen interest on the part of the auditors, and to obtain a wide circulation. Moreover, all the Greek tribes had their share in collecting them, for all took part in the work of colonisation. Though the Ionians led the van, and sent forth the earliest expeditions both towards the east

Communi-
cation with
the Natives.

Information
transmitted to
Greece.

and the west, yet the other races were not behindhand. The important colonies of Syracuse and Byzantium were established by the Dorians of Corinth and Megara; Sybaris and Croton by the Achaeans, and Locri Epizephyrii by the Locrians.

In reviewing the progress of the colonising activity of the Greeks we may commence from the Black Sea, on the shores of which some of the earliest settlements were planted. Here the Ionian city of Miletus—a place which was destined to promote the cause of geography at once by its discoveries and by its philosophical speculations—was the pioneer. Commerce, indeed, had already found its way to the Pontus, for the Phoenicians had established trading stations on the way thither, among which Lampsacus was especially important, as commanding the passage of the Hellespont, and Greek traders had followed in their wake; but the Milesians were the first to establish any regular colonies in those regions. The

enterprise was a daring one, for the navigation of the Euxine, with its wide expanse—in this respect a strong contrast to the island-studded waters of the Aegean—and its stormy character, which has always rendered it the terror of sailors, was a new departure in the maritime experience of the Greeks. But when once the venture had been made, the fears which it inspired were soon neutralised by the advantages which it presented in respect of trade. Foremost among these were its fisheries, for the sea of Azov, which runs off from it at its north-eastern angle, is one of the most famous breeding-places of the tunny; and the shoals of that fish, when they issue forth through the Straits of Kertch into the open sea, make at once for the shores of Asia Minor, where they are captured in great quantities. The forests, also, with which the sea-slopes of that country were clothed—the pines of Pontus, which Horace has celebrated¹, and the box-trees of Cytorus in Bithynia, which called forth the praises of Catullus and Virgil², were at hand to furnish an inexhaustible supply of wood. In the

¹ Hor. *Od.* i. 14. 11; Pontica pinus,
Silvae filia nobilis.

² Catull. 4. 13; *Cytore buxifer*:
Virg. *Georg.* 2. 437; Et juvat undantem buxo spectare Cytorum.

interior, behind this woodland region, the elevated plateaux of Phrygia and Cappadocia, which are still famous for the Angora wool which they produce, supported innumerable flocks of sheep and goats, whose fleeces and hides were brought down to the coast, and formed a valuable article of export. It was just at the middle point of this southern shore of the Euxine, where the broad mass of Paphlagonia projects into its waters, in a position closely resembling that which Carthage occupied in the Mediterranean, that the Milesians founded their colony of Sinope about the year 770 B.C. In its neighbourhood was the mouth of the Halys, the most important river in Asia Minor; and owing to this, and to the other facilities which it offered for commerce, the same place had been from old days the chief *entrepôt* for the trade of the interior of Asia. The prosperity of the new Greek settlement at once justified the choice of its founders. So rapid was its development, that in the middle of the same century its citizens were able to establish a daughter-colony of their own further to the east on the same coast, at Trapezus (Trebizond)—another point of great commercial importance, for there, notwithstanding that it is backed on the land side by lofty mountain ranges, the chief trade-route from Persia by way of Armenia reaches the sea. Nor were the lines of communication between the cities on the Pontus and the mother country neglected. The Hellespont was secured by the occupation of Abydos, and almost contemporaneously with Sinope the colony of Cyzicus was founded to command the Propontis, which piece of water, as its name implies, was the vestibule of the Euxine. The sites of these two places bore a marked resemblance to one another. Sinope was built so as to occupy a narrow isthmus, which joins a triangular peninsula to the mainland; while at Cyzicus in place of the peninsula there was an island joined by bridges to the shore close to the city. At the present day the correspondence between the two is still more complete, for at Cyzicus also, in consequence of the accumulation of sand, an isthmus has been formed between the island and the coast. Each of these towns had two ports; and in the case of Cyzicus it was partly, no doubt, due to this, that at a later period the city ranked as one of the

Sinope, circ.
770 B.C.

Cyzicus on
the Propontis.

three which were most famous for their arsenals and manufactories of arms, Rhodes and Massilia being the other two¹.

The progress of colonisation in this direction was for a while interrupted in consequence of movements on the part of the Cimmerian peoples, and Sinope itself required to be founded anew by Miletus a hundred and fifty years after its first establishment; but in

Colonies on
the North
Coast of the
Euxine.

the course of time a fresh advance was made along the western and northern coasts of the Euxine, until at last the whole of that sea was encircled with a belt of Greek cities. The mouths of the great rivers which pour their waters into it offered a special attraction to the settlers on account of the means of intercourse which they provided with the natives of the interior; and the positions of several of their trading stations are at once recognised by their bearing the names of the streams with which they were associated—Istros being the port for the Danube, Tyras for the Dniester, Tanais for the Don, and Phasis for the Rion.

Olbia, 645
B.C.

The most important of all was Olbia, or the "city of wealth," which was founded in 645 B.C. near the *embouchure* of the Hypanis (Bug), somewhat below the site of the modern Nicolaief, and in the vicinity of the mouth of the Borysthenes (Dnieper). The temptations held out by the immense export and import trade, which soon sprang up throughout this region, overcame the repugnance which the Greeks must at first have felt to a country and a climate so unlike their own: for here, instead of the varied aspect and genial skies of Greece, they met with a monotonous expanse of dreary steppes, which were exposed to winters of extraordinary rigour. As they advanced further towards the east these discouragements were intensified. There they found themselves opposed by the Tauri of the Crimea, the memory of whose barbarous customs has been perpetuated in the story of Iphigeneia and Orestes, and by the savage Sarmatian tribes, who dwelt on the northern side of the Palus Maeotis. But, notwithstanding

¹ Strabo, 14. 2. 5; speaking of Rhodes he says, *κάνταῦθα δὲ, ὡς περ ἐν Μασσαλίᾳ καὶ Κυζίκῳ, τὰ περὶ τοὺς ἀρχιτέκτονας καὶ τὰς ὀργανοποιίας καὶ θησαυροὺς ὄπλων τε καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἐσπούδασται διαφερόντως, καὶ ἔτι γὰρ τῶν παρ' ἄλλοις μᾶλλον.* Cp. also 12. 8. 11.

this, they planted their colony of Panticapaeum (Kertch) on the western shore of the Bosphorus Cimmerius, the narrow strait by which that sea is entered, while on the opposite side another warder was established by the foundation of the sister city of Phanagoria. At last they penetrated to the inmost recesses of those shallow waters, which were ice-bound during several months of the year, and formed a settlement in the delta of the Tanais. The eastern coast of the Pontus, again, was occupied by the cities of Phasis and Dioscurias, where the Greeks were brought in contact with the wild races of the Caucasus, and the warlike Iberian nation, that dwelt between the Euxine and the Caspian. In this way lines of traffic were opened out in various directions—by the Borysthenes to the north of Scythia, by the Tanais towards the Ural mountains, and through Dioscurias into Armenia. Thus it came to pass that, at the expiration of two centuries from the first sending out of the settlers to Sinope, Miletus found herself the mother of about eighty colonies.

Panticapaeum
(Kertch).

Dioscurias.

Chalcidic
Colonies in
Thrace.

Meanwhile another Ionian city on the opposite side of the Aegean had been extending Hellenic influence along the coast of Thrace. This was Chalcis, the situation of which place on the narrow strait of the Euripus, with spaces of sea extending from it in two directions, marked it out from the first as a starting-point for maritime enterprise. The neighbouring and rival city of Eretria in Euboea had already established a colony at Methone, on the Pierian shore of the Thermaic gulf, but the chief field of the activity of the Chalcidians was that strange peninsula which advances into the north of the Aegean—at first in a broad mass of hilly country, and afterwards in three narrow projections that resemble the prongs of a trident—and which from them has received the name of Chalcidice. Here and in the neighbouring region they found extensive forests to supply timber, and metals beneath the soil; and in order to obtain these, and at the same time to provide themselves with a refuge from the wild storms of the Thracian coast and the no less ill-famed barbarians of Thrace, they built and fortified a number of towns in convenient places by the shore. Of these Torone, which lies towards the extremity

of the central peninsula of the three, Sithonia, was the most considerable. So great was their success, that in the course of the eighth century they had gained possession of the entire district, and at last the number of the Chalcidic colonies amounted

to thirty-two. It now fell to the lot of a Dorian state to carry on the work, and the Megarians distinguished themselves by occupying a number

**Megarian
Colonies in the
Propontis:**

of important posts on the Propontis, until in the course of their advance they crossed the path of the Milesians. First Astacus was founded by them in the recesses of the gulf of Ismid, where at a later period the great city of Nicomedia was built; and after-

wards Chalcedon and Byzantium, on either side of the Bosphorus, at the point where that piece of water joins the Sea of Marmora. Finally, about a century

**Byzantium,
658 B.C.**

later, they established Heraclea on the south coast of the Euxine, and that place in turn reached out an arm across the sea, and built the town of Chersonesus, on a site close to that of the modern Sebastopol.

When we turn our attention towards the lands to the westward of Greece, we find that no less energy prevailed on the part of the Greek states in extending their influence in those regions. In Italy the

**Greek
Colonies in
Italy,**

first colony was planted by the same city which we have just seen engaged in Hellenising the north of the Aegean. At an

unknown, though very early date Cumae was founded by the Chalcidians on the coast to the

Cumae,

northwards of the bay of Naples, upon a rocky hill which rises steeply from the shore. The undertaking was a not less adventurous one than that of the first explorers of the Euxine, for the treacherous Ionian sea had to be crossed, and the dangers of Scylla and Charybdis to be faced, before the western basin of the Mediterranean could be reached: and there the emigrants were confronted by the naval power of the Tyrrhenians, which was strong enough to compete with that of the Carthaginians; and would not tamely brook any interference with their commerce in those waters. Notwithstanding this, the new foundation maintained itself, and became the centre of a remarkable civilisation, which extended over the neighbouring districts of Campania; and

its influence was perpetuated by its still more famous daughter-city of Neapolis, which in Roman times continued to be a focus of Hellenic culture, and which, Neapolis, alone of all the cities of Italy, retained a large Greek population throughout the middle ages, and possesses a considerable number of Greek inhabitants even at the present day. The success of these settlements was due to their felicitous position, for in this neighbourhood all the conditions were present which we have enumerated as being most eligible in the eyes of the Greeks—harbours, like those of Misenum and Puteoli, sheltered by promontories and fringed by islands, a soil of extraordinary fertility, the volcanic nature of which was especially favourable to the growth of the vine, and the whole area of Campania to serve as a field for trade. To secure the approaches from Greece to these remote Chalcidian colonies the same measures were adopted as in the case of the Thracian and the Cimmerian Bosphorus, for the passage of the Straits of Messina was secured by the establishment of two strongholds, one on Rhegium and
Messana. either side—Rhegium on the Italian shore, and Messana on that of Sicily, at a spot where a convenient harbour was formed by a curved spit of sand, which the natives had already occupied, and called by the name of Zancle, or “the Sickle.”

The colonies of southern Italy were founded by the agency of other Greek states. Before the expiration of the eighth century Sybaris and Croton, two cities which were destined to an unenviable notoriety owing to their opulence and their rivalries, were Sybaris,
721 B.C.
Croton,
710 B.C. planted by the Achaeans, whose territory in the north of the Peloponnese, hemmed in as it was between the Arcadian mountains and the waters of the Corinthian gulf, afforded no means of expansion to its rapidly increasing population. By these towns in their turn a host of settlements were sent forth both to the south and the west, the most famous among which was Posidonia or Paestum on the shores Paestum. of the bay adjoining that of Naples, the productiveness of which Virgil has commemorated, where he tells of its roses that blossom twice in the year. Another colony from

Achaia was Metapontum, the source of the wealth of which is indicated by the ear of corn, which is the emblem on **Metapontum.** its singularly beautiful silver coins. In its foundation, as in that of Sybaris and Paestum, the ordinary rule which was observed in the selection of a Greek site was disregarded, and the city was built on low ground in the midst of a fertile plain. Again, towards the southern extremity of Bruttium, on the Zephyrian promontory, the colony of Locri Epizephyrii **Locri.** was founded by the Locrians. But the most delightful spot of all, the site which Horace described as "the most charming corner of the world¹," was reserved for the Lacedaemonians to occupy. Tarentum, the circumstances of the foundation of which we have already noticed **Tarentum,**
708 B.C. —and these were altogether exceptional, for as a rule the unexpansive policy of Sparta prevented her from colonising—was situated in the innermost angle of the deep gulf that intervenes between the heel and the toe of Italy; and the narrow peninsula which it occupied was interposed like a dam between the outer sea and a deep inlet, sixteen miles in circumference (now called the *Mare Piccolo*), which here penetrates the land. The fisheries, for which this inland sea is celebrated at the present day, must always have been a source of profit; and the suitability of the soil in its vicinity for the growth of the olive, together with the temperate climate, could not fail to render it an attractive locality. A further cause of the rapid progress of these cities, besides those which have hitherto been mentioned, was the affinity of race which existed between their inhabitants and the natives of the country. The Oenotrian tribes, who occupied this southern region of Italy, were more nearly akin to the Greeks than the Sabellian and other races further to the north, and for this reason amalgamated more readily with them, and were more receptive of Hellenic culture. So great at last was the prosperity which resulted from the combined effect of these various influences, that the aggregate of the Greek colonies in that quarter was designated by the proud title of Magna Graecia.

It was in the interval between the foundation of Cumae and

¹ Hor. *Od.*, 2. 6. 13.

that of Sybaris that the first Greek emigrants were despatched to Sicily. Almost simultaneously the Ionians and the Dorians sent out colonies to that country. In 735 B.C. the city of Naxos was founded by the Chalcidians at the north-eastern base of Etna, at the foot of the hill where Tauromenium (*Taormina*) was afterwards built; and the following year saw the establishment of Syracuse by the Corinthians on the island of Ortygia further toward the south. The latter place was an admirable trading station, owing to its wonderful harbour, which received the waters of the river Anapus; and Ortygia itself, by reason of its nearness to the shore, from which it was separated only by a narrow channel, had all the advantages both of an island and a peninsula. These early colonies, and also that of Megara Hyblaea, which was founded shortly after them by the Megarians of Greece Proper, were settled on the eastern coast, as indeed was natural, since that side of Sicily was the nearest to the Greek seas; and there conditions were met with equally favourable with those presented by Southern Italy, for the soil was remarkably productive, and the Sicels, who inhabited that part of the island, were of the same stock as the Oenotrians, and, like them, yielded themselves readily to Greek influences. Hence they soon rose to prosperity, as was proved by several towns being established by them in the neighbouring districts—Catana and Leontini by Naxos, and Acrae and Casmenae by Syracuse. Yet a long interval of time elapsed before they attempted to occupy positions on the southern coast, so that Camarina, the first colony that was founded by Syracuse in that direction, was 135 years later in date than its parent city. The cause of this delay is to be sought, partly in the remoteness of that seaboard—for the promontory of Pachynus appears at first to have inspired the Sicilian Greeks with the same dread which their forefathers had felt for Malea—and partly in the warlike character of its occupants. The Sicanians, who inhabited the western part of Sicily—a race, according to Thucydides¹, of Iberian extraction, but unquestionably earlier as immigrants than the Sicels—were a hardy and stubborn

Colonies in
Sicily:

Naxos,
735 B.C.

Syracuse,
734 B.C.

¹ Thuc. 6. 2.

people; and, in addition to this, the most easily defensible posts were in the hands of the Phoenicians. That people, who had gradually withdrawn before the advance of the Greeks, first from the Aegean, and afterwards from the east of Sicily, still maintained themselves in the west; and here they were vigorously supported by the Carthaginians, to whom the possession of this portion of the island was of the first importance, because it commanded the approach to the Tyrrhenian sea. The first adventurers who rounded Pachynus were a mixed body of Rhodians and Cretans, who built the town of Gela half a century later than the foundation of Naxos and Syracuse. Subsequently to this, the people of Hyblæan Megara erected the city of Selinus further to the west; and between these two Agrigentum, a daughter-city of Gela, was built on an elevated table of rock, retired from the sea, where the conspicuous remains of its temples still testify to its former greatness. The only colony that was founded on the northern coast, besides that of Mylae, which was near its eastern extremity, was Himera. Panormus continued to be Phoenician, though Hellenic ideas were strongly felt there—a condition of things which is illustrated by its coins, on which the emblems of both races are found in conjunction. The western angle of the island, especially the part in the neighbourhood of Mt. Eryx, long continued to be a stronghold of Semitic influence.

The establishment of these settlements in Italy and Sicily prepared the way for a still bolder advance on the part of the Ionians of Phocæa. This people, who found the rocky peninsula on which their city was built a place too strait for them, were driven by force of circumstances to take to the sea; and Herodotus tells us that they were the first of the Greeks to undertake distant voyages¹. Embarking on their long ships of fifty oars instead of ordinary merchant vessels, they pioneered the way into the Adriatic, and along the coasts of Etruria and Spain, until they reached Tartessus, where they were hospitably received by Arganthonius, the king of the country. In the year 600 B.C., in consequence (so the story

Gela,
690 B.C.

Agrigentum,
580 B.C.

Himera,
648 B.C.

The Phocæans at
Massilia,
600 B.C.

¹ Herod. i. 163.

runs) of the preference given by the daughter of a Gaulish chieftain to a Greek over a native suitor, they founded the colony of Massilia, in a region far more remote from Greece than any which had as yet been occupied by a Hellenic settlement. The influence of that city, which itself was situated in the recesses of a spacious bay, and protected on the land side by a screen of hills, soon made itself felt in several directions. Towards the east, at the foot of the Maritime Alps, there arose a succession of prosperous towns—Antipolis (Antibes), Colonies of Massilia. Nicaea (Nice), and finally the Portus Herculis Monoeci (Monaco), where the appellation of the tutelary divinity, Heracles "dwelling alone," is significant of its position as the last of the Greek colonies in that direction. Beyond that point all progress was discouraged by the close approach of the mountains to the sea; for what is now the delightful coast of the Riviera was then a wild tract, inhabited by fierce Ligurian mountaineers. Towards the west, also, along the shores of Spain, we find the settlement of Emporiae at the extremity of the Pyrenees, and that of Hemeroscopeium, in a position convenient for traffic, opposite the Balearic islands. But the most important field of all lay in the interior of Its Influence in Gaul. Gaul, with which there was ready communication by means of the Rhone, since that river reached the sea in the neighbourhood of Massilia. The other waterways, with which that country is so well provided, brought thither, not only the products of the interior, but also articles of sale from lands beyond: and ultimately, as we have seen, the tin of Britain and the amber of Frisia found their way by this route into the marts of the Mediterranean. Owing to the same causes Massilia became also one of the most important starting-points for geographical discovery.

In one other direction, besides those which have been mentioned, the colonising spirit of the Greeks found an opening for its energy. Africa, which from its Cyrene, 631 B.C. harbourless shores and the wide space of sea which separates it from Greece elsewhere offered no inducements to settlers of that nation, at one point presented an attractive field for emigration, where the district of the Cyrenaica approaches

most nearly to Crete and the Peloponnese. To this land a colony was sent out in the latter part of the seventh century before Christ from Thera, the southernmost of the Cyclades.

Its Site and Commerce. The position of the new settlement was an unusual one for a Greek city, being several miles inland, and without any port on the neighbouring shore. But this deficiency was amply compensated by the advantages of the site; for it stood on high ground, about 1800 feet above the sea, and open to the breezes from that side, while it was sheltered at the back from the hot winds of the desert; and in its midst an abundant and perennial spring welled forth, and fostered a luxuriant vegetation. Here there was opportunity for commerce with the Libyan tribes of the interior, and a brisk export trade arose, the chief article of which was the sap of the silphium tree, which was greatly valued on account of its medicinal qualities. In this instance, as elsewhere, the original foundation became the parent of other cities, among which Barca was the most conspicuous. By means of this group of colonies the element of mystery with which Africa was enveloped in the eyes of the Greeks was to some degree dispelled, and its dark-skinned natives became to them something more than the subject of fabulous stories.

The Greeks in Egypt. In Egypt the position of the Greeks as traders and settlers was altogether different from that which they occupied when dealing with barbarians. There, instead of being the pioneers of culture, they found themselves in the presence of an ancient civilisation incomparably superior to their own, and of a well-established government, whose regulations could not be violated with impunity. Accordingly, it was only by indirect means and gradual approaches that they succeeded in obtaining a position in the country. We first hear of them in the character of mercenaries—the “bronze men from the sea,” whose aid was promised to Psammitichus by an oracle at the time of his revolt in the middle of the seventh century B.C., and who appeared in the form of Ionian and Carian sea-farers clad in armour. After that leader had established himself as sovereign, he settled these strangers at Daphnae, on the easternmost or Pelusiatic branch of the Nile; and about the

same time, as it would seem, he permitted the Milesians to form a trading station on the western or Canobic branch at Naucratis—the discovery of which place by Mr Flinders Petrie is one of the most interesting results of recent excavation. At the commencement of the following century, in the reign of Psammitichus II.¹, we have evidence of Greek soldiers in the service of the king of Egypt having reached the frontiers of Aethiopia, in the famous Greek inscriptions on the legs of the colossi in front of the temple of Abu Simbel in Nubia. Fifty years later, in the reign of Amasis, special privileges seem to have been accorded to Naucratis, which became an important commercial centre. From this time onwards the intercourse between Greece and Egypt, though still intermittent, was less restricted than before. Amasis himself married a Greek wife, and removed the Ionian mercenaries from Daphnae to Memphis, that they might become his body-guard. Both Pythagoras and Thales are said to have resorted to his court, and the story of his alliance with Polycrates of Samos, and of the romantic circumstances which led to its dissolution, is familiar to every one. The point of view of the two peoples, indeed, the one looking back towards an immemorial past, the other reaching forward eagerly towards the future, presented too strong a contrast for them to be able to learn much one from another, and the jealousy of the Egyptian priesthood rendered their accumulated learning a sealed book to the outer world. At the same time, by their residence in Egypt the Greeks became acquainted with a country unique in character, and with races and products of which otherwise they would have had no cognisance.

Their Settlement at Naucratis, circ. 650 B.C.

When we compare the knowledge of the lands in the neighbourhood of the Mediterranean which had been acquired by the medium of these colonies, with that which existed in the Homeric age, we cannot fail to be struck with the marked advance that had been made in every direction. Even the Pillars of Hercules, and the limit there set to the Mediterranean sea, of which in earlier days they had only become aware

Summary.

¹ That the expedition took place in this reign, and not in that of Psammitichus I., is proved by inscriptions found at Naucratis: see Prof. Gardner's *New Chapters in Greek History*, p. 198.

through the Phoenicians, had come within the range of their experience; for, before the visit of the Phocaeans to Tartessus, Colaeus, a shipmaster of Samos, had already penetrated thither—through stress of weather, no doubt, and against his will, but with the result that he brought back thence a cargo of untold value. We are also led to believe that the interior of Asia was not wholly unknown at this time; thus we find Antimenidas, the brother of the poet Alcaeus, serving in the army of the king of Babylon. But, for all that, geographical knowledge was as yet confined almost entirely to the neighbourhood of the inland seas. No Greek mariner had embarked either on the waters of the Atlantic or on those of the Erythraean sea, and the remoter portions of the continents were quite unexplored.

CHAPTER IV.

EARLY GEOGRAPHICAL SPECULATIONS: HECATAEUS.

Speculations on Mathematical Geography—Anaximander, *circa* 580 B.C.—Spherical Form of the Earth—Theory of Zones—Speculations on Physical Geography—Volcanic Phenomena—The Delta of Egypt—Inundation of the Nile—Explanations of it, by Thales, Hecataeus, Anaxagoras, Herodotus, Aristotle, and Eratosthenes—Invention of the Gnomon—Map-making—Its Early Difficulties—Aristagoras and his Map at Sparta, 499 B.C.—Delphi the Centre of the Earth's Surface—Origin of the Belief—Division of the World into Continents—Twofold or Threefold Partition—Principles of Demarcation—Boundary of Europe and Asia—Names of the Continents—Their Origin—Hecataeus of Miletus (*circa* 520 B.C.) the Father of Geography—His Political Wisdom—His Sources of Information—His Geographical Work—Its Arrangement—Its General Geography—Its Contents—Europe—Asia—Africa.

HAVING thus traced the opportunities of acquiring knowledge of distant countries which the Greeks obtained by means of their colonial system, we may now turn to another branch of the subject, which advanced alongside of this, viz. their earliest enquiries into the subject of scientific geography. In this matter the same city, Miletus, which, as we have seen, led the way in the work of sending forth colonies, was the first to set on foot investigations. The wealth which had flowed into that place through its commerce provided a certain class of its inhabitants with leisure for study and reflexion, and at the same time the information which was accumulated from many quarters by their widely extended connexions supplied ample materials for the exercise of ingenious speculation. It was thus that the Ionian school of philosophers arose, in whose system questions about the physical constitution of the world held a prominent position. These thinkers, it is true, had not as yet emancipated themselves from the Homeric conception of the Earth as a circular plane: but a great advance

**Speculations
on Mathema-
tical Geo-
graphy.**

was made by Anaximander in the first half of the sixth century B.C., who taught that the world hung free in the midst of the universe, detached on all sides from the circular vault of the heavens. By this, however, it is not implied that he regarded the Earth as a sphere; his idea rather was that it resembled in shape a section of a cylinder of considerable thickness. At a later time, indeed, the belief prevailed that Anaximander's predecessor and teacher, Thales, had already arrived at the idea of its spherical form; but by other authorities it was said that he regarded the Earth as floating on water, the movement of which was the cause of earthquakes, and the more advanced view was probably attributed to him in order that it might accord with other scientific ideas with which he was credited, such as the division of the heaven into zones, with the line of the zodiac passing obliquely across them. The first

**Spherical
Form of the
Earth.**

philosophers of whom we can say with certainty that they taught that the Earth was a globe were the Pythagoreans; but by what process they arrived at that doctrine we have no means of discovering. It seems more

**Theory of
Zones.**

likely, however, that it was a theoretical assumption, based on the view that the sphere was the most perfect figure, than that it was the result of any formal proofs, like those by which it was subsequently established. Starting from this belief, Parmenides, the Eleatic philosopher, early in the following century proceeded to divide the surface of the Earth into zones—a suggestion which was fruitful in its results, but which did not at that period admit of systematic definition, such as it afterwards received and continues to bear at the present day. Thus far mathematical geography can hardly be said to have advanced beyond the region of conjecture; indeed the limits of observation did not as yet warrant any more definite conclusions: but it contained a germ of great promise for the future, because whatever facts were ascertained at a later time could be regarded in relation to these central questions.

**Speculations
on Physical
Geography.**

Another branch of the subject in which the philosophers of the Ionian school appear to have interested themselves was physical geography. We learn from Strabo that Xanthus, a Lydian historian, who was

about contemporary with Herodotus, devoted much attention to the volcanic phenomena which are so marked a feature of Western Phrygia—the craters of the Katakakaumene or “Burnt Country,” the earthquake shocks which frequently caused great damage to buildings throughout the neighbouring parts of Lydia, and the mephitic vapours which issued from the ground at many points¹. In that passage together with Xanthus other ancient writers are mentioned as authorities on this point; and from this we may conclude that the subject had for some time before occupied the minds of the learned. But it was by means of the communication which existed between Miletus and Egypt through the trading settlement at Naucratis that speculation on geographical subjects was especially promoted. It was remarked in the Augustan age that the first thing about which strangers enquired on arriving in Egypt was the Nile and its peculiar features²; and this must always have been the case, on account of the mystery which surrounded the unknown sources of that stream, its immense volume when compared with the rivers of Greece and Italy, the strange monsters which it harboured, such as the hippopotamus and the crocodile, and above all its extraordinary geographical phenomena. One of these was the absence of all tributaries during its entire course of eleven hundred miles through Nubia and Egypt from the point where it receives the waters of the Atbara below Khartoum—a fact on which Herodotus remarked³. Another was the aspect of the Delta, with its remarkable expanse of perfectly level ground, intersected by the arms of the Nile. As a starting-point for speculations on the process by which this was formed, the Ionian thinkers had analogous instances of the accretion of soil close at hand, in the maritime plains and deltas at the mouths of the rivers of Western Asia—the Caicus, the Hermus, the Cayster, and especially the Maeander, which entered the sea in the neighbourhood of Miletus. The comparison between the two is made by Herodotus⁴, who also

Volcanic
Phenomena.

The Delta of
Egypt.

¹ Strabo, 12. 8. 19.

² Strabo, 1. 2. 29.

³ Herod. 4. 50; *ἐς γὰρ δὴ τούτων ὅτε ποταμοὶς ὅτε κρήνῃ οὐδαμῶς ἐσθιδούσαι ἐπὶ πλεονέσι εἰ συμβάλλεται.*

⁴ Herod. 2. 10.

characterises the surface of the Delta as being level, moist and muddy¹, and notices that in approaching Egypt by water the mariner finds evidence far out at sea of the alluvium which is brought down by the Nile, since the water is comparatively shallow a day's sail from land, and mud is brought up by the sounding-line². From this he concluded that what in his time was Lower Egypt was originally an arm of the sea, and that the country was "acquired, and a gift of the river." The facts which the historian here records were no doubt the result of his own observation, for he had verified them on the spot; but in all probability both these and the deductions which he drew from them date from a much earlier time: indeed, we know on the authority of Arrian that the expression "a gift of the river" had already been used of the Delta by Hecataeus³.

But the question connected with the Nile which more than any other excited the ingenuity of the Greeks, was the cause of its inundation, which was sufficiently remarkable from its extent and its fertilising influence, but on account of its regular periodical recurrence and its taking place in the summer season was a unique phenomenon.

Herodotus has recorded the various explanations of it which had been propounded before his time, together with his own⁴. The first of these, which subsequent writers agreed in attributing to Thales, the founder of the Ionian school, was that it arose from the Etesian winds, which blew from the north during the summer, coming from the Euxine and the coasts of the Aegean, and caused the water of the river to overflow by preventing it from running off into the sea. Herodotus rightly remarks on the insufficiency of this explanation, inasmuch as other rivers which flow in an opposite direction to these winds are not affected in the same manner, and the rising of the Nile takes place even when they do not blow. The second view—which, though the

Inundation
of the Nile.

Explanations
of it, by
Thales,

Hecataeus,

¹ Herod. 2. 7.

² Herod. 2. 5.

³ Arrian, *Anab.* 5. 6. 5; *Ἀγυπτὸν τε Ἡρόδοτος τε καὶ Ἑκαταῖος οἱ λογοποιοῖ, ἡ εἰ δὲ τοῦ ἄλλου ἡ Ἑκαταίου ἐστὶ τὰ ἀμφὶ τῇ γῇ τῇ Ἀγυπτίᾳ ποιήματα, ὅρων τοῦ ποταμοῦ ἀμφότεροι ὡσαύτως ὀνομάζουσι.*

⁴ Herod. 2. 19—25.

historian does not name its author, is unquestionably that of Hecataeus—though more than half a century later in date than the preceding, is far more primitive in its character. According to this, the increase of the volume of water was due to the connexion of the Nile with the circumfluent river Oceanus, from which in the southern part of its course it was supposed to be derived; and the sweetness of its water was accounted for by the supposition that its saline ingredients evaporated owing to the heat of the sun in its passage through the torrid regions¹. Herodotus rightly dismisses this explanation without further discussion, as depending on an antiquated theory. Anaxagoras, He treats with hardly greater consideration the third view, which is assigned by Diodorus and others to Anaxagoras, that it proceeded from the melting of snow during the summer on the mountains in the interior of Libya. Notwithstanding the reasonableness of this as a conjecture, the historian at once dismisses it, on the ground, which we now know to be erroneous, that it was impossible for snow to lie in so hot a country. After disproving these views to his satisfaction he propounds his own, which has very Herodotus, little to recommend it; namely, that, as in the winter-time the sun withdraws towards the upper parts of Libya, the streams which feed the rivers there will naturally shrink during that season, in consequence of the scorching heat of his rays. This suggestion might serve as a possible explanation of the lowering of the level of the water in winter, but leaves untouched the question of its overflow in summer. It was reserved for Aristotle and Eratosthenes to suggest the true Aristotle and
Eratosthenes. cause, in the tropical rains which fall during the spring and early summer on the highlands about the upper waters of the Blue and White Nile; and this was afterwards confirmed by Agatharchides through information obtained from natives of the interior of Africa.

We must here notice two inventions, generally attributed by

¹ See Diodor. i. 40. 4; μαρτυρεῖν δὲ τοῖσι καὶ τὴν ὑπερβολὴν τῆς γλυκύτητος τοῦ κατὰ τὸν Νεῖλον ὕδατος· διὰ γὰρ τῆς κατακαυμένης αὐτὸν μέντοι καθέψεσθαι, καὶ διὰ τοῦτο γλυκύτατον εἶναι πάντων τῶν ποταμῶν, ὅτε φύσει τοῦ πυρώδους πᾶν τὸ ὑγρὸν ἀπογλυκαίνεται.

ancient writers to Anaximander, which were of the first importance for the study of mathematical geography.

Invention of the Gnomon.

One of these was the gnomon, a primitive kind of sun-dial, consisting of a perpendicular staff or pillar, the length of the shadow of which, corresponding to the progress of the sun, could be measured by feet marked on the spot where it fell. The discovery of this was extremely valuable, because by means of it latitudes could be determined, and it long continued to be the only instrument available for that purpose. Herodotus, indeed, states that the use of the gnomon was learnt by the Greeks from the Babylonians¹, and this was probably the case; so that Anaximander should be regarded rather as having

Map-making.

introduced than as having originated it. The other invention with which he was credited is that of map-making, and there seems to be no doubt that he was the first person who attempted to represent the surface of the Earth, with the boundaries of the countries upon it, and their leading features. We can readily understand how many

Its Early Difficulties.

difficulties the man had to encounter who first set to work to frame a scheme of geographical expression of this kind, which now for the first time was rendered possible by the discovery of such facts as that the Mediterranean and the Euxine were enclosed spaces of water. Information had to be collected from sailors about distances by sea, and the outlines and directions of coasts; and from traders and other travellers by land concerning the countries that lay at the back of the newly-established colonies, and the routes of commerce that led through them: and all this, and still more the reports that were brought of distant regions beyond, required to be sifted, and the accounts of different narrators had to be compared and reconciled. The positions thus ascertained needed to be placed in their due relations one to another; and when this had been accomplished for each country, the same process had to be repeated on a larger scale, in order to arrange the various lands and seas in their proper grouping. It was only after these preliminaries had been effected that lines could be drawn to

¹ Herod. 2. 109.

describe these features on a level surface, and names appended to explain what was designated by them.

The first mention that we meet with of the employment of such a map is in Herodotus, where the historian is recounting the circumstances of the Ionian revolt against Persia. Aristagoras, tyrant of Miletus, he tells us, who was the leader of that rising, visited Sparta in order to persuade Cleomenes the king to lend his assistance to their undertaking. In the course of the interview that followed; in order to set forth the advantages to be gained by an expedition against Persia, he described the wealth of the lands which lay between the sea-coast of Asia Minor and that country: and that he might explain this more clearly, he produced "a bronze tablet whereupon the whole circuit of the Earth was engraved, with all its seas and rivers¹." On this he pointed out to the king with his finger the position of the different peoples that lay on the way, at the same time descanting on the riches which they possessed. Cleomenes for the time postponed his reply, and at their next conference enquired how many days' journey it was from the Ionian sea to Susa. In an incautious moment Aristagoras answered that it was a journey of three months; whereupon Cleomenes, discouraged by the magnitude of the undertaking, at once dismissed him from Sparta. The map that was exhibited on this occasion by the tyrant of Miletus was in all probability an adaptation of that which had been designed by his fellow-countryman Anaximander, and afterwards improved by Hecataeus.

Aristagoras
and his Map at
Sparta, 499 B.C.

A point, the determination of which must have gone some way towards regulating the shape and arrangement of the early maps, was the position of the centre of the Earth's surface. This was fixed at Delphi for the Greeks by religious associations, in the same way as at a later time Jerusalem became the central point of the world in the minds of Dante and his contemporaries. The popular belief on this subject gave rise to the fable which Pindar relates, that two eagles which were let go by Zeus, the one from the east, the other from the west, met at Delphi². Apollo himself

Delphi the
Centre of the
Earth's
Surface.

¹ Herod. 5. 49.

² Strabo, 9. 3. 6.

was reputed to have selected this spot as the chosen seat of his worship, and the fame of the wealth of its temple and the wisdom of its oracle dated from the earliest period of Greek history, for both of these are mentioned in the Homeric poems. In the *Iliad* Achilles speaks of the riches that were stored at Pytho as being comparable to the possessions of Ilium¹; and in the *Odyssey* Agamemnon is related to have obtained thence an oracular response before proceeding to Troy². By degrees it became more and more the political as well as the religious centre of the country, for its influence was exerted in the direction of holding the Greek states together and counteracting their centrifugal tendencies, and its wide outlook and practical sagacity in all matters which affected the Hellenic community caused it to be generally resorted to for the sake of the good counsel which it supplied. This was especially the case at the time of the foundation of the Greek colonies, for the influence which the oracle exercised in regulating that movement was so great, that when the Spartan Dorieus met with disaster in endeavouring to found a colony in Libya, his failure was attributed to his having neglected to consult the god beforehand³. The national position which Delphi thus obtained caused it to be regarded, not only metaphorically, but locally, as the middle point of Greece—a character which it might fairly claim from its geographical situation; and since Greece was considered by its inhabitants to occupy a central position among the countries of the world, this place came to be called “the navel of the Earth,” and the idea

¹ *Il.* 9. 401—5;

οὐ γὰρ ἐμοὶ ψυχῆς ἀντάξιον οὐδ' ὅσα φασὶν
 Ἴλιον ἐκτῆσθαι, εἰ ναιῶμενον πτολιθρον,
 τὸ πρὶν ἐπ' εἰρήνῃ, πρὶν ἔλθειν νῆας Ἀχαιῶν,
 οὐδ' ὅσα Λαῖνος οὐδὲς ἀφῆτορος ἐντὸς ἔργει,
 Φοῖβου Ἀπόλλωνος, Πυθοῖ ἐνι πετρῆσση.

² *Od.* 8. 79—81;

ὥς γὰρ οἱ χρεῖων μυνήσατο Φοῖβοι Ἀπόλλων
 Πυθοῖ ἐν τηγαθέῃ, ὅθ' ὑπέρβη Λαῖνον οὐδὲν
 χρησόμενος.

³ *Herod.* 5. 42.

thus established was formally expressed on the maps, so that Delphi became the starting-point in their construction¹.

A further point which presented itself for solution at an early time to the geographers and map-makers was the division of the world into continents. On this subject a diversity of opinion existed, both as regards the principle of division, and the limits at which the boundaries were to be fixed. The triple system of partition into Europe, Asia, and Africa, which ultimately carried the day, was at first the least popular, for most of the authorities divided the world into two parts, Europe and Asia—Africa (or, as the Greeks called it, Libya) being regarded as part of the latter. This seems to have been the case with Hecataeus, for where he is cited by subsequent writers as an authority for the position of places in Africa, the part of his work thus referred to is quoted in some instances as a treatise on Asia, in others on Libya; from which circumstance we may infer that the latter of these was a subdivision of the former. Now as Africa was not unknown to the Greeks of that time, an explanation seems to be required of its omission in the division of the world into continents: and this we may probably discover in the view that the principle of partition turned on the cold and heat of the regions toward the north and the south respectively, Europe—in which all that we now call Russia in Asia was comprehended—being the colder section, and Asia, including Libya, the warmer. This is confirmed by our finding that Eratosthenes, who at a later time returned to the twofold division, did so expressly on the ground of the difference in temperature between those parts of the globe². The dividing line between Europe and Africa was clearly marked by the straits at the Pillars of Hercules, while the geographical features which were

Division of
the World into
Continents.

Twofold or
Threesfold
Partition.

Principles of
Demarcation.

¹ Agathemerus, *Geogr.* i. 2. Speaking of the early attempts at map-making, he says—Οἱ μὲν οὖν παλαιοὶ τὴν οἰκουμένην ἐξαφὸν στρωγγύλην, μέσην δὲ κείσθαι τὴν Ἑλλάδα, καὶ ταύτης Δελφοῖς.

² Varro, *De re rust.*, i. 2. 3: Primum cum orbis terrae divisus sit in duas partes ab Eratosthene maxime secundum naturam ad meridiem versus et ad septentriones. See Berger, *Geschichte der Erdkunde*, i. p. 53.

chosen for the delimitation of Asia were rivers—the Phasis on the side towards Europe, and the Nile in the direction of Africa. A stream of water at all times suggests itself at first sight as the most natural boundary between tracts of land, though a chain of mountains or an isthmus forms a far more certain and definite limit. Hence the Caucasus, though it is mentioned by Aeschylus as the highest of mountains¹, and therefore must have been known to the Greeks before his time, was ignored as the dividing line between Europe and Asia; and the Isthmus of Suez, which forms the natural line of separation between Asia and Africa, was passed over in like manner. At a later time the importance of isthmuses from this point of view was brought prominently forward; so much so, that the land which intervenes between the Euxine and the Caspian was treated as an isthmus, and was regarded as the boundary of Asia on that side²; but this principle of division implies a wider survey of the field of geography than was possible at an early age. By some the Phasis continued to

Boundary of
Europe and
Asia.

be treated as the limit even in the time of Herodotus³; but in the meanwhile the advance of the Greek colonies in the direction of the Palus Maeotis

had initiated another view, and that piece of water together with the Cimmerian Bosphorus at its entrance and the Tanais which flowed into it were regarded as separating the two continents—an opinion which ultimately prevailed. It appears to have been adopted by Hecataeus, for he treats the town of Phanagoria, which lay on the eastern side of the Cimmerian Bosphorus, as being in Asia⁴; while in Aeschylus both views are represented, for in the *Prometheus Vincit* the dramatist describes Io as passing from

¹ *Prom. Vinc.* 719:

πρὶν ἂν πρὸς αὐτὸν Καύκασον μόλῃς, ὁρῶν
ὄψιστον.

² Strabo, i. 4. 7: τοὺς δὲ τοῖς ἰσθμοῖς [διαμερῖν τὰς ἡπείρους], τῷ τε μεταξὺ τῆς Κασπίας καὶ τῆς Ποντικῆς θαλάσσης, καὶ τῷ μεταξὺ τῆς Ἑρυθρᾶς καὶ τοῦ Ἐκρήγματος.

³ Herod. 4. 45: οὐρίσματα αὐτῇ Νεῖλός τε ὁ Αἰγύπτιος ποταμὸς ἐτέθη, καὶ Φάσις ὁ Κόλχος· (οἱ δὲ τὰς αἰν ποταμὸν τὸν Μαίητην καὶ Πορθμήϊα τὰ Κιμμέρια λέγουσι).

⁴ Hecat., *Fragm.* Nos. 164, 165, in Müller's *Fragmenta Historicorum Graecorum*, i. p. 11.

Europe into Asia when she crosses those straits¹, and in the *Prometheus Solutus* he definitely states that the Phasis is the boundary². This curious contradiction, taken in connexion with Herodotus' mention of the same two opinions, shews how greatly men's minds fluctuated on the subject.

The names by which these continents came to be designated seem to have been in use before the continents themselves were known. This arose from their being first applied to coast lands or limited districts, while afterwards their application was gradually extended, so as to include the whole of the area of country that lay behind. This is clearly seen in the case of Europe, which name first occurs in the Homeric Hymn to the Pythian Apollo³ in conjunction with that of Peloponnese, which also is used there for the first time: and in that passage it is evidently employed of the mainland of Greece as distinguished from that peninsula and the islands, and not in any wider acceptance, for the Greek nation is there divided into "those who dwell in fruitful Peloponnese, and those who inhabit Europe and the seagirt islands." Both this appellation and that of Asia came from the East, though probably not from Phoenicia, as has been commonly thought, but from Assyria, where the words *arib* 'sunrise' and *irib* or *ereb* 'darkness' frequently occur in inscriptions. They may have been brought to the Aegean by way of Lydia, the Heracleid kings of which country were according to their traditions connected with Assyria⁴. The neighbourhood of the Aegean must in any case have been the locality in which these words came to be used with a descriptive significance, to represent

Names of the
Continents.

Their Origin.

¹ *Prom. Vinc.* 729 foll.:

ισθμὸν δ' ἐπ' αὐταῖς στενοπόροις λίμνῃς πόλαις
 Κιμμερικὸν ἤξεις, ὅν θρασυπλάγχυναι σε χρὴ
 λιπούσαν αἰλῶν' ἐκτερεῖν Μαιωτικόν·
λιπούσα δ' Εὐρώπῃς πέδον
 ἤπειρον ἤξεις 'Ἀσίῃ'.....

² *Prom. Sol.*, Fragm. 1:

τῇ μὲν διδυμον χθονὸς Εὐρώπῃς
 μέγαν ᾗδ' Ἀσίας τέρμονα φᾶσω.

³ l. 73.

⁴ Kiepert, *Lehrbuch d. a. Geographie*, p. 26.

respectively the lands towards the east and towards the west, for there is no other position on the borders of the two continents where they could have had that force. Libya, on the other hand, which, as we have already seen¹, is mentioned in the *Odyssey*, received its name from the principal native race with which the Greek settlers were brought into contact, in the same way as Italy was so called from the tribe of Itali in the southern part of that country, and Sicily from the Sicels. The name of Africa, which was given to it by the Romans, was borrowed by them from the Carthaginians, who thus designated the part of the continent in which their city was placed.


The knowledge of the world which was possessed by the Ionians of this time was embodied in the work of Hecataeus of Miletus, who was the first person to write a treatise on that subject, and for that reason may rightly be called the Father of Geography, as Herodotus is termed the Father of History. In the character of a politician he is conspicuous at the time of the Ionian revolt, on account of the prudent and patriotic advice which he gave to his fellow-countrymen on that occasion. When Aristagoras by specious representations tried to induce the Ionians to rise against the Persians, Hecataeus, we are told, stood alone in endeavouring to dissuade them by pointing out to them the magnitude of the resources of Darius; and when he failed in this, he urged them to provide themselves with a naval force, and for this purpose to make use of the treasures laid up in the temple at Branchidae, which otherwise would certainly fall into the hands of their opponents². This advice also was rejected. Again, when the commencement of the insurrection was unfavourable to the Ionians, and there was a prospect of the Persian fleet appearing off their coasts, while Aristagoras was in favour of emigrating to Sardinia or to Myrcinus in Thrace, Hecataeus advised that they should occupy the neighbouring island of Leros, and watch from thence the progress of events; but he was no more successful in inciting them to bold measures, than he had previously been in restraining their head-

Hecataeus of
Miletus (circ.
550 B.C.) the
Father of Geo-
graphy.

His Political
Wisdom.

¹ *supra*, p. 26.

² Herod. 5. 36.



strong enthusiasm¹. In all these proceedings he appears in the character of a clear-sighted statesman—a faculty which may have been in great measure the result of his study of past events, for by the ancients he was known as an “annalist,” on account of his historical work which was entitled *Histories* or *Genealogies*. He is often supposed to have travelled extensively, and Herodotus makes mention of a visit of his to Thebes in Egypt²; but with regard to other countries there is no sufficient ground for this belief, for it rests mainly on the acquaintance which he shews with various lands, such as Spain and the coasts of the Euxine. This however is amply accounted for by his residence at Miletus, the colonies of which place on the Black Sea would furnish their native city with abundant information about the countries in their neighbourhood; and the same thing would happen with regard to Spain by means of the trading stations founded by Massilia on its shores, from which intelligence would be transmitted to the parent state in Ionia, Phocaea. A confirmation of this view is found in the closeness with which Hecataeus' geographical knowledge corresponds to the position of the Greek colonies. Thus, while he names a number of insignificant Illyrian and Liburnian tribes, an acquaintance with which might have been gained by the cities of Epidamnus and Apollonia on the Adriatic Sea, there is no mention in his writings of any place on the Italian and Ligurian coasts between Campania and the Portus Herculis Monoeci, evidently on account of the absence of Greek settlements throughout that region.

His Sources
of Information.

The geographical work of Hecataeus was called a *Periodos*, or General Survey, of the inhabited world as known at that time; and since, from the nature of the case, a great part of it was taken up with an account of places and districts bordering on the sea, it must have borne a considerable resemblance to what was afterwards called a *Periphus*, or Coasting Survey. It has only come down to us in fragments, and the majority of these are of the briefest description, having been preserved in the epitome of the work of Stephanus of Byzantium, which gives little more than the names of places with the countries to which they belonged. It is clear however that

His Geo-
graphical
Work.

¹ Herod. 5 . 125.

² Herod. 2. 143.

the 'Survey' was not confined to dry statements like these, but contained notices, occasionally of some length, of remarkable objects which were found in different localities. Thus we learn from Porphyry that the accounts of the phoenix, the hippopotamus, and the hunting of crocodiles in the second book of Herodotus were taken almost bodily from Hecataeus¹. The work was

**Its Arrange-
ment.**

divided into two parts, the former of which treated of Europe, the latter of Asia, under which Libya was included. These parts must have been subdivided into sections, for in the quotations in Stephanus some places are referred to under special headings, such as Hellespontus, Aeolica, Aegyptus, and Libya. Hecataeus maintained

**Its General
Geography.**

the traditional view of the Ionian philosophers, that the Earth was a circular plane surrounded by the stream of Ocean; and the land which was thus enclosed was divided into two equal portions, the continent of Europe towards the north, and that of Asia to the south. This view, which Herodotus ridicules, is not, indeed, attributed by him to Hecataeus by name, but it is highly probable that he is one of those to whom the historian refers as having held it². The division between the two continents was formed, first by the Mediterranean, the Euxine and the Palus Maeotis, and afterwards by the Tanais, and perhaps the Caspian Sea, for there is some reason to believe that the Ionians treated that sea as a bay of the eastern ocean³. These geographical features he regarded as forming nearly a continuous line running from west to east. The map of the world on which these objects were represented was considered to be an advance on that of Anaximander⁴.

Its Contents. The contents of Hecataeus's work on geography, so far as we have the means of judging of it, correspond in the main to what we have seen to be the range of

¹ Hecat. *Fragm.* Nos. 292—4, in Muller's *Fragm. Hist. Gr.*, 1 p. 21, with the editor's notes.

² Herod. 4. 30.

³ Berger, *op. cit.*, 1. p. 33.

⁴ Agathem. 1. 1: 'Αναξίμανδρος ὁ Μιλήσιος, ἀκουστῆς Θάλεω, πρῶτος ἐτόλμησε τὴν οἰκουμένην ἐν πίνακι γράψαι· μεθ' οὗ Ἐκαταῖος ὁ Μιλήσιος, ἀπὸ πολλοῦ χρόνου, διεκρίβωσεν ὥστε θαυμάσθηναι τὸ πρᾶγμα.

knowledge of that time. With the northern coasts of the Mediterranean, especially those of Greece, Sicily and southern Italy, he shews himself familiar; and he mentions not only the islands of Sardinia and Corsica, but also that of Aethale (Elba), which was even then famous for its iron mines. On the other hand, he is unacquainted with any sea to the north of Europe, and indeed with any lands or peoples north of the parallel of the Alps, except the Scythians far away towards the north-east, and other tribes—two of which, the Melanchlaeni and the Issedones, we also read of in Herodotus—and the chain of the Caucasus in the same direction. He knows that the Iberians are the inhabitants of Spain, and the Celts of Gaul: and in the former of these countries he mentions Tartessus, though he does not notice Gades; and in the latter the town of Narbo (Narbonne), which he characterises as a commercial centre. In Italy, which was not yet known by that name, he notices the principal races which occupied various regions—the Tyrrhenians towards the north, the Ausonians in the centre, and the Oenotrians in the south; and also a number of native towns in the neighbourhood of the colonies of Magna Graecia, but neither Rome nor any of the great cities of Etruria. At the head of the Adriatic he places the Istri, and also the town of Adria, which was situated between the mouths of the Po and the Adige, where he remarks on the extraordinary fertility of the soil.

Europe.


Asia.

In the other section of the work, which treats of Asia and Africa, we find that the writer is intimately acquainted with Asia Minor, and further to the north the Colchians are mentioned and the Araxes. The Caspian Sea, into which that river flows, is spoken of as being surrounded by lofty wooded mountains, a description which only applies to its western shore. Media is designated as a region near the Caspian Gates, and the Persian Gulf is named, but no notice is taken of Babylon or the other great cities in that region. But the knowledge of India—that is, of the district of that country west of the Indus—which Hecataeus possessed, is certainly remarkable, especially when we remember that that province was annexed to the Persian empire within his lifetime. The name of that land occurs for the first time in this treatise, and he makes special mention of

Caspapyrus or Caspatyus, the town on the banks of the Indus from which Scylax of Caryanda was reputed to have started at the command of Darius on his voyage of exploration down that river. Several places are also noticed in Phoenicia, Syria and Arabia, and especially in Egypt, as we might expect from his having himself visited that country. The Nile was for him the boundary

of Libya on its eastern side, and, as we have
Africa. already seen, he regarded that river as flowing from

the southern ocean; akin to which primitive view is his reckoning such mythical peoples as the Pygmies and the Sciapodes among the inhabitants. The remainder of the treatise, to judge from the extracts, dealt with the Mediterranean coast of Africa as far as the Straits; and even beyond that limit occurs the name of Thingē, the modern Tangier.



CHAPTER V.

HERODOTUS.

Importance of Herodotus to Geography—His Life, and Travels—His General Views of Geography—His Primitive Cosmical Beliefs—Symmetrical Correspondences—Courses of the Nile and the Ister—Attempts at Drawing a Meridian—His Conception of the Map of the World—No Northern Sea—Continuity of the Southern Ocean—Inlets from the Ocean—The Caspian an Inland Sea—Size of the Palus Maeotis—The Three Continents—Boundaries between them—His Confusion about the Araxes—His Actæ, or Projecting Tracts—Central and Western Europe—His Imperfect Knowledge of them—Scythia—His Acquaintance with it—Its Shape, and Inhabitants—Peoples to the North of Scythia—The Agathyrsi, Neuri, Budini, and Geloni—Lands to the North-east—Gold of the Ural Mountains—The Argippæi—The Issedones—Asia—Sources of his Information—Scanty Notices of the Geography—Error about Asia Minor—Ignorance of the Mountain Chains—Knowledge of the Rivers—The Royal Road—Its Course through Asia Minor, Cilicia, Armenia, Mariene and Cissia—India—Its Races, and Products—The Nile Valley—Meroë—The Two Branches Unnoticed—The Automoli—The Macrobian Aethiopians—Northern Coast of Africa—Eastern Portion—Western Portion—Dumb Commerce—Interior of Africa—The Three Tracts—The Oases—The Garamantes—The Troglodyte Aethiopians—Expedition of the Nasamonæ—Narrative of Herodotus.

THOUGH Hecataeus was, as we have seen, the Father of Geography, as being the first to systematise that subject, and to overcome the difficulties that present themselves in the early stages of such a science, yet a far greater stimulus was given to the study by Herodotus, because of the vast amount of geographical material which he accumulated, and the varied aspects under which he regarded it. This was the result of his extensive travels, which were pursued in an enthusiastic spirit of enquiry, with the "hungry heart" of one who was eager for knowledge, and the keen eye which could distinguish the objects which were worth observing, and could perceive their bearings upon other questions. It is true that the work of Herodotus was primarily historical, and that geography is

**Importance
of Herodotus
to Geography.**

nowhere methodically introduced into it, in consequence of which important places and countries, concerning which he could hardly have failed to possess valuable information, are but slightly noticed in his pages, because his History was not directly concerned with them. Thus the mention of the native kingdoms in Italy, about which any intelligence would be welcome to us, is almost confined to passing references to the naval power of the Tyrrhenians, though the writer must have had it in his power to communicate much more than this, since the later part of his life was spent in Magna Græcia. Still, Herodotus' work was designedly episodical, and in this way room was found for digressions relating to general geography, to the shapes of continents and the courses of rivers and mountain chains, to climatic influences, to the products of the soil and objects of commerce. Above all, he was especially attracted by a branch of research which lies intermediate between the study of geography and that of history—the investigation of the various tribes that inhabited the countries of which he treated, with their physical characteristics, and their manners and customs. The facts which are thus brought together form a rich store, from which the materials for a more complete and systematic treatment of the subject may be gleaned, and the geographical notices are seldom uninteresting, because they are combined with illustrative remarks, such as an observant traveller can make.

Of the life of Herodotus few details have come down to us.

His Life,

We know, however, that he was born at Halicarnassus early in the fifth century before Christ, and that in middle life he removed to Athens; afterwards, though from what motive we are not told, he joined the colony which was sent out from Athens to Thurii in South Italy in 443 B.C. There is reason also to suppose that he revisited Athens at a later time, for in one part of his History he implies that he had seen the Propylæa of the Acropolis¹, and that building was not completed until the commencement of the Peloponnesian war. The greater part of his work was probably written before he migrated to Thurii, but various indications shew that he completed and

¹ 5. 77; speaking of a votive offering which was dedicated to Pallas by the Athenians, he says—*τὸ δὲ ἀριστερῆς χειρὸς ἔστηκε πρῶτον εἰδόντι ἐς τὰ προπύλαια καὶ ἐν τῇ ἀκροπόλει.*

retouched it after his settlement in that place. More important for our present purpose is the question of the extent of his travels, and the only data by which this can be determined are to be found in his writings. The subject is one about which much exaggeration has prevailed, but even after every deduction has been made, they appear to have been very extensive. He was familiar with the coast-lands on all three sides of Asia Minor; also with the islands of the Aegean, the mainland of Greece, and the neighbouring shores of Thrace, including places as remote, and as far removed from one another, as Dodona and Byzantium. As regards more distant countries—we find that he visited the coast of Scythia between the mouths of the Ister and the Borysthenes, for he speaks in two passages of having obtained information from the natives there¹: in Colchis he compared the appearance and customs of the inhabitants with those of the Egyptians, to whom he believed them to be related². Babylon he describes as a professed eye-witness³; he made a voyage to Tyre with the express purpose of enquiring about the temple of Heracles there⁴; he travelled far and wide in Egypt, and ascended the Nile as far as Elephantine⁵: and, finally, in Libya he visited Cyrene, where he describes a statue from his own inspection⁶. In all these, and in other instances, unless we discredit his statements as false, it is proved, either by positive statement on the historian's part, or by direct implication from his words, that he had seen the countries which are named; and this involves still more extensive journeys through the intervening lands.

¹ 4. 76; *ὡς δ' ἐγὼ ἤκουσα Τίμνῳ, τοῦ Ἀριαπιθέος ἐπιτρόπου*. 4. 81; *ἔλεγον οἱ ἐπιχώριοι*.

² 2. 104; *φαίνονται μὲν γὰρ ὄντες οἱ Κόλχοι Αἰγύπτιοι· νοήσας δὲ πρότερον αὐτὸς, ἡ ἀκούσας ἄλλων, λέγω. ὡς δέ μοι ἐν φροντίδι ἐγένετο, εἰρόμην ἀμφοτέρους*.

³ 1. 181—3.

⁴ 2. 44; *καὶ θέλων δὲ τούτων περὶ σαφέι τι εἰδέναι ἐξ ὧν οἶόν τε ἦν, ἐπλευσα καὶ ἐς Τύρον τῆς Φοινίκης, πυνθανόμενός αὐτόθι εἶναι ἱερὸν Ἡρακλέος ἄγιον*.

⁵ 2. 29; *ἀλλὰ τοσόνδε μὲν ἄλλο ἐπὶ μακρότατον ἐπυθόμην, μέχρι μὲν Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλιος αὐτόπτης ἐλθὼν, τὸ δ' ἀπὸ τούτου ἀκοῇ ἤδη ἱστορέων*.

⁶ 2. 181; *ἡ δὲ Λαδίκη ἀπέδωκε τὴν εὐχὴν τῇ θεῷ. ποιησαμένη γὰρ ἄγαλμα ἀπέπεμψε ἐς Κυρήνην, τὸ ἐστὶ καὶ ἐς ἐμὲ ἦν σόον, ἔξω τετραμμένον τοῦ Κυρηναίων ἀστεος*. It has been doubted whether the historian's words here imply autopsy, but the mention of the position and aspect of the statue, 'facing outwards from the city,' is strong evidence in favour of it.

As Herodotus was an adherent of the Pythagorean School of

His General
Views of
Geography.

Philosophy, we cannot be surprised if we find him disagreeing with some of the geographical views of the

Ionian School. This point has already been noticed

in speaking of Hecataeus, where we have seen that the historian derides the notion, which was still maintained by that school, that the Earth was a circular plane surrounded by the ocean stream¹.

Yet the cast of his own mind was essentially unphilosophical, and while he expresses incredulity about the opinions of his prede-

His Primi-
tive Cosmical
Beliefs.

cessors, he shews strange simplicity in his own conceptions of the order of nature. Nothing indeed can well be more childish than his belief

that the sun was driven southward out of its regular course by the winds at the approach of winter². For this reason it is the less strange that he should not have adopted the Pythagorean tenet of the sphericity of the Earth, notwithstanding the persuasiveness of that doctrine when it had once been propounded, and the numerous difficulties which it seems to solve. That he held fast to the belief that the world is a plane surface seems probable from his saying that in India the greatest heat of the day was in the morning hours, and not as in other countries in the middle of the day; for this idea on his part seems to have arisen from the belief that the sun was nearer to the Earth at that time³. He was wedded also to a certain class of *a priori* views with regard to the

Symmetrical
Correspon-
dences.

symmetrical arrangement of land and water and inhabited districts on the Earth's surface, especially in comparing its northern and southern portions.

Thus, when speaking of the stories that were current concerning the Hyperboreans, he remarks, as if it were an indisputable proposition, that if there are 'dwellers at the back of the North wind,' there must also be 'dwellers at the back of the South wind⁴.'

Courses of
the Nile and
the Ister.

A still more marked instance of supposed correspondence is the parallel which he draws between the Nile and the Ister. Speaking of the Nile, which in

¹ 4. 36.

² 2. 24; τὴν χειμερινὴν ὥρην ἀπελαιώμενος ὁ ἥλιος ἐκ τῆς ἀρχαίης διεξόδου ὑπὸ τῶν χειμῶνων, ἔρχεται τῆς Λιβύης τὰ ἄνω.

³ 3. 104.

⁴ 4. 36.

the upper part of its course, he says, follows a direction from west to east¹, he remarks that by some it was identified with a river which had been discovered by the Nasamones far away in the interior of Africa. He then adds, "reason favours that view, for the Nile certainly flows out of Libya, dividing it down the middle, and as I conceive, judging the unknown from the known, rises at the same distance from its mouth as the Ister. This latter river has its source in the country of the Celts near the city Pyrene, and runs through the middle of Europe, dividing it into two portions. The Celts live beyond the Pillars of Hercules, and border on the Cynesians, who dwell at the extreme west of Europe. Thus the Ister flows through the whole of Europe before it finally empties itself into the Euxine at Istria, one of the colonies of the Milesians²." With regard to the correspondence in position of the mouths of the two rivers he further says :—"Egypt lies almost exactly opposite the mountainous portion of Cilicia, whence a lightly-equipped traveller may reach Sinope on the Euxine in five days by the direct route. Sinope lies opposite the place where the Ister falls into the sea. My opinion therefore is that the Nile, as it traverses the whole of Libya, is of equal length with the Ister³." In another passage he speaks of the Ister as falling into the sea with its mouth facing the south-east⁴, and from this it has sometimes been inferred that he intended to find a resemblance between this change in the direction of its course and the bend which he regarded the Nile as making from east to north in the neighbourhood of Meroë ; but perhaps we are hardly justified in deducing so much from his words. In the passage just quoted about the mouths of the rivers we may find an illustration of the more scientific side of Herodotus's mind ; for in attempting to draw an imaginary line from Egypt to Cilicia, and thence by way of Sinope to the Ister, he is evidently feeling his way towards a meridian of longitude. Here the geographer's instinct for determining the relative position of places, quickened by the map-maker's habit of arranging them, is asserting itself. A similar rude essay in mathematical geography is found in his describing the situation of

Attempts at
Drawing a
Meridian.

¹ 2. 31.

² 2. 34.

³ 2. 33.

⁴ 4. 99.

a town in the interior of a country by means of its relation to another on the nearest coast; as where he speaks of Pteria in Cappadocia as being "over against Sinope¹," that place being due north of it.

In one of his digressions Herodotus has communicated to us his conception of the general features of the world², and by a comparison of this with other passages we can form a tolerably clear idea of his views on the subject. In respect of the limits of the northern continent, while endeavouring to confine himself to ascertained facts in opposition to the guesswork of his predecessors, he really deviated further from the truth than they had done, for he professes his scepticism as to the existence of a sea either to the north or to the east of Europe. He could not discover the evidence, he says, of anyone having visited the supposed northern sea; and for the same reason he disbelieved in the Cassiterides islands, from which tin was reported to come, and in a river Eridanus, from which amber was brought³. On the opposite side of the world, however, he considered that the ocean extended continuously from the coast of India to that of Spain; this he regarded as sufficiently proved by two expeditions, which had accomplished between them the entire circumnavigation of the intervening continent. One of these was the voyage of Scylax of Caryanda, who had sailed by the orders of Darius from the mouth of the Indus to the Red Sea; the other was the expedition which was despatched by Necho from Egypt to explore the coast of Africa, and had succeeded in reaching the Pillars of Hercules by the southern route. The first part of this sea to the eastward of Africa, which we now call the Indian Ocean, was known to him as the Erythraean sea, while that to the west he names the Atlantic—an appellation which here occurs for the first time, though he implies that it was already in familiar use⁴. He only believes in two great

His Conception of the Map of the World.

No Northern Sea.

Continuity of the Southern Ocean.

¹ 1. 76; κατὰ Σινώπην πόλιν τὴν ἐν Εὐξείνῳ πόντῳ μάλιστα κε κειμένη.

² 4. 36—45.

³ 3. 115.

⁴ 1. 202; ἡ ἐξω στηλέων θάλασσα ἡ Ἀτλαντὶς καλεομένη.

inlets as penetrating into the land from this outer ocean, namely, the Mediterranean and the Red Sea, or, as he designates it, the Arabian Gulf. With the Persian Gulf he shews no acquaintance, for he not only omits all mention of it, but he describes the Tigris and Euphrates as falling into the Erythraean sea, without any suggestion of the existence of an intervening space of water. With respect to another point, however, he is more accurate than either his predecessors or those who came after him in geography. He regarded the Caspian as an inland sea¹, whereas in all probability the Ionians at an earlier time, and certainly the writers of the period subsequent to Alexander the Great, treated it as being connected with the ocean. But he was in error with regard to the Palus Maeotis, the size of which he greatly overrates. "The Pontus," he says, "has also a lake belonging to it, not very much inferior to itself in size. The waters of this lake run into the Pontus: it is called the Maeotis, and also the mother of the Pontus²." In reality the Sea of Azov, is not much more than one-twelfth of the size of the Euxine, so that, even if we make a considerable allowance for the contraction of its area since classical times by the alluvium brought down by the Don, the historian's estimate of its extent is still greatly exaggerated. His conception of its position also is inexact, for he regarded it as running from north to south, so that it formed the eastern boundary of Scythia³.

Inlets from
the Ocean.

The Caspian
an Inland Sea.

Size of the
Palus Maeotis.

The division of the world into three continents, together with the names of Europe, Asia, and Libya, which were assigned to them, Herodotus accepts with a protest as being sanctioned by custom, though he can discover no principle which would justify such a partition: but he speaks of Europe—including under that name the whole of the northern continent from west to east—as being equal in length

The Three
Continents.

¹ 1. 203; ἡ δὲ Κασπίη ἔστι ἐτέρη ἐπ' ἐωυτῆς.

² 4. 86.

³ 4. 99; ἔστι γὰρ τῆς Σκυθικῆς τὰ δύο μέρη τῶν οὐρῶν ἐς θάλασσαν φέροντα, τὴν τε πρὸς μεσαμβρίην, καὶ τὴν πρὸς τὴν ἡῶ, κατὰ περ τῆς Ἀττικῆς χώρας.

to the other two combined, and much broader than they were.

Though he was well aware that the Arabian Gulf
Boundaries between them. was a long and narrow sea to the eastward of

Africa, he maintained that the western frontier of Egypt formed the true boundary of that continent on the side towards Asia¹. He admits that the Nile was the limit usually accepted by the Greeks, but objects to it on the ground that on this supposition Egypt, through which that river flows, would be partly in Asia and partly in Libya. Again, while he mentions the view that the Cimmerian Bosphorus and the Tanais were to be regarded as dividing Asia from Europe, he himself would draw the line at the Phasis, the Caspian Sea, and the Araxes, in a direction running due east². The mention of the last-named river introduces one of the most puzzling questions of Herodotean geography. He seems indeed to

His Confusion about the Araxes.

have confused together two streams called Araxes—one the modern Aras, which discharges its waters into the western side of the Caspian, for he says that the Araxes rose in the land of the Matieni, *i.e.* in the north of Armenia, where the sources of the Aras lie; the other—which Cyrus had to cross in order to attack the Massagetae, and which is described as a great river, not much inferior to the Danube—the Jaxartes, which flows into the sea of Aral; but as that piece of water was unknown to the ancients, it is not surprising that Herodotus represents it as reaching the Caspian³. But the difficulty does not end here, for in his description of the boundary line between Europe and Asia he says definitely that the Araxes, which lies beyond the Caspian, flows *towards* the rising sun⁴; that is to say, in a direction exactly opposite to the course of the Jaxartes, and away from the Caspian. Unless we accept Canon Rawlinson's somewhat drastic suggestion that the writer here made a *lapsus*, and described the river as running east, when he meant to say that it ran west, the confusion appears to be inextricable.

In his account of the geography of Asia Herodotus introduces

¹ 2. 17.

² 4. 40, 45.

³ 1. 202.

⁴ 4. 40; ὁ Ἀράξης ποταμός, ῥέων πρὸς ἡλίου ἀνίσχοντα.

an idea of the conformation of that continent which is peculiar to himself, by dividing it into *Actae*, or tracts of land of a somewhat peninsular character. After noticing the four races which occupy the belt of country that intervenes between the southern sea on the hither side of India and the Euxine—the Persians, the Medes, the Saspies and the Colchians—he says that the land to the west of this may be regarded as forming two separate areas. The northernmost of these, starting from a line drawn through the Phasis and the gulf of Issus, is bounded on the north by the Pontus and the Hellespont up to Sigeium, and on the south by the coast as far as the Triopian promontory in Caria: it corresponds therefore nearly to Asia Minor. The southern area, on the other hand, embraces Persia, Assyria, and Arabia on the side towards the Erythraean sea, and Phoenicia, Palestine, and Egypt in the direction of the Mediterranean. Libya, notwithstanding the width to which it spreads on the further side of Egypt, he regards as an appendage to the second of these *Actae*¹. It is not altogether easy to divine the object which the historian had in view in this grouping of countries, but it reveals to us the imperfection of his knowledge of the relative size of these districts, and it suggests also, what was undoubtedly the case, that he greatly underrated the size both of Asia and of Africa. He was in ignorance, too, of the southward extension of both those continents, for he does not include in his survey the peninsula of Hindostan—an omission which continued to prevail until long after his time—and he believed that the African coast began to trend due west at no great distance from the mouth of the Red Sea.

His *Actae*,
or Projecting
Tracts.

As geography is a subject not systematically introduced into Herodotus' work, but only as subordinate to history, except so far as he indulges in digressions on the countries which are noticed in his narrative, we cannot be surprised if his description of the centre and west of Europe is scanty as compared with that of Asia, since those regions were unconnected with the struggle between Greece and Persia, which it was his purpose to commemorate. Yet, even

Central and
Western
Europe.

allowing for this, the inaccuracy of the details which he from time to time introduces would seem to shew that the acquaintance of the Greeks with those lands had made but little advance since the time of Hecataeus. He knows that Gades or Gadeira is "without the Pillars of Hercules upon the Ocean¹," but he is unaware that the western coast of Spain is bounded by the sea. He mentions the Ombrici or Umbrians in Northern Italy, and the Eneti or Venetians at the head of the Adriatic, but he does not imply that there was any great mountain barrier which enclosed them towards the north. The names, indeed, of three of the principal chains in Europe occur in his writings, but curiously travestied in their application. That of the Pyrenees appears in the city of Pyrene, far away to the west in the land of the Celts near the sources of the Ister²; while the Alps and the Carpathians are represented by two streams called Alpis and Carpis, which are tributaries of that river, flowing in a northerly direction³. He enumerates also a number of its other affluents in the lower part of its course; but the Iron Gate of the Danube near the modern Orsova, with its formidable rapids, which formed a bar to further navigation, seems to have been the limit of accurate information in that quarter. With regard to Thrace, however, he has more to communicate, and he extended the limits of that country as far north as the Ister, including the Getae, who dwelt in that neighbourhood, among the Thracian tribes. This accounts for his exaggerated idea of the numbers of that race, for he speaks of them as exceeding in multitude every other people in the world, with the exception of the Indians⁴.

In strong contrast to the imperfect acquaintance with the rest of Europe, except the parts about the Mediterranean Sea, which Herodotus thus betrays, is the elaborate account which he has given of Scythia. The subject was one in which he knew that his readers would be interested, partly because of the number of their fellow-countrymen who were engaged in trade with that region, but still more on account of the attention that had been attracted to it by the inroad of the Cimmerians from Scythia into Asia Minor, and by the expedition of Darius against

¹ 4. 8.² 2. 33.³ 4. 49.⁴ 5. 3.

the Scythians and its disastrous conclusion. The historian had himself visited the country, where he took up his abode at Olbia near the mouth of the Hypanis; and he tells us that he proceeded up that stream for four days' voyage as far as a place called Exampaeus or "the Sacred Ways¹." Hence he shews a familiar acquaintance with the features and products of the neighbouring districts; he expatiates on the ice of the Palus Maeotis and the Cimmerian Bosphorus, which became as proverbial in antiquity as a 'Crimean winter' has become in the present century; and he mentions the capture and salting of the sturgeons, which were found in great numbers at the mouths of the rivers²: these at the present day are the great source of *caviare*, which is made from the roe of that fish. The Crimea itself, or Tauric Chersonese, he knew to be a projecting tract of land, but he was not aware that it was joined to the continent behind by a narrow isthmus, for he compares its shape to that of the extremity of Attica and to the heel of Italy³. He conceived of Scythia as forming a square, the southern side of which was bounded by the Euxine between the mouth of the Ister and the Palus Maeotis, and the eastern side by the last-named sea⁴; so that it represents the area which is intersected by the lower courses of the rivers of South Russia—the Dniester, the Bug and the Dnieper. These he describes with considerable accuracy, but his account of the streams eastward of the Dnieper is incorrect. Within the territory which is thus defined he places the various Scythian tribes in their respective positions—the agricultural part of the population in the rich plains on either side of the Borysthenes, further to the east the nomad Scythians, and beyond them again, bordering on the Maeotis, the royal tribe.

His Acquaintance with it.

Its Shape,

and Inhabitants.

¹ 4. 52, where the distance of the place from the sea is given; 4. 81, where his presence there is implied in his statement that an object which he describes had been shewn to him there.

⁴ 4. 101. As regards the Ister Mr Macan remarks with some probability (*Herodotus*, vol. II. p. 32), "It seems more than possible that a confusion between the Pruth and the Danube has taken place, and that the Pruth marks the western limit of Scythia in the fifth century B.C."

The information which Herodotus proceeds to communicate

Peoples to
the North of
Scythia.

about the peoples who were settled to the northward of Scythia is extremely curious and interesting.

The historian no doubt derived this from the Greek traders who penetrated into the interior of the country; and it bears the impress of its origin, for it treats of such subjects as would naturally attract the attention of persons engaged in commerce—the peculiar types of physiognomy and customs of those with whom they were brought into contact, and especially their differences in respect of language, which at once affected the intercourse of the Greeks with them. The territory which was occupied by these races formed a wide tract, extending from the Ister to the Maeotis; and within this, following a line which extended from west to east, he enumerates successively seven peoples—the Agathyrsi, the Neuri, the Androphagi, the Melanchlaeni, the Geloni, the Budini and the Sauromatae. Of these, the Androphagi, as their name implies, were a cannibal tribe, and the Melanchlaeni or “Black-cloaks” were distinguished by their dark dress, while the Sauromatae are generally identified with the Sarmatians, who afterwards migrated westwards, and ultimately settled in Poland. The four remaining peoples are

The Aga-
thyrsi,

marked by more distinctive peculiarities. Of the Agathyrsi, who lived the farthest to the west, we are told that they wore an abundance of gold

ornaments¹; and from this trait, taken in connexion with the geographical position assigned to them, it has been conjectured that they occupied the modern Transylvania, where there are gold

Neuri,

mines at the present day. With the Neuri was associated a widely spread belief, that periodically

each member of the tribe changed into the form of a wolf, but after the lapse of a few days resumed his natural shape². This fancy Herodotus regards with incredulity, but his mention of it is none the less valuable, for the were-wolf is a figure which constantly

Budini,

appears in modern folk-lore. The Budini, a nomad race inhabiting a forest and lake district, are described

as being distinguished from the others by their blue eyes and red

¹ 4. 104.

² 4. 105.

hair¹, which characteristics seem to suggest that they belonged either to a Germanic or a Slavonic stock. Among and Geloni. them the Geloni were settled, but these were quite distinct from them in their mode of life, for they dwelt in a city, and their occupations were agricultural. The historian adds, that they were by origin Greeks, having migrated thither from one of the Greek settlements, and that they had set up Greek temples and altars, and spoke a mixed dialect of Greek and Scythian. It would not be difficult to find similar instances of civilised communities, when isolated, falling away into a state of semi-barbarism.

Of the lands to the northward of these races Herodotus could learn nothing, but this was not the case with those Lands to the North-east. that lay to the north-east. The reason of this is to be found in the existence of a trade-route, by means of which there was communication between the Greek settlements in the neighbourhood of the Maeotis and the tribes far away in the interior to the northward of the Caspian. The article of commerce was gold, of which, he tells us, a very Gold of the Ural Mountains. large quantity existed in the north of Europe²; and the place from which it came was no doubt the Ural mountains, which are at the present day the great gold-field of Russia. These he describes as a lofty chain, which formed the limit of the habitable world in that direction³, and the gold that was found there was fabled to be guarded by griffins⁴. That this precious metal was brought thence to the coast is proved by the abundance of it that has been discovered in the Scythian tombs. Two of the tribes that inhabited that distant The Argippael. region, the Argippaci and the Issedones, deserve especial notice. The former of these, who dwelt close under the southern side of the mountains just mentioned, to judge from the description of their peculiar physiognomy—their flat noses, projecting jawbones, and bald heads—appear to have been Kalmucks, though the baldness in reality only applies to the sacerdotal caste of that tribe; and this view is confirmed by the description given by Herodotus of their principal article of food,

¹ 4. 108, 109.

² 4. 25.

³ 3. 116.

⁴ 3. 116; 4. 27.

which also is in use among the Kalmucks. This was a kind of cake, prepared from the fruit of a tree (the bird-cherry); and the juice, which was strained off in the process of making it, was drunk mixed with milk¹. The Issedones, who, as they were situated eastward of the Argippaei and northward of the Massagetae², must have lain to the north-east of the Caspian, are noticeable on account of the historian's statement that among them women had equal rights with men: by which is probably meant that they practised "Mutterrecht" and inheritance in the female line. In the midst of all this curious information we are struck by one remarkable omission. The great river of these parts, the Volga, which the trade-route just mentioned must have crossed, is altogether unnoticed.

The account which Herodotus has given of Asia is in many respects different, and follows different lines, from his notices of the other continents. The amount of information which he has to communicate is ample, but whereas elsewhere he usually treats of the people, whom he mentions, in connexion with the features of the countries which they inhabited, in this part of his work ethnography becomes altogether predominant and geography falls into the background. The reason of this is not far to seek. The region of Asia to which his description is limited is its western portion, and, with the exception of Arabia, nearly the whole of this area was at that time included in the Persian empire. Concerning these districts a large amount of intelligence was obtainable, and it is this which Herodotus has introduced into his History. In his third book he gives us an account of the twenty satrapies into which that kingdom was divided; and this notice, we can hardly doubt, was based on a Persian record of a statistical nature, drawn up primarily with a view to taxation, since it enumerates the various nations that occupied those satrapies, together with the amount of tribute that they paid³. The details concerning the inhabitants of western Asia which are

¹ 4. 23; cp. Bunbury, *History of Ancient Geography*, 1. p. 197.

² 4. 25; 1. 201.

³ 3. 89 foll.

thus communicated to us can be checked by a comparison with the list of tribes that furnished contingents to the army of Xerxes which is contained in the seventh book¹; and additional light has been thrown upon them by various monuments that have been discovered in modern times, especially by the famous Behistun inscription of Darius—the same which has furnished us with the key for interpreting the cuneiform writing. But from the nature of the case these documents contributed little to the knowledge of geography, and this deficiency is reflected in Herodotus' narrative. We have already seen how rude was his conception of the conformation of this part of the continent in his description of the Actæ, or tracts, into which he divided it. In the latter of these tracts it is hardly surprising that he shews a very limited acquaintance with Arabia, which was comprehended within it, because that land, as we have said, did not form part of the Persian empire, and has at all times opposed great obstacles in the way of travellers. But in the former, which comprised Asia Minor, it is remarkable that he should so greatly underestimate the width of the country between the northern and the southern coasts, for he speaks of it as being five days' journey for a good walker², whereas it is in reality about 300 miles across in a direct line. He also shews no knowledge of the strongly marked physical characteristics of that land, and especially of the elevated table-land in its interior, with its severe climate in winter and its sparse vegetation—features which in every age have greatly affected its history. Still more strange than this is his ignorance of the mountain chains of Asia, for the Caucasus is the only one of these that he mentions. Even the Taurus—which to subsequent geographers became the most important of all ranges, and was made to include the Himalaya and other chains by which Asia is intersected—is not named in his writings. With the rivers, however, especially those which were crossed by the main line of communication between the Aegean and Persia, he shews an adequate acquaintance. He

Scanty
Notices of the
Geography.

Error about
Asia Minor.

Ignorance of
the Mountain
Chains.

Knowledge
of the Rivers.

¹ 7. 61 foll:

² 1. 72.

knows that the Halys is the western limit of the Cappadocians in Asia Minor, and mentions the bridges by which its stream was passed¹. He is conversant, too, with the courses of the Tigris and Euphrates, and knows that they flow from the highlands of Armenia; and with the confluent of the Tigris—the Greater and Lesser Zab, which he rightly distinguishes as separate rivers, though bearing the same name—Zabatus, which however he omits to mention; the Gyndes, which, according to the story, Cyrus had caused to be divided into 360 channels; and the Choaspes, which flowed by the city of Susa².

The line of communication which has just been noticed—the Royal Road, as it was called—leading from Sardis to Susa, is described by Herodotus in considerable detail. He introduces it in connexion with the story of the visit of Aristagoras of Miletus to Sparta, in order to justify the statement of that personage that it required three months to reach the Persian capital from Ionia: and he computes the distances across the intervening countries both by stages or day's journeys and by parasangs—here again evidently following an official document³. The numbers which he gives, as they have come down to us, are in some cases clearly inaccurate; still, when taken in connexion with the countries and places that are named, they enable us to determine with a fair amount of certainty the direction which the road followed. Its course

The Royal Road.

Its Course through Asia Minor, through Asia Minor cannot have been the same as that of the Graeco-Roman road of a later period, which proceeded due eastwards from the valley of the Maeander and the city of Apameia through southern Phrygia by Iconium to the lowlands of Cilicia; this route is excluded from consideration by its lying at some distance to the south of the Halys, which was crossed by the Persian road. In order to reach that stream from Sardis it was necessary to follow a much more circuitous route towards the north of Phrygia, so as to avoid the barren tracts and salt lakes which occupy the centre of that province. The direction of this was by Pessinus to Ancyra (Angora), and thence, after crossing the Halys, to

¹ 1. 75.

² 5. 52.

³ 5. 52, 53.

Tavium, in the neighbourhood of which place must have been the point of junction with the line of traffic from Upper Asia to the Black Sea by way of Sinope¹. The most reasonable explanation of the preference given by the Persians to this devious course is the supposition that it followed an older line of communication, which existed under previous rulers of the country, when the position of the governing centre of that period caused it to be the natural road². Of the succeeding portion of the route Herodotus tells us, that after a long stretch through Cappadocia the gates were reached by which Cilicia is entered: by these, however, he cannot mean the well-known pass of the Cilician Gates, which led to Tarsus and the sea, for he estimates the width of Cilicia as no more than three days' journey, and this statement can only apply to the mountainous north-eastern portion of that province, where it is enclosed within narrow limits. The pass here intended is probably one of those which cross the Taurus in the region of Commagene between Melitene and Samosata³; at the latter of those places the road would be met by the Euphrates, which the historian rightly speaks of as the boundary of Armenia on that side. After this, though the limits that he assigns to the various countries traversed are not easy of explanation, the route itself presents no great difficulty. It passed from the upper course of the Euphrates to that of the Tigris in the south of Armenia, reaching the latter stream not far from the modern Diarbekir; and after crossing it traversed the hilly country of Matiene and Cissia on its left bank which is intersected by the affluents of the Tigris that have already been mentioned, until it reached the Persian capital.

Cilicia,

Armenia,

Matiene and
Cissia.

The accounts that Herodotus received of India, which formed the remotest satrapy of the Persian empire, seem to have made a great impression upon him, for he speaks of the Indians as the most numerous of all nations then known, and of the tribute that they paid in gold-dust as being

India.

¹ *v. supra*, p. 47.

² Ramsay, *Historical Geography of Asia Minor*, p. 27.

³ See Mr Hogarth's remarks in Macan's *Herodotus*, vol. II. pp. 300 foll.

enormous¹. At the same time, his knowledge of that country was very limited; it did not in fact extend beyond the Indus. The lands further to the east he regarded as sandy wastes, which were uninhabited²—an idea which may have arisen from exaggerated reports of the desert tract which lies beyond that river. The

Its Races,

Indians with whom he was acquainted were of different races, speaking different languages, and some of them were nomads. Hence it is evident that he included among them the aborigines as well as the Hindus, and this would account for the barbarous customs which he ascribes to some of them. On the other hand, the abstinence from animal food which he attributes to certain tribes, and their unwillingness to put any live animal to death, are tenets of the Brahmans³.

and Products.

Among the products of the country, besides gold, he mentions cotton, which he describes as a kind of wool that grows wild on trees, and is superior in beauty and quality to the wool of sheep, and is used by the natives for making clothes⁴. He had also heard that crocodiles were found in the Indus, which he believed to be the only river in the world besides the Nile that produced them⁵; yet, strange to say, he omits all mention of elephants.

The Nile Valley.

We have already seen that Herodotus had thoroughly explored Egypt, and had ascended the Nile as far as Elephantine, which lies immediately below the First Cataract. The cataract he describes without exaggeration, saying that, owing to the rising of the ground, "it is necessary to attach a rope to the boat on each side, as men harness an ox, and so proceed on the journey⁶." At that point, however, anything like an accurate knowledge of the Nile valley on his part comes to an end, for the information which he gives was obtained by hearsay. The next important station which he

Meroë.

mentions was the city of Meroë, the capital of the Aethiopians, the ruins of which have been discovered to the northward of Khartoum, between that place and Berber. Notwithstanding its remote position, it was known to

¹ 3. 95.

⁴ 3. 106.

² 3. 98.

⁵ 4. 44.

³ 3. 100.

⁶ 2. 29.

the Egyptians and formed part of their dominion at an early period, as is shewn by monuments which remain on the site. The pointed cliffs jutting out of the water and sunken rocks beneath the surface, which Herodotus describes as obstructing the river at one point between the First Cataract and Meroë, so that travellers were forced to quit the stream and follow the bank for a period of forty days, are to be recognised as those which occur in the great arc which the Nile makes at one point in this part of its course, the chord of which is cut off by the track across the desert that is usually taken at the present day; and it is not an improbable suggestion, that the sudden bend towards the west which is made by this loop was the origin of the idea that the river flowed from west to east in the upper part of its course. The account of the lands and tribes beyond Meroë is still more vague and shadowy. The most marked feature of the Upper Nile valley, the confluence of the two great branches of the river, the White and the Blue Nile, at Khartoum, is not even mentioned. One people, however, whom he places on the banks of the Nile far away in the interior of the continent, possess a historical interest. These were the Automoli or "deserters," who in the native language were called Asmach, and were reputed to have deserted from Psammitichus on account of the hardness of their service, and to have withdrawn into Aethiopia, where the king of the country provided them with lands¹. By later writers they are called Sembritae or "foreigners," and from them we learn that their position was not as remote as that which Herodotus assigns to them, but in the modern district of the Sennaar, immediately south of Khartoum, between the two branches of the Nile². In the extreme south of Africa, on the shores of the ocean, and therefore at a distance from the course of the Nile, lay the Macrobian Aethiopians—a half fabulous people in respect of their habits and mode of life, reflecting some of the traits of the Homeric Aethiopes³—against whom Cambyses undertook an expedition which ended in disaster⁴.

The Two
Branches Un-
noticed.

The
Automoli.

The
Macrobian
Aethiopians.

¹ a. 30.

² Strabo, 17. 1. 2.

³ v. *supra*, p. 16.

⁴ Herod. 3. 17—25.

The northern coast of Africa, which borders on the Mediterranean Sea, is rightly divided by Herodotus into an eastern and a western portion, the former of which was low and sandy, and inhabited by nomads, while the latter was hilly and well wooded, and maintained an agricultural population¹. The determining feature of the country, to which the difference which is thus characterised is due, is the chain of the Atlas, which extends through the western part, sending off spurs towards the sea, and maintaining a high average of fertility, but sinks when it reaches the longitude of Carthage.

**Northern
Coast of Africa.**

It is the eastern portion, between that city and the Egyptian frontier, with which the historian shews himself to be familiar, and he has put on record a large amount of curious information about the customs of the wandering tribes in that neighbourhood: this no doubt he obtained at Cyrene at the time of his visit to that city. He places the Great Syrtis—or, as he calls it, the Syrtis, for he recognises only one—to the westward of Cyrene²; and he notices, in contrast to the prevailing barrenness, the extraordinary productiveness of the plain in which the river Cinyps flowed³—a feature which has also attracted the attention of modern travellers. He also assigns to the Lotophagi their correct position in the neighbourhood of the lake Tritonis, though it is doubtful whether he rightly distinguished that piece of water from the Lesser Syrtis⁴. His notices of the people to the west of

**Eastern
Portion.**

this contain a considerable element of the marvellous; and beyond the Straits the only place which he definitely names is the promontory of Soloeis (probably Cape Spartel), of which he would have heard through the Carthaginians⁵. To that people also is due his account of the “dumb commerce” which was practised on the Atlantic coast of Africa; and this, as it exactly corresponds with what occurs at the present day in places where the natives are in fear of being kidnapped by traders, deserves to be quoted.

“There is a country in Libya, and a nation, beyond the Pillars

¹ 4. 191; cp. 186, 187.

² 4. 16j.

³ 4. 198.

⁴ 4. 177, 178.

⁵ 2. 33; 4. 43.

of Hercules, which the Carthaginians are wont to visit, where they no sooner arrive but forthwith they unlade their wares, and, having disposed them after an orderly fashion along the beach, leave them, and, returning aboard their ships, raise a great smoke. The natives, when they see the smoke, come down to the shore, and, laying out to view so much gold as they think the worth of the wares, withdraw to a distance. The Carthaginians upon this come ashore and look. If they think the gold enough, they take it and go their way; but if it does not seem to them sufficient, they go aboard ship once more, and wait patiently. Then the others approach and add to their gold, till the Carthaginians are content. Neither party deals unfairly by the other: for they themselves never touch the gold till it comes up to the worth of their goods, nor do the natives ever carry off the goods till the gold is taken away¹."

Dumb Commerce.

The historian's information also concerning the interior of Africa to the southward of the countries that border on the Mediterranean contains a surprising amount of truth, when we consider how difficult it has been in all ages to penetrate that region. He divides the entire area into three tracts or zones, stretching across from west to east; the first of these was the inhabited tract in the neighbourhood of the sea-coast; the second a region infested by wild beasts; the third an uninhabited tract of sandy desert². The first zone is that which has been already described, reaching as far inland as the chain of the Atlas and the low hills that form its easterly continuation; the second, or "wild beast tract," which lies to the southward of this towards the interior, was, at least in its western portion, the Gaetulia of the Romans, and was called by the Arabs the "Land of Dates"; the third region, which lay beyond this again, is the true Sahara desert. In addition to this general outline of the country, Herodotus

Interior of Africa.

The Three Tracts.

¹ 4. 196, Rawlinson's translation; to which work I am indebted in other passages which I have quoted.

² 2. 32; 4. 181—185. Mr Macan observes that these 'zones' suit the western part of this district of Africa better than the eastern: *Herodotus*, vol. 1. pp. xcvi and 130.

notices one feature of a more special character in the oases, which he speaks of as lying at intervals of about ten days' journey from one another, so as to form a belt on the edge of the "wild-beast tract" towards the desert. Here we find the love of symmetry which is characteristic of his mind asserting itself, for these patches of fertile land, though they are found in this part of Africa, and from the nature of the case determine the lines of communication, are much more irregular in their occurrence than he describes them to be. The same combination of truth with exaggeration is found in his account of their details. He speaks of them as salt-hills, with springs of fresh water issuing from the midst of the salt, while in their neighbourhood palm-trees and grazing ground are found; and this is so far true, that all the oases abound in salt, and that in places, as he says, masses of it are used for building purposes. On the other hand, instead of being hills, the oases are in reality depressions in the surface of the desert, and the water which collects in them is the cause of their fertility. Some of the stations which he mentions in the early part of the caravan route along them, to the westward of Egypt, can be identified; for the second of them, which he calls

**The
Garamantes.**

Augila, still bears the name of Aujileh, and the next is in the country of the Garamantes, who occupied the district now called Fezzan, which lies at a distance of thirty days' journey from the coast, due south of Tripoli. In connexion with that people he intro-

**The
Troglodyte
Aethiopians.**

duces the Troglodyte Aethiopians, against whom they were wont to make raiding expeditions. These are easily recognised in the Tibboos of the interior of Africa by the peculiarities which Herodotus attributes to them—their squeaking voices, their remarkable fleetness of foot, and their habit of dwelling in caves—all of which are found in that race.

Concerning the countries which lie beyond the great desert

**Expedition
of the
Nasamonies.**

Herodotus furnishes us with one intimation, which occurs in the story of the Nasamonies already referred to. This was communicated to him by natives of Cyrene, who had been told it by Etearchus, king of the Ammonians, while he in turn had received it from the Nasamonian travellers themselves. These were certain young men of that

tribe in the neighbourhood of the Syrtis, who, being seized with a desire for adventure, determined to explore the deserts of central Africa. Their narrative is thus given by the historian :

"The young men, despatched on this errand by their comrades with a plentiful supply of water and provisions, travelled at first through the inhabited region, passing which they came to the wild beast tract, whence they finally entered upon the desert, which they proceeded to cross in a direction from east to west. After journeying for many days over a wide extent of sand, they came at last to a plain where they observed trees growing ; approaching them, and seeing fruit on them, they proceeded to gather it. While they were thus engaged, there came upon them some dwarfish men, under the middle height, who seized them and carried them off. The Nasamonians could not understand a word of their language, nor had they any acquaintance with the language of the Nasamonians. They were led across extensive marshes, and finally came to a town, where all the men were of the height of their conductors, and black-complexioned. A great river flowed by the town, running from west to east, and containing crocodiles¹."

Narrative of
Herodotus.

The dwarfs who are here mentioned are the same race which we have identified with the Pygmies of Homer². The river was regarded by Herodotus himself as being the Nile in its upper course, which he supposed to flow from western Africa. This view we know to be erroneous, but the story itself, owing to the circumstantial manner in which it is narrated, appears to be deserving of credit. On such a subject as the identification of this river it is hazardous to speak with confidence, but the direction which was followed by the Nasamones suggests the probability that the stream which they reached was the Niger, which lies to the south-west of the Sahara.

¹ 2. 32.

² *v. supra*, p. 29.

CHAPTER VI.

EXPEDITIONS BEFORE THE TIME OF ALEXANDER.

Real and Fictitious Expeditions—Circumnavigation of Africa under Necho—The Story derived from the Egyptians or Phoenicians—Argument from the Sun being seen on the Right Hand—Criticism of it—Improbability of the Voyage—Expedition of Scylax of Caryanda—Objections to its Authenticity—Voyage of Sataspes—Reasons for believing in it—Expedition of Hanno—His Narrative of it—Island of Cerne (Herne)—Promontory of Soloeis (C. Cantin)—River Lixus (Wady Draa)—River Bambotum (Senegal River)—(Cape Verde and Gambia River)—The Western Horn (Bay of Bissagos)—Flaming Mountain-sides—Explanation of the Phenomenon—Mt. Theon Ochema (Mt. Sagres)—The Southern Horn (Sherboro Sound)—Capture of Gorillas—Expedition of Himilco—The 'Ora Maritima' of Avienus—Account of the Oestrymnides—Of the Mid-Atlantic—Of the Sargasso Sea—The Retreat of the Ten Thousand—Character of Xenophon's 'Anabasis'—Geographical Features of Armenia—Its Mountains and Rivers—Sources of the Euphrates and Tigris—Lake of Van—The March from Cunaxa to Armenia—The Zabatus (Greater Zab)—Land of the Carduchi (Kurdistan)—The Centrites (River of Sert)—Source of the Tigris—The Teleboas (Kara-su)—Eastern Euphrates (Murad-su)—Highlands of Armenia—Underground Dwellings—The Phasis (Aras)—The Harpasus (Tchoruk)—Gymnias—Trapezus (Trebizond)—The first view of the Sea—The Poisonous Honey—The 'Periplus' of Scylax—Its probable Date—Its Contents—Doubts as to its Genuineness—Interesting Notices in it.

Real and Fictitious Expeditions. We have now to consider a series of expeditions into regions as yet unexplored, which professed to have been made between the beginning of the sixth and the middle of the fourth century before Christ, and the narratives of which, whether real or fictitious, have in one form or another been transmitted to us. Of the reality of one of these—the Retreat of the Ten 'Thousand under Xenophon—there never has been any question; for, owing to the upright character of its narrator, and the trustworthiness of his record, which bears on its face the stamp of truth, it stands out as one of the best ascertained facts of history. Indeed, an apology might seem to be required for introducing it along with a number of less well-

authenticated expeditions—especially as the others were made by sea, and were undertaken in the first instance with a view to investigation—were it not that the circumstances of the case rendered it a notable example of adventurous exploration, which contributed largely to the extension of geographical knowledge. The claims to our acceptance which are put forward by the remainder will call for a careful examination, for the truth of each in turn has at different times been questioned, and several of them still continue to be subjects of debate.

The first of these in order of date is the circumnavigation of Africa, said to have been executed by Phœnician sailors at the command of Necho, king of Egypt, about 600 B.C. Our knowledge of this is derived from Herodotus, who gives the following account of it:—

Circumnavigation of Africa under Necho.

“As for Libya, we know it to be washed on all sides by the sea, except where it is attached to Asia. This discovery was first made by Necho, the Egyptian king, who on desisting from the canal which he had begun between the Nile and the Arabian Gulf, sent to sea a number of ships manned by Phœnicians, with orders to make for the Pillars of Hercules, and return to Egypt through them, and by the Mediterranean. The Phœnicians took their departure from Egypt by way of the Erythraean sea, and so sailed into the southern ocean. When autumn came, they went ashore, wherever they might happen to be, and having sown a tract of land with corn, waited until the grain was fit to cut. Having reaped it, they again set sail; and thus it came to pass that two whole years went by, and it was not till the third year that they doubled the Pillars of Hercules, and made good their voyage home. On their return, they declared—I for my part do not believe them, but perhaps others may—that in sailing round Libya they had the sun upon their right hand. In this way was the extent of Libya first discovered¹.”

Herodotus does not inform us from what source he obtained this story, but we may assume with some confidence that it was either from the Egyptians or the Phœnicians. The preciseness of statement with which it is delivered, as far as it goes, is in its

The Story derived from the Egyptians or Phœnicians.

¹ Herod. 4. 42.

favour, but it is unfortunate that no details should be forthcoming which would serve to corroborate it, such as notices of the countries that were visited, of the climate that was experienced, or of the strange sights and remarkable tribes that were met with. We might at least have expected to hear something of the disappearance of the northern constellations in a southern latitude, since it was by them that the Phoenicians were wont to steer. Still more remarkable is the omission of all notice of the great southward extension of the continent of Africa, which must have made a greater impression than any other feature on one that sailed round it, and yet remained altogether unknown to the geographers of a later time, who believed, with Herodotus, that the coast of Africa trended away to the west shortly after passing Cape Guardafui. The ignorance which existed among the ancients on this point, we may observe, facilitated their belief in the accomplishment of the task, because the chief difficulty which stood in the way of it was unknown to them. In modern times,

Argument
from the Sun
being seen on
the Right
Hand.

the point which has been regarded as lending the strongest support to the truthfulness of the narrative, is the statement of the navigators that in passing round Africa they had the sun on their right hand. Such no doubt would be the case when they were within the southern hemisphere; and this fact, taken in connexion with the incredulity of Herodotus on the subject, seems at first sight an instance of that kind of evidence which has been noticed above¹, as most convincing in establishing the truth of a story—viz. the mention of a phenomenon, which appeared marvellous to the men of a former age, but is easily explained by the knowledge of modern times. Such a statement, it may be said, could not have been invented. In reality, however, this

Criticism of
it.

principle does not apply in the present instance, for the question turns on the acquaintance with astronomy which was possessed, not by Herodotus, or even by the Greeks, his contemporaries, but by the Phoenicians or the Egyptians, from whom Herodotus derived the tale. Now the learned among the Egyptians were well aware that Syene was under (or close to) the tropic—that is to say, that the

¹ *v. supra*, p. 18.

sun was vertical at that place at the summer solstice; and from this it was a simple inference, that the inhabitants of the countries further to the south would at that season have the sun to the northward of them, and for an increasingly long period in proportion as they approached the equator. This conclusion could be verified through personal observation by anyone who advanced along the Nile in the direction of Meroë; and the same thing would come to the knowledge of the Phœnician sailors who reached that part of the Red Sea which lies within the tropic of Cancer. In consequence of this, when once the story of the circumnavigation of Africa had come into existence, the statement that the voyagers had the sun on their right hand during the passage would be easily attached to it as a corollary. On the whole we may conclude, that the execution of so great an undertaking at that early period, though in no sense impossible, is highly improbable; and that, in order to accept it as a fact of history, we need stronger evidence than is furnished by the story in Herodotus, unsupported as it is by the authority of any other ancient writer¹.

Improbability of the Voyage.

In the same part of his work in which the account of Necho's expedition is given, the historian mentions two other voyages of exploration, which were said to have been undertaken at the command of the kings of Persia—that of Scylax of Caryanda in the time of Darius, about a century later than the Egyptian expedition, and that of Sataspes during the reign of Xerxes. The former of these, he tells us, had for its object the investigation of the shores of Asia from the mouth of the Indus to the head of the Red Sea. By the order of Darius a party, among whom was Scylax, a Greek of Caryanda, in Caria, started on shipboard from Caspatyrus, a town on the upper course of the Indus, the exact position of which is unknown to us, though the name—or rather that of Caspapyrus, by which the same place is meant—occurs in Hecataeus². They followed the stream of the Indus “towards the east and the rising sun” as far as the sea, after which they turned westward

Expedition of Scylax of Caryanda.

¹ See Bunbury, *History of Ancient Geography*, vol. I. pp. 289—296, where the question of the circumnavigation is fully and fairly discussed.

² *v. supra*, p. 74.

and at the expiration of thirty months reached the place from which Necho had despatched the Phoenicians to sail round Libya. "After this voyage was completed," he adds, "Darius conquered the Indians, and made use of the sea in those parts¹." This story, for which Herodotus is again our only authority, is very briefly recorded, and furnishes fewer details even than that of the circumnavigation of Africa. The intrinsic improbabilities which it involves are no doubt much less great, because the voyage was shorter and less uncertain, and the difficulties which it presented were not of equal magnitude. The circumstance also that Caryanda, the birthplace of Scylax, was in the immediate neighbourhood of Halicarnassus, where Herodotus resided, seems to favour its authenticity, because of the facility with which the

Objections
to its Authen-
ticity.

writer could have obtained information on the subject. But this very fact, when examined more

closely, tends to excite our suspicions, on account

of the ignorance which Herodotus displays of the geographical features of the route which he supposes Scylax to have followed. He speaks of the Indus as flowing towards the east; he is unaware of the existence of the Persian Gulf²; and he describes the Red Sea as being so narrow, that it could be crossed in its widest part in half a day³. Mistakes such as these clearly prove that, if an account of the expedition of Scylax ever existed, Herodotus could not have seen it; nay, we may go further, and affirm that Scylax, if he made the voyage, could not have returned to Caryanda, for in that case more accurate knowledge would have been in circulation on the subject than that which Herodotus possessed. When we add to this, that there is no subsequent trace of any such communication having existed between Persia and India as the historian implies when he says that Darius made use of the sea in those parts; and also that, when Alexander sailed down the Indus, and despatched a fleet under the command of Nearchus to the head of the Persian Gulf, he and his companions were wholly unaware of any previous expedition of the kind having been made; we cannot but feel that the story rests on a very insecure foundation.

¹ Herod. 4. 44.

² *v. supra*, p. 81.

³ Herod. 2. 11.

The other expedition which Herodotus mentions in this connexion is associated with a somewhat dramatic incident. Sataspes, a Persian of high rank, was Voyage of Sataspes. condemned by Xerxes to be impaled in consequence of his having offered violence to a noble lady; but his sentence was remitted, on the intercession of his mother, who was a sister of Darius, on condition of his circumnavigating Africa from the Mediterranean to the Red Sea. Accordingly he provided himself with a ship and crew in Egypt, and after passing the Pillars of Hercules, and rounding the promontory of Soloeis (Cape Spartel), proceeded southward along the Libyan coast. After sailing for several months, however, he became disheartened, and seeing no end to his voyage, returned by the same route, and ultimately presented himself once more at the Persian court. There he pleaded in excuse of the non-fulfilment of his undertaking, that his ship stopped and was unable to make any way; and he mentioned also that, at the furthest point which he reached, the country was inhabited by a dwarfish race, who wore dresses made of palm leaves¹. These statements did not satisfy Xerxes, who ordered him to be put to death in accordance with the sentence originally passed upon him: but they may justify us in accepting his account as true, since they correspond to what is observed at the present day. The Reasons for believing in it. alleged impossibility of making further progress may have been due to the trade-winds, which blow on the coast of Guinea without cessation during the summer months in the face of vessels southward bound: and the pygmies are the same race whom we have already noticed as being mentioned by Homer and Herodotus among the inhabitants of Africa². Thus, while the historical character of the occurrences that led up to Sataspes' voyage is proved by the circumstantial manner in which they are related, including the names of the persons affected, the voyage itself is rendered probable by the intimations that it contains of things which were unknown at that time, and could hardly have been invented.

• About the same time that Sataspes was engaged on this

¹ Herod. 4. 43.

² *v. supra*, pp. 29, 97.

ineffectual voyage, or not long after, two remarkable expeditions were despatched to the Pillars of Hercules by the Carthaginians, to explore the Atlantic coasts of Africa and Europe respectively. The names of the commanders of these were Hanno and Himilco, and the object with which they were sent was not so much to discover unknown lands, as to establish colonies and trading stations in those regions, and to reinforce those already existing there. The Hanno here spoken of has been with some probability identified with the son of that Hamilcar who invaded Sicily in 480 B.C., and was defeated and slain at the battle of Himera¹; and on this supposition we may fix the date of his expedition approximately at

470 B.C. After his return to Carthage he composed a brief account of his voyage, which was inscribed on a bronze or marble tablet, and dedicated in the temple of Cronus (Moloch) in that city; and by great good fortune a Greek version of this has come down to us, under the title of the *Periplus* or Coasting Survey of Hanno. From this we learn that he sailed from Carthage with a company of men and women to the number of thirty thousand—who were evidently intended to be left at the trading stations, and must have been conveyed on board of transports, though this is not stated—and with a fleet of sixty penteconters, which formed the escort. The first half of their voyage after they passed the Straits, in the course of which they established the settlements which were their primary object, was occupied in reaching an island named

Cerne. The determination of this place is the most important point in connexion with the geography of the expedition, and it has been fixed with some certainty at an island now called Herne, the similarity of name forming one element in the process of identification. The last-named island is small, and lies in the recesses of a deep bay—at the mouth of the Rio de Ouro—both which features are attributed by Hanno to Cerne; its distance from the Straits of Gibraltar also corresponds better than that of any other place which has been suggested with the reckoning of the Carthaginian, who says that they found¹

¹ See C. Müller's Prolegg. to his *Geogr. Gr. Minores*, vol. I. pp. xxi, xxii.

the voyage between those two points to be of equal length with that from Carthage to the Pillars of Hercules¹. Moreover it accords satisfactorily with the distances that are given in the subsequent part of the voyage, in which several of the places reached can be determined with confidence.

The two most noticeable geographical features which the voyagers passed on their way from the Straits to the island of Cerne were the promontory of Soloeis and the river Lixus. The promontory here intended is not Cape Spartel to the westward of Tangier, to which, as we have seen², Herodotus gave that name—possibly he may have confused the accounts he received of the two³—but Cape Cantin, which lies considerably further to the south, in the same latitude as Madeira. The Lixus, which is described in the *Periplus* as “a great river, flowing from Libya⁴,” can be none other than the Wady Draa, which is quite the largest stream in this part of Africa, so that its course is said to be longer than that of the Rhine; it reaches the sea opposite the Canary Islands. This identification is confirmed by the Carthaginian’s remark, that the coast along which they sailed to the southward of this was desert⁵, for it is at the Wady Draa that the desert commences. The information is added, that the mountains far in the interior, from which the Lixus flowed, were inhabited by men of strange aspect, who were cave-dwellers, and were said to be fleet of foot than horses⁶. In these we discover a branch of the same race in central Africa which Herodotus characterises by these peculiarities, and which we have already recognised as the Tibboos⁷.

From the island of Cerne, where their last colony was established, the Carthaginians made two successive expeditions to the

¹ Hannonis *Periplus*, § 8, in Müller, *op. cit.*, p. 6; see also his Prolegg. p. xxvi. The valuable notes to this edition have done more than any other work towards determining the positions mentioned by Hanno. Dr Müller, for his part, handsomely acknowledges his debt to Rennell.

² *v. supra*, pp. 94, 103.

³ Bunbury, *History of Ancient Geography*, i. p. 163 note.

⁴ *Periplus*, § 6.

⁵ § 8.

⁶ § 7.

⁷ *v. supra*, p. 96; Herod. 4. 183.

southward along the coast, returning in the interval to their starting-point, though for what reason we are not informed. In

River
Bambotum
(Senegal
River).

the former of these, which was also the shorter, the most noteworthy incident was their reaching a broad river, full of crocodiles and hippopotami¹.

The name of this river is given by Pliny²—on the authority of Polybius, who explored this coast at a later time, and took note of the animals here mentioned—as Bambotum; but there can be little doubt, as Bochart has remarked³, that this is a corruption of Bamothum, for *bamoth* or *behemoth* is the Semitic name for the hippopotamus, so that the meaning would be Hippopotamus river. It is the Senegal River of modern times. On the second voyage they sailed along the same coast, and after passing that river reached a mountainous promontory covered with a dense

(Cape Verde
and Gambia
River.)

vegetation of aromatic trees: when they had rounded this they found a very deep inlet with level land on either side of it⁴. Here the promontory

is undoubtedly Cape Verde, which is now recognised as the westernmost point of Africa, though of that fact the ancients were not aware: in its elevation, and the forests from which it has obtained its name, it corresponds, as no other point on this coast does, to the description just given. The inlet is the mouth of the Gambia River, which forms a broad estuary with flat shores. The next place which they reached to the southward of this was an extensive

The Western
Horn (Bay of
Bissagos).

bay, known to their native interpreters as the Western Horn, in which lay an island so formed

that it embraced a harbour with another island within it. On this they disembarked, but in the course of the night they were so terrified by the sight of numerous fires in the woods, and the sound of cymbals and drums and voices, that

¹ *Periplus*, § 10; ἐκείθεν πλείοντες εἰς ἕτερον ἦλθομεν ποταμὸν μέγαν καὶ πλατὺν, γέμοντα κροκοδείλων καὶ ἱππων ποταμίων.

² *H. N.*, 5. 10; flumen Bambotum crocodilis et hippopotamis refertum.

³ See Müller, *op. cit.*, p. 9.

⁴ *Periplus*, §§ 12, 13; τῇ δ' ὅν τελευταία ἡμέρᾳ προσωρμίσθημεν ὄρεσι μεγάλοις δασέσιν· ἦν δὲ τὰ τῶν δένδρων ξύλα εὐώδη τε καὶ ποικίλα. Περιπλεύσαντες δὲ ταῦτα ἡμέρας δύο ἐγνώμεθα ἐν θαλάττῃ χάσματι ἀμετρήτῳ, ἦν ἐπὶ θάτερα πρὸς τῇ γῇ πεδίων ἦν.

they quitted the spot incontinently¹. The bay here mentioned is that of Bissagos, which lies between the Gambia and Sierra Leone at the mouth of the Rio Grande; and one of the numerous islands which lie about it and in front of it—that called Harang or Orango—exactly corresponds to what Hanno describes, having a land-locked port with a smaller island in its middle. The sights and sounds, which awoke the superstitious fears of the navigators, may well have been the accompaniments of a native festival.

As it approaches its close, the story of the explorers becomes almost sensational. We hear of country-sides blazing in the night-time with flames which sent forth an aromatic odour, of torrents of fire rushing down towards the sea, and, in particular, of a burning mountain which towered above all². We cannot wonder if this part of the narrative has awakened incredulity, and yet the accounts of modern travellers in Africa provide us with an easy explanation of it, if we allow for the impression produced on men who viewed it from a distance and were ignorant of its cause. The following is Mungo Park's description of the spectacle which he saw in Western Africa, at the season when the dry grass is set on fire with the view of producing a fine crop for the following year. "The burning of the grass in Manding exhibits a scene of terrific grandeur. In the midst of the night I could see the plains and mountains, as far as my eye could reach, variegated with lines of fire; and the light reflected on the sky made the heavens appear in a blaze³." Similar testimony is borne by other African travellers, and the

Flaming
Mountain-
sides.

Explanation
of the Pheno-
menon.

¹ § 14; ἤλθομεν εἰς μέγαν κόλπον, ὃν ἔφασαν οἱ ἐρμηνεῖς καλεῖσθαι Ἑσπέρου Κέρας· ἐν δὲ τούτῃ νήσοις ἦν μεγάλη, καὶ ἐν τῇ νήσῳ λίμνη θαλασσώδης, ἐν δὲ ταύτῃ νήσοις ἑτέρα, εἰς ἣν ἀποβάντες ἡμέρας μὲν οὐδὲν ἀφειρώμεν ὅτι μὴ ὕλην, νυκτὸς δὲ πυρὰ τε πολλὰ καίμενα καὶ φωνὴν αὐλῶν ἠκούομεν κυμβάλων τε καὶ τυμπάνων πάταγον καὶ κραυγὴν μυρίαν.

² §§ 15, 16; ταχὺ δ' ἐκπλεύσαντες παρημειβόμεθα χώραν διάπυρον θυμιαμάτων μεστήν· μέγιστοι δ' ἀπ' αὐτῆς πυρώδεις ρύακες ἐνέβαλλον εἰς τὴν θάλατταν· ἡ γῆ δ' ὑπὸ θέρμης ἀβατοὶ ἦν. Ταχὺ οὖν κάκειθεν φοβηθέντες ἀπεπλεύσαμεν. Τέτταρας δ' ἡμέρας φερόμενοι, νυκτὸς τὴν γῆν ἀφειρώμεν φλογὸς μεστήν· ἐν μέσῳ ἦν ἡλίβατον τι πῦρ, τῶν ἄλλων μείζον, ἀπτόμενον, ὡς ἐδόκει, τῶν ἀστρων. Τοῦτο δ' ἡμέρας ὅροι ἐφαίνετο μέγιστον, Θεῶν δχημα καλούμενον.

³ Mungo Park's *Travels in the Interior of Africa*, I. pp. 259, 260.

same sight may be seen on a smaller scale on the plains in Greece during the autumn. The burning mountain of which Hanno speaks, and which, he says, was called Theon Ochema, or the Chariot of the Gods, was no volcano, as Mela and Pliny supposed it to be, but simply a lofty summit whose slopes were on fire. It is now called Mt. Sagres, and is described as a conical mountain of great height, forming the marked feature of this part of the coast, which otherwise is perfectly flat¹.

A voyage of three days to the southward of this brought the Carthaginians to the Southern Horn, a bay which in certain points bore a peculiar resemblance to the Western Horn, for it contained a similar island, with an enclosed space of water surrounding a smaller island². This bay, which now bears the name of Sherboro Sound, lies a little to the south of Sierra Leone; and the larger island here mentioned is called Macauley Island, and on its western coast embraces a smaller island on three sides. The narrative concludes with the following remarkable incident, of which the last-named island was the scene. "The place," it says, "was full of savage people, but the majority of them were women, whose bodies were covered with hair; these our interpreters called Gorillae. When we pursued them, we were unable to catch the men, all of whom escaped, since they were accustomed to precipitous places and defended themselves with stones. Three women, however, we secured, but these refused to accompany us, and scratched and bit those who conducted them. So we killed them and flayed them, and brought their skins to Carthage³." It is through this passage that the name Gorilla has been introduced into natural history, and it seems probable that the creatures which are here described were large anthropoid apes, such as are still found near Sierra Leone. Beyond the Southern Horn the explorers did not

Mt. Theon
Ochema
(Mt. Sagres).

The Southern
Horn (Sher-
boro Sound).

Capture of
Gorillas.

¹ See Rennell, *Geography of Herodotus*, p. 734.

² *Periplus*, §§ 17, 18; τριταῖοι δ' ἐκείθεν πυρῶδεις βόσκας παραπλευσάντες ἀφικόμεθα εἰς κόλπον Νότου Κέρας λεγόμενον. Ἐν δὲ τῇ μυχῇ νῆσος ἦν, εἰκονία τῇ πρώτῃ, λίμνην ἔχουσα· καὶ ἐν ταύτῃ νῆσος ἦν ἑτέρα.

³ *Periplus*, § 18.

advance, the reason being, Hanno tells us, that their stock of provisions failed them. It was also the furthest point reached by any ancient navigator. We may remark in corroboration of the views that are here expressed as to the position of the places named in the *Periplus*, that the distances between them correspond in the main to those which are there assigned to them. It is an interesting question whether Herodotus was acquainted with Hanno's expedition. There is no difficulty in the way of the supposition on the ground of their respective dates, and certain points which the historian mentions, especially the description of "dumb commerce" which has been given above¹, may not improbably have been derived from this source. At the same time it must be allowed that such information may have been obtained through earlier visits of the Carthaginian traders.

The second of the two great Carthaginian expeditions was that which was sent forth under the command of Himilco. Pliny informs us that this took place Expedition
of Himilco. at the same time as that of Hanno, and that its object was to explore the western coast of Europe²; but it must have fallen strangely into oblivion, for this is the only notice of it that occurs until quite a late period of literature, though some of the observations on the wonders of the open ocean that were made in the course of it are shewn to have obtained a wide circulation from the mention of them in various writers. Possibly this forgetfulness may have been due to the greater fame of the subsequent voyage of Pytheas in the same direction, which eclipsed the achievements of the earlier navigator. As it is, the only information which we possess concerning it is derived from Avienus, a Latin author of the The 'Ora
Maritima' of
Avienus. fourth century of our era, who in his geographical poem entitled *Ora Maritima* bases a number of his statements and descriptions on the narrative of Himilco, whom he mentions by name. This narrative, we may reasonably suppose, was

¹ *v. supra*, p. 95.

² *H. N.*, 2. 169; Et Hanno Carthaginis potentia florente circumvectus a Gadibus ad finem Arabiae navigationem eam prodidit scripto, sicut ad externa Europae noscenda missus eodem tempore Himilco.

originally inscribed on a tablet in the same way as that of Hanno; but in what form, or through what medium, it reached Avienus we have no means of knowing. The *Ora Maritima* is a work of little merit and highly uncritical; and the passages for which Himilco is referred to as the authority are so confusedly introduced that it seems impossible to form a clear idea of the course which he followed, so that it is better to treat what they narrate as a number of separate episodes¹. He seems to have sailed

Account of
the Oestrym-
nides.

along the coast of Spain from Gades onwards, and to have reached a group of islands called the Oestrymnides, and a cape of the same name, which in a former chapter² we have identified with the extremity of the Armorican peninsula and the islands in its neighbourhood. The inhabitants of these islands he speaks of as hardy navigators, who were accustomed to cross the sea in boats like coracles, covered with hides³; and he implies that they visited Ireland and the intermediate island of Albion⁴. The last point is confirmed by Caesar, who remarks in his account of Armorica that in consequence of this they had complete command of the traffic in those parts⁵. Himilco adds, that both the Carthaginians and the in-

¹ The *Ora Maritima* will be found in vol. v. of Wernsdorf's *Poetas Latini Minores*: the passages from it which bear on Himilco's voyage are given in Elton's *Origins of English History*, pp. 418—420; also in vol. 1. of Müllenhoff's *Deutsche Altertumskunde*.

² *v. supra*, p. 36.

³ *Ora Marit.*, ll. 103—7:

Non hi carinas quippe pinu texere
Acereve norunt, non abiete, ut usus est,
Curvant faselos; sed rei ad miraculum
Navigia junctis semper aptant pellibus
Corioque vastum saepe percurrunt salum.

⁴ *Ibid.* 108—12:

Ast hinc duobus in Sacram, sic insulam
Dixere prisci, solibus cursus rati est.
Haec inter undas multa caespitem jacet,
Eamquē late gens Hiernorum colit.
Propinqua rursus insula Albionum patet.

⁵ *B. G.*, 3. 8, quoted on p. 36.

habitants of Gades used to make voyages thither—no doubt in connexion with the tin trade¹.

After visiting these northern regions it would seem that the explorers sailed, or were driven by stress of weather, far out into the Atlantic, for in the second passage of Avienus in which Himilco is quoted² we hear of a wide expanse of sea in the distant West, unvisited before by any mariner, where dead calms and dense fogs prevailed. The mention which occurs in various Greek writers of features like these, which could not have fallen within the experience of ordinary voyagers, must almost certainly have been derived from the Carthaginian narrative³. Finally, a third passage, which is the most remarkable of all, and with which a part of the first passage must be associated, describes that astonishing phenomenon, which is well known to navigators of the Atlantic, Of the Mid-Atlantic. the Sargasso Sea. Of the Sargasso Sea. The following is Humboldt's account of it. "At the point where the Gulf Stream is deflected from the banks of Newfoundland towards the east, it sends off branches to the south near the Azores. This is the situation of the Sargasso Sea, or that great bank of weeds, which so vividly

¹ *Ora Marit.*, 113—19:

Tartesiisque in terminos Oestrymnidum
Negotiandi mos erat; Carthaginiis
Etiam coloni et vulgus inter Herculis
Agitans columnas haec adibant aequora,
Quae Himilco Poenus mensibus vix quattuor,
Ut ipse semet rem probasse retulit
• Enavigantem, posse transmitti adserit.

² *Ibid.* ll. 380—89:

Porro in occiduam plagam
Ab his columnis gurgitem esse interminum,
Late patere pelagus, extendi salum,
Himilco tradit: nullus haec adiit freta;
Nullus carinas aequor illud intulit,
Desint quod alto flabra propellentia,
Nullusque puppim spiritus caeli juvet:
Dehinc quod aethram quodam amictu vestiat
Caligo, semper nebula condat gurgitem,
Et crassiore nubilum perstet die.

³ See the ref. in Berger, *Geschichte der Erdkunde*, II. p. 58.

occupied the imagination of Christopher Columbus, and which Oviedo calls the sea-weed meadows (*Praderias de yerva*). A host of small marine animals inhabits these gently moved and ever-green masses of *Fucus natans*, one of the most generally distributed of the social plants of the sea¹." It is this prodigious mass of tangle which is described in the poem—for nothing else can be meant—when we are told, that in the midst of the currents an abundance of sea-weed is found, which checks the vessel's course as if it were brushwood; that there was no depth of water, and as the ships crept through it with difficulty, they were surrounded by sea-monsters². "These things Himilco the Carthaginian stated that he saw with his own eyes, and had experience of on the surface of the ocean³."

A similar account of this phenomenon—which, if it is not derived, as it well may be, from Himilco, at least confirms his statements—is given in the pseudo-Aristotelian treatise *On Wonders*. It runs thus:—"They say that the Phoenicians of Gades, sailing before an east wind for four days from the Pillars of Hercules, reach a desolate spot, full of tangle and sea-weed, which floats with the ebb, and sinks with the flow of the tide, and on it is found an immense multitude of tunnies, incredibly large and fat⁴."

The enterprise which next claims our attention, the Retreat of the Ten Thousand, though the preciseness of the record in which it is related communicates to it in the reading something of a matter-of-fact character,

The Retreat
of the Ten
Thousand.

¹ Humboldt's *Cosmos* (Otté's Trans.), I. p. 313.


² *Ora Marit.*, ll. 122—9:

Adjicit et illud, plurimum inter gurgites
Exstare fucum, et saepe virgulti vice
Retinere puppim: dicit hic nihilominus
Non in profundum terga demitti maris,
Parvoque aquarum vix supertexi solum:
Obire semper huc et huc ponti feras,
Navigia lenta et languide repentia
Internatare belluas.

Compare also ll. 408—11.

³ *Ora Marit.*, ll. 412, 413.

⁴ *De Mirabilibus Auscultationibus*, § 136 (ed. Apelt).



XENOPHON'S ROUTE ACROSS ARMENIA

must yet, on account of the strange vicissitudes to which those engaged in it were exposed, and the heroism which they displayed, be ever reckoned among the first of "moving accidents by flood and field." In the history of geography it forms an important episode, because it added considerably to the knowledge of that subject which the Greeks already possessed, especially as regards Armenia, a region at all times difficult to traverse and but little explored. It is to the portion of their journey which lay through that country that our attention shall here be confined. It should be borne in mind, however, that though Xenophon, the historian of the expedition, noticed, as far as the circumstances permitted, with the eye of an intelligent campaigner the characteristics of the regions through which he passed, and the mode of life of their inhabitants, yet his primary object in writing was not geography but history, and consequently we cannot be surprised, if points about which we desiderate information are left unnoticed. To this we may add that, in order to arrive at any conclusion concerning his route and the places which he mentions, it is necessary in this part of his narrative to ignore almost entirely the distances which he gives, whether computed by measurements or by day's marches—a circumstance which, perhaps, will hardly excite surprise if we take into account the difficulty of the ground, the inclemency of the weather, and the opposition on the part of the natives which was from time to time encountered. Fortunately for us, the features of the region which he and his companions traversed are so peculiar, and the circumstances of their journey, taken in connexion with the goal for which they were making, determine so well their natural line of march, that we may speak with some confidence of the route which they actually pursued. In order to make this more clear, it may be well, before entering into details, to describe briefly the geography of Armenia.

Character of
Xenophon's
'Anabasis.'

Geographi-
cal Features
of Armenia.

That country has been called, in consequence of its elevation and of the streams that descend from it in several directions, the roof of Western Asia. It occupies a great part of the triangle which lies between three seas—the Mediterranean, the Euxine, and the Caspian. It also forms a link to join the great plateaux of Central Asia with the

uplands of Anatolia. Its height above the sea is very great, reaching 6,000 feet in the plains which intervene between Erzeroum and Ararat in the northern part of the district, and consequently the climate during many months of the year is very severe. It is

Its Mountains, bounded and intersected by vast ranges of mountains, the most important of which are the Taurus towards the south, dividing it from Mesopotamia, and the Anti-Taurus towards the west; besides these, it attains a great altitude in the volcanic summits of Bingheul-dagh—the 'mountain of a thousand lakes,' *i.e.* fountains—in the centre of the country, of Sipan above the lake of Van, and of Ararat in the east, which rises to a height of more than 17,000 feet. From its

and Rivers. northern side the Araxes (Aras), which rises in the Bingheul-dagh, finds its way to the Caspian, and the Acampsis to the Euxine, while in the opposite direction the Euphrates and the Tigris carry their waters to the Persian Gulf.

Sources of the Euphrates and Tigris. Both the last-named rivers rise from two sources in distant parts of the country, and flow for a considerable distance in separate streams. The western branch of the Euphrates, which retains the ancient name in that of Frat, starts from the plains near Erzeroum, and divides Greater from Lesser Armenia; the Eastern, or Murad-su, flows from the neighbourhood of Ararat, and after passing between the Bingheul-dagh and Sipan, and skirting the northern foot of the Taurus range, joins its brother stream before descending to the lowlands of Mesopotamia. It is in this range, and therefore in the south of Armenia, that the sources of both branches of the Tigris lie, though they are distant as much as a hundred miles one from another. The easternmost of these, which is the more important for our present purpose, is situated in the midst of those lofty summits of Taurus which bore the name of Niphates, near where the town of Bitlis now stands; the river which it forms descends steeply towards the lower country, where it is joined by the western stream.

Lake of Van. To the eastward of Bitlis, but on the high plateau, lies the Lake of Van, an expanse of brackish water larger than the Lake of Geneva, deeply sunk among the mountains, and without an outlet.

We may now return to the Ten Thousand, whose march, it

will be seen, undertaken as it was in mid-winter through the country which has just been described, was a task of appalling difficulty. After the battle of Cunaxa on the banks of the Euphrates in 401 B.C., in which the younger Cyrus was defeated and slain, the Greeks who had accompanied that prince as part of his army from Asia Minor by way of the Cilician Gates and Mesopotamia, found themselves in a position of great difficulty, since they were in the midst of an enemy's land at a great distance from their native country, and feared to return by the route which they had previously taken on account of the desert which had to be crossed, and their inability to defend themselves against the Persian cavalry in the plains. Accordingly, having obtained from the Persian king, Artaxerxes, a promise of safe conduct on condition of their quitting the country, they crossed the Tigris, and marched upward along its left bank, until they reached the stream of the Zabatus (Greater Zab), which flowed from the Median mountains. Here Clearchus and the other generals were treacherously seized at an interview by Tissaphernes, the Persian commander, who was professing to escort them, so that the Greeks were left without a leader. It was at this crisis that Xenophon, who up to that time had accompanied the army as a volunteer, offered to undertake the perilous task of leading them back to Greece, and was accepted as their general. They now crossed the Zabatus, and continuing to advance along the Tigris, though harassed by the Persians, they passed the neighbourhood of Nineveh; and thus reached the mountain region inhabited by the Carduchi, the ancestors of the modern Kurds. These were a warlike race, and as the passes which led through their country were of the most rugged description, and were vigorously defended, the Greeks found in them a more serious foe than the Persians had previously been. At length however they struggled through this difficult region, and crossed the Centrites, on the farther side of which Armenia commenced. This river, which is now called the River of Sert from the name of the chief town on its banks, is a tributary of the eastern Tigris, which it joins between the head-waters of that stream near Bitlis and its

The March
from Cunaxa
to Armenia.

The Zabatus
(Greater Zab).

Land of the
Carduchi
(Kurdistan).

The Cen-
trites (River of
Sert).

union with its western branch. From this point the route by which they reached the high plateau of Armenia is clearly determined by the nature of the ground. Xenophon informs² us that

Source of the
Tigris.

they passed the source of the Tigris, and beyond that came to a river of no great size, called the

Teleboas, after which they forded the Euphrates, which was reported to rise not very far off¹. Now the pass which crosses the Taurus range immediately above Bitlis bifurcates at the point where the source of the Tigris lies, one branch leading eastwards to the Lake of Van, which is only a few miles distant, though out of sight, the other westwards to the plain of Mush; and as no mention is made of the lake, it is clear that the latter

The Teleboas
(Kara-su).

route is the one that they followed. The Teleboas of Xenophon must be the Kara-su, which rises almost at the same spot as the Tigris, and runs in the opposite direction to it, until it reaches the Murad-su, or Eastern Euphrates, in the further part

Eastern
Euphrates
(Murad-su).

of the plain of Mush³.

The Greek force had now arrived at the upland levels of this

Highlands of
Armenia.

bleak country—the plain of Mush is between four and five thousand feet above the sea—and at once discovered the severity of an Armenian winter. The

historian describes the sufferings of his soldiers as they plodded through the deep snow, some of whom lost their eyesight, others their toes, while a certain number died from exhaustion. He also dwells with satisfaction on the shelter afforded by the dwellings of the inhabitants—which, like those of the same region at the present

Under-
ground Dwell-
ings.

day, were half underground, and contained the cattle as well as human beings—and on the plentiful provision of food, and especially of beer, which they

contained⁴.

The next feature of the country which is mentioned after the Euphrates is the river Phasis, in the neighbourhood of which they met with a tribe called Phasiani⁴. Now as the plain in which the Araxes

The Phasis
(Aras).

¹ *Anab.*, 4. 4. 3; 4. 5. 2.

² See the Author's *Turkish Armenia*, pp. 292, 299.

³ *Anab.*, 4. 5. 25—27; *Turkish Armenia*, p. 287.

⁴ 4. 6. 4, 5.

(Aras) flows bears the name of Pasin at the present day, there is a presumption in favour of identifying that stream in this part of its course with the Phasis of Xenophon, especially as it lies to the northwestward of the valley of the Euphrates, and that would be the direction which the Greeks would naturally follow in endeavouring to reach their home. The argument last advanced applies also to the next stage of their course, for the configuration of the ground in this part of the country is such, that the only practicable route towards the west lies through a valley, which leads by an easy pass to the plain of Erzeroum. There they would find the head-waters of the western Euphrates (Frat); and as it would have defeated their object to follow that stream, they would be almost forced to make their way over the mountains to the northwest, which intervene between this region and the Euxine. After this, the two principal points which are mentioned in their route are a large river called Harpasus, and an important town named Gymnias¹. The former of these is probably to be identified with the Tchörük—usually called the Acampsis by ancient writers—which flows from these parts, and reaches the sea near Batoum. The position of the latter may have been at no great distance from the modern town of Baihurt, which is situated on the banks of that stream. The ranges which intervene between that place and the sea are so steep and so intricate, that they may almost as well be crossed at one point as at another; so that it is probable that the Greeks followed as nearly as might be a direct course, and at last descended by a river valley which reaches the coast some way to the east of their destination, Trebizond².

The Harpasus (Tchörük).

Gymnias.

Trapezus (Trebizond).

The famous first view of the sea, which aroused the enthusiasm of the weary soldiers, was, even independently of the circumstances under which it was seen, a sight to awaken thrilling feelings of delight. Though we cannot speak with confidence of the exact spot where the scene which Xenophon describes occurred, yet for a considerable distance along the mountain ridges in this part the impression would

The first View of the Sea.

¹ 4. 7. 18, 19.

² *Turkish Armenia*, pp. 406, 432.

be the same. Here from a height of between 7,000 and 8,000 feet above the sea, the eye which has been accustomed to the treeless uplands and monotonous plains of Armenia looks down upon forest-clad mountains and delicately cut ridges, separated from one another by ravines, and gradually descending towards Trebizond; while, away to the north-east, cape after cape is seen extending into the Euxine, backed by ranges which run up to the snow-topped mountains of Lazistan, and the whole is completed and harmonized by the soft blue expanse of water. The entire view, from its delicacy and multiplicity of form, and its combination of sea and mountains, strikingly resembles the coasts of Greece. When suddenly presented to the eye of a Greek, it must have spoken to him of home in every line. Another point in

The Poisonous Honey.

Xenophon's narrative on which modern observation has thrown light, is his account of the poisonous honey of this region, after partaking of which his soldiers displayed all the symptoms of intoxication and frenzy¹. This is now known to have been due to the moisture that distils from the flowers of the *Azalea pontica*, which grows in profusion in the valleys at the back of Trebizond; this is poisonous, and affects the honey of the bees that feed upon it. A similar circumstance is related by Strabo with regard to Pompey's forces during his campaign in these parts; only in that case the honey seems to have been obtained immediately from the trees².

Before concluding this chapter, it may be well to notice a treatise belonging to the period before Alexander, which, though not actually a record of any expedition, yet contains a summary of information which must have been obtained in the first instance by means of numerous coasting voyages. This is the work which is known as the *Periplus* of Scylax of Caryanda, but which is of much later date than the reign of Darius, in which that explorer was supposed to have lived, and appears to have had his name attached to it in

¹ *Anab.*, 4. 8. 20.

² Strabo, 12. 3. 18; οἱ δὲ Ἑπτακωμήται τρεῖς Πομπηίου σπείρας κατέκοψαν διεξιούσας τὴν ὀρεῖν, κεράσαντες κρατῆρας ἐν ταῖς ὁδοῖς τοῦ ραινομένου μέλιτος, ὃ φέρονσιν οἱ ἀκρεμόνες τῶν δένδρων· πιοῦσι γὰρ καὶ παρακόψασιν ἐπιθήμενοι βῆδ' ὁδῶς διεχειρίσαντο τοὺς ἀνθρώπους.

order to attract attention by its celebrity. The date of its composition can be determined within narrow limits by internal evidence, for it mentions the foundation of the Athenian colony at Neapolis on the Thracian coast, which took place in 360 B.C., and, on the other hand, it speaks of Olynthus, which was destroyed in 347 B.C., as still existing; whence we may infer that it was written in the interval between those two events¹. This coasting survey starts from Gades and the Pillars of Hercules, and follows the sinuosities of the coast all round the Mediterranean, the Euxine, and the other seas connected with them, commencing with the northern shores, and returning by the way of Asia Minor, Syria and Northern Africa; it continues also beyond the Pillars of Hercules along the African coast as far as the island of Cerne. The work is composed in the main of notices of the islands, harbours and rivers, and of the towns and tribes which border on the sea; the distances also from point to point are carefully given. Here and there further information is added, but such remarks are of somewhat rare occurrence. It is well, however, at once to intimate that serious doubts have been raised as to the genuineness of the greater part of this narrative. Several of the most eminent authorities on the subject, including Carl Müller and Berger, are of opinion that, though the original *Periplus* was composed about the time already mentioned, yet this was epitomised about the third or fourth century of our era, and what we now possess is this epitome, after it had been further altered and interpolated at a subsequent period². This view is chiefly based on the corrupt and mutilated condition in which the work has come down to us, and on the style of the Greek in which it is written, which is certainly later than the time of Philip of Macedon. From the last point we may at least infer that, if the *Periplus* is genuine, it must have been recast by a later hand. Still, these difficulties have not prevented other good judges, like Kiepert and Bunbury, from accepting it in substance as a work of the earlier period³; and it

Its probable
Date.

Its Contents.

Doubts as to
its Genuineness.

- ¹ Bunbury, *Hist. of Anc. Geography*, i. pp. 404, 405.
- ² Müller, *op. cit.*, Prolegg., p. xlix; Berger, *op. cit.*, 2. p. 79.
- ³ Kiepert, *Lehrbuch d. a. Geographie*, p. 3; Bunbury, *op. cit.*, i. pp. 405, 406.

is certainly in favour of this conclusion, that it contains no reference to Alexandria, or any of the other great cities which afterwards arose, for these would undoubtedly have been introduced by one who was writing for a subsequent generation. If the former of the suppositions here mentioned is correct, it would seem to be almost a hopeless task to endeavour to distinguish those parts of the work, as we possess it, which are original and genuine. On the other hand, if the earlier date is the true one, its contents are of great value, because of the general view that they present to us of the Greek world at that time.

The most interesting notices which this *Periplus* contains are those which relate to the Italian peninsula. Here for the first time in any extant author the name of Rome occurs¹; but it is introduced incidentally, and it is remarkable that, whereas the writer elsewhere pays especial attention to the rivers, in this connexion he makes no mention of the Tiber, nor does he notice any of the coast-towns between that point and Massilia. A much fuller enumeration, also, is found here of the tribes that inhabited Italy than in any previous writer, for the Latins, Volscians, Samnites, Umbrians and Celts are all named, and are assigned to their rightful localities. The mention of the Celts is especially remarkable, inasmuch as before this time no notice occurs in any writer of peoples of that race being found south of the Alps, for Hecataeus and Herodotus place them in the west of Europe. They are here spoken of as occupying a narrow tract of North Italy, and reaching to the Adriatic; and as having been "left behind from their expedition," by which is meant the invasion of Italy in the course of which they captured Rome² (390 B.C.). Scylax also is the first author in whom is found the confusing view, which afterwards obtained wide acceptance, that the Ister divided into two branches, one of which entered the sea at the head of the Adriatic, the

¹ Scylacis Caryandensis *Periplus*, § 5, in C. Müller's *Geogr. Gr. Minores*, vol. 1.

² § 18. It is not intended by what is here said to exclude the view, which is maintained chiefly on archaeological grounds, that the earlier inhabitants of the valley of the Po were of Celtic extraction. See Bertrand and Reinach, *Les Celtes dans les vallées du Pô et du Danube*.

other on the shores of the Euxine. The idea of an Adriatic branch seems to have arisen from a confusion between the name of the river and that of the tribe of Istri at the head of that sea, whom in fact Scylax mentions in this connexion¹. Again, on the opposite side of the Mediterranean, the coast which lies between Cyrene and Carthage—including the two Syrtes, the land of the Lotophagi, and the lake Tritonis—is described with unusual fulness and much interesting detail². And generally, a comparison of the contents of this work with the notices of the same coasts which are found in Herodotus suggests the conclusion, that a great advance had been made in the knowledge of them during the intervening period of less than a century.

¹ § 20; Μετὰ δὲ Ἐνέτους εἰσὶν Ἰστροὶ ἔθνος, καὶ ποταμὸς Ἰστρος. Οὗτος δὲ ποταμὸς καὶ εἰς τὸν Πόντον ἐκβάλλει.

² §§ 108—110.

CHAPTER VII.

ALEXANDER'S EASTERN EXPEDITION.

Effects of Alexander's Conquests—His Political and Social Aims—Development of Geography—Novel Aspects of Nature—Narratives of the Expedition—The Expedition originated by Philip—His Death, 336 B.C.—The Project renewed by Alexander—Battle of the Granicus, 334 B.C.—Battle of Issus, 333 B.C.—Siege of Tyre, 332 B.C.—Occupation of Egypt—Visit to the Temple of Zeus Ammon—March to the Tigris, 331 B.C.—Battle of Arbela—March to Persepolis—Depôt at Ecbatana (Hamadan) 330 B.C.—Description of Iran or Ariana—Flight of Darius into Parthia—The Caspian Gates (Sirdar Pass)—Death of Darius—Hecatompylus in Parthia—The Hyrcani and Mardi—The Caspian Sea—Artacoana (Herat)—Drangiana (Seistan)—Arachosia (Candahar)—Paropamisus Range (Hindu Kush)—Alexandria ad Caucasum—Invasion of Bactria, 329 B.C.—The Oxus (Jihoun)—Its Ancient Course—Maracanda (Samarcand)—The Polytimetus (Zerafshan)—Alexandria Eschate—Mistakes concerning the Jaxartes and the Caspian—March to the Indus, 327 B.C.—Campaign in the Punjab, 326 B.C.—The Hydaspes (Jhelum)—The Hyphasis (Bias)—Descent of the Indus—Pattala (Hyderabad) 325 B.C.—Bore of the Indus—Indian Trees—Return March of Craterus through Drangiana, of Alexander through Gedrosia—Arrival at Persepolis—Embassies from the West—Death of Alexander, 323 B.C.—The Voyage of Nearchus—Alexandri Portus (Karachi)—Harmoia (Ormuz)—Pearl Fishery—Encounter with Whales—Arrival at Susa.

THE conquest of Western Asia by Alexander the Great is the highest military achievement which the world has seen. The extraordinary gifts of the commander by whom it was accomplished—his personal prowess, which impelled him whenever occasion offered to encourage his soldiers by his own heroic daring; his unrivalled genius, both in organising strategical combinations on a grand scale, and in disposing his troops and handling them in the field; and his unfailing foresight in providing for contingencies, and arranging beforehand the conditions which might secure the success of his undertakings—caused him to appear to his contemporaries a superhuman being, and still excite our wondering

Effects of
Alexander's
Conquests.

admiration. The overthrow of the great Persian empire, and the downfall of its monarchy, which had come to be regarded as an embodiment of earthly grandeur, startled mankind from end to end of the then known world. Within the short period of twelve years an area of country as large as Europe had been subjugated, and its regulation, at least in embryo, provided for. Nor were the political results of these campaigns of less importance than their success from a military point of view. Though the early death of the great conqueror prevents us from speaking with the confidence which we could wish of the scheme that was in his mind for reorganising the world, yet the system which he had already introduced had so far taken root that, notwithstanding the dismemberment of his empire after his death, it was generally carried out by his successors. This consisted in the establishment of Greek colonies with political rights throughout the countries which he subdued, and the introduction through them of Greek ideas and Greek civilization among the native populations. Unlike the majority of conquerors, whose object has been to maintain their power by placing one race in subjection to another, Alexander evinced the greatest consideration for the customs, whether political or religious, of those who passed under his sway, while at the same time he endeavoured to develop a new form of unity by fusing them with the Greeks. Owing to the extent to which this scheme was prosecuted by the monarchs who succeeded him, these influences became the leading factor in determining the condition of Western Asia, and subsequently, by the power which they exercised over society, modified the harshness of the Roman dominion; indeed, their effect continued to be felt until a new order of things was inaugurated by the rise of Mahomet and the conquests of the Arabs.

His Political
and Social
Aims.

In the field of geography a similar extraordinary expansion was produced by Alexander's expedition. It is not too much to say, that by means of it the knowledge of that subject on the part of the Greeks was doubled. The country that lay between the Tigris and the Hyphasis (Bias), and between the Jaxartes (Sir Daria) and the Indian Ocean, was traversed in several directions by a Greek army,

Develop-
ment of Geo-
graphy.

and the southern shores of the Caspian, and the northern shores of the Persian Gulf, were explored. But the revelation of this vast area was not, perhaps, in itself the most important result that accrued to geographical study from these campaigns. An even greater stimulus was communicated to it by the novel and striking natural features with which the Greeks now became acquainted.

Novel Aspects of Nature.

In the course of their marches they passed over desert plains and salt-steppes alternating with luxuriantly fertile districts, and through snowy mountain-chains exceeding in elevation anything that they had hitherto conceived, among which the Hindu Kush reaches the height of 18,000 feet. The variety of configuration of the ground which was thus presented to them, together with the differences of climate and the unwonted size and strange appearance of the vegetation, suggested innumerable points of comparison and contrast with the objects that they were familiar with in Europe. All these characteristics were carefully recorded by accurate observers, for Alexander on this occasion had associated with him so large a number of men of great attainments, that his enterprise might claim the character of a scientific expedition.

Narratives of the Expedition.

Unfortunately not one of the narratives of these campaigns, which were composed by Aristobulus, Onesicritus and others of his companions, has come down to us, and we are compelled to trust for our knowledge of them to histories written under the Roman empire—especially to those of Arrian in Greek and Curtius in Latin. By these, however, we are to some extent indemnified for the loss of the original authorities, because the facts which they contain are largely drawn from their writings.

The expedition which Alexander so successfully executed was not in reality originated by him. It was designed in the first instance by his father, Philip of Macedon, after he had broken the power of the independent

The Expedition originated by Philip.

states of Greece, as a means of facilitating and consolidating his hegemony, by uniting the Greeks in the common object of assailing the traditional enemy of their country, the king of Persia. He was making preparations for this invasion, and a part of the requisite forces had been assembled, when the project was

suddenly arrested by his assassination in 336 B.C. His successor, Alexander, who was at that time twenty years of age, was as yet but little known, though the part which he played in the battle of Chaeroneia had proved that he was a dashing soldier; but in the course of the two years that followed he gave ample evidence both of his capacity and of his overpowering force of character, by subjugating the wild tribes in the neighbourhood of Macedonia, and by crushing with merciless determination the attempts of the Greek cities to regain their independence. He was thus in a position in the spring of 334 B.C. to renew the project which had been interrupted by the death of Philip; and to the execution of this he brought, not only a military ability superior even to that of his predecessor, but an intellect imbued with an eager love of knowledge and scientific enquiry through the influence of his instructor Aristotle.

His Death,
336 B.C.

The Project
renewed by
Alexander.

The Persian king Darius, meanwhile, had made no preparations for resisting the threatened attack, and the invader was allowed to transport his army across the Hellespont unopposed. The circumstances of the commencement of the campaign were of a nature to awaken all the enthusiasm of an ardent temperament such as that of Alexander. Steeped as his mind had been from early days in veneration for the Homeric poems, so that his great desire was to rival, or rather to identify himself with, the heroes of that tale, he now found himself on the Plain of Troy, in the presence of the inspiring memories of the conflicts of the Greeks and Trojans, and he proceeded to commemorate the occasion by sacrificing to Athena at Ilium, and celebrating rites at the tomb of Achilles. It was in this spirit that he shortly afterwards encountered the army which the Persian satraps of Asia Minor had brought up to oppose him on the banks of the Granicus; on which occasion he led his cavalry in person across that stream in the face of the enemy, thus deciding the fortune of the day, though his life was exposed to imminent peril. By means of this victory, especially in consequence of the death of the leading commanders on the Persian side on that occasion, Alexander found the whole of Asia Minor open to him. It was only at certain points that

Battle of the
Granicus,
334 B.C.

resistance was offered. On the western coast, first Miletus and afterwards Halicarnassus—which were defended by Memnon, the leader of the Greek mercenaries in the service of Darius, and by a Phoenician fleet that had arrived off the coast—delayed him for some time; but Ephesus and the other chief towns in that neighbourhood submitted, and during the following winter Alexander with a picked body of troops made his way through the southern districts—Caria, Lycia and Pamphylia—receiving the submission of the inhabitants, and finally over the Taurus range in Pisidia to the uplands of Phrygia. During this part of his progress occurred the famous passage of Mount Climax on the Lycian coast, when he conducted a detachment of his troops between the precipices and the sea, though the water at the time had risen so high as to reach their waists.

In Phrygia at the commencement of the spring of 333 Alexander was met by the main body of his army, which had wintered at Ephesus and was led thither by his general Parmenio. The next part of his route lay through Cappadocia and the Cilician Gates, by which pass the Taurus was crossed into Cilicia. So difficult is this line of transit, by which at the present day the exports of south-eastern Asia Minor are still conveyed to the sea, that it might easily be held against a hostile force by a small body of resolute defenders; but the satrap of Cilicia, whose duty it was to secure it, abandoned it without a blow, so that the Macedonian army descended without difficulty to Tarsus. From that place Alexander advanced to the head of the Gulf of Issus, for which neighbourhood Darius also was making with an enormous host which he had summoned from all parts of his dominions. The battle of

**Battle of
Issus, 333 B.C.**

Issus, the second of the three great engagements which determined the fate of the Persian empire, was fought in the narrow space which here intervenes between the Mons Amanus and the sea—a position which was selected by Darius, but was altogether favourable to the Macedonians, because it rendered it impossible for their opponents to deploy their vast multitudes. The issue of the conflict was greatly determined by the cowardice of Darius, for when he perceived that the Macedonian lancers, led by Alexander, had broken through his left

wing, and were approaching the centre where he himself was stationed, he took to flight, leaving no one in his place to issue any orders to his soldiers. From that time forward the Persian army was at the mercy of its adversaries.

The next event of importance in the campaign was the siege of Tyre. Alexander, with that circumspection which was not less characteristic of him than his promptitude in action, though his enemy was hopelessly defeated and the way to his capital lay open, determined to secure the countries in his rear before advancing into the heart of Asia. With this view he abstained from pursuing Darius, and turned southwards into Syria, and received the submission of most of the cities both of that country and of the coastland of Phoenicia. But the insular position of Tyre, and the recollection of the famous Siege of Tyre,
332 B.C. sieges which she had undergone at the hands of the Assyrians under Shalmanezzer and the Babylonians under Nebuchadnezzar, disposed her citizens for resistance; and this did not at first appear a hopeless attempt on their part, because Alexander had no fleet at his command. He was not, however, to be foiled in this manner, for he proceeded at once to construct a mole from the mainland to the nearest part of the island-city, and, notwithstanding the determined opposition of the inhabitants, in the course of seven months Tyre was joined to the continent, as it has continued to be down to the present day. But the circumstance which assured Alexander's final success was his obtaining possession of the fleets of the Phoenician and Cypriote cities, for by means of these he was able to assail the place from various sides. It was by the help of ships that the first breach was made in the walls on the southern side facing Egypt, and from this point Alexander in person stormed the city, which was taken in spite of a desperate defence. After the capture of Tyre the conqueror met with no further opposition except at Gaza, which place resisted him for three months. He then marched by way of Pelusium to Memphis, and Occupation
of Egypt. received a ready welcome from the native population of that city, who had never been content with the Persian rule. In the Egyptian capital he reposed for some time, and then descended the westernmost branch of the Nile to Canopus, where

he embarked on shipboard, and sailed along the coast as far as the lake Mareotis, and the island of Pharos, which had associations for him owing to its being mentioned by Homer. His visit to this neighbourhood produced results of far-reaching importance. Alexander was struck with the suitability of the site for a great commercial centre, and he forthwith gave orders for the foundation of the city of Alexandria.

There now remained no obstacle to prevent the Macedonian monarch from carrying out his original design of subduing Asia. But before turning his face once more eastward he was inspired with a longing to visit the shrine of Zeus Ammon in the Libyan desert, and there to obtain a recognition of the divine parentage which he had now begun to claim for himself. The route by which he arrived at that place was not the one which led westward from Memphis, but that by way of the lake Mareotis along the coast of the Mediterranean as far as the station of Paraetionium, from which point the Ammonium was reached by a journey of eight days due southwards across the desert. The difficulties which were encountered in the course of this march have no doubt been exaggerated by Alexander's historians; at the same time it was a considerable feat, requiring much care and precaution, to conduct a military force along so remote and desolate a track. The appearance of the oasis in which the temple lay, with its palm-groves and fountains, its deposits of salt, and the sandy waste which surrounded it, is well described by Arrian¹. The response of the oracle declared that the god recognised Alexander as his son, and promised him an unbroken career of victory.

In the spring of 331 B.C. Alexander quitted Egypt, and led his army through Phoenicia and across the northern angle of the Arabian desert to Thapsacus, which was at this time the usual station for the crossing of the Euphrates. Here during the summer season which had now been reached the stream was generally fordable, but in order to facilitate the passage of the forces two bridges had been previously thrown across by their leader's orders. His way now lay through the northern part of Mesopotamia, and when he

Visit to the
Temple of Zeus
Ammon.

March to the
Tigris, 331 B.C.

¹ *Anab.* 3. 4.

approached the upper course of the Tigris he kept that river on his left hand until he arrived at a point some little distance above Nineveh, where he crossed it also. In the plains to the southward of this, which intervene between the Tigris and the greater Zab—or Lycus, as it is called by the historians of the expedition—Alexander found the army of Darius drawn up to oppose him, for that monarch had learnt from his experience at Issus not to waste the advantage to be derived from his vast host by confining it within a narrow space. The place was called Gaugamela, but the battle which ensued has received its name from the more important city of Arbela, which lay about thirty miles off towards the east. On this occasion, even more than in the last conflict, Alexander relied on the dependence of an oriental army on the personal superintendence of its sovereign to counterbalance his own immense inferiority in numbers. After strengthening his wings in such a manner as to lessen the unavoidable risk of being out-flanked, he pressed forward with the main body of his troops towards the enemy's centre, and notwithstanding a vigorous resistance on the part of the picked forces of the Persians, he succeeded in approaching near enough to the station occupied by the king to frighten that imbecile monarch, who fled, and by so doing paralysed the courageous efforts of his soldiers, who were dispersed and massacred. After this great battle Darius became a fugitive, and the fate of the Persian monarchy was decided. At this point also Alexander's campaigns enter on a new phase, and one that is more intimately connected with the history of geography. The countries through which we have hitherto followed his victorious arms were not unknown to the Greeks; but from this time onward his progress assumed the character of an exploring expedition, and by its means new and strange lands were revealed to the western world.

Battle of
Arbela.

The first object of Alexander now was to make himself master of the great cities, in which the immense treasures of the Persian empire were stored. So powerful was the impression made by his victory, that the two famous capitals of Babylon and Susa at once opened their gates to him. From the latter of these places he continued his march

March to
Persepolis.

to Persepolis, which lies due east of the head of the Persian Gulf. In order to reach that city, it was necessary for him to traverse the mountains of Persis, the only transit through which was by a difficult pass called the Pylæ Persicae or Susianae: this was occupied in force by Ariobarzanes, the satrap of the province, but by means of skilful movements Alexander succeeded in dislodging his opponents, and thus entered Persepolis, where he obtained possession of the accumulated wealth of the monarchs of that country. As it was now midwinter, he rested his army there, but early in the spring he advanced northwards through

Depôt at
Ecbatana
(Hamadan)
330 B.C.

Media to Ecbatana, the capital of that district, in pursuit of Darius, the possession of whose person appeared to him to be of primary importance for the accomplishment of his purpose in securing his

dominion in Western Asia. After he had occupied that city, he established there his base of operations with a view to future campaigns, and made it his principal depôt. At this point, in order to understand more clearly what follows, it may be well for us to pause a moment, and take a rapid survey of the country which we are about to enter.

Description
of Iran or
Ariana.

The mountains in the midst of which Ecbatana lies are part of the chain of Zagrus, which, starting from Mt. Ararat, and forming the eastern boundary of the Tigris valley, passes through Media and Persis, until it approaches the Persian Gulf. To the eastward of this chain extends an elevated table-land, on an average 4,000 feet above the sea, which occupies the centre of modern Persia, and extends in part into Afghanistan, the remainder of which country is a rugged region, forming a barrier between Persia and the valley of the Indus. This vast area, which is known as the plateau of Iran or Ariana, is for the most part a desert steppe; which is characterised by the number of rivers which lose themselves on its surface without finding their way to the sea. This feature is especially conspicuous in the neighbourhood of Lake Seistan, on the borders of Persia and Afghanistan, towards which the waters flow, both from the neighbourhood of Herat to the north, and from that of Cabul to the north-east. On the southern side this plain is separated from the Indian Ocean by the moun-

tains of Carmania and Gedrosia, which form a continuation of those of Persis; while in the opposite direction, between it and the Caspian Sea, the massive chain of Elburz intervenes, which towards its centre attains the elevation of more than 18,000 feet in Mt. Demavend. The eastern portion of this range was known in antiquity as the Hyrcanian mountains, and the country immediately to the south of them, though we hear but little of it at the time of which we are now speaking, is one which rose to great importance under the Roman empire—the land of Parthia. It will be seen, from the position of the great desert steppe which has been described, that the route through these regions, whether for the passage of armies or for caravan traffic, must always in the main have been the same.

Darius had cherished the hope that Alexander had attained the object of his invasion by capturing the chief cities of Persia and the treasure which they contained, and would leave him in possession of the

Flight of
Darius into
Parthia.

remainder of his dominions; and under this impression he passed the winter of 331 at Ecbatana. But when he found that the conqueror was still pursuing him, he quitted that place shortly before Alexander's arrival, and fled into Parthia by way of the

Caspian Gates. That pass did not lead, as its name would seem to suggest, through the Elburz mountains to the Caspian Sea, but traversed a

The Caspian
Gates (Sirdar
Pass).

lateral range, which quits the main chain to the southward of Mt. Demavend, and runs at right angles to it. It is now known as the Sirdar pass, and is crossed by the road which connects Teheran and Herat. As soon as Alexander had arranged matters at Ecbatana, he started with a small body of troops in the hope of

overtaking the fugitive, but notwithstanding that he pressed on by forced marches, he had hardly entered Parthia when he received the news of the death of that

Death of
Darius.

monarch. This was the result of a conspiracy

formed against him by Bessus, the satrap of Bactria, and other leading Persians, who felt that their cause was hopeless so long as the supreme power remained in the hands of one so pusillanimous as Darius, and hoped that, when he was removed, they might organise resistance in the outlying provinces. Alexander's

disappointment at this event was extreme, because it involved the prolongation of the war and greatly increased his difficulties; at the same time he had no choice but to wait at Hecatompylus, the chief city of Parthia, until he was joined by the remainder of the army. Meanwhile Bessus had escaped over the mountains into Bactria, and into that country it was necessary that Alexander should pursue him; but with the provident vigilance which he displayed in all his campaigns, that commander determined first to secure the districts which he would have in his rear, when he advanced in that direction. Owing to this, and to the treachery of the Persians who submitted to him, he spent the remainder of this year in a desultory warfare, which led him by a devious route into regions about which at all times but little information has been obtainable.

Hecatom-
pylus in
Parthia.

The Hyrcani
and Mardi.

His first expedition was through the mountains at the foot of which Hecatompylus was situated, with the view of subjugating the Hyrcani and other races who occupied the country to the southward of the Caspian Sea. The people from whom he experienced the most vigorous resistance were the Mardi, a tribe who inhabited a region difficult of access on account of its ruggedness and intricacy. The description which Curtius has given of this as a mountain district clothed with dense forests¹ closely corresponds with what we know at the present day of the ground which intervenes between Mt. Elburz and the Caspian.

The Caspian
Sea.

It was at this time that the Greeks obtained a view of that sea, and, if we may believe Plutarch, Alexander's first impression on seeing this expanse of water was that it was an outflow from the Palus Maeotis². The ignorance of the geography of these parts which this betrays may appear strange, but is hardly more so than other mistakes which will presently be noticed. Returning from this expedition, Alexander now marched eastwards through the north of Parthia, and

¹ Curt., *Hist. Alex.*, 6. 5. 13.

² Plut., *Alex.*, 44; πελάγους ἰδὼν κόλπον οὐκ ἐλάττονα μὲν τοῦ Πάριου, φανέντα, γλυκύτερον δὲ τῆς ἄλλης θαλάττης, σαφὲς μὲν οὐδὲν εἶχε πυνθῆσθαι περὶ αὐτοῦ, μάλιστα δὲ εἰκασε τῆς Μαιώτιδος λίμνης ἀνακοπὴν εἶναι.

would have entered Bactria, but was diverted by the rebellion of Satibarzanes, the satrap of Aria, who had shortly before submitted to him. He accordingly changed his course, and rapidly advancing towards the south, surprised him in his capital city of Artacoana, which was situated on or near the site of the modern Herat, the frontier city of Afghanistan on the side towards Persia. Having thus diverged from his original design, he seized the opportunity of subduing the province of Drangiana, now the district of Seistan, which lies still further to the south about the lake of the same name. We have already seen that from the neighbourhood of Herat the streams run in that direction, and it was probably the valley of the Harud, the largest of these streams, which skirts the edge of the great steppe, that the Macedonians followed. The year was far advanced before these regions had been conquered, but Alexander still pressed on, following the course of the Etymander (Helmund) and its tributaries, which flow from the north-east through Arachosia (Candahar) towards the same lake. This route, though it lay through a rugged mountainous district, was from the nature of the ground the only practicable exit open to him, unless he retraced his steps; it is the same which at the present day forms the line of communication by way of Ghuzni between Candahar and Cabul. During the latter part of the way the army suffered greatly from the cold and the deep snow, for the pass between Ghuzni and Cabul reaches the height of 8,700 feet. In traversing it they had crossed the watershed of these parts, for on the farther side of it the streams begin to flow towards the Indus. At the head of the Cabul valley he at last halted at the foot of the great Hindu Kush range—the Paropamisus, or, as the Greeks of this time called it, Caucasus—which is here interposed between Afghanistan and the regions to the north of it. Thus in the course of this campaign he had obtained command of all the passes that lead into Bactria, and of the lands through which they are approached. At this point he founded the city of Alexandria ad Caucasum.

Artacoana
(Herat).

Drangiana
(Seistan).

Arachosia
(Candahar).

Paropamisus
Range (Hindu
Kush).

Alexandria
ad Caucasum.

In the following spring (329 B.C.), as soon as the season was sufficiently far advanced, Alexander crossed the Paropamisus—an operation which occupied seventeen days—into Bactria, and at once marched to the capital of the province, Bactra (Balkh), which he occupied. The general features of that region are accurately described by Curtius, who speaks of it as in most parts a sandy desert, but interspersed with districts of great fertility¹. They next reached the Oxus, with which river the Greeks now for the first time became acquainted, and it seems to have made a greater impression upon them than either the Tigris or the Euphrates². This river—now the Jihoun or Amu Daria—which rises away to the east in a glacier among the mountains of the Pamir³, at the present time finds its way, like the Jaxartes, into the sea of Aral: by the ancients, however, who were unacquainted with the existence of that piece of water, they were both believed to reach the Caspian. In the case of the Oxus there is every reason to believe that such was the case in the time of Alexander: and this would account for the statement of Strabo and Pliny—quoting from independent sources—that a regular trade-route existed from India to Europe by way of this river and of the Caspian and Euxine Seas⁴. After crossing the Oxus, the conqueror

Invasion of Bactria, 329 B.C.

The Oxus (Jihoun).

Its Ancient Course.

¹ Curt., 7. 4. 26—30.

² Arrian, 3. 29. 2; ὁ δὲ Ὄξος ῥέει μὲν ἐκ τοῦ ὄρους τοῦ Καυκάσου, ἐστὶ δὲ ποταμῶν μέγιστος τῶν ἐν τῇ Ἀσίᾳ, ὅσους γε δὴ καὶ Ἀλέξανδρος καὶ οἱ ἐν Ἀλεξάνδρῳ ἐπῆλθον, πλὴν τῶν Ἰνδῶν ποταμῶν. Strabo, 11. 7. 3; Ἀριστόβουλος δὲ καὶ μέγιστον ἀποφαίνει τὸν Ὄξον τῶν ἐωραμένων ὅφ' αὐτοῦ κατὰ τὴν Ἀσίαν πλὴν τῶν Ἰνδικῶν. Mr Curzon (*Russia in Central Asia*, p. 145) compares the Oxus to the Nile. "I was strangely reminded by the appearance [*i.e.* the brown hue] of this great river, by the formation of its bed, by the structure of its banks, and by the scenery and life which they displayed, of many a landscape on the Nile in Upper Egypt. There is the same fringe of intensely fertile soil along its shores, with the same crouching clay-built villages, and even a Bokharan counterpart to the *sakkiyek* and *shadeef*, for raising and distributing the life-giving waters of the stream."

³ See Mr Curzon's paper, "The Pamirs and the Source of the Oxus" in the *Geographical Journal* for July, 1896, pp. 44 foll.

⁴ Strabo, 11. 7. 3; φησι δὲ [Ἀριστόβουλος] καὶ εὐπλοῦν εἶναι (καὶ οὗτοι καὶ Ἑρατοσθένης παρὰ Πατροκλέους λαβόν) καὶ πολλὰ τῶν Ἰνδικῶν φορτίων κατὰγειν

proceeded to Maracanda (Samarcand), but before he arrived at that place Bessus, whose capture was the primary object of his expedition, had fallen into his hands. The next stream which he met after leaving Maracanda, the Polytimetus, is represented by the historians of his campaigns as flowing for some distance through a country which it fertilises with its waters, and then disappearing into the sand¹. This description, according to the testimony of modern travellers, exactly applies to the Kohik or Zerafshan, as that stream is now called². It is interesting to notice that Arrian in estimating its size takes for his standard of comparison the Peneius in Greece. At last, after traversing Sogdiana, the Macedonians reached the limit of their journey, the Jaxartes (Sir Daria), and on its banks Alexander founded another city, which afterwards bore the name of Alexandria Eschate, as marking the furthest point of his advance into Central Asia. It has sometimes been identified with the modern Khojend. The idea which prevailed in the minds of the Greeks concerning this river was that it was the Tanais, and that they had reached the boundary which separated Europe from Asia. Surprising as this may seem, it appears to have been a widely accepted belief at this time, for Aristotle in his *Meteorologica*, when speaking of the course of the Araxes (by which he means the Jaxartes), says that at one point

Maracanda
(Samarcand).

The
Polytimetus
(Zerafshan.)

Alexandria
Eschate.

Mistakes
concerning the
Jaxartes

εἰς τὴν Ἑρκυνίαν θάλατταν, ἐντεῦθεν δ' εἰς τὴν Ἀλβανίαν περαιοῦσθαι, καὶ διὰ τοῦ Κύρου καὶ τῶν ἐξ ἧς τόπων εἰς τὸν Εὐξείνῳ καταφέρεσθαι. Pliny, 6. 52; Adicit idem Pompei ductu exploratum in Bactros septem diebus ex India perveniri ad Iachrum flumen quod in Oxum influat, et ex eo per Caspium in Cyrum subvectos, et quinque non amplius dierum terreno itinere ad Phasim in Pontum Indicas posse devehī merces.

¹ Arrian, 4. 6. 5—7; Curt. 7. 10. 1, 2.

² Mr Curzon says (*Russia in Central Asia*, p. 205)—“The basin of the Zerafshan river.....is a veritable garden of Eden, and incomparably the most fertile part of Central Asia.” The final disappearance of the stream is noticed in a passage quoted by the same writer from a paper by V. Dingelstedt in the *Scottish Geographical Magazine* for December 1888:—“Some twenty miles before reaching the Amu Daria, the now nearly exhausted, but still muddy waters of the Zerafshan flow into the marshy lakes of Denghis, Sunghur, and Karanga, which have no outlet.”

it bifurcated, and that one branch of its divided stream formed the Tanais, which fell into the Palus Macotis¹. Arrian, indeed, remarks that the Tanais which Alexander reached could not be the same river as that which Herodotus designates by this name²; but this is evidently an after-criticism on his part, and represents the views of a later age. In this connexion we may

and the
Caspian.

notice another misconception, in respect of which the geography of this period had retrograded from that of Herodotus—viz. the opinion that the Caspian was not an inland sea. This, indeed, was not Aristotle's view, for he expresses himself with as much confidence as Herodotus in favour of the isolated position of that piece of water³; but it was certainly that of Alexander and his companions, for we are told that, when that commander once more reached Echatana at the conclusion of his expedition, he was planning to despatch a fleet to explore the shores of that sea, in order to discover whether it communicated with the Euxine or with the outer ocean towards the east⁴. This opinion was further confirmed by the authority of Patrocles, an officer who held a command in that part of Asia under the Seleucidae (*circa* 280 B.C.), and wrote a work, in which he maintained the possibility of sailing round from the Indian Ocean into the Caspian. In fact, it was not until the time of Ptolemy that the true view was restored.

Though Alexander had thus traversed without serious difficulty the low-lying regions of Bactria and Sogdiana, yet the mountainous parts of those provinces were so difficult of access, and the hardy tribes who inhabited them offered so stubborn a resistance to his arms, that the whole of another year was occupied in subduing them. Into the details of this campaign, even if the places which are mentioned in the course of it could be identified with any certainty, there is no need for us to enter. The spring of

¹ Arist. *Meteorol.* 1. 13. 15, 16.

² Arrian, 3. 30. 7--9.

³ Arist. *Meteorol.*, 2. 1. 10; *ἔτι δ' ἐπεὶ πλείους εἰσὶ θάλατται πρὸς ἀλλήλας οὐ συμμιγνύουσαι κατ' οὐθέννα τόπον, ὧν ἡ μὲν Ἐρυθρὰ φαίνεται κατὰ μικρὸν κοινωνοῦσα πρὸς τὴν ἔξω στηλῶν θάλατταν, ἡ δ' Ἑρκανία καὶ Κασπία κεχωρισμέναι τε ταύτης καὶ περιεοικούμεναι κύκλῳ.*

⁴ Arrian, 7. 16. 1, 2.

327 B.C. was already far advanced, before he was at liberty to cross once more the Hindu Kush and commence his projected invasion of India. After halting for some time on the farther side of that range at Alexandria ad Caucasum, he marched onwards to the banks of the Cophen or River of Cabul. There he divided his army into two portions, one of which he sent forward under the command of Hephaestion and Perdicas along the course of that river in the direction of the Indus—a route which at one point would conduct them through the famous Khyber pass. They thus reached the district called Peucelaotis, which lay near the confluence of those two streams, and proceeded to construct a bridge across the Indus, to be in readiness for the arrival of their leader. As the position of the bridge was at a little distance below the confluence, it would seem to have been close to the modern Atak, where the narrowness of the stream has in all ages provided a convenient passage. Alexander himself with the remainder of the forces undertook the more arduous task of reducing to submission the tribes which occupied the mountains from which flow the northern tributaries of the River of Cabul—the districts of Kafiristan and Chitral. The former of these is a country of such repellent wildness that there is no record of any modern explorer having entered it, until it was visited by Mr (now Sir G. S.) Robertson in 1889¹; the latter, Chitral, which has become famous since that time in connexion with his name and the campaign of 1895, lies to the north-east of it, and is hardly less rugged. It was in this part that the famous siege and capture of the rock fortress of Aornos took place—one of the most difficult exploits of these campaigns. At last he rejoined on the banks of the Indus the forces that had preceded him, and reposed his weary troops for thirty days, in preparation for the operations of the ensuing year.

March to
the Indus,
327 B.C.

Advancing from the Indus, Alexander now entered the Punjab or 'Land of the Five Rivers,' as the country is called that is traversed by the great tributaries of the Indus, which rise in the Himalaya and flow in a south-westerly direction to join it—the Hydaspes (Jhelum), the

Campaign in
the Punjab,
326 B.C.

¹ See his account in the *Geographical Journal* for 1894, vol. 4, pp. 193 f. ill.

Acesines (Chinab), the Hydraotes (Ravi), the Hyphasis (Bias), and the Zaradrus (Sutlej). The names of these, like those of many other rivers which we have already noticed, owing to the Greek form in which they appear, produce the impression that they were at least greatly adapted by the Greeks; but it is an interesting fact, as proving the accuracy of the companions of Alexander, from whose writings the later historians obtained their information, that, with the exception of the Acesines, they correspond to those which are found in the Sanscrit writers¹. In the

The Hydaspes (Jhelum).

neighbourhood of the Hydaspes—probably near the modern city of Jelalpur—the famous battle was fought in which the Macedonian monarch defeated the powerful Indian prince Porus; and here, on either side of its stream, he founded the two cities of Bucephala and Nicaea, the former in commemoration of his favourite horse Bucephalus, who died at this time, and the latter to celebrate his victory. He now crossed successively the two next rivers, and advanced to the

The Hyphasis (Bias).

banks of the Hyphasis; but the Sutlej he did not reach, for at this point took place the mutiny among his soldiers, who refused to proceed further towards the east, and in consequence of this he was forced to return. Disappointed in this manner of the conquest of the

Descent of the Indus.

remainder of India, he determined to visit the Erythraean sea, and with that object in view, when he arrived at his newly established cities on the Hydaspes, he gave orders for the construction of a fleet, in which he might descend that river and the Indus. On the completion of this, Alexander embarked with part of his forces, while the remainder accompanied him in two divisions which marched on either bank; and since during their passage a continual warfare was carried on against the neighbouring tribes, as much as nine months were occupied in the transit. At last they reached the head of the

Pattala (Hyderabad) 325 B.C.

Delta of the Indus at Pattala, where a naval and military depôt was established. This place is to be identified with Hyderabad, at which city a branch of the river diverges on its eastern side, which, though now it is dry except at the season of inundation, may well have been an

¹ See Bunbury, *Hist. of Anc. Geogr.*, 1. pp. 501, 502.

important arm in former times, for in this part the course of the Indus has been subject to many changes. At the present day the principal bifurcation of the stream is at Tatta, fifty miles lower down than Hyderabad, but Tatta is too near the sea to correspond to the position which is given by the historians of the expedition. Alexander himself descended to the Indian Ocean, and enjoyed the satisfaction of sailing on its waters. On this occasion we are told that his soldiers were affected with great terror at the unwonted sight of the tide¹. The terms which Arrian here uses, however, leave no doubt that what is meant is not the ordinary ebb and flow of the tide, but the bore or inrush of the flood tide, which is a remarkable phenomenon at the mouth of the Indus, and other great Indian rivers. This at times rises to the height of many feet, and produces a violent noise, when it meets the current of the descending stream. Their curiosity also was excited by the unwonted vegetation of India, of which the historians have left descriptions—especially the honey-bearing tree (*Borassus flabelliformis*), the banyan-tree (*Ficus indica*), with its strange mode of growth, and the cotton-tree (*Bombax malabaricum*) with its seed-vessels bearing tree-wool². The following is the account which is given of the banyan-tree:

Bore of the
Indus.

Indian Trees.

"Onesicritus tells of certain large trees, the branches of which, when they have grown to the height of twelve cubits, subsequently grow downward, as if they were bent down, until they touch the earth; after which they spread underground and take root like layers, and then spring up and grow into a stem: after that again, according as they grow, they are bent down, and form first one and then another layer, and so on continuously, so that from one tree proceeds a long sunshade, resembling a tent supported by many poles. He speaks also of trees which are of such a size that five men can with difficulty clasp their trunks."

Alexander was now making preparations for his return journey. He had already despatched a large part of his army under the command of Craterus from the point where the Indus receives the combined waters of the Punjab rivers; this detachment was to march

Return
March of
Craterus
through
Drangiana,

¹ Arrian, 6. 19. 1, 2.

² Strabo, 15. 1. 21.

into Carmania by the northern route, which would lead through the difficult ravine now known as the Bolan pass into Arachosia and Drangiana, after which it would be forced to cross the desert—a journey which must have presented great difficulties, though the historians are silent concerning it. Another portion of the troops was embarked on board the fleet, which was sent under the command of Nearchus to navigate the ocean from the mouth of the Indus to the head of the Persian Gulf—a voyage of discovery which Alexander had much at heart. The

of Alexander
through
Gedrosia.

remainder of the army he led in person by an unexplored route from Pattala westwards through

Gedrosia and Carmania, keeping at no great distance—sixty or seventy miles on the average—from the sea, his object in this being, apparently, that he might be within reach of Nearchus, so as to lend him succour in case of need. The province of Mekran in Beluchistan, as Gedrosia is now called, forms the southern boundary of the plain of Iran, separating it from the Indian Ocean by a mountain chain; and at the present day its upland plains are known to suffer from excessive heat during the summer, and from scarcity of water, which is due to the stony and sandy nature of the soil. It was the month of August when the march commenced, and consequently the army was exposed to the full force of these evils. The privations of the soldiers on the way were extreme; many both of them and of the beasts of burden perished, and it was with difficulty that the diminished number was brought through. When at last Alexander reached Carmania, he was joined by Craterus and his contingent, and during his halt in that country he was also gratified by receiving a visit from Nearchus, who in the meanwhile had arrived at the entrance of the Persian Gulf, and took the opportunity of communicating with him and reporting progress. Nearchus, after having being highly commended and honoured, was sent back to conduct the fleet as far as the mouth

Arrival at
Persepolis.

of the Euphrates, and the king continued his journey to Persepolis, at which place he arrived when the winter season had already set in. At this point the

eastern expedition, as far as it affected the discovery and conquest of new countries, may be said to have been concluded, and the year and a half which intervened between this date and the death

of Alexander at Babylon in June 323 B.C. are not of great importance from a geographical point of view. During this time he once more visited Susa and Ecbatana, and it was on the occasion of his progress from the last-named city to Babylon that he was met by embassies, which had been sent by the inhabitants of distant countries to congratulate and to propitiate him. No stronger proof can be found of the extent to which the fame of his conquests was diffused than the names which here occur; for among them we find not only the Carthaginians and some of the tribes of Italy, but the Aethiopians on the further side of Egypt, the Iberians and Gauls in the far West, and the Scythians in the north of Europe¹. The next enterprise on which his mind was set was the circumnavigation and subjection of Arabia, and with a view to this he had already ordered a number of ships to be constructed in Phoenicia, and, after being transported in pieces overland to the Euphrates, to be sent down the stream of that river to Babylon. But this plan, and others which he may have been designing, came to an end with the master-mind which conceived them, and the partition of his dominions which followed turned men's thoughts in other directions.

Embassies
from the West.

Death of
Alexander,
323 B.C.

It remains to speak of the voyage of Nearchus, which was not the least arduous enterprise connected with Alexander's expedition. The original account of this, composed by Nearchus himself, has been lost, like the other narratives of these campaigns, but in this instance there is the less reason to regret it, because the summary of its contents which Arrian has given in his *Indica* is complete and full. The accuracy of the writer's observation and the faithfulness of his statements have been thoroughly proved by a comparison of them with what is known at the present day of the coasts along which he sailed, and the places at which he touched can in a large number of instances be identified. As the names of these, whether ancient or modern, would in most instances be unknown to ordinary readers, it may suffice for our present purpose to mention a few of the most conspicuous. Shortly after the fleet had made its exit from the mouth of the Indus, it was forced to seek for shelter

The Voyage
of Nearchus.

¹ Arrian, 7. 15. 4.

from the violence of the south-west monsoon which was then blowing; and this was found in a harbour to which Nearchus gave the name of the Port of Alexander.

Alexandria Portus (Karachi). This is now Karachi, the westernmost seaport of British India. After the wind had abated, they continued their course along the country of the Ichthyophagi, who occupied the narrow tract which is interposed between the mountains of Gedrosia and the sea. Owing to the barrenness of this district, its inhabitants, whose mode of life is very carefully described, were forced to subsist almost entirely, both themselves and their cattle, on fish, which they sometimes pounded into the form of meal, and used for making bread¹. The same thing is true of the modern occupants of this region, and also of those who dwell in the corresponding territory of the Hadramaut on the southern coast of Arabia. At the entrance of the Persian Gulf Nearchus noted the lofty promontory of Maceta, now Cape Mussendum, which rises from the Arabian shore, while opposite to it, in Carmania, lay a fertile region called Harmozia, where the crews were allowed to repose awhile after the hardships which they had undergone². The name here given attained great celebrity during the middle ages, when Ormuz became a famous trading station, first of the Arabs, and afterwards of the Portuguese; but at that time it was attached, not to the district on the mainland, but to a small barren island in its neighbourhood, called by Nearchus Organa, on which the city was built. At the present day Ormuz is an insignificant place, but its former greatness is familiar to us from the mention of it in *Paradise Lost*, where Milton speaks of "the wealth of Ormus and of Ind." It was here that Nearchus' sailors found a Greek who had wandered from Alexander's army, which he reported to be at no great distance, and in consequence of this Nearchus visited his commander, as has been already mentioned. Two additional points may be noticed in connexion with this voyage, as confirming the truthfulness of the narrative. One of these is the mention of a pearl fishery as being carried on in an island in the Persian Gulf, which sea is

Harmozia (Ormuz).

Pearl Fishery.

¹ Arrian, *Ind.*, 29.² *Ibid.* 33—37.

now celebrated for the export of those gems¹. The other is the account of their meeting with a shoal of whales in the Indian Ocean—an occurrence which sometimes overtakes vessels in those waters in our own days.

**Encounter
with Whales.**

The story of the encounter of the Greeks with these animals, and their frightening them away by plashing their oars and raising a loud din with trumpets and shouting, is highly amusing and curious :—

“Nearchus relates that, when they were on their voyage from Cyiza, towards daybreak they saw water spouted up from the sea, as if it were violently carried aloft by whirlwinds; and that the men being terrified enquired of their captains what this was, and what caused it. They replied that these were whales, which spouted up the water as they traversed the sea; whereupon the sailors were seized with panic and dropped the oars from their hands. So he went up to them himself, and cheered and inspirited them, and as he passed any of them in his vessel he bade them draw up their ships in line as if for an engagement, and row forward in close array and with much noise, accompanying with loud shouts the plashing of their oars. At this they took heart, and advanced all together at a given signal; and when they came near the monsters of the deep they shouted with all their might, and blew their trumpets, and made all possible noise with their oars; on hearing which the whales, which now were seen in close proximity to the ships' bows, took fright and plunged into the depths, but not long after came to the surface again close to the sterns of the vessels, and once more spouted great jets of sea water. Then the sailors shouted aloud at their happy and unlooked-for escape, and extolled the courage and good judgement of Nearchus².”

At last Nearchus reached the head of the Persian Gulf, and entering the stream of the Pasitigris, which joins the Tigris near its entrance into the sea, met the army of Alexander shortly before it arrived at Susa. The entire voyage had occupied a period of five months.

**Arrival at
Susa.**

¹ *Ibid.* 38.

² *Ibid.* 30.

CHAPTER VIII.

GEOGRAPHY UNDER THE SUCCESSORS OF ALEXANDER. THE VOYAGE OF PYTHEAS.

Intellectual Influence of this Period—Egypt under the Ptolemies—Position of Alexandria—Canal from the Red Sea to the Nile—Stations on the Red Sea—The Cinnamon Country (Somaliland)—The Upper Nile—Megasthenes in India, *circ.* 290 B.C.—Envoy to Chandragupta at Pataliputra—His Work—Verified from Native Sources—His Knowledge of India—Its Boundaries—The Indus and Ganges—The Royal Road—The Rainy Season—Administration of the Country—The Caste-system—Life of the Indians—The Brahmans—The Voyage of Pytheas, *circ.* 330 B.C.—Varying Estimates of him—His Work—Twofold Object of his Voyage—His Scientific Attainments—His Route to Britain—The Armorican Promontory (Brittany)—The British Tin Mines—Island of Ictis (St Michael's Mount)—His Account of Britain—Customs of the Inhabitants—Evidence in Favour of his Northern Voyage—Did Pytheas enter the Baltic?—The Northern Sea—Thule (probably Mainland in the Shetlands)—The Arctic Circle—"Sleeping place of the Sun"—Pytheas' Parallels of Latitude—Wonders of the Arctic Regions—Comparison to the Pulmo Marinus—The Amber Coast—Testimony of Pliny and Diodorus—The Word 'glæsum.'

THE kingdom which Alexander left behind included territories

Intellectual
Influence of
this Period.

in all the three continents, and within a short period after his death, during which a succession of struggles took place for the partition of his dominions, we find Hellenic culture disseminating itself in all of them. The spirit of enquiry, in particular, which was characteristic of the Greeks, spread rapidly and widely, and found an ample field on which to exercise itself in making new observations and discoveries. This result was promoted by the extended facilities of communication, which arose from the concentration of the government in the hands of powerful rulers at definite points, and the breaking down of the barriers of nationality and prejudice which previously existed. At the same time the vast amount of wealth that was thrown into circulation by the dispersal of the treasures of the kings of Persia, furnished the means by which encouragement

might be afforded by munificent patrons, such as the Ptolemies and the Seleucidae, to men of learning, who were thus enabled to reduce to order the materials which rapidly poured in from various quarters, and to make them subservient to the purposes of science. From this point of view the moment was an auspicious one, because the methods of investigation which had recently been introduced by the philosophy of Aristotle were at hand to prevent the waste of labour which would have arisen from ill-directed speculation. But, while the spirit of research was abroad throughout the whole of this wide area, it was in Egypt that it found its most congenial home. The isolated position of that country, arising from the narrow isthmus through which alone it can be approached by land on its eastern side, caused it to be difficult to attack, and thus exempted it from the confusions which arose in the other parts of Alexander's empire owing to the contentions of rival sovereigns. The politic spirit of its rulers, who were indisposed for aggression, and anxious for the peaceful development of the land which had fallen to their lot, tended in the same direction. The three first of these, Ptolemy Soter, Ptolemy Philadelphus, and Ptolemy Euergetes, whose combined reigns extended over a century (323—222 B.C.), were also distinguished promoters of literature, and to them were due the foundation and endowment of the Alexandrian Museum and its famous library. That great institution, which became the most eminent university, or resort of learned men, that existed in antiquity, was of especial importance for geography, because it was the residence of Eratosthenes and others who were the foremost representatives of that study. The influence of those writers on scientific geography will be treated of in another chapter; for the present it may suffice to notice the additional information about countries hitherto imperfectly known which was obtained through the medium of Egypt under its early Greek rulers.

Egypt under
the Ptolemies.

Position of
Alexandria.

The newly established city of Alexandria contributed largely to the promotion of these discoveries. When its great founder selected this site for a metropolis of trade and communication, he perceived that it occupied the most central position that could be found in the

ancient world in respect of the three continents; and at the same time its nearness to the isthmus of Suez opened out a wide prospect of increased traffic by sea, whenever the shores of the Indian ocean, both on the side of Asia and of Africa, should become accessible to commercial enterprise. It was built at the extremity of the Delta, just beyond the westernmost, or Canopic arm of the Nile, on a belt of sand which separated the lake Mareotis from the Mediterranean; and it was protected from the violence of the sea and the north wind by the long and narrow island of Pharos, which extended in front of it at the distance of about a mile. As a mercantile station it was greatly improved by the construction of the mole or Heptastadion—so called from its length of seven stades—which was carried across from the city to the island and connected them together. By means of this the harbour, which previously had been exposed to the full force of the north-east and south-west winds, was converted into two well sheltered and commodious ports. In the reign of Ptolemy Philadelphus this rising emporium was brought into communication with the Red Sea by a canal joining the head of the gulf of Suez with the Nile, which had been commenced by Necho, and completed by

Canal from
the Red Sea
to the Nile.

Darius Hystaspis, but had fallen into decay, and was now repaired and made serviceable. The great importance of the Red Sea was now perceived, both for purposes of commerce and of discovery. In order still further to facilitate communication with it, and also to avoid the dangerous navigation of the narrow gulf at its head,

Stations on
the Red Sea.

stations were established and harbours formed considerably lower down, at Myos Hormos and Berenice, from which merchandise was carried across the desert to the city of Coptos, which was situated on the banks of the Nile somewhat below Thebes. After this it was discovered that elephants, the importance of which in warfare was beginning to be recognised, were bred in great numbers on the banks of the Astaboras (Atbara), the tributary of the Nile which joins that river on its eastern side below Meroë; and as this district was most easily reached from the Red Sea, the same king founded on the adjoining coast, to the southward of the modern Suakin, the town of Ptolemais Epitheras, with a view to the capture of those

animals, as its name implies. Having advanced thus far, he at last determined to obtain the command of this sea in its whole extent, and before the end of his reign—or at latest during that of his successor, Ptolemy Euergetes—several other settlements were planted in the neighbourhood of the straits of Bab-el-Mandeb, two of which were called Berenice and one Arsinoë. At this point the line of stations came to an end, but the Greek traders continued to advance as far as the easternmost point of Africa, Cape Guardafui, the territory in the neighbourhood of which, now Somaliland, was known as the Cin-
The Cinna-
mon Country
(Somaliland).
 namon country, on account of the abundance of that valuable spice that was found there. Beyond that promontory they did not venture, nor does it appear that their voyages extended far along the opposite coast of Asia. No doubt at this period a large amount of Indian wares was imported into Egypt, but it is more probable that these were obtained by the Greeks through the ports of Southern Arabia than by any actual communication with India itself. At the same time, whether directly or indirectly, much information was collected in this way about these and other distant countries through the commercial connexions of Alexandria. The establishment of the Ptolemies in Egypt led also, as might be expected, to a more extended knowledge on the part of the Greeks of the upper course of the Nile. They then became
The Upper
Nile.
 acquainted with the two great branches of that stream, which are now called the Blue and White Nile, and of their junction at the point occupied by the modern Khartoum; and they learnt the existence of the Sembritae still further to the south, whom we have already identified with the Automoli of Herodotus¹.

While the Greeks were in this manner becoming more familiar with the lands in the neighbourhood of Egypt, a remarkable addition was made to their knowledge in Further Asia. At the time of Alexander's inva-
Megasthenes
in India, circ.
290 B.C.
 sion of India, there existed on the banks of the Ganges a powerful monarchy, that of the Prasians, which governed the whole of the area that was drained by that river as far as its mouth.

¹ *v. supra*, p. 93.

Not long after this period, however, a revolution took place, in which an adventurous native chieftain, called Chandragupta (in Greek Sandrocottus), expelled the reigning dynasty, and obtained possession of the throne; and under his energetic rule the resources of the kingdom were greatly increased, and its boundaries extended towards the west. In this manner it came in contact with the dominions of Seleucus Nicator, who after Alexander's death, having fixed his capital at Babylon, maintained his rule over the whole of the eastern provinces of the newly-formed empire, including Bactria and India. It was inevitable that war should break out between these rival powers, and the result was that Seleucus found it expedient to cede to his opponent the whole of the Indus valley, and the neighbouring territories as far as the Paropamisus range, receiving in return a present of five hundred elephants. A durable peace was thus concluded between them, and in order to maintain the friendly relations which were

Envoy to
Chandragupta
at Pataliputra.

now set on foot, an ambassador was sent by Seleucus to reside at the court of Chandragupta, who had fixed his capital at Pataliputra (in Greek

Palibothra) on the Ganges. The agent who was selected for this office was Megasthenes (*cir.* 290 B.C.), an intelligent Greek, who made the best use of the facilities which his position furnished for the study of the country and its inhabitants. So unique was the

His Work.

opportunity, that the work which he wrote on the subject became the chief, and in most respects the

sole, authority on India to the ancient world. Though his narrative has now perished, the most valuable part of the material contained in it has survived, being preserved in the second book of Diodorus, the fifteenth book of Strabo, and the *Indica* of Arrian. From these sources we learn what knowledge was in circulation in antiquity, not only about the geography and climate of India, but about the administration of the government, the character and mode of life of the people, and the religious system and its observances. The profound study of the ancient literature of that country, which is one of the highest glories of the present

Verified
from Native
Sources.

century, has opened out to us a wide field of information on the same subject, derived from the contemporary records of the Indians themselves.

To compare the statements made in the two has been the work of modern scholars, especially of Lassen, who in his *Indische Alterthumskunde* has brought together almost every thing that can throw light on the investigation. It is satisfactory to find that the issue of the enquiry has been in most instances to corroborate, even in points of minute detail, the evidence of Megasthenes.

India, as understood by that writer, comprised the wide plains in the north of Hindustan and the territories adjoining them. Of the great peninsula of Southern India, and the plateau of the Deccan in its centre, he had no knowledge. This is clear from his remark, that "the whole of India is intersected by rivers¹." For him, the southern coast formed a continuous and almost straight line from the Persian gulf to its eastern extremity. Of Taprobane (Ceylon) he had heard, but merely as a large island lying at a distance of seven days' voyage from the coast, in which elephants were bred, and a great abundance of gold and pearls was found². He rightly regarded the northern boundary of the country as being formed by the Himalaya, which range was known to him by distinct names in different parts—that towards the west being the Paropamisus, which, as we have seen, lay to the north of Afghanistan; that towards the east, where it was supposed to sink down into the sea, the Imaus; while the central chain, in which the Ganges rose, was called Emodus. In reality the two last of these, Imaus and Emodus, are only two forms of the same native name, Haimavata or Hemota, which signifies "snowy." The western limit was found in the Indus, while on the southern and eastern sides the ocean stretched, the angle between them being formed by a projecting promontory, which represented Cape Comorin. Megasthenes accurately conceived the Indus as flowing from north to south, and reaching the sea by two mouths which enclose its delta; the Ganges also he rightly regarded as following the same direction at first, and afterwards bending eastwards: but of the lower course of the latter river he was clearly ignorant, for he speaks of it as reaching the eastern sea, and as

His Knowledge of India.

Its Boundaries.

The Indus and Ganges.

¹ Strabo, 15. 1. 13.

² Strabo, 15. 1. 14; Pliny. 6. 81.

having only one mouth—a statement which is in strange contrast with the reality. Pataliputra or Palibothra, Chandragupta's capital city, is described as being situated at the junction of the Ganges and the Erannaboas—near the site of the modern Patna—and as forming a parallelogram 80 stades in length by 15 in breadth, surrounded by a palisade loopholed for shooting through¹. The

The Royal Road.

main road through the country—the Royal Road, as it was called—connected the valleys of the Indus and the Ganges. Starting from the former of these rivers it crossed the Punjab, and passing the Hyphasis (Bias) and the Zaradrus or Hesydrus (Sutlej), reached the Jomanes (Jumna), and afterwards the Ganges in its upper course: then it continued to the junction of that river with the Jumna, where Allahabad now stands, and followed the course of the stream to Pataliputra, and

The Rainy Season.

ultimately to its mouth². As regards the climate, Megasthenes notices the rainy season in summer, caused by the south-west monsoon—which he calls the Etesian winds—and the inundations which then took place. As the landmarks were destroyed by these, in like manner as they were in Egypt by the rising of the Nile, regular officials were appointed to determine the boundaries of properties; and they also regulated the storage of water for agricultural purposes in canals which admitted of being closed³.

**Administra-
tion of the
Country.**

The description furnished by Megasthenes of the administration of the country, numerous details of which are confirmed by the ancient Hindu codes of law, gives evidence of an elaborate organisation. The various functions which it involved were divided between three departments—(1) the superintendents of public works, (2) the superintendents of the city, (3) the superintendents of the war department. All these were minutely subdivided, so that the various classes of the population, both in town and country, and the trades and occupations which they exercised, were carefully inspected, the births and deaths registered, the taxes collected, and the public buildings and institutions maintained. We learn also,

¹ Strabo, 15. 1. 36; Arrian, 10.

² Pliny, 6. 63; cp. Strabo, 15. 1. 11.

³ Strabo, 15. 1. 13, 50.

not only that a well equipped force was kept up in all branches of the military service, including chariots and elephants, but that a fleet was employed on the rivers for purposes of war¹. The caste-system also, which at all times The Caste-system. has formed so prominent a feature of Indian life, is described at some length; but the division of the castes, as reported by Megasthenes, differs in many points from what we know to have been the real classification. Instead of the four castes which constituted the primitive system in India—viz. (1) the priests, (2) the warriors, (3) the husbandmen and artisans, and (4) the serfs—he mentions seven—viz. (1) philosophers, (2) husbandmen, (3) shepherds and hunters, (4) artisans and tradesmen, (5) warriors, (6) inspectors, and (7) counsellors. The divergence is in some cases accounted for by his treating classes, which combined to form a single caste, as if they were separate castes. Thus the Brahmans were partly priestly (philosophers), and partly secular (counsellors); and the husbandmen and artisans were associated in the same caste. The shepherds and hunters belonged to one of the impure or mixed castes, which were outside the regular caste-system. The inspectors did not form a caste at all. On the other hand, he does not include the serfs; but this is hardly surprising, owing to the low position which they held. In fact, these mistakes generally are such as an uninitiated person might easily fall into. As regards the rules of the system, by which the castes were strictly separated from one another, so that their members might neither intermarry, nor pass from one caste to another, nor adopt the occupation of another caste, Megasthenes' story is accurate².

The account of the life and character of the Indians which is given by the same authority is singularly pleasing; and though his description may be somewhat Life of the Indians. idealised, a similarly favourable impression of their society at that time is derived from the ancient literature. He speaks of it as being characterised by simplicity and honesty, the exercise of which virtues he illustrates by various practices which

¹ Strabo, 15. 1. 50—52.

² Strabo, 15. 1. 39—41, 46—49; Diodor., 2. 40. 41; Arrian, 11, 12.

were habitual amongst them¹; and Lassen has remarked, when noting the striking contrast which these traits present to the morals of the Indians at the present day, that it was under their Mahometan rulers that that people lost the virtues of truthfulness and honesty². The repulsive custom of *suttee* or widow-burning is not mentioned by Megasthenes, but it was reported by Alexander's companion Aristobulus, though he attributes it only to one particular tribe³. Megasthenes accurately describes the method of catching and taming elephants—which is still in use at the present day—by means of the keddah or stockade, into which a wild herd is driven, then starved into submission, and tamed by animals already domesticated⁴. Most interesting of all is his

The
Brahmans.

notice of the Brahmans, whose tenets he has faithfully detailed. He describes the four stages of their life, as it is known to have existed in ancient

times: the first stage being that of the student; the second that of the householder; the third that of the forest-dweller or hermit, who retires after his sons are grown up to lead a contemplative life in the forest; the fourth that of the religious mendicant, who renounces intellectual as well as domestic interests in preparation for his final absorption into the deity, and wanders about living on alms. In one respect, however, his account varies from that just given, namely that he speaks of those who were passing through the two last of these stages, the forest-dwellers and the mendicants, as if they formed a separate order; but this was probably to a great extent the case when he visited the country, as it is with the *fakirs* at the present day, because in the course of time those who proceeded to the higher stages greatly diminished in number⁵.

We must now turn our thoughts westwards, to consider a

The Voyage
of Pytheas
circ. 330 B.C.

voyage which disclosed to the ancients a world of new ideas concerning the outlying parts of Europe. Hitherto the discoveries, the course of which we

¹ Strabo, 15. 1. 53.

² *Indische Alterthumskunde*, vol. 2, p. 723.

³ Strabo, 15. 1. 62; of the tribe of Taxili Strabo reports—*παρά τισι δ' ἀκούειν φησὶ* [Ἀριστόβουλος] *καὶ συγκατακαίμενας τὰς γυναῖκας τοῖς ἀνδράσιν ἀσμέναι, τὰς δὲ μὴ ὑπομενούσας ἀδοξεῖν.*

⁴ Strabo, 15. 1. 42; Arrian, 13.

⁵ Strabo, 15. 1. 59, 60.

have followed, have lain chiefly in the lands to the east of the Mediterranean ; and it is only natural that they should have been the first investigated, because there civilisation and wealth existed, and these were the inducements by which conquerors and traders were attracted. The articles of commerce which were brought from the far West were few, and together with the knowledge of the countries from which they came, were in the hands of the Phœnicians, whose narrow policy prevented them from communicating to others the information which they obtained. The adventurer who first broke through this monopoly, and proclaimed to the Greeks the wonders of the ocean and the strange sights of Northern Europe, was Pytheas of Massilia, whose voyage into those seas took place about the same time as Alexander's expedition into Asia. His fame has experienced strange vicissitudes of fortune, by reason of the evil report and good report through which it has passed in ancient and modern times. The marvels which he related were such as not easily to obtain credence, and, in consequence of this, first Polybius, and afterwards Strabo, made his name a byword for circulating untrustworthy statements. In our own days he has received more honorable treatment ; and, since that part of his story which to his contemporaries appeared incredible has now been found to correspond to the reality, he has come to be regarded as one of those eminent men whose only fault has been that they lived before their time. The work in which the narrative of his voyage and the results of his explorations were embodied is no longer extant, and our acquaintance with it is mainly derived from excerpts taken from it for controversial purposes, which occur in the writings of his opponents. In addition to these a number of scattered notices of his statements are found in various ancient authors ; and these have been pieced together through the diligence of modern scholars, so that we now possess sufficient material from which to determine the countries which he visited, if not the exact route which he followed. By means of them, also, we are able to trace to Pytheas as its source a large amount of information about the north-west of Europe, which was current in antiquity from his time onward, though the authority for it was unknown. The question of Pytheas' voyage

Varying
Estimates of
him.

His Work.

and of the phenomena which he described is one of too great intricacy to be fully discussed in a notice like the present. All that can be attempted is to put the reader in possession of the main points relating to it, and to state what seem to be the best ascertained conclusions¹.

The object with which Pytheas started on his voyage appears to have been twofold. The jealousy which was felt by the traders of Massilia towards the Phoenicians, on account of their great predominance in the commerce in tin and amber from the coasts of Britain and Germany, notwithstanding a certain amount of overland traffic through Gaul which already existed², probably impelled them to fit out a maritime expedition to explore those regions and extend their influence there; and the command of this they entrusted to Pytheas. This view of the public character of the expedition is not, it is true, supported by any direct evidence; and it may not be safe to attribute much weight, as an argument in its favour, to the statement of Pytheas' habitual detractor Polybius, that he was in poor circumstances, and therefore could not have undertaken it in a private capacity³. But, to say the least, it was most probable that such a voyage should have been made under government auspices; and the motive for it was ready to hand, when we find that the people of Massilia knew that tin came from countries in the far north, for they would naturally desire to find the way thither themselves. For his own part, as an eager scientific enquirer, he seized the opportunity of visiting the lands and seas to the west of Europe, as being a field which might afford a rich harvest to the explorer. Of the attainments of Pytheas in science there can be no question. He was a good astronomer, according to the standard of his age, as was shewn by his determining by means of the gnomon the latitude

Twofold
Object of his
Voyage.

His Scientific
Attainments.

¹ The chief authorities on Pytheas whom I have consulted are Müllenhoff, *Deutsche Altertumskunde*, vol. 1, Elton, *Origins of English History*, and Berger, *Geschichte der Erdkunde*, Pt. 3: the passages in ancient writers which bear on the subject have been conveniently brought together by Mr. Elton in Appendix I. to his work, pp. 400 foll.

² *v. supra*, p. 32.

³ Polyb. ap. Strabon. 2. 4. 2.

of Massilia¹, on which point it has been established by modern observations that his conclusion was almost exactly correct. Indeed, no stronger proof of his eminence in this direction is needed, than the confidence with which he was regarded by Hipparchus, the greatest master of that subject in antiquity, who adopted this as a well ascertained point with a view to comparing the latitude of other places². He was also the first among the Greeks to note the influence of the moon on the tides, and the correspondence between the movements of the one and those of the other. No doubt, the Phœnicians of Gades, to whom the concurrence of these phenomena must have been a matter of constant observation, could not have failed to infer the connexion of the two, but Pytheas was at least the first to report it to his fellow-countrymen³. In the following pages we shall have occasion to notice other contributions of his to scientific and physical geography.

Setting out from Massilia, Pytheas and his companions passed the Straits and visited Gades, after which they continued their voyage round the Sacrum Promontorium (Cape St Vincent) and Cape Finisterre, and then followed the northern coast of Spain and the western coast of Gaul as far as the Armorican promontory⁴. In the latter part of this route they became aware of the depth to which the Bay of Biscay recedes, and of the marked angle that is formed by the projection of Brittany—an observation which Strabo discredited in his distrust of Pytheas' veracity, and consequently fell into the error of making the coast of Gaul follow an almost straight line from the mouth of the Rhine to the Pyrenees⁵. The tribe who

His Route to
Britain.

¹ Strabo, 2. 5. 8, 41.

² See the ref. in Berger, *Die geogr. Fragmente des Hipparch*, p. 58.

³ Plutarch, *De Placitis Philosophorum*, 3. 17; Πυθέας ὁ Μασσαλιώτης τῇ πληρώσει τῆς σελήνης τὰς πλημμύρας γίνεσθαι, τῇ δὲ μειώσει τὰς ἀμπώτιδας.

⁴ Strabo, 3. 2. 11; τὸ τὰ προσαρκτικά μέρη τῆς Ἰβηρίας εὐπαροδώτερα εἶναι πρὸς τὴν Κελτικὴν ἢ κατὰ τὸν ὠκεανὸν πλέουσι, καὶ ὅσα δὲ ἄλλα εἴρηκε Πυθέας πιστεύσας. This passage has been interpreted in various ways, but the right translation of it is this—"the statement [of Eratosthenes] that the northern regions (*i.e.* coasts) of Spain offer an easier route to Celtica than if men cross the open sea, &c."

⁵ Strabo, 1. 4. 5; 4. 4. 1; 4. 5. 1.

inhabited this district of Armorica he calls the Ostimii, and these are evidently the same as the Osismii of Caesar and Strabo. He mentions also the headland of Cabaeon, and the island of Uxisama (Ushant) with the other islands in its neighbourhood; and if, as seems probable, these are the same as the Oestrymnides which were visited by Himilco the Carthaginian¹, his interest in them and in the neighbouring mainland would be explained, as having arisen from the communication which existed between them and Britain in connexion with the tin trade. For the same reason it is natural to assign to this part of his voyage the visit which Pytheas paid to the mining districts in the west of Britain.

**The British
Tin Mines.**

His account of these, which is found in Diodorus, describes the natives—who owing to their intercourse with foreigners were more civilised than the rest of the Britons—as bringing the tin, after it had been smelted, to an island off the neighbouring coast, called Ictis, which was their commercial station. This, we are told, was connected with the mainland by an isthmus, which, though at other times covered with water, was dry at low tide, and allowed of the freight being carried across in waggons. There can be little doubt that the place which is here meant is St Michael's Mount².

**Island of Ictis
(St Michael's
Mount).**

With Britain and its inhabitants Pytheas became familiarly acquainted. He describes it as an island of triangular form, and distinguishes the three angles by the names of the three promontories which formed them—viz. to the north Orcas, to the south-west Belerion, the Land's End, and to the south-east Cantion, the North Foreland, near which point, he remarks, is the outlet of the sea, *i.e.* the

**His Account
of Britain.**

¹ *v. supra*, p. 36.

² Diodor., 5. 22. The evidence that the information here furnished by Diodorus was ultimately derived from Pytheas is given by Müllenhoff, *op. cit.* 1. p. 472. The intermediate authority from whom Diodorus obtained it seems to have been Timaeus the historian, who is quoted by Pliny, in a passage which is confused in its details, as the authority for tin being brought from the island of Mictis (= Ictis): Pliny, 4. 104; Timaeus historicus a Britannia introrsum sex dierum navigatione abesse dicit insulam Mictim in qua candidum plumbum proveniat: ad eam Britannos vitilibus navigiis corio circumsutis navigare.

Straits of Dover. He gives his measurements of the length of the three sides of the island, and though these are greatly in excess of the truth, yet he correctly represents the southern side as being considerably the shortest¹. He further asserted that he had made journeys in the interior; this we know on the authority of his adversary Polybius, though he only mentions the statement to express his disbelief of it². It is amply corroborated, however, by the details which he furnished as to the customs of the inhabitants, for these could only have been related by an eye-witness. We have already noticed his mention of the use of mead as the favourite beverage³; and he also describes how the corn was threshed in large barns, in which the ears were collected, it being impossible to do so in open threshing-floors, as in the south of Europe, on account of the rain and the absence of sun⁴. His remarks on the decrease in the cultivation of the soil in proportion as one advances further towards the north, point strongly in the same direction⁵. Indeed, when we take all these facts into account, and consider what they involve, it is difficult to resist the conclusion that Pytheas reached the northernmost point of Britain. We must remember that as he was the first explorer of these regions, he had absolutely no data on which to go in forming a conception of their characteristic features; and when we find that he is able accurately to describe the shape and position of that island, to assign a name to its extreme headland, to remark on the changes in its vegetation—and still more, as we shall presently see, to make observations about districts further to the north, and gather information about them, which would have been altogether unattainable in a lower latitude—we feel that his claim to have extended his voyage into these remote waters must fairly be conceded

Customs
of the
Inhabitants.

Evidence
in Favour of
his Northern
Voyage.

¹ Diodor., 5. 21.

² Polyb. ap. Strabon., 2. 4. 2; φησι δ' οὖν ὁ Πολύβιος ἄπιστον καὶ αὐτὸ τοῦτο, πῶς ἰδιώτῃ ἀνθρώπῳ καὶ πένητι τὰ τοσαῦτα διαστήματα πλωτὰ καὶ πορευτὰ γένοιτο. In a passage in the section which precedes this Pytheas is represented in the ordinary texts as saying that he had traversed the whole of Britain on foot; but the reading here is too corrupt to allow of our resting any conclusion upon it.

³ v. *supra*, p. 18.

⁴ Strabo, 4. 5. 5.

⁵ *ibid.*

Concerning the route which Pytheas followed in his journey northward we have no evidence; in fact, owing to the fragmentary form in which all the information we possess about him has reached us, it is easier to say throughout the whole course of his expedition what places he visited, than in what order, or from what direction, he visited them. One point, however, cannot be left wholly unnoticed in this connexion, namely the question whether Pytheas penetrated into the Baltic sea. The principal ground for the view that he did so is found in a perplexing passage, in which Polybius makes him assert that he had followed the coast of Europe from Gades as far as the 'Tanais'. Some additional support for it is thought to be afforded by the names of the German and other tribes which he mentions as being found on the mainland in these parts, and also by his account of the lands where amber is found, which is thought to refer to the coast of Germany in the neighbourhood of Danzig, where that material is abundant. The Tanais, according to this supposition, is interpreted to mean the Vistula, which enters the sea in those parts, or perhaps the Dwina in Courland, another amber-producing country. It is much more likely, however, as Müllenhoff has suggested, that the Tanais is here introduced as the traditional boundary between Europe and Asia, and that Pytheas conceived that in the course of his voyage he had advanced much farther towards the east than he really had done, and consequently "slewed round" (so to speak) his map of these parts, so as to bring the coast of the North sea into the same meridian with the Palus Maecotis². Hence, 'as far as the Tanais' would be intended to signify 'as far east as the meridian of the Tanais.' The amber country which he visited is much more likely to have been Friesland on the German Ocean.

Pytheas does not appear to have advanced further towards the north than the extremity of Britain. He nowhere claims to have done so, and his account of the regions beyond this point appears to be given at

¹ Polyb. ap. Strabon. 2. 4. 1; ταῦτα μὲν τὰ τοῦ Πυθέου, καὶ διότι ἐπανελθὼν ἐθέλοντε πᾶσαν ἐπέλθοι τὴν παρωικανίτιν τῆς Εὐρώπης ἀπὸ Ἰαδείρων ἕως Ταναΐδος.

² Müllenhoff, *op. cit.*, 1. pp. 389, 390.

second hand; indeed many of the difficulties which arise in connexion with this part of his narrative disappear, if we suppose that he is interpreting the reports of others. He was acquainted with the remarkable inrush of the sea, and the consequent rise of the water at springtides, which takes place in the Pentland Firth¹; but the existence of this he would learn from the mainland, because that strait passes between the north of Scotland and the Orkneys. He received information also concerning an island called Thule, and it was through him that this name, which was destined to become famous in the works of Roman authors, was reported to the dwellers about the Mediterranean. He states that it was the northernmost of the British islands², and six days' voyage north of Britain, and in the neighbourhood of the frozen ocean³. From these notices it would seem most probable that the island here intended was Mainland, the chief of the Shetland islands. The interval between it and Britain, no doubt, is greatly overestimated, but the same is the case with all Pytheas' computations by sea. Some authorities have supposed that Iceland is meant, and others Lapland; but these conjectures seem to carry us too far afield. Another point of great interest connected with the subject of Thule is his statement that it lay under the Arctic circle, or, as he or his reporter Strabo expressed it, "where the Arctic circle coincides with the summer tropic⁴." That this conclusion was based, not on measurements or personal observation, but on the reports of the Celts of North Britain, seems to be proved by a passage in Geminus the astronomer (*circ.* 80 B.C.), who quotes Pytheas as saying, that the barbarians pointed out to him the sleeping-place of the sun; and also that in those regions the nights were excessively short, extending in some parts

Thule (probably Mainland in the Shetlands).

The Arctic Circle.

"Sleeping-place of the Sun."

¹ Pliny, 2. 217; Octogenis cubitis supra Britanniam intumescere aestus Pytheas Massiliensis auctor est. Cp. Müllenhoff, p. 366.

² Strabo, 2. 5. 8; ὁ μὲν οὖν Μασσαλιώτης Πυθέας τὰ περὶ Θούλην τὴν βορειοτάτην τῶν Βρεττανίδων ὑστατα λέγει.

³ *ibid.*, 1. 4. 2; ἦν φησι Πυθέας ἀπὸ μὲν τῆς Βρεττανικῆς ἐξ ἡμερῶν πλοῦν ἀπέχειν πρὸς ἀρκτον, ἐγγὺς δ' εἶναι τῆς πεπηγυίας θαλάττης.

⁴ Strabo, 2. 5. 8; παρ' οἷς ὁ αὐτός ἐστι τῷ ἀρκτικῷ ὁ θερινὸς τροπικὸς κύκλος. For the explanation of this *v. infra*, p. 179.

only to two hours, in others to three, so that within a brief interval after its setting the sun rose again¹. By the "sleeping-place of the sun" was meant the point at which the sun's rays begin altogether to disappear from view—that is, the Arctic circle, at which during one day in the year the sun does not appear above the horizon. This, of course, would not apply to Shetland, which lies some distance to the south of the Arctic circle, but on such a question the report of "barbarians" could hardly be expected to be accurate. It is not surprising that by later and uncritical writers these accounts were made the subject of much perverse exaggeration, so that it was said that in the north of Europe there was unbroken daylight for six months in the year, and perpetual darkness during the remainder².

The question how far Pytheas determined certain parallels of latitude in the course of his northern expedition is beset by numerous difficulties. We gather from statements in Strabo that Pytheas made such calculations—though how, or on what evidence he did so is not mentioned—at four different points in the neighbourhood of Britain³; and that these were introduced on his authority by Hipparchus into his tables. The first of them gave the length of the longest day as 16 hours, the second as 17 hours, the third as 18 hours, the fourth as 19 hours. Nothing is recorded as to the places at which these observations or computations were made, but they would represent approximately lat. 48°, or Ushant; lat. 54°, or Flamborough Head; lat. 58°, or Tarbet Ness in Rosshire, and lat.

¹ Geminus, *Elementa Astronomiæ*, 5. 22. There is a difficulty here, because Geminus introduces the shortness of the hours of night as the explanation of the expression "sleeping-place of the sun," which in that case would mean "the place where the sun retired for his short repose." But Cosmas Indicopleustes (6th cent. A.D.), reporting the same statement of Pytheas, says that the barbarians explained the term "sleeping-place" as referring to the darkness being continuous through the twenty-four hours (Cosm. Ind., in Montfaucon, *Collectio nova patrum*, vol. 2. p. 149).

² Pliny, 2. 186.

³ 2. 1. 18; 2. 5. 42; cp. Berger, *Die geographischen Fragmente des Hipparch*, pp. 66, 67, where those of the computations, which are not actually said by Strabo to have been made by Pytheas, are proved to be due to him.

61°, the northernmost of the Shetlands¹. But here we are met by the difficulty—how did Pytheas arrive at these results? If they were derived in each case from personal observation of the longest day, his voyage must have extended over something like five years—a supposition which is extremely improbable. If, on the other hand, they were obtained from reports furnished by the natives (which seems much more likely), such estimates would be very untrustworthy, considering how vague the ideas of such persons could not fail to be concerning the divisions of time. It is, no doubt, in favour of Pytheas' statements that they were adopted by Hipparchus, because it shews that the great astronomer had confidence in them; and in order to facilitate our acceptance of them it has been suggested, that only certain elementary observations are to be attributed to Pytheas, while the deductions from them—among which the statements about the length of the longest days in summer, and the greatest height to which the sun rose above the horizon in winter, are to be included—should be credited to Hipparchus. "It was sufficient for Hipparchus," it is said, "if Pytheas declared that in a certain place and on a certain day he had observed the sun at midday to be at a certain elevation above the horizon. From these data Hipparchus could discover the elevation of the sun at that spot at the winter solstice, and could thence determine the latitude of the place". This view of the parts to be assigned to the earlier and the later astronomer respectively would deliver us from the necessity of attributing to Pytheas a greater amount of astronomical knowledge than he probably possessed, and would also get rid of the difficulty involved in the extreme length of time supposed to be occupied by the expedition, if the observations which Strabo mentions were made by him. Such a hypothesis deserves respectful attention, but it cannot be said to receive any support from the authorities which we possess. On the whole, when we take into account the doubts which hang around the evidence for these observations, and the small means of checking it which now exist, it seems safer

¹ See Sir Clements Markham's paper on Pytheas in the *Geographical Journal*, vol. 1. (1893) p. 518.

² Berger, *Geschichte der Erdkunde*, Pt. 3, p. 15.

not to lay any great stress on them in determining the question of the extent of Pytheas' voyage.

One more point in Pytheas' account of these northern countries remains to be noticed which certainly is not the least remarkable. This is his description of the Arctic regions, "in which," he said, "neither land, nor sea, nor air any longer existed separately, but there was, so to speak, a mixture of all three, resembling the *pulmo marinus*, in which the land and the sea and all things floated, and this was as it were the element which held together the universe, while it could not be traversed either by foot or sail. He had himself seen that which resembled the *pulmo marinus*, the rest he reported from hearsay¹." It is certainly not surprising that a description such as this should have aroused scepticism in the minds of the ancients, nor that it should have been a standing puzzle to exercise the ingenuity of modern interpreters. It reads like an account on Pytheas' part of stories communicated to him by the natives concerning the weird unearthly sights—especially the effects of mist and light—which at all times have caused the regions towards the Pole to be a land of marvel, and concerning the strange calms and counter-currents, which often impede navigation in those waters, and among the Romans obtained for them the name of 'the sluggish sea' (*Mare pigrum*). This account he seems to have invested in Platonic language; indeed there is a marked correspondence in certain points between this passage and the description of the world of spirits in the tenth Book of Plato's *Republic*, where a brilliant light is spoken of as 'the bond that holds together the universe'; and the word by which this is expressed (*δεσμός, σύνδεσμος*) is the same which Pytheas employs². Much additional perplexity, however, is introduced into the question by

¹ Strabo, 2. 4. 1.

² See Tacitus, *Agric.*, 10; *Mare pigrum* et grave remigantibus perhibent ne ventis quidem perinde attolli. Also, for the superstitious fancies suggested by the sunlight of the North, *German.* 45; *Extremus cadentis jam solis fulgor in ortum edurat adeo clarus, ut sidera hebetet; sonum insuper emergentis audiri formaeque decorem et radios capitis adspici persuasio adicit.*

³ Plat. *Rep.*, 10. p. 616 B; see Berger, *Geschichte der Erdkunde*, Pt. 3, p. 23.

the comparison of the combination of the elements to the *pulmo marinus*, or jelly-fish; and this, as might be expected, has been interpreted in a great variety of ways. It is hard to think—though this is usually assumed—that it is the material substance, or pulpy mass, formed by these creatures on the surface of the water, to which the general aspect of the northern world is here likened; for the comparison is, to say the least, inapposite. We should also remark that the expression ‘that which resembled’ (τὸ εἰκός), which Pytheas uses, applies just as well to a feature of the scene, as to a material object. It may perhaps be worthy of consideration, in view of the great difficulty which the passage involves, whether the point of comparison in the *pulmo marinus* which is here intended is, not its gelatinous substance, but its phosphorescent appearance. These Medusae are found in the northern ocean¹, and their vast swarms are known to be accompanied by marvellous effects of luminous brilliancy². If the phenomenon which the natives reported, and which Pytheas himself saw, was a peculiar glare of light upon the surface of the sea, it would be suitable enough to remark on the resemblance which this presented to the jelly-fish.

Comparison
to the Pulmo
Marinus.

Finally, before retracing his course to Massilia, Pytheas visited the district from which amber was obtained. His acquaintance with this we discover by a comparison of several passages in Pliny, the statements contained in which are undoubtedly derived from his work, either directly, or through the medium of Timaeus the historian, who borrowed largely from it. These are introduced, after the manner of Pliny, without any attempt at criticism, but we seem to gather from them with a fair approach to certainty, that the land which is indicated is the coast of Friesland and the adjacent islands

The Amber
Coast.

¹ See Elton, *Origins*, p. 70 note 1.

² It has now been discovered by naturalists that the jelly-fish are not themselves phosphorescent. They swarm, however, at the same time and under the same conditions with various marine animals which are luminiferous, and it seems to be from this cause that phosphorescence is attributed to them. In any case—and this is the important point for our argument—the popular idea has generally been that they possess this quality.

between the mouths of the Rhine and the Elbe, and perhaps also Schleswig, further to the north, with its fringe of islands. Thus

Testimony
of Pliny and
Diodorus.

in one passage he remarks that opposite Britain, dispersed over the German sea, were the Glaesiae islands, which the later Greeks called the Electrides, because amber (*electrum*) was produced there¹. And again he reports, that a day's sail from an estuary of the ocean, called Mentonomon, on which the tribe of Guttones dwelt, was the island of Abalus; and to it in the spring-time the waves carried the amber, which was the scum of sea-water solidified: the natives used it in place of fire-wood, and sold it to their neighbours the Teutoni². Elsewhere he mentions an island called Baunonia, on the coast of the North Sea, "over against Scythia," in which amber was found³; and Diodorus in the same connexion speaks of an island named Basilia, "in that part of Scythia which is beyond Gaul," from which amber was brought to the mainland, and thence exported to the Mediterranean⁴. As regards the islands called Glaesiae

The Word
'glæsum.'

it should be remarked that the word *glæsum*—which, according to Pliny and Tacitus, was the native name for amber⁵—notwithstanding that the latter of these two writers attributes its origin to the Aestii on the shores of the Baltic, was probably learnt by the Romans from the inhabitants of this North Sea coast, for it represents the Anglo-Saxon word for amber, 'glær,' and was given to that material because of its brightness, being etymologically the same with the English verb 'to glare⁶.' At the present day, though the Baltic coasts furnish a much larger supply of amber than the islands of the North Sea, there is still a considerable export of that article from the west coast of Schleswig.

¹ Pliny, 4. 103.

² *ibid.* 37. 35.

³ *ibid.* 4. 94.

⁴ Diodor. 5. 23. 1, 5; cp. Pliny, 37. 36.

⁵ Pliny, 37. 42; certum est gigni in insulis septentrionalis oceani et ab Germanis appellari glæsum. Tac. *Germ.* 45; succinum, quod ipsi glæsum vocant.

⁶ Müllenhoff, *op. cit.*, 1. p. 482; Skeat, *Etym. Dict.*, s. v. 'glære.'

CHAPTER IX.

MATHEMATICAL GEOGRAPHY.

Slow Development of Mathematical Geography—Impulse given to it by Aristotle, by Subsequent Expeditions, and by the Museum of Alexandria—Spherical Form of the Earth—Aristotle's Arguments for it—Argument from Objects seen on the Sea Horizon—Strabo's Statement of it—Measurement of the Earth—Method employed before Eratosthenes—Method of Eratosthenes—Criticism of it—Eratosthenes' Measurement of the Habitable World—Its Breadth—Its Length—Parallels of Latitude—First Parallel of Eratosthenes—Other Parallels—The *Climata* of Hipparchus *circ.* 140 B.C.—Meridians of Longitude—Theory of Zones—Aristotle's View—Virgil's Description—Eratosthenes' Map of the World—Shape of the Inhabited World—His Sphragides or 'Seals'—His Geographical Treatise—Its Contents—Its Chief Errors.

THE remarkable development in the study of mathematical geography which took place during the third century before Christ was due to the concurrent influence of several causes. For a considerable time after speculation first began to be awakened on this subject the views which were entertained about it continued to be very unscientific, and even where one school of thinkers made advances in the direction of the truth, their opinions were rejected or ignored by other schools, and still more by the prejudices of the vulgar. Questions relating to such topics as the form of the earth, the division of its surface into zones, and the existence of an ocean encompassing the habitable world, had been started, but either had received no satisfactory answer or had been determined on grounds which would not bear the test of argument. In the course of time astronomical observers, like Eudoxus of Cnidos (*circ.* 365 B.C.), contributed the data for establishing more satisfactory conclusions, and others in the

Slow Development of Mathematical Geography.

course of speculation succeeded in anticipating, though they could not demonstrate, some of the truths which modern science has established. Thus Heracleides Ponticus, the associate of Plato, taught the rotation of the earth on its axis, though still regarding it as the centre of the universe¹. But it was reserved

**Impulse
given to it by
Aristotle,** for the master-mind of Aristotle to place these subjects in their true light according to the know-

ledge that was then available, and by the application of a strictly scientific method to establish the principles in accordance with which the investigation should be pursued. Yet for the discussion of many of the points in question the information which Aristotle possessed was insufficient. Had his *Meteorologica*, the work in which most of his opinions on mathematical and physical geography are contained, been composed after, instead of before, Alexander's Eastern expedition, the case might have been different; but at the time when he wrote, the knowledge that was available concerning the surface of the earth and the features which it presented was too restricted to admit of adequate conclusions being deduced from it. By means of that

**by Subsequent
Expeditions,** expedition and of the observations of the men of science who accompanied it, and by the discoveries

of Pytheas in the north and west of Europe, and the increasing acquaintance with the Indian Ocean and the neighbouring coasts which arose through the explorations set on foot by the Ptolemies, the enquirers who followed him were in a position to advance more boldly, and to determine numerous principles which were of the highest importance both for the theoretical conception of the globe and for the practical purposes of map-making. Finally, the establishment of the

**and by the
Museum of
Alexandria.**

Museum at Alexandria provided a central point towards which all these researches might converge, and a great genius who could avail himself of them in the person of Eratosthenes of Cyrene, who occupied the post of librarian of that institution for the space of more than forty years (240—196 B.C.).

The question of the sphericity of the earth is the one which

¹ Cornewall Lewis, *Astronomy of the Ancients*, p. 171.

first demands our attention, because on it almost every point connected with mathematical geography depends. The Pythagoreans were the earliest teachers who maintained this doctrine, but, as far as we can ascertain, they did so, not by means of any formal proof, but on the ground of the fitness of things, because the circle is the most perfect figure. This view met with no acceptance from the philosophers of the Ionian school, nor was it adopted by Hecataeus, or even by Herodotus¹. It is probable enough that Eudoxus furnished mathematical proof of it, for he was well qualified to do so by the knowledge of astronomy which he possessed, but on this point we have no evidence. The first writer in whose works definite arguments on the subject are found is Aristotle. His mode of proof is twofold. First he deduces it from the law of gravitation, or, as he expresses it, the tendency of all things towards the centre. By the action of this, when the earth was in the course of formation, and the component elements were coming together equally from every quarter, the mass thus formed by accretion was so constituted that its entire circumference must be equidistant from its centre. Secondly, he infers it from what is seen to take place in lunar eclipses; for, when the earth is interposed between the sun and the moon, the spherical form of the obscured part of the moon's surface shews that the body which causes the obscuration is also spherical². It appears strange that the proof which to us is the most familiar, because it appeals directly to the eye and to ordinary experience, viz. the sight of distant objects gradually revealing themselves above the horizon:—

Spherical
Form of the
Earth.

Aristotle's
Arguments
for it.

Argument
from Objects
seen on the Sea
Horizon.

‘the first beam glittering on a sail,
That brings our friends up from the under world’—

should not have been employed until a late period by the ancients. We can hardly think it did not occur to them; and by a converse line of argument Archimedes (250 B.C.), who regarded the sphericity of the earth as sufficiently proved, deduced from that doctrine

¹ *v. supra*, pp. 60, 72, 78.

² Aristot. *De Cælo*, 2. 14. 8, 9, 13.

the inference that the surface of the sea must be convex—a conclusion which would naturally involve the gradual revelation of objects approaching upon it¹. He does not however notice this; still less does he use the fact as an argument to support the view

Strabo's Statement of it. that the earth is circular. Strabo is the first author who so employs it, and by him it is clearly stated.

"It is evident," he says, "that, when persons on ship-board are unable to see at a distance lights which are on a level with the eye, the cause of this is the curvature of the sea; for, if those lights are raised to a higher level, they become visible, even though the distance be increased; and in like manner, if the beholder attains a greater elevation, he sees what was previously hidden..... Again, when men are approaching the land from the sea, the parts near the shore-line come more and more in view, and objects which at first appeared low attain a greater elevation." Thus the evidences which were adduced to prove the spherical form of the earth varied somewhat at different periods, but the doctrine itself was accepted without question from the time of Aristotle onward, and even before his age, by all scientific men. The same thing is true of other points, in which the principles of scientific geography depended more or less on astronomical observations, such as the division of the globe into two hemispheres by the equator, and the position of the lesser circles, called the tropics, which are parallel to it. In every case, however, the earth was regarded as forming the centre of the universe.

The idea of measuring the circumference of the earth seems to have presented itself to the minds of the Greek philosophers at a comparatively early time. When **Measurement of the Earth.** Aristophanes, in the *Clouds*, represents the disciple of Socrates in his thinking-shop as saying that the object of geometry was the measurement of the whole earth², he implies

¹ Archimed., *De iis quae in humido vehuntur*, lib. 1, probl. 2.; Παντός ὕδατος ἡσυχάζοντος ὥστε ἀκίνητον μένειν ἢ ἐπιφάνεια σφαιροειδὴς ἔσται ἔχουσα τὸ αὐτὸ τῇ γῇ κέντρον (*Opera*, vol. 2, p. 357, ed. Heiberg).

² Strabo, i. i. 20.

³ Aristoph., *Nub.* 202—4:

ΜΑΘ. γεωμετρία. ΣΤ. τοῦτ' οὖν τί ἐστὶ χρήσιμον;

ΜΑΘ. γῆν ἀναμετρεῖσθαι. ΣΤ. πότῃ τὴν κληρουχικήν;

ΜΑΘ. οὐκ, ἀλλὰ τὴν σύμπασαν.

that the solution of some such problem was contemplated by the meteorologists of that time, whose doctrines he desired to impute to Socrates. A similar attempt seems to be attributed to Archytas (*circa* 400 B.C.) by Horace, when he speaks of him as 'the measurer of land and sea'. At a later period Aristotle mentions the conclusion arrived at by certain mathematicians, whom he does not name, to the effect that its circumference amounted to 400,000 stadia, or 40,000 geographical miles; and in this he acquiesces, though without putting it forward as the result of his own calculations¹. The system of measurement which we find to have been employed before the time of Eratosthenes was this:

Method
employed
before
Eratosthenes.

Two places on the earth's surface having been selected, which were believed to be situated on the same meridian, and the distance between which had already been estimated, the points in the heavens which were vertical at those places respectively were next determined, and the arc of the circumference of the heavens which intervened between them was measured. The proportion which this arc bore to the entire circumference of the heavens was then calculated, and from the result the proportion which the distance between the corresponding places on the terrestrial sphere bore to the entire sphere was inferred. The interval between those places having been already calculated, it was possible, by multiplying the distance by the number of times that this arc was contained in the entire terrestrial sphere, to measure the circumference of the earth. The places chosen for the application of this method were Syene in Upper Egypt and Lysimachia on the Hellespont, which were supposed to be on the same meridian, and to be distant 20,000 stadia from one another. The points which corresponded to them in the celestial sphere were Cancer to Syene and Draco to Lysimachia, and the arc that intervened between them was found to be one-fifteenth of the entire circle of the heavens. Hence it was concluded that the circumference of

¹ Hor., *Od.* 1. 28. 1, 2 :

Te maris et terrae numeroque carentis arenae
Mensorem.

² Aristot., *De Caelo*, 2. 14. 16.

the earth amounted to 300,000 stadia, or 30,000 miles¹. The roughly approximate character of the data for this calculation, in respect both of the points determined in the heavens, and of the estimate of distances on the earth's surface, a portion of which had to be calculated by sea from the reports of navigators, necessarily involved considerable inaccuracy in the result. It is not improbable that this observation is to be attributed to Aristotle's pupil, Dicaearchus—whose importance for geography is shewn by his being enumerated among the greatest masters of that science by Strabo at the commencement of his work²—but it is certainly later than the time of Aristotle, since the city of Lysimachia was not founded until 309 B.C.


The method of investigation which Eratosthenes pursued, though less simple than this, was one which guaranteed more accurate results. The gnomon which he used as the instrument for his observations was an upright staff set in the midst of a *scaphe* or bowl, which was so arranged as to correspond to the celestial hemisphere, only inverted, and was marked with lines like a dial. By means of this he discovered that, at Alexandria at the summer solstice, the shadow of the gnomon at midday measured one-fiftieth part of the meridian—*i.e.* of a great circle of the heavens, as measured on the *scaphe*. He assumes at starting the following points—(1) that all the sun's rays fall to the earth parallel to one another; (2) that when one straight line falls on two parallel straight lines, the alternate angles are equal; (3) that, if arcs of different circles subtend equal angles at the centre, they bear the same proportion to the whole circumference of the circles of which they are parts; so that, if one of them, for instance, is a tenth part of its circle, the same will be the case with the others. He also takes it as proved that Syene and Alexandria are on the same meridian, and that the distance between them is 5,000 stadia. He then proceeds to argue as follows. In Syene (*B*), which was regarded by the ancients as lying under the tropic, at the summer solstice a ray of the sun (*AB*) when on the meridian, falling on the point of the gnomon, would coincide

¹ Berger, *Geschichte der Erdkunde*, Pt. 2, pp. 45, 46.

² *Ibid.*, Pt. 3, pp. vii. and 44; Strabo, l. 1. 1.

with the axis of the gnomon, so as to cast no shadow, and, if produced, would strike the earth's centre (E). In Alex-

Northern Ray Southern Ray



andria at the same time a ray of the sun (CD), falling on the point of the gnomon (D), would form an angle with the axis of the gnomon (DG). Now, if the axis of the gnomon at Alexandria (DG) is produced to the centre of the earth (E), the line thus drawn (DGE) would intersect the lines formed by these two rays (CDH , ABE), and form alternate angles with them; one of these angles (BED) being at the centre of the earth (E), the other (EDH) at the point where the northernmost of the two rays meets the point of the gnomon at Alexandria (D). But, since alternate angles are equal, and the arcs of a circle which subtend equal angles bear the same proportion to the circles of which they are segments, the arc of the celestial sphere marked by the shadow of the gnomon on the *scaphe* at Alexandria (FG) must correspond to the arc of the great circle of the earth which lies between Syene and Alexandria (BD). Consequently, since it has been already shewn that the shadow of the gnomon at Alexandria measures one-fiftieth part of the great circle of the heavens, the arc between Syene and Alexandria must be one-fiftieth of the great circle of the earth; and as the distance between Syene and Alexandria is 5,000 stadia, the great

circle of the earth must be 250,000 stadia, or 25,000 geographical miles¹.

This calculation involved two minor errors. One of these arose from the belief that the earth was a perfect sphere, instead of being flattened at the poles—a mistake which was unavoidable according to the knowledge of that time. The other was caused by Syene being regarded as lying directly under the tropic, whereas in reality it was 37 miles to the northward of it. This slight inaccuracy was caused by the imperfection of the methods of observation then employed, for the position of the tropic was calculated partly from the sun being seen from the bottom of a well at the summer solstice, and therefore being considered vertical, and partly from the gnomon casting no shadow at that time². A more considerable error proceeded from the distance between Syene and Alexandria being overestimated, for the number of stadia assumed for this by Eratosthenes is in excess by more than one-fifth. Still, after all deductions have been made, the general accuracy of the result is very striking; for whereas the real circumference of the earth at the equator is 25,000 English miles, Eratosthenes estimates the great circle of the meridian at 25,000 geographical miles, which is about one-seventh part in excess. By the ancients it was regarded as an extraordinary achievement of science, and immense importance was attached to it³.

Eratosthenes also endeavoured to estimate the dimensions of the habitable world. For this attempt the increased acquaintance with the surface of the globe which had arisen since the time of Aristotle afforded especial facilities, and it had already been essayed

Eratosthenes' Measurement of the Habitable World.

¹ This account of Eratosthenes' measurement is given by Cleomedes, *De Motu Circulari Corporum Caelestium*, 1. 10, pp. 95—101, ed. Ziegler.

² Strabo, 17. 1. 48; ἐν δὲ τῇ Σύνῃ καὶ τὸ φρέαρ ἐστὶ τὸ διασημαίνον τὰς θερμὰς τροπὰς, διότι τῇ τροπικῇ κύκλῳ ὑπόκειται αἱ τόποι οὗτοι· ἀπὸ γὰρ τῶν ἡμετέρων τόπων, λέγω δὲ τῶν Ἑλλαδικῶν, προΐουσιν ἐπὶ τὴν μεσημβρίαν ἐνταῦθα πρῶτον ὁ ἥλιος κατὰ κορυφὴν ἡμῶν γίνεται καὶ ποιεῖ τοὺς γινόμενους ἀσκίους κατὰ μεσημβρίαν· ἀνάγκη δὲ κατὰ κορυφὴν ἡμῶν γινόμενον καὶ εἰς τὰ φρέατα βάλλειν μέχρι τοῦ ὕδατος τὰς αὐγὰς, κἂν βαθύτατα ᾖ· κατὰ κἄθετον γὰρ ἡμῖς τε ἑσταμεν καὶ τὰ ὀρύγματα τῶν φρεάτων κατεσκευάσται.

³ Bunbury, *Hist. of Anc. Geogr.*, 1. pp. 621—625.

by Dicæarchus. Following Pytheas as his authority, Eratosthenes fixed the northern limit at the parallel of Thule, which he regarded as coinciding with the Arctic circle. In the opposite direction—since the idea which once prevailed that the region between the tropics was uninhabitable had been dispelled by the knowledge that Syene was on the tropic of Cancer, and that Meroë and other inhabited places lay far beyond that line—he determined the limit at the furthest spot towards the south in which at that period men were known to exist, the land of the Sembritæ (Sennaar). In the same parallel with this he rightly placed the Cinnamon region (Somaliland), and also, by a happy conjecture, Taprobane (Ceylon) to the southward of India. The interval between these two limits, and with it the breadth of the inhabited world, he estimated, according to the rough calculations which were available in that age—following a meridian line drawn from Meroë to the mouth of the Borysthenes, and from thence to the parallel of Thule—at 38,000 stadia.

Its Breadth.

Its Length.

In computing the length of the same area from west to east, he was able to avail himself of the calculations which had been made, first by the companions of Alexander, and afterwards by Megasthenes and Patrocles, of the extent of the newly discovered portions of the continent of Asia. These however were partly due to conjecture; and since much of the remaining distance had to be measured by sea, it was necessary here again to trust to the vague estimates of sailors, which owing to the delays and uncertainties of navigation were usually in excess of the reality. The parallel which he selected for this measurement followed the line of the Mediterranean, and afterwards that of the great central mountain-chain of Asia, the Taurus and the Himalaya. Starting from a point in the Atlantic to the westward of the Pillars of Hercules which would be on the same meridian as the Armorican promontory in Gaul—for he regarded this as lying further to the west than the Sacrum Promontorium in Spain (Cape St Vincent)—he calculated the distances by sea as far as the gulf of Issus, and thence by land to the furthest extremity of India. The total thus obtained amounted to 77,800 stadia, an estimate which exceeds the reality by about one-third¹

¹ Strabo, I. 4. 2, 5.

Accordingly, the length of the inhabited world by his calculation was slightly more than double its breadth. This entire area, together with the inconsiderable tracts towards the extreme north and south which lay beyond its limits, he regarded as surrounded by the ocean; and in confirmation of this view he adduced the phenomenon of the tides, the movement of which was the same, on whatever part of the coast of the outer sea they had been observed¹.

In the course of this examination of the attempts on the part of the Greeks to measure the circumference of the earth and the length and breadth of the habitable world, we have to some extent anticipated the subject of the earliest determination of parallels and meridians, which has gradually developed into our present system of lines of latitude and longitude. Owing to the limited knowledge of the time, and the small number of places whose position had been ascertained by scientific observation, it was natural that the same data should be employed for both sets of enquiries; and consequently we find that the first parallel was drawn from the Sacrum Promontorium and the Pillars of Hercules to the extremity of India, and the first meridian from Meroë to the mouth of the Borysthenes. The former of these two lines in its course from one end of the Mediterranean to the other was regarded as crossing the Straits of Messina, and passing the southern extremity of the Peloponnese and the island of Rhodes, until it reached the gulf of Issus². The latitude of Rhodes had been determined by Eratosthenes himself by means of the gnomon, and it would seem that that of the Straits of Gibraltar also must have been observed—though by whom we know not—for in reality these two places are almost on the same parallel. The only point at which we discover a considerable error of measurement is the Straits of Messina, which are placed as far south as Malta. At this we can hardly be

Parallels of
Latitude.

First Parallel
of Erato-
sthenes.

¹ Strabo, l. i. 8; τοῖς τε πάθεσι τοῦ ὠκεανοῦ τοῖς περὶ τὰς ἀμπάτεϊς καὶ τὰς πλημμυρίδας ὁμολογεῖ τοῦτο μᾶλλον· πάντῃ γοῦν ὁ αὐτὸς τρόπος τῶν τε μεταβολῶν ὑπάρχει καὶ τῶν αὐξήσεων καὶ μειώσεων, ἥ οὐ πολὺ παραλλάττων, ὥς ἐν ἐπὶ ἐνὸς πελάγους τῆς κινήσεως ἀποδιδομένη καὶ ἀπὸ μᾶς αἰτίας.

² *Ibid.* 2. i. 1.

surprised, when we consider that here the reports of voyagers were the only source of information; and, as regards the rest of the computation, what strikes us most is its approximate accuracy. In a lesser degree the same remark applies to the continuation of the same parallel through the Asiatic continent, for the two first points through which it was drawn—Thapsacus on the Euphrates and the Pylæ Caspiæ—are nearly in the same latitude with the gulf of Issus, and the third—the foot of the Paropamisus range—is at no extravagant distance to the southward of it; while the remainder of the line is deflected towards the south-east, since it was supposed to follow the course of the Himalaya as far as the Eastern Ocean. Eratosthenes, also, as we have seen, placed the Arctic circle in Thule; and towards the south he drew another parallel through Meroë. The latitude of this place had been determined by a Greek called Philon, who had travelled in Aethiopia, and had calculated the number of days before the summer solstice when the sun became vertical there, and had also observed the shadow of the gnomon¹. Other places, the latitudes of which were known at this time, were Syene, which was on the tropic, Alexandria, which had been measured by Eratosthenes, and Massilia by Pytheas: but as the gnomon, which was the sole instrument available for this purpose, was not in common use, the number of observations was very limited. Other measurements, indeed, were taken by noticing the length of the longest days and nights at certain points—which according to Strabo was done for the parallel through Gades and Rhodes²; but since this must have been accomplished as a rule by residents in those places, because an explorer would not necessarily be on the spot on the longest day, they could not have been numerous.

Other
Parallels.

A marked development of the theory of parallels of latitude, especially from the point of view of scientific cartography, was made by the astronomer Hipparchus in his system of *climata*, or belts of latitude. He took for his starting-point Eratosthenes' calculation of 250,000

The Climate
of Hipparchus
circ. 140 B.C.

¹ Strabo, 2. 1. 20.

² 2. 5. 14; συμφωνεῖν γὰρ καὶ τὰ ὕψος καὶ τοὺς ἀνέμους φασὶ τοὺς ἐκείνους φοροῦν καὶ τὰ μήκη τῶν μεγίστων ἡμερῶν τε καὶ νυκτῶν.

stadia for the circumference of the earth—or rather 252,000, that modification having been adopted with a view to greater convenience in division—and this he subdivided into 360 degrees of 700 stadia each. Then, taking the meridian line through Meroë and the mouth of the Borysthenes, he divided the fourth part of this circle which intervened between the equator and the pole, into sections of 700 stadia each, and drew parallels corresponding to them. The spaces on the earth's surface which intervened between these parallels, and each of which was equal to a degree of latitude, he called *climata*—a term which was afterwards applied to the temperature of those areas, and thus assumed the sense in which 'climate' is used at the present day. He also described the changes in the position of the objects in the celestial sphere which corresponded to each of these degrees, proceeding northwards from the equator¹. This scheme, it will be perceived, was purely mathematical, and was determined independently of the position of places on the earth's surface; but after drawing out this table of parallels, Hipparchus proceeded to mark upon it those places, the latitude of which had been determined by astronomical observations—that is, by reckoning the number of the hours of the longest day. A plan such as this, however, though it might be theoretically perfect, required, in order to apply it in practice, a larger amount of information than that age could furnish. Nothing less than the combined action of a number of scientific associations at various stations, whose members might observe the movements of the heavenly bodies, and after comparing them might note the results on Hipparchus' tables, together with an organised system of collecting information from travellers, merchants and others, whose employments led them into distant lands, could have made a map constructed on such principles anything more than an arrangement of lines diversified with scattered names. These conditions, it need hardly be said, were not forthcoming, and the excessiveness of the claim which the scheme of Hipparchus involved stood in the way of even its partial realisation. It may have served to point out to men of science at a later period the true method to be followed, but for the time it discouraged the study of

¹ Strabo, 2. 5. 34.


mathematical geography, and restricted it to a narrow circle of students¹.

The attempt to determine meridians of longitude was attended with far greater difficulties than any which stood in the way of measurements of latitude. In default Meridians of Longitude. of the magnetic needle, there was no instrument in this case which could afford help, as the gnomon did for parallels; and moreover, since the ancients divided the day and the night into twelve hours each, irrespectively of the difference of the two at different times of the year, the length of the hours varied, except at the equinoxes, so that comparisons of the time of day at different places could not fail to be inexact. Consequently, the estimate in every instance was made to depend entirely on the calculations of unscientific observers. In treating of Herodotus, we have noticed how that writer feels his way towards a meridian line, when he describes the situation of Pteria by its position relatively to Sinope, which lay due north of it; and also when, in tracing the correspondence between the mouths of the Nile and the Ister, which he supposed to be opposite to one another, he draws a line between them through Cilicia Tracheia and Sinope². This line was the best that was available for the Greeks for the purpose of observing a meridian, because their traders reached both ends of it in the course of their communication with Egypt on the one hand and their colonies to the north of the Euxine on the other. In consequence of this, and also, after the foundation of Alexandria, of the facilities which existed for pursuing the same line to the southward along the Nile valley, this direction was followed by the meridian which, as we have seen, was chosen for the earliest measurement of the earth's circumference, and was subsequently adopted by Eratosthenes. The points through which the last-named geographer drew it were, to the southward of Alexandria, Syene and Meroë, and to the northward, Rhodes,

¹ The detailed account of the different *climata* of the inhabited world, which Strabo has given in 2. 5. 35 foll., is irreconcilable with his statement of Hipparchus' views in § 34; and must be regarded in the main as emanating from Strabo himself, and not from Hipparchus. See Berger, *Die geographischen Fragmente des Hipparch*, pp. 41, 42.

² *supra*, pp. 79, 80.

the Troad, Byzantium, and the mouth of the Borysthenes¹. The accompanying diagram will shew how greatly the latter portion of



(from Peschel, *Geschichte der Erdkunde*, p. 50)

this line deviates from the true meridian. The same criticism will apply to another meridian which Eratosthenes drew further to the west, viz. that through Carthage, the Straits of Messina, and Rome²; for Rome, which is intermediate in longitude between the other two, is more than two degrees to the east of Carthage, and more than three degrees to the west of the Straits. Hipparchus pointed out that the true method of determining longitudes was by the comparative observation of eclipses³, but we have no evidence to shew that any such investigations were instituted by him. Indeed, in this matter, even more than in the determination of the *climata* to which certain places were to be assigned, the requisite facilities were not forthcoming.

¹ Strabo, 2. 5. 7.

² *Ibid.*, 2. 1. 40.

³ *Ibid.*, 1. 1. 12; εὐ δὲ καὶ Ἰππάρχος ἐν τοῖς πρὸς Ἐρατοσθένη διδάσκει, ὅτι παντὶ, καὶ ἰδιώτῃ καὶ τῷ φιλομαθοῦντι, τῆς γεωγραφικῆς ἱστορίας προσηκούσης ἀδύνατον λαβεῖν ἀνευ τῆς τῶν οὐρανίων καὶ τῆς τῶν ἐκλειπτικῶν τηρήσεων ἐπιπίσεως.

The division of the earth into zones, or belts of temperature, which was first promulgated by Parmenides¹, was based on a similar division which had already been drawn out for the celestial sphere. The scheme of this philosopher included a torrid zone, which was uninhabitable on account of the heat, two frigid zones, which were uninhabitable on account of the cold, and two intermediate zones, which were of moderate temperature, and fitted for the habitation of man. The limits, however, which were to be assigned to these belts were for some time variously estimated, but the habitable area was gradually extended both towards the north and the south, in proportion as the knowledge of the remoter tribes that were found in both those directions increased. Aristotle is the first writer in whom we find an attempt to determine these limits on scientific principles. He defined the temperate zone as the belt which lay between the tropic and the arctic circle—a statement, the accuracy of which must depend on the meaning he attached to the latter of these terms. The earlier sense which the expression ‘arctic circle’ bore among the Greeks, was that of a circle in the northern heavens marking the limit in that direction of the stars which never set. From this point of view, every latitude had a different arctic circle; and this accounts for the name ‘arctic,’ because in the latitude of Greece, where it was first used, the Great Bear just sweeps the sea but does not set—‘arctos oceani metuentes aequore tingi.’ According to the modern view, on the other hand, the arctic circle is fixed at the point on the earth’s surface where during one day of the year the sun does not rise above the horizon. It is difficult to determine which of these two senses is the one which Aristotle intended. From his own brief statement of his opinions, as far as it is intelligible, and also from Strabo’s account of them, we should suppose that he uses the term in the modern and scientific sense; but the criticisms which are passed upon him by Posidonius and Strabo imply that he treated the arctic circle as varying with the latitude of the place of observation². At a later period, at all events, the

Theory of
Zones.

Aristotle’s
View.

¹ *v. supra*, p. 60.

² *Ar. Meteorol.*, 2. 5. 10; *Strabo*, 2. 2. 2.

more accurate theory was regarded as established; and the practical application of it was facilitated by the discovery, which had not yet come to the knowledge of the Greeks in Aristotle's time, that Syene was on the tropic, and by Pytheas' report that the arctic circle passed through Thule. Aristotle also maintained that both the temperate zones were habitable, but did not enter into the question whether the south temperate zone was actually inhabited¹. The view which prevailed among the ancients with

regard to the whole subject is sketched in outline by Virgil in a familiar passage of the *Georgics*, the leading points in which are borrowed from the *Hermes*, an astronomical poem by Eratosthenes. In this it will be seen that the terrestrial zones are represented as corresponding to celestial phenomena; and also that both the temperate zones, but they only, are regarded as habitable. "Five zones there are which gird the heaven," the poet says, "whereof one ever glows with the blazing sun and ever is parched with fire; and round it to right and left sweep the two outermost, stiff with blue ice and lowering with storms; while two between these and the central zone are granted by the bounty of the gods to suffering mortals, and between them a path has been drawn, along which the procession of the signs of the zodiac might turn in slanting course²."

Virgil's
Description.

Eratosthenes'
Map of the
World.

We learn from Strabo that Eratosthenes' primary object in the study of geography was to reform the map of the world³. It cannot be doubted that before his time a great advance had been made in the construction of maps since the days of Anaximander, but we have little means of knowing in what this progress consisted, though it is certain that Dicaearchus contributed largely to it. In the course of the present chapter we have seen how Eratosthenes determined the dimensions of the globe, the extent of the inhabited world, and the positions of the chief parallel and the chief meridian which intersected it. He proceeded to inscribe

¹ Ar. *Meteorol.*, 2. 5. 11, 16.

² Virg. *Georg.*, 1. 233—9. The passage in the *Hermes* of Eratosthenes is given in Conington's note *ad loc.*

³ Strabo, 2. 1. 2.

the inhabited world in a parallelogram the sides of which just touched its extremities, and then drew across it eight parallels of latitude and seven meridians of longitude, including the two just mentioned, at irregular distances from one another, these distances being determined by the situation of the chief points whose position had been ascertained either by scientific observations or by the reports of travellers. The spots through which the parallels were drawn were, beginning from the south, the Cinriamon Region, Meroë, Syene, Alexandria, Rhodes, the Troad, the mouth of the Borysthenes, and Thule; while those that were crossed by the meridians were the Pillars of Hercules, Carthage, Alexandria, Thapsacus on the Euphrates, the Caspian Gates, the mouth of the Indus, and that of the Ganges. He treated the inhabited world as an island, and made it in shape an irregular oblong, the extremities of which tapered off to a point both to east and west, the lines of coast converging on the one side towards the land of the Coniacy at the furthest extremity of India, on the other towards the Sacrum Promontorium in Spain. His authorities for this outline were—towards the south-west probably the *Periplus* of Hanno, towards the south-east the voyage of Nearchus, towards the north-east the writings of Patrocles, and towards the north-west Pytheas. Ignoring the prevailing division into three continents, he divided this area into a northern and a southern portion, the limit between which was formed by the Mediterranean Sea and the Taurus mountains, *i.e.* the range which intersected the whole of Asia. For purposes of description he introduced a further subdivision of this into *sphragides* or 'seals'¹—a term, which reminds us somewhat of the *actae* of Herodotus, and is not less enigmatical than that expression. It was intended to designate sections of the earth's surface; but even the principle by which these were determined is not clear, since they do not seem to have corresponded throughout either to geometrical partitions or to territories marked by natural boundaries. Our means of judging of this point, however, are very limited, since Strabo's account of them, on which we have to depend, is confined to a part of the continent of Asia.

Shape of the
Inhabited
World.

His Sphrag-
ides or 'Seals.'

¹ Strabo, 2. 1. 23 foll.

In this, the first *sphragis* is formed by India, the second by Ariana, that is, the Iran plateau with the districts in its neighbourhood, the third by the country between the mountains of Media and the Euphrates, and the fourth by the region to the westward of that last named as far as the Mediterranean. At this limit our information ceases.

The treatise in which the geographical researches of Eratosthenes were embodied was divided into three books.

**His Geographical
Treatise. Its
Contents.**

The first of these contained a sketch of the progress of the study of the subject from the earliest times to his own age. The second treated of

mathematical and physical geography, including, in addition to the topics which we have already noticed, discussions on the formation of the earth, and the changes which had taken place on its surface. The third was divided into two parts, the first of which embraced the preliminary data for the projection of his map, while the other was devoted to descriptive geography at large. In the last-named portion he appears not to have confined himself to a delineation of the features of the ground and the outline of the coasts, but to have accompanied them by notices of the natural productions of the various countries and the races who inhabited them : at the same time the narrow limits within which this wide subject was confined preclude the possibility of his having treated it in much detail. Still, even a summary of the geographical knowledge of the third century before Christ, containing as it did a large amount of fresh material gathered from various quarters, must have been an extraordinary advance on any previously existing source of information. As might

**Its Chief
Errors.**

be expected from the state of knowledge which prevailed at that period, the regions about which Eratosthenes was chiefly in error were those which

lay in the extreme north and the extreme south of the world. Of the conformation of northern Europe he was altogether ignorant ; and about the central area of that continent north of the Danube he had little to communicate. As regards the corresponding part of Asia, he held fast by the erroneous view that the Caspian communicated with the Northern Ocean, and believed that the Jaxartes flowed into that sea. Nor had he any conception of the southward

projection either of India or of Africa. The country, in respect of which his information is most strikingly in advance of that possessed by previous writers, is Arabia. This is accounted for by the existence on either side of that land of the two great monarchies of Egypt and Syria, the latter of which had its seat at Babylon or Seleucia ; for, by means of the commerce which these promoted, a number of trade-routes were developed in various parts of the interior of the intervening territory. So unique was the opportunity thus afforded for obtaining intelligence about a land which has at all times been difficult to penetrate, that even Strabo, though writing in the Augustan age, was largely dependent on Eratosthenes for his account of Arabia.

CHAPTER X.

PHYSICAL AND HISTORICAL GEOGRAPHY.

Physical Features of Greece—Impression produced by them on Aristotle—Physical Geographers—Agatharchides—His Account of the Aethiopian Gold-mines—Similar Description in the Book of Job—Eudoxus of Cyzicus—Artemidorus—Posidonius—His Travels—His Varied Interests—Error about the Circumference of the Earth—Tides—Observations of Aristotle, of Pytheas, and of Posidonius—Winds—Aristotle's Scheme—Timosthenes' Scheme—Popular Scheme—'Temple of the Winds' at Athens—Periodical Winds—Rivers—Their Sources, Underground Courses, Power of Erosion, Depcsit of Alluvium, Tidal Waves—Earthquakes and Volcanic Action—Views of Anaximenes, Anaxagoras, and Aristotle—Earthquakes relieved by Volcanoes—Observations of Posidonius—Flora—Theophrastus' *History of Plants*—The *Descriptio Montis Pelii*—Fauna—Anthropological Notices—Agatharchides on the Ichthyophagi and Aethiopians—Posidonius on the Iberians and Gauls—Historical Geography as found in Aristotle—His Restricted Views—Ephorus the Forerunner of Polybius—Geographical Section of his History—His Advanced Criticisms—Polybius *circ.* 210–128 B.C.—How affected by the Circumstances of his Age—His Travels in Western Europe—His Opinion of the Importance of Travel—Interest in Physical Geography—His Application of Geography to History—Descriptions of Countries—Cisalpine Gaul—Media—Descriptions of Cities—Sinope—Agrigentum—New Carthage—General Remarks.

Physical Features of Greece. In the first chapter of the present work it has been observed that Greece was in many ways a suggestive country to its inhabitants on the subject of physical geography. Its remarkable isthmuses, such as that of Corinth, which was familiar to every Greek, and those of the peninsulas of Athos and Pallene in Chalcidice, and the still more peculiar belt of sand by which the island of Leucadia was joined to the neighbouring continent; the narrow inlets, which penetrated at many points into the land, and the strange currents which were produced within them by the influx and efflux of the sea; the numerous islands, which were either grouped in clusters or scattered over the surface of the water:—these, and many other features by which its area was diversified, furnished as it were an

epitome of the subject, from the observation of which it was easy to advance towards the larger problems which presented themselves in other portions of the globe. The effect of these influences on the mind of Aristotle is especially traceable. The destruction of the cities of Helice and Bura on the coast of Achaia by an earthquake and a simultaneous rising of the sea—an event which became as famous in the ancient world as the earthquake at Lisbon has been in modern times—took place during his boyhood, and seems to have greatly impressed his imagination, for he refers to it more than once in his *Meteorologica*¹. At Chalcis in Euboea, where he resided at one period of his life, he interested himself in the peculiar currents of the Euripus²; and from this circumstance arose the quaint legend, which is found in several ancient writers, that he died from vexation at being unable to discover the explanation of their movement³. Other instances of the impression made by similar objects on the mind of the philosopher will be presently mentioned. For the study of physical as well as mathematical geography, however, Aristotle lived several generations too early, so that in many cases his enquiries resulted in error owing to the want of sufficient data. It was not until the latter half of the second century before Christ that this part of the subject was cultivated in earnest, but from that time until the Augustan age it was predominant over every other branch. Before we begin to consider it in detail, it may be well to give some account of the leading explorers and men of science who contributed to its development.

Impression
produced by
them on
Aristotle.

The first among these who calls for our notice is Agatharchides of Cnidos (*cir.* 170—100 B.C.), a learned and voluminous writer, who, in addition to various historical treatises, composed a work on the Erythraean Sea. Considerable portions of this have been preserved by Photius, and from these we learn that a number of

Physical
Geographers.

¹ *Meteorol.*, 1. 6. 8; 2. 8. 43. Aristotle was born in 384 B.C., and the destruction of Helice and Bura took place in 373 B.C.

² *Ibid.*, 2. 8. 9.

³ Procop., *Bell. Goth.*, 4. 6, pp. 485, 486, ed. Bonn.; Dionys. Byz., *Anaplys Bosp. Thrac.*, in Müller's *Geogr. Gr. Min.*, vol. 2, p. 16.

passages in Diodorus, which refer to that region, are derived from the same source. As Agatharchides passed the latter part of his life at Alexandria, where he was tutor to the young king, Ptolemy Soter II (Lathyrus), he enjoyed ample opportunities of obtaining information about the coast of the Red Sea and the Indian Ocean, and the interior of the neighbouring countries of Aethiopia and Arabia. It was through his book that the fame of the wealth and prosperity of the Sabaeans in the south-west corner of Arabia—the modern Yemen—was popularly known, so that the name of Arabia Felix became attached to that country. Among other subjects, he paid especial attention to the mode of life of the tribes in those regions; but the most interesting part of his narrative relates to the mode of working the Aethiopian gold-mines near the Egyptian coast of the Red Sea, which he has described in much detail, and the hardships suffered by the slave population who were employed in them. These, he tells us, in some cases were prisoners taken in war, in others condemned criminals, or persons who had been made the victims of calumny; and not infrequently their whole families were consigned to the same terrible fate.

“Those who are thus condemned to penal servitude, being very numerous, and all in fetters, are kept constantly at work both by day and night without any repose, and are jealously guarded to prevent their escape; for they are watched by companies of barbarian soldiers who speak a language different from theirs, to prevent their winning any of them over by friendly intercourse or appeals to their humanity..... Unkempt, untended as they are, without even a rag to hide their shame, the awful misery of these sufferers is a spectacle to move the hardest heart. None of them, whether sick or maimed or aged, not even weak women, meet with compassion or respite; all are forced by blows to work without intermission, until they expire under this hard treatment. So overpowering is their affliction, that they are ever anticipating worse evils in the future, and welcome death as a blessed change from life.”

The following is the description of the method of working in the mines and of the preparation of the ore :

"The hardest of the auriferous strata they expose to a hot fire, and so loosen its texture, before they proceed to work upon it; but the kind of rock which is less firm, and yields to a comparatively slight force, they break up with quarrying implements, and on this task tens of thousands of these unfortunates are employed. Now the general superintendence of the mines is entrusted to the artificer who tests the stone, and he directs the workmen; and the strongest in limb of those who are doomed to this hard lot break away the glittering marble with iron hammers—and that by main force in default of skill—and excavate subterranean passages, not indeed in straight lines, but following the cleavage of the gleaming rock. These workmen, as they pass their time in darkness owing to the turnings in these galleries, wear lamps attached to their foreheads; and while they manage in various ways to follow the sinuosities of the rocks, cast down on the floor the fragments which they have detached. On this they are unceasingly occupied under the lash of an exacting taskmaster. Then the children who are under age penetrate through the galleries into the chambers hollowed in the rock, and having laboriously thrown up the fallen pieces, convey them into the open to a place set apart for the purpose outside the pit's mouth. There the prisoners who are more than thirty years old, receiving in their turn their fixed proportion of the quarried stone, pound it in stone mortars with iron pestles, till the fragments are reduced to the size of bean-pods. These pieces are next passed on to the women and old men, who cast them into a number of handmills placed in a row, where they stand, two or three to each handle, and grind them, breaking up quite small the portions assigned to them, as men grind meal..... Finally the stone thus pulverised is put into the hands of skilled workmen, who complete the process. This is done by rubbing it along the surface of a wide board placed on a slight incline, and at the same time pouring water over it; in this way the earthy particles are decomposed by the moisture, and trickle down the sloping board, while those which contain the gold keep their place owing to their weight. This is repeated several times. First they rub it lightly with their hands, and afterwards they remove the thin earthy matter by means of fine sponges which

they apply lightly to it, until the gold dust is left completely pure. Again, when this has been collected, other artificers place it in earthenware jars according to a fixed weight and measure, adding in proportion to the quantity lumps of lead and salt, with a small amount of tin, and husks of barley; and having covered all this in with a closely fitting lid, and smeared it over carefully with clay, they bake it in a furnace for five consecutive days and nights. At the expiration of that time, when it has been left to cool, they find no trace of the other ingredients in the vessels, but obtain the gold pure, and but slightly diminished by waste¹."

The description of mining operations which is found in one part of the above passage corresponds in so many of its details to the following account of the same thing in the Book of Job, that the question arises, whether the same place may not be referred to in both of them.

Similar Description in the Book of Job.

"Surely there is a mine for silver,
And a place for gold which they refine.
Iron is taken out of the earth,
And brass is molten out of the stone.
Man setteth an end to darkness,
And searcheth out to the furthest bound
The stones of thick darkness and of the shadow of death.
He breaketh open a shaft away from where men sojourn;
They are forgotten of the foot that passeth by;
They hang afar from men, they swing to and fro.
As for the earth, out of it cometh bread:
And underneath it is turned up as it were by fire.
The stones thereof are the place of sapphires,
And it hath dust of gold.
That path no bird of prey knoweth,
Neither hath the falcon's eye seen it:
The proud beasts have not trodden it,
Nor hath the fierce lion passed thereby.
He putteth forth his hand upon the flinty rock;
He overturneth the mountains by the roots.
He cutteth out channels among the rocks;
And his eye seeth every precious thing.
He bindeth the streams that they trickle not;
And the thing that is hid bringeth he forth to light²."

¹ Diodor., 3. 12—14.

² Job xxviii. 1—11.

In these remarkable verses the shafts and underground passages in the mines, and the depth and remoteness of the scene of the working, are referred to in a manner which closely corresponds to the narrative of Agatharchides. It is true that the Aethiopian mines are not the only ones from which the description in Job may have been derived. Mr Kenrick in his *Phoenicia* long ago pointed out the resemblance (which indeed is equally striking) between this passage and the accounts of the mines in southern Spain which are found in Diodorus, Strabo, and Pliny; and he very reasonably suggested that information on this subject might have reached the author of the Book of Job from a Phoenician source¹. But when we find that the knowledge of mining operations was obtainable from a country as near to Palestine or Arabia as Aethiopia was, we are hardly disposed to go so far afield as the western extremity of the Mediterranean to search for it. To this we may add, that the extreme antiquity of the working of the Aethiopian mines by the rulers of Egypt, which Diodorus himself mentions, would suit the view that they were referred to by the author of the Book of Job, since an early date is usually assigned to the composition of that poem.

Nearly contemporary with Agatharchides was Eudoxus of Cyzicus, an intelligent enquirer, whose story was so strange, that from Strabo's time onwards it has often been treated with scepticism. It happened that, while he was in Alexandria, to which place he had been sent on a mission from his native city, an Indian was brought thither, who was the sole survivor from the crew of a vessel which had been wrecked on the Red Sea coast. This stranger, as soon as he had been taught sufficient Greek to make himself understood, described the circumstances of his voyage, and offered, if the king, Ptolemy Euergetes II (Physcon), would fit out a vessel, to conduct it to India. His proposal was accepted, and Eudoxus was allowed to take part in the expedition; but when the adventurers returned laden with wealth, their expectations of gain were disappointed, for the whole of their valuable cargo was appropriated by the king. Nothing daunted, however, by this unfair treatment, in the succeeding reign Eudoxus engaged in

Eudoxus of
Cyzicus.

¹ Kenrick's *Phoenicia*, pp. 264, 265.

another enterprise under royal patronage in the same direction, which was again successful; but on his return voyage he was driven by stress of weather for some distance down the coast of Africa. There he met with a trophy, which he brought back with him to Alexandria, in the form of the ornamented prow of a ship, which was said by the natives to have come from the westward; and when he was assured by the traders to whom he displayed it, that from its appearance it must have belonged to one of a class of vessels that were despatched from Gades, he concluded that this particular ship had sailed round the south of Africa, and thus he was prompted to attempt the same feat of circumnavigation. Accordingly he organised an expedition on his own account, and after visiting Dicaearchia (Puteoli) and Massilia, and obtaining assistance from those cities, he reached Gades, and from thence proceeded southward along the African coast. The difficulties, however, which he encountered, seem to have been greater than he anticipated, and he was ultimately obliged to return to Europe; but there can be little doubt that, as he was inspired from the first with the spirit of research, he must have contributed largely to the stock of knowledge of his

time. Somewhat later in date than these two was **Artemidorus.**

Artemidorus of Ephesus (*circ.* 100 B.C.), whose work on geography was highly prized in ancient times, and is frequently referred to by Strabo. He also was an extensive traveller, and his account of the shores of the Mediterranean and the Euxine, and of the customs of their inhabitants, to which he devoted special attention, was largely derived from personal observation.

But a far more important authority on geography than any of

these was **Posidonius** (135—50 B.C.), who deserves

the title of the most intelligent traveller in antiquity. He was a striking representative of that encyclopædic knowledge which was characteristic of the Hellenistic age. The list of subjects on which he composed treatises comprises philosophy, mathematics, physics, grammar and history; and though probably the only work in which he dealt with geography as a separate study was one on *The Ocean*, he was regarded as an eminent authority on that science. In philosophy he was a leader of the Stoic school, and he lectured on that subject in

Rhodes, where Cicero was among his pupils ; and both with that statesman and with Pompey he was on terms of intimacy. His travels included, not only the more accessible countries in the neighbourhood of the Mediterranean, but the interior of Spain, Gaul, and Britain, in which lands he became acquainted with the most remote tribes, and carefully studied their mode of life. It may give some idea of the variety of his interests and of the minuteness of his observation, if we enumerate a few of the points which he is known to have investigated. He carefully studied the phenomena of the tides at Gades, where he remained thirty days¹. He visited the Spanish mines—not only those in the south of the country, but also those in the north-western district, the modern Galicia—and described their galleries and system of drainage². In the same region he noticed the existence of red rock-salt, and remarked that it turns white when pounded³—a statement, which seems improbable at first sight, but is perfectly true, because the colour in this case is prismatic, and consequently disappears when the crystalline formation is destroyed. He states that the depth of the sea in the neighbourhood of Sardinia was greater than that of any other sea that had been sounded⁴; and modern observations have shewn that this part of the Mediterranean is excessively deep. In Gaul his attention was attracted by the appearance of the Plaine de la Crau between Arles and Marseilles, the entire area of which, extending for many square miles, is covered with round rolled stones ; and he speculated on the origin of these⁵. To him also we owe the accounts which are found in ancient writers of the division of the Celtic hierarchy into the three orders of Bards, Prophets, and Druids, and of their respective functions, the truth of which is recognised by scholars at the present day⁶. Posidonius, however, was the originator of a serious error in mathematical geography, which permanently affected the calculations of those who came after him. This related to the circumference of the

His Travels.

His Varied Interests.

Error about the Circumference of the Earth.

¹ Strabo, 3. 1. 5.

² *Ibid.*, 3. 2. 9.

³ *Ibid.*, 3. 3. 7.

⁴ *Ibid.*, 1. 3. 9.

⁵ *Ibid.*, 4. 1. 7.

⁶ *Ibid.*, 4. 4. 4 ; Diodor., 5. 31.

earth, which, as we have seen, had already been determined by Eratosthenes. In this conclusion of his predecessor Posidonius was indisposed to acquiesce, and he endeavoured to solve the problem by means of observations of the position of the star Canopus at Alexandria and at Rhodes; but, owing to the inaccuracy both of these measurements and of the computation of the distance between the two places, he greatly underestimated the circuit of the globe, reducing it from 250,000 stadia, as computed by Eratosthenes, to 180,000¹. Unfortunately, in consequence of the great weight that was attached to his authority, this estimate was adopted by his successors, and was even accepted by Ptolemy.

The history of the discovery by the Greeks of the movement of the tides is peculiarly interesting, because the knowledge of this phenomenon was only gradually obtainable by them, since the Mediterranean is, except in a slight degree, and in certain limited areas, such as the head of the Adriatic, a tideless sea. Herodotus notices the ebb and flow of the water in the Maliac gulf², and also in the Red Sea³; and he uses the same terms in which these are described, when speaking of the extraordinary reflux and flux of the waves, which caused the destruction of a portion of the Persian force under the command of Artabazus which was besieging Potidaea⁴. The shifting currents of the Euripus, which served as a starting-point for speculations on this subject, are noticed by all three of the Greek tragedians; Aeschylus describing them as the "tides of Aulis surging to and fro⁵," and Sophocles as the "groaning strait⁶," while Euripides speaks of the "eddy of the whirling Euripus⁷." Aristotle, as we have seen, observed its movements, and he remarks generally on the tendency of the sea to sway to and fro, when a

Observations
of Aristotle,

¹ Strabo, 2. 2. 2.

² Herod., 7. 198; ἥτε ἐς τὴν Μηλίδαν παρὰ κόλπον θαλάσσης, ἐν τῷ ἄμπωτις τε καὶ ῥηχίῃ ἀνὰ πᾶσαν ἡμέραν γίνεται.

³ *Ibid.*, 2. 11.

⁴ *Ibid.*, 8. 129.

⁵ Aesch., *Ag.*, 191; παλιρρόχθοις ἐν Αὐλίδος τόποις.

⁶ Soph., *Ant.*, 1145; στονδέντα πορθμόν.

⁷ Eurip., *Iph. Taur.*, 6, 7;

ἀμφὶ δίναις, ἅς θάμ' Εὐριπος πυκναῖς
αὖραις ἐλίσσων κυανέαν ἄλα στρέφει.

large body of water is forced into a narrow space, and hemmed in there by the coasts which environ it¹. The tides of the ocean, however, he seems to have referred rather to the influence of winds than to any more certain cause². Shortly after his time additional information on the subject was furnished by Nearchus with regard to the Indian Ocean, and by Pytheas concerning the Atlantic. The latter of these two of Pytheas, voyagers, being a man of science and an enthusiastic enquirer, made careful observations on the regular recurrence of the tides, with the view of determining the causes which produced them, and established the correspondence between their diurnal recurrence and the movement of the moon³. It was reserved for Posidonius, however, to draw attention to the in- and of
Posidonius. fluences which are exercised by the sun and moon conjointly in producing the monthly variations in the tides. By him it was pointed out that at the new moon, when the two luminaries are in conjunction, and also at the full moon, the tides are highest, or, as we say, the spring tides are produced; whereas at the first and last quarters they are lowest, that is, there are neap tides⁴.

In speaking of the geography of the Homeric age we noticed that at that period only four winds—Boreas, Eurus, Winds. Notus and Zephyrus—were recognised by the Greeks, and that these correspond to the four cardinal points⁵. At the same time this division, owing to its simplicity, unavoidably introduced some confusion, because winds of different characters were in some instances represented by the same name; this was notably the case with Zephyrus, which designated both the violent north-west wind which blew from Thrace, and the soft gale from the west, which was the zephyr of the poets. In the course of time, as might be expected, more accurate distinctions were made, and a more elaborate nomenclature was adopted; and these observations were arranged on scientific principles and reduced to a

¹ Ar., *Meteorol.*, 2. 1. 11.

² See Berger, *Geschichte der Erdkunde*, Pt. 2. p. 114.

³ v. *supra*, p. 155.

⁴ Strabo, 3. 5. 8; cp. Pliny, 2. 212.

⁵ v. *supra*, pp. 40, 41.

scheme by Aristotle¹. He retained the existing names for those that blew from the cardinal points, with the exception of Eurys, which properly signified the Scirocco or south-east wind; in the place of this he adopted for the east wind the name Apeliotes, which was already in use in that sense. He then raised the number of the winds from four to eight, and, after assuming the points of north and south as known, with Boreas and Notus as the winds corresponding to them, determined the quarters from which the remainder blew by the variations of sunrise and sunset. Thus the east wind, Apeliotes, blew from the equinoctial rising, and the west wind, Zephyrus, from the equinoctial setting; the north-east wind, Caecias, blew from the summer rising, and opposite to it the south-west, Libs, from the winter setting; the south-east wind, Eurys, from the winter rising, and opposite to it the north-west, Argestes or Sciron, from the summer setting. To these, with a view to more minute subdivision, he added four more winds, raising the entire number to twelve: thus between Boreas and Argestes he inserted a wind called Thrascias; between Boreas and Caecias one called Meses; between Eurys and Notus, Phoenicias; and between Notus and Libs a wind which he does not name, but which was afterwards called Libonotus or Leuconotus. The quarters from which these winds blew, however, were not exactly defined, as was the case with the others. For geographical, and probably also for nautical purposes, this elaborate scheme was retained in use, for we find it mentioned by Timosthenes; who was admiral of the fleet of Ptolemy Philadelphus, and wrote a treatise *On Harbours*, which is frequently quoted by Strabo. This writer made a further advance by naming the distant portions of the world which corresponded to the quarters from which these various winds blew. Thus he made the Bactrians to correspond to Apeliotes, the Indians to Eurys, the Red Sea to Phoenicias, and so on all round the points of the compass². But for ordinary purposes the eight-fold division was the one permanently retained in use. This we might infer from the rare occurrence of the names

Aristotle's
Scheme.

Timosthenes'
Scheme.

Popular
Scheme.

¹ *Meteorol.*, 2. 6.

² Agathemerus, *Geograph.*, 2. 6, 7.

of the four additional winds, but we have clear proof of it in the sculptured figures which are still to be seen on the ancient monument which throws the greatest light on this subject—the Horologium of Antonius Cyrrhestes, or, as it is popularly called, the ‘Temple of the Winds,’ at Athens. This is a low octagonal tower, on each of the eight walls of which there is a bas-relief, representing the wind that blew from the direction towards which it faces, and these correspond to the eight winds which Aristotle first enumerates. An additional element of interest is supplied by the dress and accompaniments of these figures, by which the character attributed by the Greeks to the winds which they represent is described. Boreas, for instance, is depicted as a bearded man of stern aspect, thickly clad and wearing strong buskins, and he blows a conch shell as a sign of his tempestuous character. Caecias, another cold and inclement wind, carries a shield, the lower part of which is full of hailstones. Notus, the most rainy wind, holds an inverted urn, the whole contents of which he is pouring out upon the earth. Zephyrus, on the other hand, who is the harbinger of spring, appears as a graceful youth, almost unclothed, with the fold of his robe filled with flowers. In addition to these winds, which determined, or were determined by, the quarters of the heavens, Aristotle notices the periodical winds that prevail in the Aegean—the Ornithiae or Bird-winds (so called because they brought the birds of passage), which blew in the springtime from the north, and the Etesian winds also from the same direction¹. Owing to his remembrance of the latter of these, Megasthenes, in his account of India and its rainy season, applies the name of Etesian winds to the south-west monsoon².

‘Temple of the Winds’ at Athens.

Periodical Winds.

In every country the rivers are the chief element of movement, and for this reason they resemble a living agency more than any other natural feature. The changes which they are continually producing on the face of the earth are everywhere apparent, and human life has at all times been largely dependent upon them, whether as an aid to the cultivation of the soil, or as a means of transit from place to place, or as furnishing

Rivers.

¹ Ar., *Meteorol.*, 2. 5. 7, 9.

² Strabo, 15. 1. 13.

one of the first necessities of existence, and thus determining the localities fitted for the abode of man. In consequence of this they have attracted attention in all ages, and among the Greeks, as soon as speculation on the phenomena of nature arose, we meet with interesting observations both on the general characteristics of rivers and on their more marked peculiarities. Aristotle first pointed out that almost all large rivers take their

**Their
Sources,**

rise in great mountain ranges—a statement which he illustrates by instances taken from all the three

continents; and he compares the elevated portions of the globe to a vast sponge, which retains the water that falls in rain, and after a while sends it forth again from numerous sources¹. Another

**Underground
Courses,**

feature by which he was attracted was the disappearance of rivers, and their pursuing a subterraneous course, of which he gives the following account.

“That there are such chasms and openings in the ground is clear from the rivers that are engulfed. Now this happens in many parts of the earth, as, for instance, in Peloponnesus, where there are several instances in the neighbourhood of Arcadia. The reason is that, whereas that is a mountain district, it has no channels leading from the depressions of the ground to the sea. For when an area is filled and has no outflow, it finds for itself a passage vertically, by the force of the water that presses from above².” The places in Arcadia here referred to are the valleys which contain the lakes of Pheneus and Stymphalus, and the phenomena of both of these are accurately described by Eratosthenes, who says that the river of Pheneus finds its way into a passage, or “strainer,” as he calls it, and that when this is closed the valley becomes a lake; but when it opens the waters sink, and the river Ladon, with which it communicates underground, is flooded. The same thing happens to the lake of Stymphalus, the stream from which forms the river Erasinus in the Argive territory³. A further point, to which Polybius draws attention in his description of the site of Psophis in the Peloponnese, is the action of a river in hollowing out a

**Power of
Erosion,**

¹ *Ar., Meteorol.*, i. 13. 11—12.

² *Ibid.*, i. 13. 27, 28.

³ *Eratosth. ap. Strabon.*, 8. 8. 4.

ravine by insensible degrees¹. Again, the formation of alluvium about the mouths of rivers, which we have noticed as being brought prominently before the minds of the Greeks from an early period by their observation of the Delta in Egypt, led to further speculations; and first Aristotle, and afterwards Polybius, affirmed that owing to this cause the Palus Maeotis was rapidly filling up, so that vessels of less draught than those previously in use were required to navigate it². Polybius adds that, on a smaller scale, the same thing was taking place in the Black Sea. Finally, Posidonius remarked on the inrush of the tide into the estuaries and the lower courses of rivers, a phenomenon which he had noticed at the mouth of the Thames during his visit to Britain³.

Deposit of
Alluvium,

Tidal Waves.

The frequent occurrence of earthquake shocks, to which throughout its history Greece has been much exposed, naturally attracted the attention of the Greeks, and caused them to speculate on the changes which might have been produced on the face of the earth by such convulsions. As early as the time of Aeschylus we find that the idea prevailed that Sicily had been separated from Italy by such an agency, and that the name of Rhegium, or "The Rent," was derived from this circumstance⁴. Herodotus expresses a similar opinion with regard to the disruption of Olympus and Ossa, and the formation of the vale of Tempe⁵. Among the earlier philosophers we meet with two theories that were put forward to account for these movements. The first of these, which is attributed to Anaximenes, referred them to fractures of the crust of the earth, which

Earthquakes
and Volcanic
Action.

Views of
Anaximenes,

¹ Polyb., 4. 70. 7; ποιεί δὲ καὶ τὸ παράπαν ὄχυρὰν καὶ δυσπρόσοδον τὴν πόλιν διὰ τὸ μέγεθος τοῦ κοιλώματος, ὃ κατὰ βραχὺ τῷ χρόνῳ κατατεργασταί, φερόμενος ἐξ ὑπερδεξίων τόπων.

² *Δκ., Μετεωρολ.*, 1. 14. 29; Polyb., 4. 40. 3—10.

³ Priscianus Lydus, *Solutiones ad Chosroem*, p. 72, ed. Bywater.

⁴ Aeschyl. ap. Strabon. 6. 1. 6; ὠνομάσθη δὲ 'Ρήγιον, εἶθ', ὥς φησιν Αἰσχύλος, διὰ τὸ συμβᾶν πάθος τῇ χώρᾳ ταύτῃ ἀπορραγῆναι γὰρ ἀπὸ τῆς ἡπείρου τὴν Σικελίαν ὑπὸ σεισμῶν ἄλλοι τε κάκεινοι εἰρηκεν

ἀφ' οἷ δὴ 'Ρήγιον κικλήσκεται.

⁵ Herod., 7. 129; ἔστι γὰρ σεισμοῦ ἔργον, ὥς ἐμοὶ ἐφαίνετο εἶναι, ἡ διάστασις τῶν οὐρέων.

were produced by its passing through a process of drying, after having previously been saturated with moisture¹. The other was

that of Anaxagoras, who believed that they were caused by the fiery element of the æther, which had penetrated into the interior of the earth, and was struggling to escape thence². It will be seen that the view of Anaximenes excluded the idea of any connexion between earthquakes and volcanic agency, whereas that of Anaxagoras naturally suggested

it. In the hands of Aristotle this connexion became and Aristotle.

the leading feature in the discussion of the question. According to him both of them were due to the action of winds, which were confined beneath the surface of the earth, and were endeavouring to find a vent. These winds—perhaps at the present day we should rather describe them as gases—were developed by the heat of the earth acting on the moisture which penetrates into it. The element of fire which appears in volcanic action was due the vapours becoming rarified and so igniting³. This theory subsequently met with general acceptance: we find it adopted by Ovid in his description of the upheaval of the promontory of Methana near Troezen in the Argolic peninsula, which happened about the year 282 B.C.—in which passage he compares the process to what happens in the inflation of a bladder:—

Near Troezen stands a hill, exposed in air
To winter winds, of leafy shadows bare:
This once was level ground; but (strange to tell)
Th' included vapours that in caverns dwell,
Lab'ring with colic pangs, and close confined,
In vain sought issue for the rumbling wind:
Yet still they heaved for vent, and, heaving still,
Enlarged the concave, and shot up the hill;
As breath extends a bladder, or the skins
Of goats are blown t' inclose the hoarded winds:
The mountain yet retains a mountain's face,
And gather'd rubbish heals the hollow space⁴.

¹ Ar. *Meteorol.*, 2. 7. 6.

² *Ibid.*, 2. 7. 2.

³ Ar. *Meteorol.*, 2. 8.

⁴ Ov. *Met.*, 15. 296—306 (translation by Dryden and others).

Pliny also gives his adherence to the same view¹. By more careful observation of these occurrences it was further established that the volcanoes served as a vent, by means of which the frequency and violence of the earthquake movements were lessened. Thus Strabo, when speaking of a succession of shocks by which the island of Euboea was affected, remarks that they ceased when an eruption took place in the Lelantian plain between Chalcis and Eretria². And again, he explains the cessation in South Italy of any such convulsions as that which was supposed to have originated the Straits of Messina, by the formation in that neighbourhood of cones of eruption like those of the Lipari islands³. After Aristotle, the man of science who contributed most to the study of this subject was Posidonius. He described in considerable detail, and in terms which closely correspond to the records of modern observers of similar occurrences, the elevation of a new volcanic islet among the Lipari islands, which took place during his lifetime. Strabo thus reports his narrative:—"Posidonius says that within his memory, one day about the summer solstice, just at dawn, the sea was seen to rise to an extraordinary height between the islands of Hieria and Euonymus, and continued to increase steadily for a certain time, until suddenly it ceased. Those who ventured to sail near to the spot were appalled by the sight of the dead fish that were carried by the current, and by the heat and stench, and so took to flight; but one of the vessels, which approached nearer than the others, lost part of its crew, while the rest hardly escaped to Lipara, and from time to time were attacked by delirium like epileptic patients, though at intervals they recovered their senses. Several days afterwards the surface of the sea was seen to be covered with mud, and at many points jets of flame, with exhalations and smoke, burst forth, and the scum subsequently hardened and assumed the appearance of mill-stone⁴." He is also quoted by Strabo in connexion with the

Earthquakes
relieved by
Volcanoes.

Observations
of Posidonius.

¹ Pliny, 2. 192; *ventos in causa esse non dubium reor...condito scilicet in venas et cava ejus occulta flatu. neque aliud est in terra tremor quam in nube tonitruum, nec hiatus aliud quam cum fulmen erumpit incluso spiritu luctante et ad libertatem exire nitente.*

² Strabo, 1. 3. 16.

³ 6. 1. 6.

⁴ 6. 2. 11.

eruptions of Etna¹, and as mentioning an earthquake which happened in Phoenicia². In fact, there can be little doubt that when we meet with descriptions of phenomena of this kind elsewhere in the writings of the latter geographer—and they are very numerous—they are in the great majority of cases to be referred to Posidonius as their original authority³. Perhaps the most notable instance is the account of the eruption of Thera (Santorin), which occurred in the year 197 B.C., on which occasion, we are told, flames rose from the water for four days between Thera and the neighbouring Therasia, so that the whole sea boiled and blazed, and little by little an island was ejected, being lifted as it were by mechanical force, and composed of fire-stones, extending over an area of twelve stadia in circumference⁴. It is interesting to observe in this connexion, as an evidence of the influence which these aspects of nature exercised on the mind of Posidonius, that he could not persuade himself that the island of Atlantis, which is described in Plato's *Timæus*, was wholly fictitious, because it was said to have disappeared in the sea in consequence of an earthquake⁵.

The flora and fauna of different countries were also recognised as subjects which merited careful observation, and their geographical distribution attracted especial attention. In the *History of Plants* of Theophrastus, who was a pupil of Aristotle, we meet with constant references to the habitat of the different trees and shrubs that are mentioned, together with remarks on the climates which were most suitable for their development, and the differences produced in them through this cause. Thus, in one place the trees that were found in Macedonia are enumerated, the mountain growths being distinguished from those of the lowlands, and some of these are compared with the corresponding vegetation in Crete⁶; in another an account is given of the trees and plants which were found growing in the Copaic lake or in its

¹ Strabo, 6. 2. 3.

² 1. 3. 16.

³ See Dubois, *Examen de la Géographie de Strabon*, p. 325.

⁴ Strabo, 1. 3. 16.

⁵ Strabo, 2. 3. 6; cp. Plato, *Tim.*, p. 25 c.

⁶ Theoph., *Hist. Plant.*, 3. 3.

neighbourhood¹. Speaking of the fondness of the box-tree for a cold climate, Theophrastus says that it grew on the Thessalian Olympus, though it did not attain a great size there, but that it was most abundant on Cytorus in Bithynia, while the finest specimens were found in Corsica². He also remarks on the Corsican pine-forests, both because of their extent and of the size of the trees, in which respects he says they were unrivalled in Europe³. Another interesting botanical notice is found in an account of Mount Pelion, which forms part of a *Description of Greece*, written in the latter half of the third century before Christ, which has been attributed, though erroneously, to Dicaearchus⁴. The greater part of this is occupied with an enumeration of the trees and plants that grew on that mountain, which was famous for its vegetation, as we might infer from its Homeric epithet 'quivering with foliage' (ειροσίφυλλον). We learn from it that, while there was a great variety of different kinds, especially of fruit trees, the most abundant trees were the beech, the silver fir, two sorts of maple, the cypress and the juniper⁵. Again, as regards the fauna of different countries, Agatharchides furnishes descriptions of a variety of strange animals that were found in Aethiopia—the rhinoceros, the camelopard, different kinds of baboons, the hyena and others⁶; while in Megasthenes we meet with curious notices of the Indian apes and the method employed in catching them. This author writes:—

The Descrip-
tio Montis Pelii.

Fauna.

"In the forest which I have mentioned the historians of Alexander speak of an extraordinary multitude of long-tailed apes of great size. On one occasion the Macedonians, seeing a number of these drawn up in line on some bare hill-tops (for these animals have a strong element of human intelligence, not inferior to the elephant), took them for an army, and charged them as if they were enemies; but desisted, when they learnt the

¹ Theoph., *Hist. Plant.*, 4. 10.

² 3. 15. 5.

³ 5. 8. 1, 2.

⁴ See C. Müller's Prolegg. to his *Geogr. Gr. Minores*, pp. lii., liii.

⁵ *Geogr. Gr. Minores*, vol. 1. pp. 106—8.

⁶ Agatharch., *De Mari Erythraeo*, §§ 71—77, in Müller, *op. cit.*, 1. pp. 158—162.

truth from Taxiles, who at that time was in the king's company. There are two methods of catching these apes. As they are quick in imitation, and also easily make their escape into the trees, their pursuers, when they see one sitting on a tree, place water in a bowl within sight of it, and with this they dabble their own eyes; afterwards they set a bowl full of birdlime instead of water, and going away watch from a little distance off. Then the ape descends from the tree, and when it has smeared its eyes with the birdlime, and can no longer use them because they are tight shut, they rush upon it and capture it alive. This is one method, and the other is the following. The men first clothe themselves with sacks, in the style of trousers, and when they quit the spot, leave behind other sacks of a thick material, smeared within with birdlime; when the apes get inside these, they are easily captured¹."

Nor do modern books on Natural History furnish anything more quaint than Posidonius' account of the appearance of the Barbary apes, which he saw in a forest by the sea-shore, when sailing along the African coast on his way from Gades to Italy²; or a more exciting story than Polybius' narrative of the capture of the swordfish in the Straits of Messina, where they were hemmed in within a narrow space, when pursuing the shoals of tunnies. This last proceeding is reported as follows by Strabo:—

"Polybius goes on to describe the capture of the sword-fish, which takes place in the neighbourhood of Scyllaeum. A man is posted on the look-out, to give a general signal to the occupants, two in number, of each of a multitude of small two-oared skiffs. One of these rows, while the other takes his stand in the bows with his harpoon, when the look-out man has signalled that the sword-fish are in sight, for as they swim they show one-third of their bodies above water. Now when the skiff comes close to one of them, the fisherman launches his harpoon, and then draws it out again from the fish's body, leaving the head of the weapon behind; for this is barbed, and is purposely affixed loosely to the shaft, and has a long cord attached to it. With this they play the wounded fish till it is tired of struggling and trying to escape;

¹ Megasthenes ap. Strabon., 15. 1. 29.

² Posidon., ap. Strabon., 17. 3. 4.

then they haul it to land, or, if it is not of great size, take it up into the skiff. Even if the harpoon falls into the sea, it is not lost being put together of oak and pine-wood, in order that, when the oaken part would sink owing to its weight, the remainder may float and be easily picked up. Sometimes it even happens that the rower is wounded through the planks of the skiff; so long is the sword with which these fish are armed, and so great their strength, which renders their capture not less dangerous than a boar-hunt¹."

Finally, the observation of the characteristics and manner of life of different tribes of the human race, or what we now call the study of anthropology, was first systematised at Alexandria, and was gradually developed until it reached the important position which it holds in Strabo's *Geography*. The work of Agatharchides on the Erythraean Sea contains numerous notices of this character. He describes in great detail the habits of the Ichthyophagi on the coast of Arabia, who resembled those whom Nearchus met with farther to the east beyond the Persian gulf. They are represented as being unacquainted with distinctions of right and wrong, and as existing in the lowest state of barbarism, their only food being the fish that were cast up on the shore, while on account of the absence of water they drank only every fifth day, when they migrated in a body to places in the interior of the country where there were springs. Their dwellings were either caves in the rocks, or huts constructed out of the backbones of fishes and covered with seaweed². The waterless condition of this part of southern Arabia—the Hadramaut—at the present day, and the fish diet of its inhabitants and their camels, are testified to by recent travellers³. Agatharchides remarks on the shortness of life of these people, owing to their want of exertion and employment, notwithstanding their freedom from diseases⁴. The Aethiopian tribes of which

Anthropo-
logical Notices.

Agatharchi-
des on the Ich-
thyophagi

¹ Polyb., ap. Strabon., i. 2. 16.

² Agatharch., in Müller, *op. cit.*, §§ 31—46.

³ See Mr Theodore Bent's account in the *Geographical Journal* for 1894, pp. 317, 318.

⁴ Agatharch., § 39.

the same writer has given an account, are for the most part distinguished by him according to the names of the objects from which they obtained the means of subsistence—as Struthophagi or Ostrich-eaters, Acridophagi or Locust-eaters, Elephantophagi or those who killed elephants and lived on their flesh, and Rhizophagi or Root-eaters, who dwelt on the banks of the Astaboras (Atbara), and supported themselves on the roots of reeds which grew in the neighbouring marshes¹. What he tells us also about the Troglodytes, who dwelt on the coast of the Red Sea, and especially concerning their custom of putting to death the aged and diseased, and their rites of burial, is very curious². In like manner Posidonius in the course of his extensive travels kept an accurate record of the habits of the remote peoples through whose country he passed, and to this Strabo is largely indebted in his description of those regions. We are thus furnished with interesting information about the condition of the inhabitants of Spain and Gaul at that time, who, though rude, represent a much higher type than those whom we meet with in Agatharchides. In the account which he gives of the mountaineers of northern Spain—the Gallaeci, Astures, and Cantabri, who correspond in position to the modern districts of Galicia, the Asturias, and part of the Basque provinces, and may be regarded as the truest representatives of the Iberian race—we find descriptions of their food and meals, their lively dances, the dark-coloured cloaks worn by the men and the gay garments and elaborate head-dresses of the women, their observance of the *couvade*, their use of barter instead of money as a means of exchange, their custom of inheritance in the female line, and the punishments which they inflicted on criminals—for those who were condemned to death,

¹ Agatharch., §§ 50 foll. With regard to the Locust-eaters we may compare what Mr Bent tells us about the tribes in that neighbourhood at the present day. 'They are, like the ἀκριδοφάγοι whom Agatharchides places on their coast, large consumers of locusts when in season; they catch them only when they have reached the flying stage, and roast them in the ashes. We saw clouds of locusts in this district, devouring all the scanty herbage and literally filling the air.' *A Visit to the Northern Soudan*, in *The Geographical Journal*, vol. VIII. (1896), p. 338.

² §§ 61–63.

he tells us, were flung headlong over precipices¹. The natives of Gaul are similarly portrayed: we hear of their simplicity of character, their teachable spirit, their fondness for display, and their impetuous courage; we are told that they wore wide trousers and tunics with sleeves, and that the arms which they carried were proportionate in size to their great stature; and their mode of government and the conduct of their assemblies, and also the barbarous customs which prevailed amongst them, such as human sacrifices and carrying off the heads of the enemies whom they slew in battle, are carefully described². and Gauls.

Let us now turn to Historical Geography. This branch of the subject, when considered in its wider application—that is, as the study of the influence of strongly marked natural features, and especially of the boundaries of countries, on the history of nations and of the world at large—did not attract much attention before the time of the Roman conquest of Greece; indeed, this could hardly have been otherwise, because the limited area to which Greek politics were confined precluded any such extended outlook as an investigation of this kind presupposes. In Aristotle, no doubt, we meet with general reflexions on this question, which are characterised by his usual penetration, though we feel that he is looking from a Greek point of view. Such are his observations in the *Politics* on the influence of climate on national character. “The inhabitants of the colder countries of Europe,” he remarks, “are brave, but deficient in thought and technical skill; and, as a consequence of this, they remain free longer than others, but are wanting in political organisation, and unable to rule their neighbours. The peoples of Asia, on the contrary, are thoughtful and skilful, but without spirit, whence their permanent condition is one of subjection and slavery. But the Hellenic race,” he adds, “as it is intermediate between them in geographical position, so also combines their qualities; it is at once spirited and thoughtful, and so continues

Historical
Geography

as found in
Aristotle.

¹ Strabo, 3. 3. 7; 3. 4. 16—18.

² *Ibid.* 4. 4. 2—5.

to be free and to have the best government, and would be capable of ruling the world if it had a common political organisation¹." In another part of the same work he notices that, while the sea is an element favourable to democracy owing to the sense of freedom which it engenders², steep places, which might serve as strongholds to command the town that lay below and the surrounding district, tend to foster oligarchy or monarchy³. But

Aristotle's conception of the best form of state, based as it was entirely on Hellenic models, precluded the application of geography to a wider field of history. This was almost impossible for a writer who maintained that the city-state should be of such a size that the citizens might know one another, because without personal acquaintance proper persons could not be elected as magistrates⁴; and also that the country of which this city was to be the capital should be as far as possible self-sufficing in its products, and easily taken in by the eye⁵. The same remark applies to the other writers of the period of Greek independence. With one exception, Polybius is the first Greek author who rightly estimated the importance of geography in the study of history, and he wrote under the influence of Roman ideas.

The exception here referred to is Ephorus. This writer, who lived in the first half of the fourth century B.C., and therefore two hundred years earlier than Polybius, may in several respects be regarded as his forerunner. This is true of his mode of treating history, as Polybius himself remarked; for while this writer in the Introduction to his work claims for himself that he was the first historian who had taken a synoptic view of history⁶, he elsewhere admits that Ephorus, though he alone, had already conceived a comprehensive treatise on that subject⁷. This was a universal history in thirty books, extending from the mythical period to the time of Philip

¹ *Ar. Pol.*, 7. 7. 2.

Ibid., 7. 11. 5.

⁵ *Ibid.*, 7. 5. 1, 3.

⁶ *Polyb.*, 1. 4. 3, 4.

⁷ *Ibid.*, 5. 33. 2; "Εφωρον, τὸν πρῶτον καὶ μόνον ἐπιβεβλημένον τὰ καθόλου γράφειν.

² *Ibid.*, 7. 6. 7, 8.

⁴ *Ibid.*, 7. 4. 13.

of Macedon, which included in its scope the barbarian nations as well as the Greeks. Another point in which he anticipated Polybius was in devoting a separate section of his work to geography—a method of arrangement which Strabo notices as being common to both of them¹; and in consequence of the prominence which they gave to that subject, the same writer enumerates them, notwithstanding that history was their primary object, among the leading geographers². In the case of Ephorus this section was the fourth and fifth books of his 'Histories,' the former of which was devoted to Europe, the latter to Asia and Africa³. If we may judge from some of the passages which Strabo has quoted from him, the praise which he received was well deserved. He strikes the keynote of the geography of Greece, when he says that the determining element in it is the sea⁴. Nothing could be truer than his remark concerning Boeotia, that its inhabitants possessed an extremely advantageous position in Greece from their commanding three seas—on the one side the Corinthian and Crisaean gulfs, which opened towards Italy, Sicily, and Libya; on the other the bays of the Euboic sea, both north and south of the Euripus, which looked towards Macedonia and the Hellespont, and also towards Cyprus and Egypt—and moreover, that Euboea, after it was joined by a bridge to the mainland, almost formed part of that country: but that these gifts of nature had been wasted on them, because they undervalued the civilising influences of education⁵. Again, in the case of Aegina he notices how the thinness of the soil caused the inhabitants to take to the sea, and by this means to become a successful commercial people⁶. Comments such as these prove that the

Geographical
Section of his
History.

His Advanced
Criticisms.

¹ Strabo, 8. 1. 1; οἱ δ' ἐν τῇ κοινῇ τῆς ἱστορίας γραφῇ χωρὶς ἀποδείξαντες τὴν τῶν ἡπείρων τοπογραφίαν, καθάπερ Ἐφορός τε ἐποίησε καὶ Πολύβιος.

² 1. 1. 1.

³ See C. Müller's *Fragmenta Hist. Gr.*, vol. i. p. lx.

⁴ Strabo, 8. 1. 3; ἡγεμονικόν τι τὴν θάλατταν κρίνων πρὸς τὰς τοπογραφίας.

⁵ *Ibid.*, 9. 2. 2.

⁶ *Ibid.*, 8. 6. 16; Ἐφορος δ' ἐν Αἰγίνῃ ἀργυρον πρῶτον κοπήναι φησιν ὑπὸ Φεῖδωνος· ἐμπόριον γὰρ γενέσθαι, διὰ τὴν λυπρότητα τῆς χώρας τῶν ἀνθρώπων θαλαττουργούντων ἐμπορικῶς.

writer regarded geography with a philosophical mind, and read almost like the criticisms of a later and more advanced age.

Polybius, however, is the author in whom geography first obtained full recognition as the handmaid of history.

Polybius
circ. 210—128
B.C.

The reason of this is to be found partly in the character of the age in which he lived, and partly

in the circumstances of his own life. The period which he represents is that in which the history of Greece was first merged in universal history. Before that time, and especially during the era

How affected
by the Circum-
stances of his
Age.

which preceded the rise of Macedonian influence, the interests of Greece had been self-centered, and it was the function of her historians to record the struggles, whether external or internal, of her

several states, and to draw from them the lessons which they suggested. The actors on this stage were animated by fresh vigour and intense energy, and for this reason, as well as on account of the genius and originality of the writer, the work of Thucydides is immeasurably superior to that of Polybius; but at the same time the events which Polybius describes exercised a wider influence on the ages that followed, since the spirit of Hellenic thought had then begun to permeate the world at large, and the current of its history was being blended with a wider stream. The occurrences, also, which took place during the lifetime of "the historian of the Decline and Fall of Ancient Greece," as Polybius has been aptly called¹, were such as it has been the lot of but few persons to observe. Born at Megalopolis in Arcadia, when the Achaean League was still powerful, he witnessed the subjugation of Greece by the Romans, and through the intimacies which he contracted with leading politicians at Rome during his long residence as a hostage in that city, he was able to obtain some concessions from the conquerors in behalf of his countrymen. He was present at the destruction of Carthage, and thus beheld the final overthrow of the most powerful enemy of the Roman state. At an earlier period he had watched the downfall of the Macedonian monarchy, and the practical, though not formal conquest of that of Syria by the same power. In the

¹ Freeman, *History of Federal Government*, 1. p. 227.

midst of events such as these, entailing as they did far-reaching consequences, it was impossible for an acute observer to hold fast by a restricted view of the course of history. And the extent of the area which was affected by these conquests could not fail to suggest an intelligent study of the countries which were the scene of this extraordinary revolution.

For the work of illustrating history by means of geography Polybius was well prepared by his extensive travels.

The principal scene of these was Western Europe and the neighbouring parts of Africa. In one pas-

His Travels
in Western
Europe.

sage of his History he speaks of having undertaken dangerous and laborious journeys in Libya, Spain, and Gaul, and along the shores of the ocean that bordered them; and his object in doing so, he says, was that he might remove the ignorance of those lands, which up to that time had prevailed among his countrymen¹. He was, in fact, the first writer who availed himself of the knowledge obtained through the conquests of the Romans in the West. Elsewhere he mentions that he had followed Hannibal's route across the Alps. "I speak with confidence on these points," he says, "because I have questioned persons actually engaged on the facts, and have inspected the country, and gone over the Alpine pass myself, in order to inform myself of the truth and see with my own eyes²." We learn also from Pliny, (though, strange to say, on his authority alone) that he was commissioned by Scipio during the third Punic War to command an exploring expedition along the west coast of Africa, and various details of his observations in those parts are recorded³. The results of those journeys are apparent, both in the descriptions of countries and places which serve to illustrate his History, and in the extracts from his geographical treatise, now lost, which have been preserved for us by Strabo. His knowledge of the Iberian peninsula is especially noticeable, and we find not only that he was acquainted with the rivers in that country which flow

¹ Polyb. 3. 59. 7. 8.

² *Ibid.*, 3. 48. 12.

³ Pliny, *H. N.*, 5. 9, 10; Scipione Aemiliano res in Africa gerente Polybius annalium conditor ab eo accepta classe scrutandi illius orbis gratia circumvectus prodidit etc.

into the Atlantic—the Baetis, the Anas, and the Tagus, but that he attempts to estimate the length of the last-named stream from its source to its mouth, assigning to it a course of 8000 stadia¹. His account of the silver mines in the neighbourhood of New Carthage, also, is evidently derived from personal enquiry, for he mentions the number of slaves employed there, and the amount of revenue derived from them, and describes in detail the process by which the ore was prepared for smelting². The Alps, again, he has graphically depicted, and he mentions the four passes which were known at that time to lead through them, viz. that which skirts the Ligurian sea, that which passes through the land of the Taurini (the Mont Genève), that through the territory of the Salassi (the Little St. Bernard), and that by way of Rhaetia (the Brenner)³.

His Opinion
of the Import-
ance of Travel.

The advantage which he had himself received from these journeys impressed him so forcibly, that he came to regard travel as an essential part of the

equipment of the historian and geographer; insomuch that he finds fault with Timaeus as a historical writer, because he ignored altogether this source of evidence—"for," says Polybius, "the eyes are more accurate witnesses than the ears⁴." One result of the experience of travelling in his case was the interest which he

Interest in
Physical Geo-
graphy.

was led to take in physical geography, a notable instance of which is found in his account of the volcanic island of Hiera (Vulcano) in the Lipari

group, the condition of the craters of which he describes, and the way in which it is affected by the winds which blow from different quarters⁵. He also remarks concerning the stream of the Timavus, which rises about a mile from the sea at the head of the Adriatic, that its sources with one exception are brackish, so that the natives call the spot the fountain-head and mother of the sea⁶. The accuracy of his observation has in this case been strikingly confirmed by modern research; for, whereas he alone of the ancient writers who have described that river mentions this peculiarity, it is noticed also by Cluver, the greatest modern authority on the geography of Italy, who says that at high tides all the

¹ Strabo, 2. 4. 4.

² *Ibid.*, 4. 6. 12.

³ Strabo, 6. 2. 10.

⁴ *Ibid.*, 3. 2. 10.

⁵ Polyb., 12. 27. 1—3.

⁶ *Ibid.*, 5. 1. 8.

springs except one turn brackish, 'doubtless from some subterranean communication with the sea.'

Let us now examine the ways in which Polybius employs his geographical knowledge for the elucidation of his historical narrative. We have already seen that he followed the example of Ephorus in setting apart a

His Application of Geography to History.

distinct portion of his work—it was the thirty-fourth book of his History—for the treatment of geography, and he has given us his reasons for doing so. These were, first, that he desired to avoid frequently interrupting his historical narrative by digressions on the subject of geography; and secondly, that he wished by this means to secure the thorough and systematic treatment of geography itself¹. This arrangement, however, does not prevent him

from describing the geography of separate countries and the topography of places in the body of his work, whenever it is convenient. The object which

Descriptions of Countries.

he had in view in doing this, he tells us, was to place the scene and the circumstances of a historical event clearly before the minds of his readers, and thereby to render the event itself more real to them, for "what men want to know is, not so much the fact that a thing took place, as the way in which it happened"; and also to explain occurrences which would otherwise be perplexing, notably in the case of strategical operations, which are constantly determined by the nature of the ground². Hence, as

an introduction to the Gallic war of 225 B.C., Polybius gives us an elaborate description of the shape, the boundaries, and the products of Cisalpine Gaul, of its position relatively to the rest of Italy, of the course of the

Cisalpine Gaul.

Padus which intersected it, and of the situation of the tribes by whom it was inhabited³. Similarly, in connexion with the campaign of Antiochus the Great against Molon, the revolted satrap of Media, in 220 B.C., he furnishes

Media.

a singularly clear and intelligent account of that country in respect of its central position in Asia, the elevation of its surface, the mountains that border or divide it, the passes which it commands, and the relation which it bears to the surrounding

¹ Polyb., 3. 57. 4, 5.

² *Ibid.*, 5. 21. 4—7.

³ *Ibid.*, 2. 14—17.

regions¹. His descriptions of cities are numerous and graphic.

**Descriptions
of Cities.**

When they are brief, as is sometimes the case, the salient points at least are mentioned which determine the character of the site. Such, for instance, is his account of Sinope, the striking position of which on the southern coast of the Euxine has been noticed in an earlier chapter²:—

Sinope. “Sinope lies on the right-hand shore of the Pontus as one sails to Phasis, and is built upon a peninsula jutting

out into the sea: it is on the neck of this peninsula, connecting it with Asia, which is not more than two stades wide, that the city is so placed as to entirely close it up from sea to sea; the rest of the peninsula stretches out into the open sea,—a piece of flat land from which the town is easily accessible, but surrounded by a steep coast offering very bad harbourage, and having exceedingly few spots admitting of disembarkation³.”

Somewhat fuller than this is his description of Agrigentum, the accuracy of which will be recognised by everyone who has visited that place:—

Agrigentum. “The city of Agrigentum is not only superior to most cities in the particulars I have mentioned, but above all in beauty and elaborate ornamentation. It stands

within eighteen stades of the sea, so that it participates in every advantage from that quarter; while its circuit of fortification is particularly strong both by nature and art. For its wall is placed on a rock, steep and precipitous, on one side naturally, on the other made so artificially. And it is enclosed by rivers: for along the south side runs the river of the same name as the town, and along the west and south-west side the river called Hypsas. The citadel overlooks the city exactly at the north-east, girt on the outside by an impassable ravine, and on the inside with only one approach from the town. On the top of it is a temple of Athene and of Zeus Atabyrius, as at Rhodes: for as Agrigentum was founded by the Rhodians, it is natural that this deity should have the same appellation as at Rhodes. The city is sumptuously

¹ Polyb., 5. 44.

² *v. supra*, p. 47.

³ *Ibid.*, 4. 56. 5, 6 (Shuckburgh's translation, from which also the other passages here quoted are taken).

adorned in other respects also with temples and colonnades. The temple of Zeus Olympius is still unfinished, but in its plan and dimensions it seems to be inferior to no temple whatever in all Greece¹."

Again, when a city has been the scene of events of the first importance, its site and the ground in its neighbourhood are delineated with the fullest detail. This is the case with New Carthage in Spain:—

"It stands about half-way down the coast of Iberia in a gulf which faces south-west, running about twenty stades inland, and about ten stades broad at its entrance. New Carthage. The whole gulf is made a harbour by the fact that an island lies at its mouth, and thus makes the entrance channels on each side of it exceedingly narrow. It breaks the force of the waves also, and the whole gulf has thus smooth water, except when south-west winds setting down the two channels raise a surf: with all other winds it is perfectly calm, from being so nearly landlocked. In the recess of the gulf a mountain juts out in the form of a chersonese, and it is on this mountain that the city stands, surrounded by the sea on the east and south, and on the west by a lagoon extending so far northward that the remaining space to the sea on the other side, to connect it with the continent, is not more than two stades. The city itself has a deep depression in its centre, presenting on its south side a level approach from the sea; while the rest of it is hemmed in by hills, two of them mountainous and rough, three others much lower, but rocky and difficult of ascent; the largest of which lies on the east of the town running out into the sea, on which stands a temple of Asclepius. Exactly opposite this lies the western mountain in a closely corresponding position, on which a palace had been erected at great cost, which it is said was built by Hasdrubal, when he was aiming at establishing royal power. The remaining three lesser elevations bound it on the north, of which the westernmost is called the hill of Hephæstus, the next to it that of Aletes,—who is believed to have attained divine honours from having been the discoverer of the silver mines,—and the third is called the hill of Cronus. The lagoon has been

¹ Polyb., 9. 27.

connected with the adjoining sea artificially for the sake of the maritime folk ; and over the channel thus cut between it and the sea a bridge has been built, for beasts of burden and carts to bring in provisions from the country¹."

Nor does Polybius fail to notice the influence which the con-formation of countries exercised on the course of history on a larger scale. Thus we feel that he duly appreciated the importance of the Isthmus of Corinth to Greece, when in comparing that country to Italy he lays stress on the fact, unimportant though it may seem at first sight, that the limb in which the whole organism terminates has not been severed from the body in the one case, as it has been in the other—or, as he himself expresses it, that the passage from Northern Greece to the Peloponnese is made by land, that from Italy to Sicily by water². Very interesting, too, is the contrast which he draws between the passage of the Straits of Gibraltar and that of the Hellespont in respect of their importance to the ancient world :—

"The position of Abydos and Sestos, and the advantages of the situation of those towns it would, I think, be waste of time for me to state in great detail, because the singularity of those sites has made them familiar to all persons of intelligence. Still I imagine that it will be not otherwise than useful to remind my readers briefly of the facts, by way of attracting their attention. A man would best realise the advantage of these cities, not by regarding their sites by themselves, but by comparing and contrasting them with those about to be mentioned. For just as it is impossible to sail from the ocean—or as some call it the Atlantic—into our sea, except by passing through the Pillars of Heracles, so it is impossible to sail from our sea into the Propontis and the Pontus except through the channel separating Sestos and Abydos. But as though Fortune had designed these two straits to counterbalance each other, the passage between the Pillars of Heracles is many times as broad as that of the Hellespont—the former being sixty, the latter two stades³; the reason

¹ Polyb., 10. 10.

² Polyb., 1. 42. 1, 2.

³ The distance here is strangely underestimated; the real width of the Hellespont in its narrowest part is 7 stades.

being, as far as one may conjecture, the great superiority in size of the external Ocean to our sea: while the channel at Abydos is more convenient than that at the Pillars of Heracles. For the former being lined on both sides by human habitations is of the nature of a gate admitting mutual intercourse, sometimes being bridged over by those who determine to cross on foot, and at all times admitting a passage by sea. But the channel at the Pillars of Heracles is seldom used, and by very few persons, owing to the lack of intercourse between the tribes inhabiting those remote parts of Libya and Europe, and owing to the scantiness of our knowledge of the external Ocean¹."

The combination of realism with reflective observation, which we thus meet with in Polybius' treatment of geography in connexion with history, makes us feel that we have entered on a new and peculiarly useful application of the subject; and this, as we shall presently see, was afterwards carried out on a larger scale by Strabo, whose views were greatly influenced by those of his predecessor.

¹ Polyb., 16. 20.

CHAPTER XI.

GEOGRAPHY AS PROMOTED BY THE ROMAN CONQUESTS.

Exploration of Unknown Lands by the Greeks and by the Romans—Opportunity afforded by the Mithridatic War—Campaigns of Lucullus in Armenia and Mesopotamia—Pompey in Iberia and Albania—Narrative of Theophanes—His Description of the Caucasus, of the Cyrus and Araxes, and of the Tribes—The Iberi—The Albani—The Tribes bordering on the Euxine—Expedition of Balbus against the Garamantes, of Petronius in Aethiopia—The ‘Atlantic Islands’ (Madeira)—Fortunatee Insulæ (The Canaries)—Progressive Conquest of Spain by the Romans—Southern and Eastern Provinces—Lusitania—Central Districts—Tribes of the North-West—Formation of the Roman Province in Gaul—Caesar’s Conquest of Gaul—His Ethnographical and Geographical Notices—Transference to Towns of Names of Tribes—Caesar’s Description of the Country of the Veneti—His Expeditions into Britain—His Information about it—Acquaintance of the Romans with Germany—Campaigns of Drusus and of Tiberius—Conquest of Rhaetia, Vindelicia, and Noricum—Of Pannonia—Importance to Geography of the Roman Roads—Careful Measurement of Distances—The Wall-map of Agrippa—Itineraries derived from it.

Exploration of Unknown Lands by the Greeks

WE have now reached the period when the progress of geographical knowledge was mainly due to the advance of the Roman arms. Hitherto we have seen that its development was caused almost entirely by the enterprise and enquiring spirit of the Greeks, and by the spread of their commerce, which brought them into communication with distant peoples. At an early stage in their history the wide diffusion of their colonies along the shores of the Mediterranean and the Euxine brought in a vast fund of information with regard to the countries in the neighbourhood of those seas; together with intimations, in many cases vague and inexact, concerning the races inhabiting the lands which lay behind them. After a while the extension of the Persian empire in the direction of the Aegean, and the wars with the Greeks in which that power was involved, opened out to view a wide tract of Western Asia; and the same thing took place, though on a

smaller scale, in Africa owing to the increasing intercourse of the Greeks with Egypt. Regions still more remote were also gradually revealed by the agency of adventurous explorers, such as Hanno the Carthaginian, who visited the west coast of Africa, and Pytheas, who penetrated into the northern seas. Then followed the expedition of Alexander, which marked an era in the extension of the subject, both on account of the immense area which was then for the first time brought within the field of knowledge, and because the cities which were founded by that conqueror in various parts of his newly acquired dominions served as centres for obtaining additional information. In this way the knowledge which prevailed in antiquity of the Ganges valley, and of the customs and institutions of its inhabitants, was once for all obtained by Megasthenes, and more accurate intelligence concerning the neighbourhood of the Red Sea and the shores of the Indian Ocean was brought to Alexandria under the Ptolemies. But when, after the fall of Carthage in 146 B.C., and the capture of Corinth by Mummius in the same year, and by the Romans. the preponderance of power passed from the east to the west, and the Romans found that they were able to attempt the conquest of distant countries, the campaigns in which their armies were engaged led them from time to time into regions as yet but little known, and thus contributed fresh materials for constructing the map of the world. The most considerable expansion of geographical knowledge at this time was in the direction of western Europe, as might be expected from the limited acquaintance which the Greeks had previously possessed with that part of the globe ; but in the other continents also a considerable area of country was now for the first time explored.

On the side of Asia the third Mithridatic war furnished the chief opportunity of acquiring information about lands as yet imperfectly known. Mithridates, king of Pontus, who had amassed enormous treasures, and possessed a large and well-disciplined army, had extended his dominions in Asia Minor over part of Cappadocia, and over Armenia Minor, the district which lay to the westward of the Euphrates ; and advancing toward the north had subjugated not only Colchis, but the Tauric Chersonese, and to Opportunity afforded by the Mithridatic War.

some extent the country beyond, which lay between the Tyras (Dneister) and the Tanais (Don). His position was further strengthened by his alliance with Tigranes, the powerful king of Armenia, to whom he had given his daughter Cleopatra in marriage. Already on two former occasions he had engaged in war with the Romans, with whose allies in Asia Minor he was continually interfering; but the cause of this final struggle with them was the bequest of Nicomedes III, king of Bithynia, who on his death in 74 B.C. left his dominions by will to the Roman people. This arrangement, and the subsequent reduction of Bithynia to the form of a Roman province, was resisted by Mithridates, and upon this, war broke out afresh between the two powers, and on a great scale. On the two first of the campaigns which followed we have no need to dwell, because they were carried on in well-known districts of Asia Minor; the interest of the war from a geographical point of view commences after the defeat of Mithridates at Cabeira by Lucullus, who was in command of the Romans, in 72 B.C., when

Campaigns of
Lucullus in
Armenia

that monarch was forced to abandon his kingdom, and to take refuge with Tigranes in Armenia. That country, accordingly, which had remained almost

unvisited since the days when it was traversed by Xenophon and the Ten Thousand, became for a time the seat of war; and though the writers from whom our knowledge of the events which took place there is derived, Appian and Plutarch, pay little attention to the topography, we cannot doubt that the ancients at that time obtained a clearer idea of many of its remarkable features¹. This was the first occasion on which the Romans had passed through the Anti-Taurus and entered the wild uplands in which the sources of the Tigris and Euphrates are found, and there they had some experience of the severity of the climate, from which Xenophon and his soldiers previously suffered. Then, too, for the first time,

and
Mesopotamia.

they crossed the Taurus, where it separates that land from Mesopotamia, and descended towards the lower courses of the two great rivers, which

were destined at a later period to be the scene of numerous encounters between them and the Parthians. Lucullus advanced through the province of Sophene, which occupies the wide bend

¹ For a description of these *vide supra*, p. 113.

formed by the stream of the Euphrates just where it leaves Armenia, and within which the western branch of the Tigris rises; and from thence he marched on Tigranocerta, the newly founded capital of Tigranes, which he captured. The site of that city has been much disputed, and perhaps the shortness of the period during which it flourished, and the consequent absence of such means of identification as coins, may render it impossible to determine it with certainty, but the position which best corresponds with the statements of Strabo and Tacitus, the most weighty authorities on the subject, and which also suits the accounts of Lucullus' campaign, is that of a village called Tel Ermen, a little distance to the south-west of Mardin, at which considerable remains of antiquity are found¹. The river Arsanias, on the banks of which Lucullus defeated the combined forces of Mithridates and Tigranes, is almost certainly the Murad, or eastern branch of the Euphrates, which Xenophon also crossed². After this Lucullus subdued the important fortress of Nisibis in Mesopotamia; but here his successes ended in consequence of the insubordination which prevailed among his troops, and he was superseded in his command, Pompey being appointed in his stead.

The campaigns which followed under the leadership of that general were productive of far more important results to geography, for in the course of them accurate information was obtained concerning the lands that lay between the Black Sea and the Caspian. For some time after his arrival Pompey was engaged in expelling Mithridates from Asia Minor, where he had recovered a large part of his dominions during the absence of Lucullus in Mesopotamia; and after he had accomplished this he advanced into Armenia, where Tigranes submitted to him without a struggle. He was thus at liberty to follow Mithridates, who had retired, first into Colchis, and afterwards by a difficult route along the shore of the Euxine, until he reached Panticapaeum (Kertch) on the European side of the Cimmerian Bosphorus, which place he hoped to make a starting-point for further resistance. In the course of his pursuit Pompey

Pompey in
Iberia and
Albania.

¹ Sachau, *Ueber die Lage von Tigranokerta*; Berlin, 1881.

² See Pliny, *H. N.*, 6. 128; and cp. the author's *Turkish Armenia*, pp. 244, 298.

found it necessary to subdue the tribes that lay to the northward of Armenia—the Iberi, who occupied the highlands to the southward of the Caucasus, about the upper waters of the Cyrus (Kur), and the Albani, who dwelt about the lower course of that river, extending as far as the coast of the Caspian Sea. These nations and the lands which they inhabited were equally unknown, and during this expedition Pompey crossed the Cyrus, and advanced within three days' march of the Caspian. Plutarch tells us that he was prevented from reaching its waters by the multitude of deadly serpents which swarmed in those parts¹—an evident exaggeration, but one which was based on fact, for the poisonous snakes of the plain of Mogan, as that district is called at the present day, have attracted the attention of modern travellers.

For an account of these countries we are indebted to Theophrastus of Mytilene, who was an intimate friend of Pompey and his companion on this campaign, of which he wrote a history. The singularly full and graphic description of them which is found in Strabo was derived in the main from him, and this writer refers to him in several passages as the most important authority on the subject². He

speaks of the Caucasus—which chain resembles the Pyrenees in the uniformity of its direction and its unbroken line of heights—as forming a wall across the isthmus which intervenes between the two seas, and he notices the pass that leads through it from “the nomad peoples towards the north,” which is evidently the Dariel pass of modern times³. He also describes its luxuriant vegetation, a feature which is especially remarkable in its south-western valleys and slopes. Again, the Suram range, as the mountains are called which form the watershed of the country, from which the streams flow to east and west, is characterised by him as a number of transverse chains, which run off from the Caucasus towards the south, and join those of Armenia and Colchis⁴. The course of the river Cyrus is also carefully traced, and an interesting account is given of the formation of the delta at its

¹ Plut., *Pomp.*, 36.

² *e.g.*, Strabo, II. 2. 14; II. 5. 1; 13. 2. 3.

³ Strabo, II. 3. 5.

⁴ *Ibid.*, II. 2. 15.

mouth; in addition to which we are informed that the Araxes, which flowed from the mountains of Armenia, reached the sea in its neighbourhood, but did not join its stream, as it does at the present day¹. The variations which are found in ancient authors with regard to this last point afford a curious subject of speculation. The statement of Theophanes is repeated by Mela², whereas Pliny³, though with some reserve, and Appian⁴ affirm that those rivers met before entering the sea. Plutarch, again⁵, mentions both views without pronouncing between them, while Ptolemy⁶ says that the Araxes discharged its waters, partly into the Caspian Sea, and partly into the Cyrus. The last of these notices has been employed as a means of reconciling the others, and the conclusion has not unreasonably been drawn, that the change in the course of the Araxes, which caused it to communicate with the Cyrus, commenced early in the Christian era, and that for a considerable time that river continued to flow both through its old and its new channel.

Theophanes' account of the customs and manner of life of the tribes that inhabited this area of country is also highly valuable. Of these the Iberi were the most civilised, for they possessed towns and markets, and had tiled roofs to their houses and some pretence to architecture in their dwellings. The population was divided into four classes, of which the first was the royal caste, which furnished the leaders both at home and in war; the second

and of the
Tribes.

The Iberi.

¹ Strabo, II. 3. 2; II. 4. 2; in the latter of these passages, after the mouth of the Cyrus has been described, it is said, πλησίον δὲ καὶ ὁ Ἀράξης ἐμβάλλει.

² 3. 40, 41.

³ *H. N.*, 6. 26; Araxes...ut plures existimavere, a Cyro defertur in Caspium mare.

⁴ *Mithr.*, 103; τὸν Κύρνον ποταμόν, ὃς δώδεκα στόμασι πλωτοῖς ἐς τὴν Κασπίαν θάλασσαν ἐρεύγεται, πολλῶν εἰς αὐτὸν ἐμβαλόντων ποταμῶν, καὶ μεγίστου πάντων Ἀράξου.

⁵ *Rom.*, 34; τὸν Κύρνον ποταμόν, ὃς ἐκ τῶν Ἰβηρικῶν ὄρων ἀνιστάμενος καὶ δεχόμενος κατιόντα τὸν Ἀράξην ἀπ' Ἀρμενίας, ἐξίησι δώδεκα στόμασιν εἰς τὸ Κάσπιον. Οἱ δὲ οὐ φασι τοῦτ' ἀνυμφέρεσθαι τὸν Ἀράξην, ἀλλὰ καθ' ἑαυτὸν, ἐγγυὲς δὲ ποιεῖσθαι τὴν ἐκβολὴν ἐς ταῦτ' πέλαγος.

⁶ 5. 13. 6; [ὁ Ἀράξης] τῇ μὲν εἰς τὴν Ἰβηρικὴν [θάλασσαν] ἐκβάλλει, τῇ δὲ συμβάλλει τῷ Κύρῳ ποταμῷ.

the priests, who acted as arbitrators, when disputes arose with the neighbouring tribes; while the third comprehended the soldiers and the cultivators of the soil, and the fourth the mass of the common people, who were employed in menial tasks, and were regarded as slaves of the king. Their domestic organisation was patriarchal, the property of each family being possessed in common, and administered by the eldest member of the family¹. The

The Albani.

condition of the Albani, on the other hand, was much more primitive. We learn that they did not use money for purposes of traffic, but made their exchanges in kind, and that they were unacquainted for the most part with weights and measures. The custom of human sacrifices also prevailed amongst them, and like the Gauls and the Lusitani, they were wont on these occasions to divine from the bodies of the victims. Their occupations were mainly pastoral, and where they cultivated the soil the implements they used were of the rudest description; but, notwithstanding this, the crops which they obtained were exceedingly rich in consequence of the fertility of the soil—a description which applies at the present day to the corresponding district of Shirvan, which lies between the Kur, the Caspian Sea, and the eastern part of the Caucasus. Though naturally a peaceful race, they were able to put a large military force into the field, so that they opposed Pompey with an army of

**The Tribes
bordering on
the Euxine.**

sixty thousand infantry and twelve thousand cavalry². The tribes which bordered on the coast of the Euxine to the northward of the Phasis were very numerous, and as many as seventy of them were said to frequent the Greek colony of Dioscurias (Sukhum Kaleh), which lay in their neighbourhood, as a trading centre³. We learn also that they spoke different dialects, and this was no doubt the result of the conformation of the ground in those parts, which is broken up into a number of separate valleys by the spurs of the Caucasus. The name of one of them, which appears in Greek as Heniochi, can be recognised in the modern form Hainuch. Some of them led a piratical life, attacking the merchant ships in the Black Sea, or making descents on various parts of the coast, for which

¹ Strabo, II. 3. 1, 6.

² *Ibid.*, II. 4.

³ *Ibid.*, II. 2. 16.

purpose they employed vessels of a primitive character, capable of containing from twenty-five to thirty men apiece¹. Of these Tacitus has furnished us with a detailed description in an account which he gives of a rising in Pontus during the reign of Vitellius. "The barbarians," he says, "insolently scoured the sea in hastily constructed vessels of their own called 'camarae,' built with narrow sides and broad bottoms, and joined together without fastenings of brass or iron. Whenever the water is rough, they raise the bulwarks with additional planks according to the increasing height of the waves, till the vessel is covered in like a house. Thus they roll about amid the billows, and, as they have a prow at both extremities alike and a convertible arrangement of oars, they may be paddled in one direction or another indifferently and without risk²."

In Africa the advance of geographical knowledge was the work of a later period, and was on a more restricted scale. Virgil, in a passage of the sixth *Aeneid* where he is celebrating the glories of Augustus, represents Anchises as prophesying that he should extend his dominion beyond the Garamantes³. We have already heard of this people in connexion with Herodotus, who places one of the Oases in their territory, and we have seen that they occupied the district of the interior of Africa south of Tripoli, which is now called Fezzan⁴. The expedition to which Virgil refers was that of Cornelius Balbus in the year 20 B.C., and the mention of it by the poet implies that it was regarded as a remarkable achievement. Balbus, who was governor of the Roman province of Africa, advanced into the country of these independent tribes, and was so far successful in reducing them to temporary subjection that he received the honour of a triumph. Few details of his movements have come down to us, but we know that he captured their chief town, Garama⁵, the site of which with

Expedition
of Balbus
against the
Garamantes,

¹ Strabo, II. 2. 12.

² Tac. *Hist.*, 3. 47.

³ *Aen.* 6. 795;

super et Garamantas et Indos
Proferet imperium.

⁴ *v. supra*, p. 96; Herod., 4. 183.

Pliny, *H. N.*, 5. 36.

considerable ruins still bears the name of Germa, and is about 70 miles distant from Mourzouk, the modern capital of Fezzan.

Almost contemporary with this campaign was the expedition of C. Petronius into Aethiopia. In 22 B.C. Candace the queen of that country—taking advantage of the withdrawal from Egypt of a part of the Roman forces, which were being employed in the invasion of Arabia which Aelius Gallus had undertaken by the order of Augustus—had attacked and captured the city of Syene and the neighbouring island of Elephantine, which formed the frontier station of the Romans in that quarter. Petronius, however, who was in command in Egypt, not only recovered these places, but invaded Aethiopia, and defeated the army of Candace. After this he made himself master of three important towns, Pselchis, Premnis, and Candace's royal city, Napata, which are mentioned as having been taken in the order here given¹. Of these, Pselchis, which is called Pselket in the hieroglyphics, and lay between the first and second cataract, is undoubtedly the modern Dakkeh, which place is situated a little distance to the south of Korosko, where the great westward bend of the river in the direction of Dongola commences. Again, the site of Napata, with the remains of temples and pyramids in its neighbourhood, has been discovered at a place called Merawi, near the conspicuous height of Jebel Barkal, just below the fourth cataract. The points thus fixed enable us approximately to determine that of Premnis also. Strabo, from whom our knowledge of the campaign is derived, tells us that in passing from Pselchis to that town Petronius' line of march lay across the desert; and by this he can hardly fail to mean that he followed the modern caravan route from Korosko to Abu Hamed, which forms the chord of the arc here made by the Nile. It is natural therefore to conjecture that Premnis lay at no very great distance from Abu Hamed, because in passing from Pselchis to Napata by this route Petronius would rejoin the river near that place, from which Napata is distant about a hundred miles lower down the stream².

¹ Strabo, 17. 1. 54.

² See Bunbury, *Hist. of Anc. Geogr.*, 2. pp. 168, 183, 184.

In this connexion we may notice a discovery that forcibly impressed the men of that time, the mention of which occurs in the course of Sertorius' career in Spain. In the year 81 B.C. that adventurous commander, when he found himself unable to make head against the forces which Sulla had sent to oppose him in that country, happened to meet near the mouth of the Baetis with some seamen who had recently visited the 'Atlantic Islands.' These they described as being two in number, separated by a very narrow channel, and lying in the open sea at a distance of ten thousand stadia (1,000 geographical miles) from the African coast. The climate of the islands they reported to be delightfully temperate, exempt from cold and violent winds and from excessive rain, with a soft and moist air, which not only rendered the soil fertile for cultivation, but produced self-sown fruits in great abundance. The account thus given took such hold on the imagination of Sertorius, that he was seized with a strong desire to betake himself to this spot, where he might "escape from tyranny and unceasing wars, and live in tranquillity"; but he was forced to desist from the project by the unwillingness of the Cilician pirates who formed the crews of his ships to accompany him. As was natural, these islands were identified with the 'Islands of the Blessed,' which had been celebrated from early days in Greek poetry—"where is no snow, nor yet great storm, nor any rain; but alway ocean sendeth forth the breeze of the shrill West to blow cool on men"¹: indeed, we are told that the barbarians themselves believed that in them were to be found the Elysian plains and the Abodes of the Happy, of which Homer had sung². Though the distance from the continent which is attributed to these islands must in any case have been a great exaggeration, yet it seems impossible to regard the Canaries, lying as they do within easy reach of the African coast, as corresponding to them; and the circumstance that they are spoken of as two only, suggests that Madeira and the neighbouring Porto Santo were meant, rather than such groups as the Azores or the Cape Verde islands. The humidity and equable character of the climate of Madeira, also, and the great productiveness of the ground, are in favour of this view.

¹ Hom. *Od.*, 4. 566 8.² Plutarch, *Sertor.*, 8, 9.

At a later period, however, there is no doubt that the islands which were known by the name of Fortunatae Insulae (The Canaries). The information which the Romans possessed about these was derived from the treatise on Africa by Juba king of Mauretania, who had ample facilities for learning the truth about them. This prince was carried to Rome as a captive during his childhood, in 46 B.C., and having been educated there, became a man of distinguished learning. In his youth he was a friend of Augustus, who first restored him to his father's kingdom of Numidia, and afterwards transferred him to the sovereignty of Mauretania. His statements with regard to these islands are preserved in Pliny's *Natural History*¹, and the names that he assigns to them, which are mostly of Latin origin, are of service in identifying them. Thus Canaria retains its appellation unchanged as Grand Canary, while Nivaria or Ninguaria, which, he says, was so called from its perpetual snows, is evidently Tenerife, with its celebrated Peak, 12,182 feet in height; and he also remarks on the clouds by which it is so frequently shrouded. A third, Ombrios, which is described as having a lake in the midst of its mountains, seems to correspond to Palma, the central crater of which is called Caldera or "the Cauldron," and is surrounded by many lofty summits.

The advance of the Roman arms in Spain may be passed over with a brief notice, because it belongs for the most part to an earlier period than that of which we are now speaking, and its effects in opening out the interior of that peninsula have already been adverted to in our remarks on Polybius and Posidonius, the first writers who communicated to the world the information obtained by this means. When the Carthaginians were finally expelled from Spain at the conclusion of the Second Punic war, the territory which they had occupied was erected into a Roman province in 206 B.C. The part thus acquired, however, was not more than one half of the country; it comprised the southern districts between the Sierra Morena and the sea, and those towards the east, which are

Fortunatae
Insulae
(The Canaries).

Progressive
Conquest of
Spain by the
Romans.

Southern
and Eastern
Provinces.

¹ *H. N.*, 6. 203—5.

bounded on one side by the Mediterranean, and on the other by the inland chain that runs parallel to it and forms the watershed between the rivers that flow into that sea and those which reach the Atlantic: this area would correspond to the modern provinces of Andalucia, Murcia, Valencia, and Catalonia. It was only by slow degrees that the rest of the peninsula was subjugated, for the successive mountain ranges which intersect it form so many natural lines of defence, and oppose great difficulties in the way of an invading force, while the hardy tribes of the interior, accustomed as they were to guerilla warfare, were ski'd in distracting the attention of their opponents and harassing them in their advance. Strabo has rightly remarked that this characteristic of the natives rendered the task of subduing them much more arduous and protracted than anything which the Romans experienced in Gaul¹. Such was, in particular, the policy of Viriathus in Lusitania, who kept the Romans at bay for eight years (148—140 B.C.). After his treacherous assassination, however, that country was sub-
Lusitania.
 dued by D. Junius Brutus, and that general for the first time led an army beyond the Durus (Douro) as far as the Minus (Minho). But the turning-point in the advance of the Romans was the capture by blockade and the subsequent destruction of Numantia by Scipio Africanus in 133 B.C. That city was
Central Districts.
 situated near the sources of the Douro in the heart of the peninsula, and by its fall the Roman dominion was established throughout central Spain; from that time onwards the only tribes that continued to defy the conquerors were the Astures and Cantabri,
Tribes of the North-West.
 who inhabited the mountains of the north-west, corresponding in position to the modern districts of the Asturias and part of the Basque provinces. These were finally subdued in 19 B.C., after a war which continued several years, and was conducted at first by Augustus in person, and afterwards by Agrippa. The passes which led from the interior to the extreme north-west

¹ Strabo, 4. 4. 2, where it is said of the Gauls, ἄθροοι καὶ κατὰ πλῆθος ἐμπίπτοντες ἄθροοι κατελύοντο, and of the Spaniards, οἱ δ' ἐταμίεον καὶ κατεκερμάτιζον τοὺς ἀγῶνας, ἄλλοτε ἄλλοι καὶ κατ' ἄλλα μέρη ληστρικῶς πολεμοῦντες.

angle, through which the route still lies into Galicia, were secured by the foundation of the colonies of Asturica Augusta (Astorga) and Lucus Augusti (Lugo), the fine Roman walls of which still testify to their ancient strength.

The neighbouring country of Gaul was the most important field of geographical discovery that was opened out during the century which immediately preceded the Christian era. Long after the power of Rome had made itself felt in Syria and Egypt the Alps continued to form an effectual barrier to the advance of the great republic in that direction, and it was not until the defeat of the Salyes, who occupied the district between Marseilles and Nice, by the consul M. Fulvius Flaccus in 125 B.C., and their subjugation by C. Sextius Calvinus two years later, that the Romans secured a permanent footing there. The latter of these two officers established a military post at the place which had before been the stronghold of the tribe, and this afterwards became famous as Aquae Sextiae (Aix). Again, the Vocontii, whose territory lay between the Durance and the Isère, were conquered by Flaccus, and shortly afterwards the Allobroges, who inhabited the mountainous regions of Dauphiné between the Isère and the Rhone, were reduced to a state of dependence, so that the Roman dominion in this part was extended from the shores of the Mediterranean to the lake of Geneva. On the further side of the Rhone a footing was also obtained by the establishment of a colony at Narbo (Narbonne); and the capture of Tolosa (Toulouse), the capital of the tribe of Tectosages, in 106 B.C., advanced their territory as far as the Garonne. The lands which were thus brought under the Roman sway were formally organised under the name of 'The Province,' a title which became so permanently associated with this domain, that it has been perpetuated in the modern Provence. In this way the influence of Rome was confirmed and its civilisation propagated through the southern part of Gaul, but from that date the limits of its sovereignty remained unchanged until the time of Caesar.

That leader accepted the command in Gaul with the definite intention of conquering the whole of the country, and this purpose he accomplished with great completeness in the course of nine years (58—50 B.C.).

Formation of
the Roman
Province in
Gaul.

Caesar's
Conquest of
Gaul.

His successive campaigns carried him and his lieutenants even into the remotest districts, and the knowledge which he thus obtained both of their natural features and of their inhabitants enabled him to accumulate a large store of facts, by which his history is throughout elucidated. He notices at starting the three great nations which occupied Gaul—the Aquitani in the south, the Celts or Gauls in the centre, and the Belgae in the north—together with the rivers which separated them one from another, the Garumna (Garonne) in the one case, and the Sequana (Seine) and Matrona (Marne) in the other. He shews himself well acquainted with the principal mountain chains—the Jura and the Mons Vosegus (Vosges) towards the east, and the Mons Cebenna (Cevennes) in the south—and with the Silva Arduenna, or forest district of the Ardennes, which spread over a wide tract in Belgica. His accuracy extends to geographical details, when there is any need to introduce them: thus he remarks that the stream of the Vacalus (Waal) is a branch of the Rhine which flows into the Meuse¹, and he observes that Lutetia (Paris) is situated on an island in the Seine². He obtained exact details respecting the subdivisions of the tribes with which from time to time he came into conflict, and of these he has drawn up lists in various parts of his work. Their position can in a large number of instances be verified owing to the permanence of their names, which came to be attached, either to the districts in which they dwelt, or, as often happened, to the chief town of the district. The latter process, in the course of which the previous appellation of the city was superseded, has given birth to the names of many important places in France. Thus the tribe of Lexovii, whose city was called Noviomagus, is recognised in the modern Lisieux, and the Senones have given their name to Sens in place of that of Agedincum; Mediolanum, the capital of the Eburovices, is now Evreux, and Avaricum of the Bituriges is Bourges. A marked instance of the interest which Caesar took in the remote tribes, and of his carefulness in recording the geographical features of the lands which they

His Ethno-
graphical and
Geographical
Notices.

Transference
to Towns of
Names of
Tribes.

¹ *Bell. Gall.*, 4. 10.

² *Ibid.*, 7. 57.

occupied, is furnished by his account of the Veneti in Armorica, who inhabited the sea-coast north of the mouth of the Loire. Against these he first sent his lieutenant, P. Crassus, in 57 B.C., and in the following year he entered their territory himself, and after assembling a fleet of sufficient size to enable him to cope with their vessels, finally reduced them to submission.

Caesar's
Description
of the Country
of the Veneti.

In his narrative of the campaign he delineates the peculiarities of the coast of the Morbihan, as this district of France is now called—the creeks and inlets of the sea, which interfered with communication by land, and the position of the towns on the extremities of jutting tongues of land, which caused them to be hard of access to an invader, because at high tide the approach from the land-side was cut off, and at low water it was a difficult matter for ships to approach them in consequence of the shoals. He then proceeds to describe the vessels used by these hardy navigators, who were accustomed to make voyages to Britain—their oaken timbers, their almost flat keels, which allowed of their grounding without difficulty, their height in the bows, and their leathern sails. Against these the Romans had the one advantage of using oars, which their opponents were without; and thus, when they had disabled their rigging by means of hooks attached to long poles, they were able to board their vessels, after which the superior courage of the Roman soldiers prevailed. When victory declared itself on the side of the invaders, the people at large submitted, but Caesar thought fit that they should be put to death or sold into slavery¹. In this way a race was exterminated who were distinguished for commercial enterprise, as we have already remarked when speaking of the tin trade with Britain².

Caesar's two expeditions into Britain were important as marking the first occasion on which the Romans set foot in that island, but they do not seem to have made any considerable addition to what was already known through Posidonius concerning it³. Both those writers

¹ *B. G.*, 3, 8, 9, 12—16.

² *v. supra*, p. 36.

³ Posidonius' account is embodied in Strabo's description of Britain, 4. 5.

were acquainted only with the south-eastern portion and the adjoining districts of the interior. Caesar's starting-point was the Portus Itius, a harbour of the Morini, whose territory lay in that part of Gaul which adjoins the Straits of Dover. Among the many competing sites which claim to be identified with that place the two that deserve especial consideration are Wissant, a village on the coast to the east of Cape Gris Nez, and Boulogne; but both this question and that of the point on the coast of Britain at which he landed are so debateable, that it is not possible to speak with great confidence on the subject. The first of these expeditions was little more than a reconnaissance, for Caesar on that occasion hardly penetrated at all into the country; in the second, with a view to which he prepared a large fleet and a force of five legions and two thousand cavalry, he advanced into the interior as far as the Thames, which river he crossed at a point about eighty miles from the sea, somewhere perhaps between Kingston and Brentford. He did not, however, proceed much further than this, for in no long time Cassivelaunus, the chief of the Trinobantes, who commanded the British forces, made submission to him, and Caesar was willing to quit the island on terms favourable to the natives. In consequence of this it is not surprising if his knowledge of the country was limited. He rightly describes it as triangular in shape; with the island of Hibernia, which he estimates at half the size of Britain, on its western side. He is also the first writer who notices the Isle of Man; for it seems to be this, and not Anglesea, that he means by Mona, for he speaks of it as lying half-way between the two larger islands. In Pliny the Isle of Man is called Monapia¹. As regards the inhabitants he remarks that the most civilised were those that dwelt in the south-eastern parts, who were settlers of Belgian race, having migrated from the mainland, and both in their dwellings and their manner of life resembled those in Gaul. The tribes of the interior he characterises as barbarous in their customs and as leading the life of herdsmen².

His Information about it.

¹ *H. N.*, 4. 103.

² *B. G.*, 5. 12-14.

Caesar also was the first Roman commander who led an army across the Rhine, though he penetrated but a little way into Germany. The information which he obtained about that country was mainly derived from his allies the Ubii, and from the prisoners whom he captured when fighting against Ariovistus; and in this way he has accurately recorded the names of a number of the tribes. He also describes the Hercynian Forest, the mention of which he says that he had found occurring in the writings of Eratosthenes and other Greeks; and he attributes to it a width of nine days' journey, and a length of sixty, reaching from the confines of the Helvetii along the course of the Danube as far as Dacia, where it turned towards the north¹. There is no notice, however, in his work of the great rivers of northern Germany, and it was not until long afterwards that his countrymen obtained an accurate knowledge of that land. The next Roman general who crossed the Rhine was Drusus, the stepson of Augustus, who in the course of three campaigns traversed a great part of its western districts. In the year 12 B.C. he started from the Island of the Batavi, as the country between the mouths of the Rhine and the Meuse was called, and overran the territory of the Usipetes and Sigambri, which lay higher up on the right bank of the stream. The following year witnessed his advance to the Visurgis (Weser), and as far as the land of the Chatti who lived about its head-waters, where he established a garrison: and finally his third campaign carried him from this point, which formed his base of operations, through the territory of the Cherusci, and after crossing the Visurgis he reached at last the banks of the Albis (Elbe). But the achievement on which the fame of Drusus subsequently rested, since it greatly impressed the imaginations of his countrymen, was his navigation of the Northern Ocean in a Roman fleet, a thing which had never before been attempted. This took place during the latter part of his first campaign. Under his directions a canal, which bore the name of the Fossa Drusiana, was constructed from the Rhine to the Lake Flevus, a large piece of water, which then

Acquaint-
ance of the
Romans with
Germany.

Campaigns
of Drusus

¹ *B. G.*, 6. 24, 25.

occupied a part of the area now covered by the Zuyder Zee, and communicated with the ocean. By means of this he conducted the fleet which he had prepared to the coast of the North Sea, and proceeded along it as far as the mouth of the Amisia (Ems), receiving at this time the submission of the Frisians, who inhabited the neighbouring district. He was repulsed, however, by the Chauci, whose territory lay on the right bank of that river—a disaster which was partly due to the want of experience of the tides in those seas from which the Romans suffered. After the premature death of Drusus, his brother Tiberius, the future emperor, was appointed to his ^{and of Tiberius.} command, and was successful in his operations against the Germans, but did not at that time advance further into their country. At a later period, after his seven years' retirement at Rhodes, when this government was renewed to him by Augustus (A.D. 5), he caused his fleet to sail up the Elbe from its mouth, and himself with his land forces effected a junction with it on the banks of that river. On this occasion the Chauci accepted the supremacy of Rome, while their neighbours towards the interior between the Weser and the Elbe, the Langobardi, whose name now occurs for the first time, were defeated by the invaders. Subsequently to this, the further advance of the Romans in that quarter, and indeed their permanent establishment at any point beyond the Rhine, was precluded by the great defeat of Varus by Arminius, involving the destruction of three legions (A.D. 9)—an event of the first importance in history, because in consequence of it the races of Germany were developed under native, and not Roman, institutions.

The two brothers Tiberius and Drusus were also instrumental in subjugating the countries which lay to the north-eastward of Italy, and commanded the approaches to it from that quarter. The area embraced by these was bounded on the north and east by the Danube, and on the south by the Alps and the line of the river Save, as far as its point of junction with the Danube at Belgrade. It comprised the countries of Rhaetia, Vindelicia, Noricum and Pannonia, which correspond, generally speaking, to the Tyrol,

**Conquest of
Rhaetia, Vin-
delicia, and
Noricum.**

by milestones¹; and he remarks the same thing of the Egnatian Way, the length of which he gives according to this computation, from Apollonia and Epidamnus (Dyrrhachium), its two starting points on the Adriatic, as far as the river Hebrus in Thrace². During the following century and a half these lines of communication had been so extended and multiplied, that they formed a network throughout the lands that were subject to Rome. Thus in Gaul, subsequently to its conquest by Julius Caesar, four great roads were constructed in such a way as to open out the whole country, starting from Lugdunum as their centre and leading respectively to the Rhine, to the coast of the British Channel, to the Western Ocean near the mouth of the Garonne, and southward through the Provincia—a proceeding by which the prosperity of the country was greatly promoted.

The Wall-
map of
Agrippa.

Agrippa, under whose auspices this was effected, was also the author of a geographical record, which was of the utmost service in promoting that study.

This was the map of the Roman empire and the countries in its neighbourhood, the plan of which he devised, and the material for constructing which he collected; and which after his death, as we learn from Pliny³, was set up by the orders of Augustus in the Porticus Octaviae at Rome. To it was attached a commentary, giving the dimensions of the different provinces, and the distances which intervened between the most important places. The authorities which were principally used in compiling this chart, were, no doubt, the itineraries, in which the distances along the great roads were recorded; and from it in turn reduced copies

Itineraries
derived from
it.

were made for the use of the provincial governors and the commanders of the forces. These were called *Itineraria picta* or *Itineraria adnotata*,

according as they gave a plan of the roads, or a list of the

¹ Polyb., 3. 39. 8; ταῦτα γὰρ νῦν βεβημάτισται, καὶ σημειώται κατὰ σταδίου ὅκτὼ διὰ Ῥωμαίων ἐπιμελῶς.

² Polyb., ap. Strabon., 7. 7. 4; βεβηματισμένη κατὰ μίλιον καὶ κατασηλωμένη μέχρι Κυνέλων καὶ Ἑβρου ποταμοῦ.

³ Pliny, 3. 17; Agrippam quidem in tanta viri diligentia praeterque in hoc opere cura, cum orbem terrarum urbi spectandum propositurus esset, errasse quis credat, et cum eo divum Augustum? Is namque complexam eum porticum ex destinatione et commentariis M. Agrippae a sorore ejus inchoatam peregit.

stations along them, with the number of miles that separated one station from another. Of the former of these mention is made at a later period by Vegetius in his treatise *On the Art of War*, where he is speaking of the importance to a general of acquaintance with the country through which he is marching, in order to prevent surprise and to be on his guard against ambush. The circumspect commanders of former days, he remarks, are said to have had itineraries of the provinces which were the scene of their campaigns, not only set down in writing, but also painted; and he goes on to recommend that these should be sufficiently detailed to include the short cuts, the by-ways, the mountains and the rivers¹. Of this class of documents we are fortunate in possessing a specimen in the Peutinger Table; while the other class, or *Itineraria adnotata*, is represented by the Antonine Itinerary.

¹ Veget., *De Re Militari*, 3. 6.


CHAPTER XII.

STRABO.

Strabo and the Augustan Age—His Geography a Summary of the Knowledge then existing—Strabo's Life, Teachers, and Places of Residence—Extent of his Travels—Almost Limited to Asia Minor, Egypt, and Central Italy—Advantages which he Derived from them—His Philosophical Opinions—Stoic Tenets—His Political Opinions—Imperial Sympathies—Strabo's Historical Work—Date of Composition of his *Geography*—Place where it was written—Readers for whom it was intended—Its Comprehensiveness—Subjects Incidentally introduced—Predominance of Historical Geography—Influence of a Land on its Inhabitants—Artistic Treatment of the Subject—Methods of lightening the Narrative—Neglect of Strabo's Work in Antiquity—Admiration of it in the Middle Ages—Modern Estimates—Limits of Strabo's Survey, in Europe, Asia, and Africa—Contents of the *Geography*—The Introduction—Remarks on Mathematical, Physical, and Historical Geography—Spain, Gaul, and Britain—Italy and Sicily—Northern and Eastern Europe—Greece—Veneration for Homer as a Geographical Authority—Northern and Central Asia—Asia Minor—Southern Asia—Egypt and the Rest of Africa.

It may be regarded as a piece of extraordinary good fortune that the most important work on geography which was produced in antiquity should have coincided in date with the Augustan age. The knowledge of the world which the ancients possessed had then almost reached its furthest limits, while the interest which had been awakened by Greek enquirers in the scientific side of the subject had not yet been neutralised, as it was destined soon to be, by utilitarian views of geographical study. At various preceding periods, as we have seen, the different branches of the enquiry had occupied, each in its turn, the most prominent position. In the latter half of the third century before Christ, mathematical geography reached its culminating point at Alexandria under Eratosthenes. The following century saw the rise of historical geography under Roman influences in the hands of Polybius.

Strabo and
the Augustan
Age.



Later still, the scientific explorations of Posidonius caused the study of physical geography to predominate. It remained that some one should arise, who could sum up the work that had been accomplished in these different lines; and such a writer was found in Strabo. His *Geography*, whatever its defects, is our great repertory of information concerning the knowledge of these subjects which the ancients possessed, and the wide range of his interests guaranteed that none of them should be neglected. In estimating its importance from a modern point of view, we have to take into account not merely its intrinsic merits, but also the greatness of the loss which we should have suffered if it had perished. It is the one complete treatise on geography which has survived from antiquity, and, moreover, we are chiefly indebted to it for our acquaintance with the writings of his predecessors. These are so entirely lost, that they are only known through quotations preserved in other authors, and it is in Strabo that the majority of such passages are found.

His Geography a Summary of the Knowledge then existing.

Strabo was a native of Amasia in Pontus, a city which was at one time the residence of the sovereigns of that country, and became a considerable centre of Greek culture. The date of his birth has been much disputed, but it was probably 63 B.C., the year of Cicero's consulate¹. The events of his life are almost entirely unnoticed by other writers, and in endeavouring to trace them we are forced to have recourse to statements incidentally introduced in his *Geography*. We find that three prominent teachers of that time took part in his education. When quite a youth, he attended at Nysa on the Macander the lectures in grammar and rhetoric of Aristodemus, the same who gave instruction to the sons of Pompey. Afterwards he proceeded to Rome, where he was the pupil, first of Tyrannion the grammarian, who superintended the education of Cicero's two sons, Marcus and Quintus, and afterwards of the Peripatetic philosopher Xenarchus. As Tyrannion was an authority on geography, it is not improbable

Strabo's Life,

Teachers,

¹ For the evidence which bears on this and similar points relating to Strabo reference may be made to the Introduction to the author's *Selections from Strabo*.

that Strabo imbibed a taste for that subject from him. The remainder of his long life—he seems to have been 84 years of age at the time of his death, or even older—was passed for the most part either in Rome or in Asia Minor. The duration of these sojourns we have no means of determining; but his mention of buildings of recent erection in Rome, and of objects newly introduced there, which he had himself seen, proves that he visited the capital at intervals; and, on the other hand, he is shewn to have returned to Asia Minor, both by his allusions to periods of residence in certain of its cities, and by his exact and observant descriptions of places in various provinces of that region, which imply that he was acquainted with them as a grown-up man. We also know from his own testimony that he dwelt for a long period in Alexandria; and the date of this can be approximately fixed, for it was then that he made the expedition through Egypt, which was the most considerable of his journeys, in the company of his friend and patron Aelius Gallus, who was prefect of the country, and this expedition seems to have taken place in 25—24 B.C.

Widely different opinions have been held as to the extent of Strabo's travels. He claimed for himself that he had journeyed in different directions as far as any other writer on geography—that is to say, from Armenia to the western part of Eururia, and from the Euxine to the confines of Aethiopia¹; and this may have been literally true. But before we concede to a person the title of a great traveller, it is necessary to estimate the extensiveness of the journeys which were carried out by him within a certain area, and the scientific spirit of research in which they were undertaken. In Strabo's case the conclusion to which we are brought by an examination of the evidence which his work affords as to the places which he visited is that, except in Asia Minor, in Egypt, and in Central Italy, he did not deviate far from the route which he would naturally take in passing to and from his home and the great centres of civilisation in which he resided at different intervals. His journeys into distant lands were determined by the circumstances of his life,

Extent of his Travels.

Almost Limited to Asia Minor, Egypt, and Central Italy.

¹ Strabo, 2. 5. 11.

rather than by any desire on his part to prosecute researches, or to verify the statements of former writers. In Asia Minor he was well acquainted with the extreme eastern and western districts of the country—with Pontus, Cappadocia, and Cilicia, which were within easy reach of his home at Amasia; and with Western Phrygia, Lydia, Ionia, and Caria, which he had visited either at the time of his education at Nysa or on subsequent occasions. Egypt he had explored at his leisure and thoroughly, as might be expected from the opportunities offered by his residence at Alexandria, and from his having ascended the Nile as far as the First Cataract with Aelius Gallus. In Italy he had become acquainted with the coast-towns of Etruria as far north as the Bay of Luna, and was familiar with Latium and the neighbourhood of the Bay of Naples: he knew also the line of the Appian Way with the ports of Brundisium and Tarentum, and part of the eastern coast of Sicily, of which he would see something when on his way from Rome to Alexandria. Of the rest of the world, however, he had very little knowledge from personal observation. He could hardly have visited even the coast of Syria, otherwise he would not have failed to touch at Tyre; yet, in describing the many-storeyed houses of that city, which, he says, exceeded in height those in Rome, he quotes from other authorities¹. In Greece there is no clear proof that he stopped at any place except Corinth; and the fulness of detail with which he has delineated that town contrasts strongly with his notices of the rest of the country². The Adriatic coast of Italy was also a *terra incognita* to him; and in consequence of this his account of Ravenna, in particular, is defective, for he relies on earlier authorities, and omits all notice of the great works which were carried out there by the orders of Augustus³. The remoter regions of the world, such as Spain or Babylonia, he does not profess to have visited. Still, though Strabo cannot be spoken of as a great traveller in the same sense as Posidonius, it would be a mistake to suppose that his journeys were of small importance to him as a writer on geography. In reality he learnt from them to take a wide view of his subject, to interest himself in a

Advantages
which he
derived from
them.

¹ 16. 2. 23.

² 8. 6. 20—23.

³ 5. 1. 7.

variety of topics and in different peoples, and to get that power of vividly realising and forcibly representing to others the matters he treats of, which can only be obtained from ocular inspection, or at least from familiarity with similar objects. At the same time his mind was trained in the art of observation; and the result of this is that he writes, not as a student in his closet, but as one who was accustomed to notice and to criticise.

A word or two must be added concerning Strabo's philosophical and political opinions, because these make them-

**His
Philosophical
Opinions.**

selves felt from time to time in the course of his work. In philosophy, as two of his instructors,

Tyrannion and Xenarchus, were Peripatetic philosophers, it is somewhat surprising to find that he was himself a Stoic.¹ At what period of his life he became an adherent of that school we have no means of ascertaining, but perhaps the change may have been in part due to his intimacy with the Stoic Athenodorus, who was first the teacher, and afterwards the adviser, of Augustus. In

Stoic Tenets.

consequence of this, his belief in a divinity or in the gods, as far as he possessed any, was pantheistic,

and with him the primal agency which caused the organisation of the world was Providence—an impersonal force, which produced the interconnexion of all the parts, and caused its unity and perfection¹. Accordingly, when the natural features of a country are

found to be adapted to the needs of its inhabitants, and to contribute to their development, this is characterised as 'conformity to nature' (*ὁμολογία*), and is regarded as the 'work of Providence' (*προνοίας ἔργον*)². The views here expressed, and the terms by which they are represented, are definitely those professed by the Stoics. In

**His Political
Opinions.**

politics Strabo was a hearty advocate of the Roman government. He was strongly impressed by the

influence of the *pax Romana*—by the safety of life and property in districts formerly disturbed, the security afforded to commerce by the extinction of piracy, and the advantages to civilisation which arose from a central political administration³.

**Imperial
Sympathies.**

The same feeling caused him to look favourably on the concentration of the power in the hands of a single ruler; indeed he remarks that an empire of

¹ 17. 1. 36.

² 4. 1. 14.

³ 1. 1. 16.

such magnitude could hardly be carried on except under the paternal supervision of one person¹. So far did these opinions carry him, that he not only regarded the harsh treatment of revolted provinces by the Romans as a form of necessary discipline², but he mentions the conquest of his own fatherland, Pontus, by that people with a singular absence of feeling³.

A considerable part of Strabo's literary life was occupied in writing a work on history, which he called 'Historical Memoirs' (*Ἱστορικὰ Ὑπομνήματα*). This treatise, Strabo's
Historical
Work. which seems to have been a continuation of the history of the world from the point where the History of Polybius ended, 146 B.C., is referred to by name by the author himself in his *Geography* and by Plutarch⁴; and it was extensively used both by Josephus and Arrian. Though it no longer exists, it is highly probable that many of the historical notices, which so frequently occur in the *Geography*, are summaries of portions of it. The last-named work was the product of the later period of Strabo's life, but there is no need to assign it, as many writers have done, to a date as far advanced Date of Com-
position of his
Geography. as from 17 to 23 A.D.—a conclusion from which we would gladly escape, because it involves the necessity of believing that a treatise, which is characterised in a high degree by freshness and vigour, was produced by an old man. If we have rightly fixed 63 B.C. as the year of Strabo's birth, he would have been 80 years of age in 17 A.D. The chief argument in favour of the late date is found in the numerous passages in which events are mentioned which took place in the interval between 17 and 23 A.D.; but the occurrence of these does not necessitate the conclusion that the work at large was composed at that time. It seems more probable, especially when we consider the magnitude of the task, that its execution extended over a long period, and that it was brought up to date by the insertion of subsequent incidents at a later period. This supposition also may serve to some extent to account for the marked inequality of style and treatment which is traceable in various parts of the *Geography*. A more difficult question Place where
it was written. arises when we attempt to determine the place at

¹ 6. 4. 2.² 5. 4. 13.³ 12. 3. 33.⁴ 1. 7. 23; 11. 9. 3; Plutarch, *Lucull.* 28.

which it was written. The alternative here lies between Rome on the one hand, and on the other some provincial residence, such as Strabo's native city Amasia. The arguments in favour of the former of these turn mainly on the intimate acquaintance which the writer shews, until quite the end of his life, with events that were passing at the capital, and with occurrences affecting the Roman empire, which might not be expected to reach the ears of provincials. His knowledge of these is very striking; and, however much allowance we may make for the rapid circulation of news at this time and the consequent facility of obtaining information, it might turn the scale in favour of Rome as the place where the work was composed, or at least completed, were it not for one overpowering argument on the other side. This is derived from the extraordinarily slight recognition which it met with in antiquity, so that it is not even named by so diligent a compiler as Pliny. Considering the merits and importance of the work, this would seem almost impossible if it had been published in a great literary centre such as Rome; whereas the difficulty disappears, if we suppose it to have seen the light in a remote place like Amasia.

Another point which calls for consideration as affecting our estimate of the *Geography*, is the class of readers for whom it was intended. On this subject Strabo's own statements appear to be somewhat misleading. He says at the commencement of his treatise that the object of geographical study is that it should be of service to men in high position—in other words, to the Roman generals and statesmen, to whom were assigned the conquest and administration of provinces¹ and this view he confirms by other remarks to the same effect. A perusal of his work, however, suggests the idea that these introductory observations are of the nature of an advertisement, intended to attract Roman readers. Its contents are by no means of such a character as specially to suit the needs of imperial officials. His elaborate disquisitions on mythology, his long historical notices, his enumerations of philosophers and literary men produced by different cities, and his descriptions of physical phenomena, seem intended to interest a very different class of

Readers for
whom it was
intended.

persons. The truth of the matter seems to be that Strabo, while he wished to be read by Romans, expected rather to be read by Greeks; but he wrote neither for the one nor for the other exclusively, but for cultivated men without reference to their nationality. His treatise as a whole is congenial both to the practical ideas of the one people, and to the scientific spirit of the other; and he says himself that he intends it to be popular, and adapted to 'the general course of study which is pursued by free-born and cultured men'.

The conspicuous merit of Strabo's work is its comprehensiveness. He aimed at bringing together, and exhibiting in a readable form, all that it was important to know about the different countries of the earth and their inhabitants, and in this respect his *Geography* was unique in antiquity. All the four branches, into which, as we have seen in our first chapter, the subject divides—mathematical, physical, descriptive, and historical geography—are represented in his pages. In speaking of each district, he deals with the conformation of the ground, the nature of the products, the character and condition of the inhabitants, their history, and similar topics: and in doing this he does not confine himself within the range of what we call classical antiquity, for he includes in his review the whole of the ancient world and its occupants, whether barbarous or civilised. The variety of the subjects which he incidentally introduces greatly enhances the interest of his survey.

Its Comprehensiveness.

Subjects Incidentally introduced.

Geological peculiarities have an especial attraction for him. Not only has he furnished us with a large collection of facts relating to volcanoes and earthquake movements, but he notices other strange features of the ground, such as the rolled stones of the Plaine de la Crau (Campi Lapidei) in southern France¹. Climate also is a topic to which he often refers. He dwells on the cloudy, sunless atmosphere of Britain², and the monsoons and the rainy season in India³; and he remarks that the amount of snow that falls is greater, and the snow-line is lower, on the northern side of a range of mountains than on the southern⁴.

¹ 1. 1. 22; cp. 2. 5. 1.

² 4. 5. 2.

³ 15. 1. 13.

⁴ 4. 1. 7.

⁵ 16. 1. 13.

On the subject of trees and plants he contributes a great variety of information ; thus he describes the palm-groves and balsam-gardens of Jericho, the papyrus and the Egyptian bean, and the trees which supplied the precious woods that were used for furniture at Rome. 'He also paid great attention to the mode of life, the habitations and dress, and the traditions of numerous half-civilised peoples ; and to the religious beliefs and rites which prevailed in various parts of the world—as, for instance, at the two Comanas in Eastern Asia Minor, and among the Druids in Gaul, and the Brahman's in India. On matters, too, which belong to a higher sphere of intelligence his work furnishes interesting observations ; such as works of art, the opinions of philosophic schools, and scientific discoveries, *e.g.* that of the true calendar by the priests of Heliopolis in Egypt.

Predominance of Historical Geography.

'Among these various departments of geographical study the one which predominates in Strabo's work is undoubtedly the historical. Not only does he everywhere introduce the history of a country side by side with its geography, but he illustrates the one by the other, and endeavours to point out the intimate connexion that existed between the two. In describing the pass of the Climax on the coast of Lycia he refers to the danger to which Alexander's troops were exposed in traversing it¹. The mention of the lines of Roman roads through eastern Spain recalls Caesar's march along them before the battle of Munda²; and so on throughout the entire work. 'Besides this he is fond of tracing

Influence of a Land on its Inhabitants.

the influence of the features of a land on the character and history of its inhabitants. A noticeable instance of this is his discussion of the manner in which the physical peculiarities of Italy contributed to the development of the power of Rome. In this he dwells on the advantages which that country derived in respect of safety from its peninsular character, which secured it against attack, and in respect of commerce from its excellent harbours ; on its varied and temperate climate, and the difference of elevation in different parts, which caused it to enjoy the products both of the mountains and the plains ; on its plentiful water-supply, and ample

¹ 14. 3. 9.

² 3. 4. 9.

provision of the necessities of life ; and finally, on its central position among the great races of the ancient world¹. Remarks such as these, in which the modifying power exercised by external nature over the history of man is traced, are the most original feature in Strabo's work, and go far to justify the title of 'The Philosophy of Geography,' which has been applied to it. Though similar notices occur from time to time, as we have seen, both in Ephorus and Polybius, yet no ancient writer except Strabo has systematically followed out and generalised on the working of these influences.

Another feature of the *Geography* which distinguishes it from other works on the same subject, besides its many-sidedness, is the artistic spirit in which it was composed. This becomes most apparent, if we compare it with the lists of names which are crowded together in the geographical section of Pliny's *Natural History*, or with the dry details which make up the treatise of Ptolemy. In contrast with these, the facts which are brought together in Strabo's well-arranged chapters are skilfully grouped, in a manner which clearly shews that in combining so great a variety of materials the form as well as the matter has been considered. With a view to this, the accumulation of names which appeared to the author to be either superfluous or barbarous in sound is avoided, as for instance in the case of the Arabian tribes, some of which he purposely omits on account of the vulgarity and clumsiness of their pronunciation². A treatise on general geography, he says, is a colossal work, and in this, as in a colossal statue, insignificant minutiae, which would detract from the general effect, should be neglected³. For the same reason Strabo endeavours to lighten the reader's task by enlivening his narrative in various ways.

Artistic
Treatment of
the Subject.

Methods of
lightening the
Narrative.

Ascents of high mountains, such as Etna and Mount Argæus in Cappadocia, are noticed, together with the observations of those who made them. Sporting experiences are recorded ; thus ferreting is mentioned as having been employed in Spain as a remedy for a plague of rabbits⁴, and the methods of hunting

¹ 6. 4. 1.

² 1. 1. 3.

³ 16. 4. 18.

⁴ 3. 2. 6.

and decoying elephants in India are described¹. No opportunity is missed of introducing a good story, and the proverbs and proverbial expressions that occur are very numerous. Comparisons, again, some of which are remarkably apposite, are used to illustrate geographical features. The Peloponnese is likened in shape to the leaf of a plane-tree²; the Oases in the Libyan desert to the spots on a leopard's skin³; the Trojan Ida, with its long range and numerous spurs, to a millepede⁴. Many of these, no doubt, were borrowed from other writers, but Strabo's skill is shewn by the way in which he makes use of them. He also enlarges the reader's view by drawing attention to the resemblances which are traceable between districts and features of the ground in different countries. Ravenna and Alexandria are compared in respect of their healthiness, notwithstanding the shallow water in their neighbourhood⁵; the intermittent streams are noticed by which the Lacus Fucinus in Latium and the river Amenanus in Sicily were fed⁶; and the saying is quoted, in which the Acro-corinth and the acropolis of Messene on Mount Ithome were spoken of as the two horns by which the cow (the Peloponnese) might be held⁷. In these and other ways the texture of the work is diversified, and the materials of which it is made up are enriched, and thus the composition at large is raised to a higher level.

The estimates which have been formed of Strabo's work, and the attention which it attracted, have varied greatly at different periods. We have already noticed the neglect from which it suffered in antiquity, as shewn by the absence of any mention of it by the writers of the succeeding age. Athenæus (about the beginning of the third century) refers to it in two passages, but neither of these has any direct bearing on geography: its geographical importance is first recognised by Marcianus of Heraclea—a writer who cannot be placed earlier than the third century—who mentions Strabo as one of the authorities most to be relied on with respect to distances. With this exception we hardly find any reference

**Neglect of
Strabo's Work
in Antiquity.**

¹ 15. 1. 42.

² 2. 5. 33.

³ 5. 1. 7.

⁵ 5. 3. 13.

² 8. 2. 1.

⁴ 13. 1. 5.

⁷ 8. 4. 8.

to it till the time of Stephanus of Byzantium, towards the end of the fifth century, by whom it is frequently cited¹.

During the middle ages, however, exactly the opposite of this was the case. To the writers of that time he was known as *the* geographer, and Eustathius in particular frequently quotes him by that title. Again, in modern days a great discrepancy of opinion has existed with regard to Strabo's merits. Some authorities, among whom Müllenhoff is the most conspicuous, have treated him as a dull, unintelligent compiler. Others, who refrain from passing so sweeping a condemnation, regard his *Geography* as little more than a new edition of the work of Eratosthenes. This view, however, is sufficiently disproved by a comparison of the size of the two treatises, for whereas Strabo's ran to the length of seventeen books, that of Eratosthenes was comprised in three, and only a portion of the last of these was devoted to descriptive geography. Indeed, however much Strabo may have been indebted to others for his materials, his independence of judgment is shewn by his carefulness in comparing his authorities and balancing their statements, and by the trouble which he takes to cast the facts which he collects in a mould of his own. A more impartial, though at the same time a laudatory, estimate is furnished by one whose encyclopædic studies specially qualified him to pass judgment on such a subject—Alexander von Humboldt. "The gifted geographer of Amasia," he says, "does not possess the numerical accuracy of Hipparchus, or the mathematical and geographical information of Ptolemy; but his work surpassed all other geographical labours of antiquity by the diversity of the subjects, and the grandeur of the composition²."

Admiration
of it in the
Middle Ages.

Modern
Estimates.

Limits of
Strabo's
Survey,

As the object of Strabo's work was to furnish a survey of the whole of the habitable world that was known in his day, the extent of the area which it included and the limits within which it was restricted can be sufficiently inferred from what we have already seen of the knowledge of the subject which was possessed by the Greeks

¹ Bunbury, *Hist. of Anc. Geogr.*, 2, pp. 334, 335.

² *Cosmos* (Otte's trans.), vol. 2. p. 555.

under the successors of Alexander, and of the additions which were made to it by the advance of the Roman arms, in Europe, In western Europe, Spain and Gaul as far as the coast of the Atlantic, and the south-eastern part of Britain, were fairly well known; but towards the north the Elbe and the Danube still marked the limit of accurate geographical knowledge. Something more might have been added concerning the lands and seas in that direction from the narrative of Pytheas, had not Strabo been strongly impressed with the untruthfulness of that writer; and a similar mistrust of Herodotus, whom he regarded as a mere retailer of fiction, caused the same thing to happen with regard to the countries northward of the Euxine, from Strabo's account of which the valuable information furnished by the old historian is excluded. The lands on Asia, the further side of the Palus Maeotis were also unexplored, but the chain of the Caucasus and the regions to the southward of it between the Black Sea and the Caspian had become known through the narrative of Theophanes. The Caspian was still believed to communicate with the Northern Ocean, and beyond it the Jaxartes remained; as it was in the days of Alexander, the limit of discovery. In India the peninsula of Hindostan continued to be unknown, and the Ganges was regarded as flowing into the eastern ocean. The and Africa. Cinnamon country and the territory of the Sembridae about the upper Nile were the southernmost points that Strabo was acquainted with in Africa, and no one had penetrated into the interior of that continent beyond the land of the Garamantes. The student of the geography of the Augustan age requires further to be reminded, that not a little of the information contained in Strabo's work dates from a period earlier than that era. In some instances, as notably in that of Ravenna, which we have already mentioned, this arises from the author not having availed himself of the latest sources of evidence; but to a great extent it was unavoidable. In writing of India, for instance, he was obliged to follow the narrative of persons who wrote some centuries before his age; and the same thing was the case in a lesser degree with regard to various other countries. Under such circumstances the writer is not in fault, for he can but make the

best of the materials that are available; but his work cannot fail to suffer from a certain amount of anachronism.

We may now proceed to consider briefly the contents of Strabo's work. The two first books are devoted to an Introduction, in which he states the aim and scope of his treatise and the principles on which he conceives that it ought to be composed, and draws attention to the general features which characterise both the entire area of the world and the several continents.

Contents of
the *Geography*.

The Intro-
duction.

In this part also he sets forth his views on mathematical and physical geography.¹ His treatment of these is the least satisfactory portion of his book, for he deals with it unsystematically in the form of controversy with Eratosthenes, Hipparchus, and others who had preceded him in that study. In criticising them,

Remarks on
Mathematical,

however, he betrays his own inferiority, so that not infrequently he either misunderstands their views, or is himself in error. On the other hand, his remarks on physical geography are of great value. He has brought together a

Physical,

large amount of material to throw light on the changes which have passed over the face of the earth owing to the retirement of the sea, and to earthquakes and volcanic eruptions; and he discusses the causes which have brought these to pass. The two main principles which he enunciates as his own are mentioned with high praise by Sir Charles Lyell, as being anticipations of the latest conclusions of modern science. These are (1) the importance of drawing inferences with regard to the more extensive physical changes from those which take place on a lesser scale under our own eyes; and (2) the theory of the alternate elevation and depression of extensive areas². With regard to the shape of the inhabited world he followed the view of Eratosthenes, who described it as forming an irregular oblong with tapering extremities towards the east and west. This figure Strabo compares to the chlamys, or Greek mantle, which was rectangular in outline, and usually about twice as long as it was broad, with a gore, or triangular piece, attached to either extremity³. For

¹ Lyell, *Principles of Geology*, vol. I. pp. 24, 25; Strabo, I. 3. 5, 15.

² 2. 5. 14.

geographical purposes this oblong area was supposed to be inscribed within a parallelogram, the sides of which were drawn so as to pass through its extreme limits. He also introduces a number of remarks, of great interest from the point of view of historical geography, on the shape of the three continents into which this area was divided, and the superiority of Europe to the other two as a habitation for man. Europe, he remarks, is very varied in its outline, and Africa forms a contrast to it from its uniformity, while Asia in this respect holds an intermediate position between them. The advantage of this multiplicity of form consists in the facilities of communication which it affords to the inhabitants, and from this the historical interest of such countries arises. Europe is also more favourable to the development of character from its temperate climate, its equal distribution into mountains and plains, which supply respectively a warlike and a peaceful element to the population, and its furnishing its occupants with the necessities of life rather than superfluities and luxuries¹

The second and third books treat of the western countries of Europe—Spain, Gaul, and Britain. For Spain the principal authorities on whom Strabo relies are Polybius, Artemidorus, and Posidonius, all of whom had visited that country, but Posidonius' information was far the most valuable, on account of his intimate acquaintance with the remote parts of the interior. The same traveller furnished him with the chief materials for his account of Gaul and Britain, but these he was able to supplement from the writings of Cæsar. The geographer's idea of the coast-line of these countries was in several respects faulty, for he regarded the Sacrum Prômontorium (Cape St. Vincent), instead of the Magnum Promontorium (Cabo da Roca) near the mouth of the Tagus, as the westernmost point of Spain, and he ignored the deep recess in the coast formed by the Bay of Biscay, and the projection of the Armorican peninsula, so that he conceived of the coast of Gaul in this part as stretching along almost in a continuous line, with that of Britain opposite to it. He also erroneously supposed, like the other geographers of his time, that the direction followed by the Pyrenees was from

and Historical
Geography.

Spain, Gaul,
and Britain.

¹ 2. 5. 18, 26.

north to south; but in other respects his general idea of the geographical features of these countries was accurate. He was acquainted with the five great rivers of Spain which flow towards the Atlantic—the Baetis (Guadalquivir), the Anas (Guadiana), the Tagus, the Durus (Douro), and the Minus (Minho)—and with the Iberus (Ebro), which reaches the Mediterranean. He knew also the watershed which divides these, and which gradually rises as it advances southward, until it joins the Sierra Nevada; and he was aware that along the northern coast there was a mountain region between the Pyrenees and Cape Finisterre. In Gaul he draws especial attention to the completeness of the river system, in which respect that country has greater advantages than any other in Europe, and to the easy communication which existed between one river-basin and another, and the consequent facilities which were provided for trade routes¹. Very effective, too, is the contrast here presented by the advanced civilisation of the province of Baetica, which at this time was completely Romanised, and the primitive condition of the tribes in the centre and north of Spain; and the leading features of character of the Iberian race in that land, and of the Celtic tribes in Gaul, are interestingly delineated. We find here also a striking description of the two famous cities of Gades and Massilia, both in respect of their sites and of the condition of their inhabitants. It gives us an impressive idea of the commerce of Gades, when we are told that the greater part of its population was to be found, not in the place itself, but on the sea²: and in the account of Massilia we find a sketch of its political constitution, to which Aristotle had devoted a treatise, and a notice of its learning and its schools, which caused it to become a Greek university for southern Gaul³.

Italy and Sicily are the subject of the fifth and sixth books. Here again Strabo is greatly indebted to Posidonius, though no small part of his material was derived from his own observation and researches, or from Agrippa's wall-map and its accompanying commentary—for this seems to be what is meant by the 'Chorography,' to which he frequently refers. He commences with a true conception of the

Italy and
Sicily.

¹ 4. 1. 14.

² 3. 5. 3.

³ 4. 1. 5.

Alps, which formed the northern boundary of this area, for he describes them as starting from the same neighbourhood as the Apennines, at Vada Sabatia (Vado) to the westward of Genoa, and extending thence to the head of the Adriatic in a great curve, the concave side of which is turned towards Italy¹. He traces the lines of the chief Roman roads, with the cities that lay in their neighbourhood; and in consequence this portion of his work is somewhat overcrowded with names—an unavoidable result, since their importance forbade their omission. Owing to the prevalence of volcanic action in this part of Europe, numerous references are here introduced to this class of phenomenon. The islands in the Bay of Naples, and Vesuvius, which, though quiescent at that time, gave evidence in its appearance of its former activity; the Aeolian (Lipari) islands and Etna; and other features, such as the jets of volcanic gas in the lake of the Palici in the interior of Sicily, are described²; and many interesting details are communicated, especially about Etna, the formation of the lava beds of which, and the changes in the form of its crater, are noticed³. As might be expected from Strabo's lengthened residence in Rome, full details are furnished about Latium and Campania; and his graphic descriptions of Tibur, Praeneste, and the Alban Hills, of the Pomptine marshes, of the Lake Avernus and the Lucrine Lake, and of the artificial harbours of Puteoli, which was at that time the most important city of Italy after Rome, give clear evidence of personal observation. Not less valuable is the account of Naples as a place of literary leisure, and of the traditional Hellenic culture which survived there; elsewhere also he tells us that that city was the only place in South Italy besides Tarentum and Rhegium where Greek was spoken in his age⁴. It was no part of his plan to enter into elaborate details about the famous edifices of Rome, and the only building there which is delineated with any minuteness is the Mausoleum of Augustus, which would seem to have been the sight of the day. But his general remarks on the public works in the capital—the roads, aqueducts and sewers—are excellent; and the same thing may be said of his sketch of the Campus Martius, with the bright

¹ 4. 6. 1; 5. 1. 3.

² 6. 2. 3, 8.

³ 6. 2. 9.

⁴ 6. 1. 2.

scene afforded by the races and other sports to which it was devoted, the works of art in its neighbourhood, and the handsome structures which were beginning to encroach upon it'. They enable us forcibly to realise the impression made on an intelligent stranger by Rome in the Augustan age.

From Italy, before proceeding to Greece, Strabo retraces his steps northward, and in his seventh book gives an account, as far as his scanty information allows, of the northern and eastern districts of Europe—

Northern
and Eastern
Europe.

Germany and the lands between it and the Euxine, the countries to the north of that sea and about the Palus Macotis, and the region to the south of the Danube, comprising Illyricum, Epirus, Macedonia, and Thrace. In treating of the northern part of this area he availed himself of the intelligence which had been recently obtained through the campaigns of Drusus and Germanicus, and he remarks in an interesting manner on the nearness of the upper waters of the Danube and the Rhine¹: but, as we have already seen, his knowledge of the north of Europe was unnecessarily limited, owing to his mistrust of Pytheas and Herodotus. All the more striking in consequence of this is the accurate account which he has given of the Tauric Chersonese² (Crimea); his acquaintance with this was due in great measure to the narratives which existed of the expeditions of Mithridates in those parts, and of his ultimate occupation of the country. In the latter part of this book there is a sketch of the topography of Actium, Nicopolis, and the entrance of the strait, which was the scene of the famous battle⁴; and also of that of the Thracian Bosphorus and the Golden Horn, together with a graphic account of the tunny-fishing which took place there⁵. The concluding chapters, which dealt with Macedonia and Thrace, are unfortunately lost, and our knowledge of their contents is derived from epitomes; this, however, is the only portion of the entire treatise which is wanting.

Strabo's next three books are devoted to Greece; the eighth to the Peloponnese, the ninth to northern Greece, the tenth to the islands, both those to the west, and those to the east of the continent. There is a want of thoroughness

Greece.

¹ 5. 3. 8.

² 7. 1. 5.

³ 7. 4.

⁴ 7. 7. 6.

⁵ 7. 6. 1, 2.

in this part, which causes it to be the least satisfactory section of the *Geography*. The chief reason for this is to be found in

Veneration
for Homer as a
Geographical
Authority.

Strabo's extravagant veneration for Homer as a geographical authority. In this he was only following the example of most of his predecessors, especially Hipparchus, Polybius, and Posidonius, to whom the Homeric poems had become a sort of Sacred Book, the statements contained in which might not be questioned; and Eratosthenes, who opposed the view that points in general geography were to be determined in accordance with the poet's expressions, became the object of attacks in consequence. In Strabo's case two other influences tended to increase his bias in that direction—one his Stoic opinions, for an excessive devotion to Homer had become one of the tenets of that sect: the other his connexion with the literary school of Pergamus, which was now at feud with that of Alexandria on this very question, and maintained the more advanced estimate of the Homeric claims. In consequence of this Strabo's judgment was hampered in a prejudicial manner, and in describing Greece he makes Homer his text-book, and employs himself chiefly with the examination of his geographical statements. Even his general information seems to have been to a great extent derived from commentators, such as Apollodorus and Demetrius of Scepsis, rather than from writers on topography. He made use, however, of the geographical treatise of Ephorus, to which he refers in several passages. Fortunately, the remarkable physical geography of Greece attracted his attention, and he has left us interesting notices, not only of the striking conformation of land and sea which distinguishes its coasts, but also of the subterranean drainage of particular districts, especially the Arcadian valleys and the basin of the Copaic lake. His principal error in this part relates to the position of the promontory of Sunium, which he supposed to extend nearly as far south as that of Malea¹.

Northern
and Central
Asia.

In his eleventh book Strabo conducts us into Asia, the boundary between which and Europe according to him is the Tanais. He first notices the main divisions of that continent, and the chain of the

¹ 2. 1. 40.

Taurus as its leading geographical teature, including under that name the Himalaya and other mountains which run through it from west to east; and then surveys, first the lands which lie between the Euxine and the Caspian and to the eastward of the last-named sea, and afterwards the more central regions of Parthia, Media, and Armenia. In his general geography of Asia he adopts Eratosthenes as his authority, while for the western part of the area which is specially treated in this book he relies on the historians of the Mithridatic wars, and for the eastern on Patrocles and the companions of Alexander. We have already noticed the fulness of his account of the districts of Iberia and Albania, and of the tribes inhabiting them, which is borrowed from Theophanes; and we are also indebted to him for an accurate description both of the mountain system of Western Asia, and of the upper courses of the Euphrates and Tigris. He represents the Taurus—here using that term in its more restricted sense—as running through the south of Asia Minor, and at the eastern extremity of that country throwing off the Anti-Taurus to the north, and the Amanus, the commencement of the chains of Syria and Palestine, to the south; then, as it pursues its course towards the east, forming a marked boundary between Armenia and Mesopotamia, into both which countries it ramifies, and increasing in elevation until it culminates in Mount Niphates, near the brackish lake Arsene¹ (Lake of Van). As regards the rivers—Strabo was not aware of the fact, which modern geography has taught us, that both the Euphrates and the Tigris have two sources, and flow for a considerable distance in two separate streams²: he confines the name Euphrates to the western branch of that river, the modern Frat, which rises near Erzeroum; and the only stream which he recognises as the Tigris is its eastern branch, the river of Bitlis, with which Xenophon also had identified it. But he rightly remarks, that the Euphrates rises in the north, the Tigris in the south of the Taurus, *i.e.* of Armenia; and he carefully distinguishes the provinces—Sophene, Commagene and others—between which the Euphrates flows in this part of its course³.

¹ II. 12. 2; II. 14. 8.

² *v. supra*, p. 114.

³ II. 12. 3.

As the geographer was a native of Asia Minor, it is only natural that he should pay especial attention to that part of the world, and accordingly we find that he devotes to it three books—the twelfth, thirteenth, and fourteenth. The contents of these are of great value, both because the writer is frequently drawing on his own observation, and also on account of the rich store of information which they provide about the physical geography and products of the country, and the religious and political condition of the people. These points may best be illustrated by a few examples. Strabo notices the absence of trees in Cappadocia, a feature of which he furnishes the explanation when he says that this country, though lying further south than Pontus, is the colder of the two¹. He also enlarges on the volcanic activity which at that period still existed about the sides and base of Mount Argæus in that province, and on the strange craters of the Katakekaumene, or Burnt Country, in Western Phrygia². He mentions the valuable red earth, which was called ‘Sinopic earth,’ because it was brought down from the interior to Sinope for export³; and the gum of the storax-tree and the ‘orris-root,’ which were found at Selge in Pisidia⁴. Observations, also, are frequently introduced on the strange religious worship that prevailed in Asia Minor, with its orgiastic rites, the numerous votaries that were attached to the temples, and the elaborate festival processions⁵. Finally, the study of political constitutions is illustrated by the descriptions that are given of the federation which was known as the Lycian League⁶, of the tetrarchies of the Galatae with their elaborate system of government⁷, and of the municipal organisation that was established at Ephesus⁸.

The remainder of Asia—that is, in the main, the lands which lie to the southward of the dividing mountain chain—is treated of in the fifteenth and sixteenth books; the former embracing the eastern portion—India, Persia, and the intervening districts; the latter the countries

Southern
Asia.

¹ 12. 2. 7, 10.

² 12. 2. 10.

³ 12. 2. 3; 12. 3. 31, 32, 36, 37.

⁷ 12. 5. 1.

² 12. 2. 7; 13. 4. 11.

⁴ 12. 7. 3.

⁶ 14. 3. 3.

⁸ 14. 1. 11.

to the west of these—Assyria, Syria, and Arabia. His account of India, which is very interesting, is compiled from the only authorities that existed at that time—the narratives of Nearchus, Aristobulus, Onesicritus, and others, who accompanied Alexander on his eastern expedition, and the treatise of Megasthenes—and its contents have already been noticed in connexion with them. For Ariana and Persia, too, sufficient materials were forthcoming from the writings of Alexander's contemporaries and successors, and these had already been reduced to a geographical form by Eratosthenes. In describing Persia, Strabo rightly distinguishes according to their climates the three regions into which that country is divided between the coast of the Persian Gulf and the Median uplands: the first being a parched and sandy tract, where only the date-palm flourished; the next a well-watered and fertile district of the interior, abounding in plains and lakes; while the northernmost was mountainous and cold¹. In the section which is devoted to Babylonia there is an elaborate account of the system of canals by which that country was intersected². These were rendered necessary by the periodical inundations of the Euphrates, which were caused by the melting of the snows on the Armenian highlands; and they served, not only to divert the surplus water from the river, but also as reservoirs in which the water could be stored, so as to be used for irrigation during the dry season. Accordingly, they were not mere channels cut in the soil, but capacious water-courses, elevated on huge embankments to a considerable height above the surface of the ground; and the methods are here described by which they were cleared from the silt which accumulated in them, and were also closed by raising a dam, when they were to be used as reservoirs. As we approach nearer to the Mediterranean, the historical interest of the narrative increases. The cities of Phœnicia, from their remarkable sites, their famous commerce, and the scientific discoveries and inventions which proceeded from them, naturally attracted the geographer's attention; and he also notices the peculiar features of the Dead Sea³, and the palm-groves and balsam-gardens of Jericho⁴, which were presented to Cleopatra by Antony, and were first farmed for her,

¹ 15. 3. 1.² 16. 2. 44.³ 16. 1. 9, 10.⁴ 16. 2. 41.

and then redeemed for himself, by Herod the Great. To judge from the accounts of Palestine which are given by Pliny and Tacitus, as well as by Strabo, the balsam-tree and the Dead Sea seem to have been the objects in that country which chiefly attracted the attention of the Roman world in ancient times. The description of Arabia, with which this part of the work concludes, is as complete as the knowledge of that age allowed, and embodies the additional information on the subject which Agatharchides had collected. Strabo also relates the events of the campaign which Aelius Gallus prosecuted in that country at the command of Augustus, but in respect of geography that expedition did not add much to what was already known.

The last book of the *Geography* is devoted to Africa, and the larger portion of it is occupied with an account of Egypt, of which country, as we have seen, Strabo had personal knowledge. He commences with a description of Alexandria, which is the most elaborate notice of any city that is found in his work¹—an honour which it fully deserved from its importance as a commercial, geographical, and scientific centre. The other famous places in Egypt are briefly depicted, in accordance with the author's rule of confining his work within the limits which he originally assigned to it; but his narrative in these parts is sometimes enlivened by personal experiences, such as his inspection of the bull Apis at Memphis², his witnessing the feeding of the sacred crocodile at Arsinoë³, and his own trepidation, when being ferried across on a frail raft to the island of Philae⁴. Concerning the course of the Nile to the southward of that place he is able to furnish some fresh information from the expedition of C. Petronius in Aethiopia⁵. The remainder of Africa is somewhat briefly treated, and Strabo was not aware of the marked projection formed by the northern coast near Carthage opposite Sicily. About Mauretania he might have had more to say, if he had used the treatise of his contemporary Juba, but with that work he does not seem to have been acquainted.

¹ 17. 1. 6—10, 13.

² 17. 1. 31.

³ 17. 1. 38.

⁴ 17. 1. 51.

⁵ 17. 1. 54.

CHAPTER XIII.

GEOGRAPHY FROM THE DEATH OF AUGUSTUS TO THAT OF TRAJAN (14—117 A.D.).

Roman Writers on Geography—Pomponius Mela—Pliny—His *Historia Naturalis*—Its Deficiencies—Its Statistical Geography—Notices of Places in Asia—The Jordan—The Dead Sea—The Essenes—Palmyra—The Tigris—Its Upper Course—Strabo's Account—The Lake of Van—Criticisms of the Ancient Accounts—Strabo's and Pliny's Stories—Disappearance of the Tigris—Common Source of the Tigris and Euphrates—Possible Explanation of the Fable—Pliny's Information about Taprobane—Ambassadors sent thence to Rome—Their Account of the Inhabitants—The *Periplus Maris Erythraei*—African Coast—Aromata Prom. (Cape Guardafui)—Menuthias (Zanzibar)—Arabian Coast—Arabia Eudaemon (Aden)—Syagrus Prom. (Cape Fartak)—Island of Dioscorides (Socotra)—Indian Coast—Baraces and Eirinon Inlets (Gulf and Runn of Cutch)—Barygaza (Baroche)—Bore of the Nerbudda—Nelcynda—The Direct Route to India—Voyage of Hippalus—Notices of Eastern Asia—This (China)—Dionysius Periegetes—His Date—His Geographical Poem—Its General Geography—Description of Africa—Of Europe—Of the Islands—Of Asia—General Remarks upon it—Progressive Knowledge of Britain—Conquests of Claudius, Suetonius Paullinus, Agricola, and Antoninus Pius—Germany and Scandinavia—Dacia conquered by Trajan—Suetonius Paullinus crosses the Atlas—Nero's Expedition to the Nile—The Marshy Region.

THE writers on geography of the period which immediately followed the Augustan age—Mela and Pliny—are of a completely different type from those of whom we have hitherto been speaking. They are the only Roman writers on this subject whose works we possess, and they forcibly illustrate the inferiority of the Roman to the Greek intellect in its manner of dealing with such a theme. It has been aptly remarked, that the task which Eratosthenes set himself of measuring the earth by means of the heavenly bodies, and that of Agrippa, who measured the Roman provinces by milestones, may be taken as typical of the genius of the two nationalities respectively¹; and

Roman
Writers on
Geography.

¹ 1. Partsch, quoted by Berger, *Geschichte der Erdkunde*, 4. p. 30.

certainly the contrast which is here drawn effectively illustrates the two points of view, scientific and practical, from which they regarded the study of geography. In the two authors of whom we are now speaking the absence of anything like a comprehensive view of geography is eminently conspicuous. Of the former of

Pomponius
Mela.

them in respect of date, Pomponius Mela, who wrote during the reign of Claudius, there is no need to speak at any great length, for his work was merely a popular compendium, and would hardly have attracted much attention had it not been the first formal treatise on the subject that was composed in Latin. Its title is *De Chorographia*, and it professes to furnish a survey of the world as it was known in his age, while at the same time it is interspersed with notices of the manners and customs of various peoples, which are drawn without much judgment from earlier writers. With regard to the shape of the inhabited world, and the continents and seas which diversified it, his opinions differ but little from those which had been held by his predecessors from the time of Eratosthenes, except that he affirms the existence of *antichthones*. By this name he designated the inhabitants of another continent in the south temperate zone, which was separated from the known portion of the globe by the ocean and by the torrid zone; and he seems to have believed that Taprobane (Ceylon) was not an island, as was generally thought, but formed a part of this continent. This southern region had long been recognised as habitable, but, in the absence of any evidence, it was mere guesswork to speak of it as inhabited.

The method which Mela pursued in his survey resembles that of a *Periplus*, for he follows round the coasts, first of the Mediterranean, and then of the Outer sea, describing the neighbouring countries as he passes. The islands which lie in these seas he treats of separately. One result of this mode of dealing with the subject is that some countries, such as Persia, Media, and Assyria, are excluded from consideration. As he was a native of southern Spain, like Lucan, Seneca, and some other distinguished writers of the early Imperial period, it is not surprising that his notice of that country is the most valuable part of his work. Of the Straits of Gibraltar, in particular, in the neighbourhood of

which his birthplace, Tingitana, lay, he has given a more accurate account than any previous writer. He affirms that the name of 'Pillars of Hercules' was derived from the two lofty mountains of Abyla (Ceuta) and Calpe (Gibraltar), which here face one another; and he remarks on the manner, in which both of them, but especially Calpe, project into the sea, and on the deep caves which form a striking feature of the Rock of Gibraltar¹. He is also more correct than his predecessors with regard to the outline of Spain and Gaul. He is the first writer who mentions the Magnum Promontorium; and whereas Strabo, as we have seen, erroneously conceived of the coast between Cape Finisterre and the mouths of the Rhine as deviating but little from a straight line, Mela was well aware of the deep gulf formed by the Bay of Biscay, of the great projection of the coast of Gaul towards the north-west, commencing from the mouth of the Garonne, and of the sharp angle formed by the Armorican peninsula.

The other Roman writer on geography, Pliny the Elder, however great his deficiencies may have been, was a literary man of far greater importance. He was born in the year 23 A.D., either at Verona or at Novum Comum (Como) in North Italy, and came to Rome at an early age. He served in the Roman army as a young man in Germany, in which country he made the acquaintance of Vespasian; and he afterwards composed a history of the German wars in twenty books. Towards the end of Nero's reign he was appointed procurator in Spain. When Vespasian came to the throne, he was received by him into the number of his friends, and this intimacy was continued by Titus, to whom he dedicated his *Historia Naturalis*. The circumstances of his death, in connexion with the great eruption of Vesuvius in 79 A.D., by which Herculaneum and Pompeii were destroyed, are well known from the famous letter of his nephew, the younger Pliny, to Tacitus the historian, in which they are described². From the same source we hear of his extraordinary assiduity in study—how, not only during every available interval in his official duties, but even at his meals and when on a journey, he used either to read, or be read to, all the while

¹ Mela, 2. 95.

² *Ep.* 6. 16.

making notes and extracts¹. In this way he accumulated a vast amount of information on various subjects, out of which in the latter part of his life he compiled his great work. The contents of this are valuable on account of the numerous facts which are thus communicated to us, and had Pliny been gifted with judgment and discrimination, as he was with diligence, he would have produced an encyclopaedic work of the highest order. Unfortunately, it was exactly in those qualities that he was deficient, so that his materials are brought together with little method, and his treatise abounds in mistakes and contradictions, arising partly from want of scientific knowledge and of power of criticising the statements of his authorities, and partly from sheer carelessness. These features are nowhere more conspicuous than in the portion of his *Natural History* which is devoted to geography—the latter part of Book II., and Books III.—VI. Here Pliny shews complete ignorance of scientific geography; and in describing the leading features of countries, such as mountains and rivers, instead of noting their distinguishing features, and their effect in determining the character of a land, he contents himself with lists of names, and in like manner the cities of any particular region he simply catalogues without remarking on their relative position. This is not less noticeable in the case of Spain—a country with the nature and appearance of which he must have been well acquainted from having resided there as procurator—than in other parts of the world. His carelessness is shewn by the way in which he occasionally introduces the same place twice over under different names. Thus the island of Ustica, which is situated to the north of Sicily, and was also called Osteodes, is noticed twice under those two names, as if two separate islands². A similar confusion arises in his account of a historic land like Greece, because he draws his details indifferently from authorities of widely different dates. The natural result of this is that flagrant anachronisms are produced, and in some instances cities that no longer existed at the time when he wrote are mentioned side by side with others that were still flourishing.

¹ *Ep.* 3. 5.

² Pliny, *H. N.* 3. 92: see Bunbury, *Hist. of Ancient Geogr.*, 2. p. 307.

The fact is that, in order to estimate this portion of Pliny's *Natural History* in an appreciative spirit, we must regard it not so much from the point of view of geography as from that of statistics. For the illustration of the latter of these subjects he had access to the official records relating to the provinces of the empire, and especially to the smaller districts into which they were subdivided for administrative and judicial purposes. The material which was thus provided furnished him not only with the names of towns, but also with an account of their municipal status, as colonies or otherwise, when there was anything in this that called for notice.

Its Statistical Geography.

The most interesting additions to geographical knowledge which were made by Pliny are to be found in his description of Asia. He draws attention to the parallel courses of the ranges of Libanus and Antilibanus, and the origin of the Orontes near Heliopolis (Baalbec) in the interval between them¹. His account of the Jordan also, with its source at Paneas, its passage through the lake of Gennesaret, and its final disappearance in the Dead Sea, is graphic and almost poetical, and in the same connexion he introduces a striking notice of the Jewish sect of the Essenes.

Notices of Places in Asia.

"The river Jordan rises from the fountain of Paneas, which has given its distinctive name to the city of Caesareia, of which we shall speak hereafter (*i.e.* Caesareia Paneas). It is a delightful stream, with many windings as far as the nature of the country allows, and is a blessing to those who dwell on its banks; so it seems to make its way unwillingly towards the Lacus Asphaltites (Dead Sea), a region of repellent aspect, by which at last it is absorbed, when its beneficent current loses itself in those noxious waters. This is why, as soon as a depression of the ground occurs, it discharges itself into the lake commonly called Genesara, which is sixteen miles in length and six in breadth, with charming towns on its banks on every side—towards the east those of Julias and Hippus, towards the south Tarichaea, a name which some persons apply also to the lake, and towards the west Tiberias, which is a health resort on account of its hot springs.

The Jordan.

¹ *H. N.*, 5. 77, 80.

"The Lacus Asphaltites produces only bitumen, from which also it receives its name. The bodies of animals float on its surface, and this is true even of bulls and camels; hence the story has arisen that nothing can sink in it. Its length is more than a hundred miles, its breadth where it is widest is seventy-five, where it is narrowest, six miles. To the east of it lies Arabia of the nomads, towards the south Machaerus, which in former days was the most important stronghold in Judaea after Jerusalem. On that side too there is a warm spring with medicinal qualities, the name of which, Callirrhœ or the Fair Stream, proclaims the celebrity of its waters.

"On its western side, beyond the unhealthy strip of shore, dwell the Esseni, a solitary people, the strangest among the inhabitants of the world, for there are no women among them, and they have abjured all sexual pleasure, and possess no money, but abide in the palm-groves. Day by day the number of these refugees is renewed, being largely swelled by the accession of those whom the vicissitudes of fortune drive, weary of life, to adopt their usages. In this way, marvellous though it seems, a race exists perpetually in which no one is born, for it is propagated by other men's dissatisfaction with life."

These and other notices of Palestine and Syria which we find in Pliny may well have been due to his intimacy with Vespasian and Titus. He remarks also on the unique features of Palmyra—the fertility of its soil and its abundant fountains, its position in the midst of the sandy desert, as if it had been naturally set apart from the rest of the world, and its success in maintaining its independence on the confines of the two mighty empires of Rome and Parthia, though constantly exposed to danger owing to their quarrels¹. Proceeding further towards the east, we find him affirming, on the authority of emissaries of Pompey at the time of his campaign against the Albani, the existence of an overland trade-route from India to Europe by way of the Oxus, the Caspian, and the Black Sea, which had previously been asserted by Strabo on the strength of the testimony of Patrocles². He describes, too, the site of Margiana

¹ *H. N.*, 5. 71—3.

² *Ibid.*, 5. 88.

³ *Ibid.*, 6. 52; Strabo, 11. 7. 3.

(Merv), which like Palmyra was an oasis in the middle of a desert, and he speaks of that district as being favourable for the growth of vines¹.

The account which Pliny gives of the source and the upper waters of the Tigris—a subject which seems to have attracted the attention of the ancients—The Tigris. though the actual facts are much distorted, contains many points which are suggestive with regard to the features of the country in that neighbourhood.

“The Tigris,” he says, “rises in the district of Greater Armenia, from a conspicuous source in a level spot. The name of the place is Elegosine; that of the river, where its course is slower, Diglito; Its Upper Course. from the point where its speed increases, it begins to be called Tigris from its rapidity, for this is the word for an arrow among the Medes. It flows into the lake of Aretissa, which supports whatever heavy substances are brought down into it, and exhales natron in clouds; it contains but one kind of fish, and these avoid the current of the river as it passes, and in like manner the fish from the Tigris do not pass into the lake. The river is distinguished from it, as it flows along, both by its current and its colour, and after passing through it, disappears into a chasm where Mount Taurus meets it, and after flowing underground, bursts out on the farther side at a place called Zoaranda. The identity of the stream is shewn by objects dropped into it being carried through. Subsequently it passes through a second lake called Thespites, and again descends into an underground passage; after a course of twenty-two miles it reappears near Nymphaeum².”

Before we examine this passage further, it may be well to compare with it the account of the same objects which is given by Strabo, with whose work Pliny was unacquainted, so that their testimony is independent. It will not be difficult to discover that the story as given by the latter of the two writers is an adaptation of the earlier one, with the amplifications which are usually found in a later version. Strabo writes thus:—

¹ Pliny, 6. 46.

² *Ibid.*, 6. 127, 128.

“There is too the lake Arsene, which is also called Thopitis, and this contains potash, and serves for cleansing and fulling clothes; and for this reason also its water is not drinkable. Moreover the Tigris flows through it, after rising in the mountain district over against Niphates, and preserves its stream unmixed owing to its swiftness, for among the Medes *tigris* means ‘an arrow’; and whereas there are many kinds of fish in the river, in the lake there is one kind only. But at the extremity of the lake the river falls into a chasm, and after running underground for a long distance rises again in the district called Chalonitis¹.”

The lake which Strabo here calls Thopitis, and which by Pliny and Ptolemy is more accurately called Thospites or Thospitis², is undoubtedly the lake of Van, for this is called by Armenian writers Lake of Dosp, from its being situated in the province of Dosp, of which the city of Van was the capital. The features of that piece of water are sufficiently noteworthy to have aroused the interest of the learned, as soon as it came within the range of their observation, for its water is salt, its extreme length is ninety miles, and it is more than five thousand feet above the level of the sea. Its conformation also is peculiar, for whereas the greater part of its surface forms an irregular oblong, at its north-eastern angle it throws off a long arm, which is in so many respects a separate piece of water, that it might easily be distinguished from the rest of the lake. This will account for Pliny’s error,

when he speaks of two lakes at some distance from one another, and confines to the easternmost of the two, which he calls Aretissa, the special features which Strabo attributes to the lake Thopitis. Here again the names come to our aid, for that of Arsene, which Strabo gives as an alternative name for Thopitis, is shewn to be the Aretissa of Pliny by the intermediate form Arsissa, which is found in Ptolemy³, so that we may conclude that the application of this was originally restricted to the eastern arm of the Lake of Van. At the present day this arm is called the Lake Ardjish, from a town of that name

¹ Strabo, 11. 14. 8.² Ptol. 5. 13. 7.³ Ptol. 5. 13. 8.

on its northern shore, and in this perhaps the ancient name survives, for it is found as early as the tenth century of our era, when the place is mentioned by Constantine Porphyrogenitus¹. Both Strabo and Pliny suppose the Tigris to rise at a point higher up than the Lake of Van, and to pass through it, disappearing afterwards into a chasm at its further end, and rising again after flowing for some distance underground. This view is erroneous, because no connexion is traceable between the Tigris and the lake; but it is a perfectly natural one, because the source of the Tigris above Bitlis, which we have already noticed in speaking of the retreat of the Ten Thousand², though it is higher than the level of the lake, and therefore could not be derived from it, is only a few miles distant from it. It is clear also that the authorities from whom these writers drew their information had rightly observed that the lake had no visible outlet for its waters. Again, when it came to be believed that the river passed through the lake, the stream which enters the lake at its head would be regarded as the upper course of the Tigris. This stream, which is now called the Bende-Mahi-su, rises in the mountain range to the southward of Ararat. The name Diglito, which Pliny gives to it, is a genuine one, being an earlier form of the word Tigris, which is found in the Biblical name of that river, Hid-dekel, and is still in use, in the form Dijleh, among the inhabitants of Mesopotamia³.

The stories which are told about the river and the lake in the passages quoted above are partly based on fact, and partly suggested by the phenomena which had been observed in other lakes. The statement that the water of the lake contains potash, and that this was used for cleansing clothes, is equally true at the present day, for cakes of that substance, which are made from the scum that is found on the surface of the lake, are now used at Van¹ for purposes of washing. The statement about the fish, that those which live in the river and those which live in the lake will not pass from the one to the other, may have been suggested by what still occurs,

Strabo's and
Pliny's
Stories.

¹ *De Administr. Imp.*, c. 44, vol. 3. pp. 191, 192 ed. Bonn.

² *v. supra*, p. 114.

³ *Dict. of the Bible*, art. Hiddekel; cp. Gen. ii. 14.

viz. that the fish are found to congregate about the mouths of the streams, where they are caught in great quantities: On the other hand, the idea that the waters of the two refused to mingle—which is found elsewhere with regard to rivers passing through lakes—had its origin in the difference of colour which the stream presents for some distance below the point where it enters. The notion also that heavy substances can float on the surface of the lake probably arose from its saltness and incrustations, corresponding as they do to the peculiarities of other pieces of water, like the Dead Sea, where, as we have just seen, this takes place. The story of the disappearance of the Tigris underground became famous, and is referred to by other authors. Thus Seneca says of it: “The Tigris is swallowed up and remains long out of sight, but at last emerges at a far distant point, though there is no question about its identity¹.” And Lucan writes:—

Disappearance of the Tigris.

—Tigris sinking from the sight of day
Through subterranean channels cuts his way;
Then from a second fountain springs again,
Shoots swiftly on, and rushing seeks the main².

Milton also would seem to have had the same idea in his mind, when he made Satan enter Paradise—

Where Tigris, at the foot of Paradise,
Into a gulf shot underground, till part
Rose up a fountain by the tree of life³.

In the passage immediately following Pliny's account of the Tigris which we have been considering, that author goes on to say, on the authority of Claudius Caesar, that in the region of Archene the Tigris flows so close to the Arsianias (*i.e.* the eastern branch of the Euphrates), that when their streams are swollen they flow together, yet without mingling their waters, for the Arsianias, which is the lighter of the two, floats on the surface of the Tigris for a distance of about four miles, and then separates again from it, and falls into the Euphrates (*i.e.* the western

¹ Sen., *Nat. Quaest.*, 3. 26.

² Lucan, *Pharsal.* 3. 261—3 (Rowe's translation).

³ *Par. Lost*, 9. 71.

branch of the river). If we eliminate the absurdly fabulous exaggerations which are found in this passage, there seems still to remain a tradition, which may not be wholly baseless, about the two rivers communicating somewhere in their upper courses. Elsewhere in Roman writers we meet with the still more definite statement that the Tigris and Euphrates rose from the same fountain. Thus Lucan says, in the lines immediately preceding those quoted above:—

Common
Source of the
Tigris and
Euphrates.

One spring the Tigris and Euphrates know,
And joined awhile the kindred rivers flow;
Scarce could we judge between the doubtful claim,
If Tigris or Euphrates give the name¹.

The earliest author by whom the story is mentioned is Sallust, who is quoted to that effect by Isidore of Seville² (7th cent. A.D.); and it was chiefly through him that it obtained a wide acceptance during the middle ages, and was ultimately enshrined in Dante's great poem³. This writer places the two rivers in his Terrestrial Paradise, and says of them:—

—the Tigris and Euphrates
Methought I saw forth issue from one fountain,
And slowly part, like friends, from one another⁴.

Now, if there is any groundwork at all for these ideas, there is only one place where it can be discovered, and that is at the head waters of the Tigris above Bitlis, for at this point alone in the courses of the two rivers, until they finally join before entering the Persian Gulf, does any connexion exist between them. It is the fact, that the marshy ground in which the eastern branch of the Tigris rises is also the source of the highest tributary of the Kara-su, Xenophon's Teleboas, which flows into the Murad-su, or eastern branch of the Euphrates, in the neighbouring plain of Mush⁵.

Possible Ex-
planation of
the Fable.

¹ Lucan, *Pharsal.*, 3. 256—9.

² *Origines*, 13. 21. 10; Sallustius, auctor certissimus, ita asserit 'Tigrim' et Euphratem uno fonte manare in Armenia.

³ See Moore's *Time References in the Divina Commedia*, p. 123.

⁴ *Purg.* 33. 112—14.

⁵ See the author's *Turkish Armenia*, pp. 297, 298.

In this way a community of origin may possibly have been assigned to the two great streams. The geographical feature which has been mentioned could hardly have failed to attract attention, because the pass between Kurdistan and Armenia, at the head of which this marsh is situated, has in all ages been an important line of communication. As to the passage in Sallust, which is our principal authority on the subject—though we are not told in what part of his works it occurred, yet, as that writer composed a history of the campaigns of Lucullus in Asia, which were partly carried on in Armenia, it seems probable that it was introduced in this. We know, moreover, that during the campaign of 68 B.C., Lucullus passed this watershed on his way from Tigranocerta to the upper valley of the Euphrates¹, so that information about the river-courses might have been obtained on that occasion, and in that case would easily have come to the knowledge of Sallust, who was a contemporary of Lucullus. If these facts are worthy of any attention, as a possible explanation of the fable which afterwards became so popular, they may also perhaps form the groundwork of the story in Pliny.

Another region, of which hitherto the Greeks and Romans had only heard through vague rumours, and about which Pliny had something more like authentic information to communicate, is the island of Taprobane (Ceylon). He tells us that in the reign of Claudius a freedman of one Annius Plocamus, who farmed the customs duties on the Red Sea, when sailing along the coast of Arabia was caught by a storm, and at the end of fifteen days reached the port of Hippuri in that island. There he was hospitably received by the king, and in the course of a stay of six months became sufficiently acquainted with the language of the country to be able to communicate with the natives. The king appears to have been greatly impressed by discovering that the *denarii* which were found on the person of his strange visitor, though struck in the reigns of different emperors, as the heads upon them shewed, were all of equal weight. From this circumstance he inferred that the administration of the Roman empire by which they were issued

Pliny's Information about Taprobane.

Ambassadors sent thence to Rome.

¹ Mommsen, *History of Rome*, vol. 4. pt. 1, pp. 69, 70 (Eng. trans.).

was characterised by justice ; and he was thus induced to despatch an embassy to Rome, consisting of four persons, the chief of whom was called Rachias. It was from them that the details which Pliny relates were derived. Unfortunately, owing either to misstatements on the part of these envoys, or to the Romans having misunderstood their meaning, the geographical notices which we thus obtain are most unsatisfactory. The exaggerated views of the size of the island which prevailed among the ancients are here countenanced, for it is said to have possessed five hundred towns, and the length of the side which faced India is estimated at not less than a thousand geographical miles. The chief city, which was situated on a harbour on the southern coast, and had two hundred thousand inhabitants, was called Palaesimundus—a name which, either in this form or in that of Simundu, is attributed by the author of the *Periplus of the Erythraean Sea* and by Ptolemy to the whole island¹. In the centre lay a vast lake called Megisba, and from this proceeded two rivers, which flowed towards the south and the north respectively, the former being named, like the city which was built at its mouth, Palaesimundus, the latter Cydara. As no lake exists corresponding to this, it has been conjectured that we have here an exaggerated description of one of the gigantic tanks which were constructed by the early rulers of Ceylon, and are still the wonders of the island². The promontory of Coliacum, by which Cape Comorin is evidently meant, and which was spoken of as four days' sail distant from Taprobane, was erroneously regarded as the nearest point of the Indian coast. The sea which intervened between the two countries is described as having a deep green hue, and we are told that vessels which passed that way met with trees growing from the bottom, the foliage of which they often broke with their rudders. We here recognise the coral which abounds in the gulf of Manaar between Ceylon and the mainland. The life of the inhabitants, as reported by the ambassadors, was characterised by its simplicity and its prosperity, and this account is corroborated by what we learn from native sources with regard to its history at this period. Slavery was unknown among them, the dwellings were built on a moderate scale, the price of corn was

Their
Account of the
Inhabitants.

¹ *Periplus*, § 61 ; Ptol., 7. 4. 1.

² Tennent's *Ceylon*, p. 557.

not allowed to vary, and litigation did not exist. The king was elected, and careful provision was made that the office should not become hereditary; his power also was limited by the appointment of a council of advisers, and the imposition of capital punishment was still further restricted. The land was well cultivated and very productive, but the vine was not grown there. The natives were famed for their longevity, often exceeding a hundred years. But notwithstanding the sobriety of their manner of living, they were reported to have a thoroughly oriental appreciation of gold and gems, especially pearls, which were found there in great abundance; and the ambassadors remarked with some shrewdness that, while the wealth of their countrymen was greater than that of the Romans, the latter knew how to employ it more profitably¹.

We may now turn to a remarkable document, which was composed about ten years after Pliny's death, the *Periplus Maris Erythraei*. This is a manual of the coasts of Africa, Arabia, and India which border on the Erythraean sea; and as it introduces, not only the natural features of those coasts, together with the harbours and trading-stations, but also in great detail their exports and imports, it would seem to have been drawn up by a merchant for the use of merchants. Its great value for geography consists in the striking power of observation which its writer shews, and the accuracy of his statements, which have been amply verified by modern explorers. His name is not given, nor do we know anything about him beyond what may be gathered from internal evidence in the work itself; but from this it appears that he was a Greek residing in Egypt. His starting-point is the port of Myos Hormos on the Red Sea, and he first follows the African coast of that piece of water as far as the straits of Bab-el-Mandeb, mentioning on the way the places which had been established by the Ptolemies for purposes of commerce and elephant-hunting, and Adulis, the port of Auxuma (Axum), the capital of Aethiopia, which was situated in the interior of the country. Between the Straits and the promontory of Aromata (Cape Guardafui), also, the stations are carefully noted, with the products of the

*The Periplus
Maris Ery-
thraei.*

*African
Coast.*

*Aromata
Prom. (Cape
Guardafui).*

¹ Pliny, *H. N.*, 6. 84 foll.

neighbouring lands for which they were the *entrepôts*—ivory, tortoiseshell, cinnamon, and a variety of gums. After this we obtain our earliest information respecting the coast to the southward of that promontory, which is here rightly spoken of as the easternmost point of Africa. In particular, the peninsula, on the further side of which lay Opone—a considerable emporium, to which articles of commerce were brought even from India¹—can be easily recognised in Ras Hafoun, a rocky headland, ninety miles south of Cape Guardafui, which is joined to the continent by a spit of sand. Beyond this point, perhaps, the writer himself had not advanced, for his narrative becomes less circumstantial; but the account which he gives must have been derived from other traders, since the spots which he names can in the main be identified. Almost the furthest of these which had been reached at that time was a low-lying island, rich in trees, called Menuthias²; and, according to the distances which are given, Menuthias
(Zanzibar). this must correspond either to Zanzibar, or to the more northerly island of Pemba. The facts thus revealed give evidence of a great advance in the conception of the shape of Africa from what had previously existed, for whereas the coast of that continent was believed by Strabo and his predecessors to trend towards the west immediately after passing the Cinnamon country, it had now been proved to follow a southerly course at least as far as the equator. The writer, however, so far conforms to the traditional view, that he remarks (curiously enough) that beyond Menuthias, the ocean, 'which was unexplored,' makes a westward bend, and ultimately joins the sea on the other side of Africa³.

Returning now to its original starting-point, the *Periplus* proceeds to trace in a similar manner the coasts of Arabia and India. Here, as before, we can only Arabian
Coast. draw attention to the most marked points which

¹ *Periplus Maris Erythraci*, §§ 13, 14, in Müller, *Geogr. Gr. Minores*, vol. 1. p. 267; Ἀπὸ δὲ Τάβαι μετὰ σταδίου τετρακοσίου παραπλεύσαντι χειρώσῃσιν, καθ' ὃν τόπον καὶ ὁ ῥοῦς ἔλκει, ἕτερόν ἐστιν ἐμπόριον Ὀπώνη..... Ἐξαρτίζεται δὲ συνήθως καὶ ἀπὸ τῶν ἐσω τόπων, τῆς Ἀριακῆς καὶ Βαρνυγῶν, εἰς τὰ αὐτὰ τὰ τοῦ πέραν ἐμπόρια γένη προχωροῦντα ἀπὸ τῶν τόπων, σίτος καὶ δρυς, κ.τ.λ.

² *Ibid.* § 15.

³ § 18.

are noticed. Shortly after passing the Straits we reach a port called Arabia Eudaemon, which, though at this time almost deserted, yet at an earlier period, when no direct traffic existed between India and Alexandria, had been of great importance as a station for the trans-shipment of goods¹. This is undoubtedly the modern Aden, which at the present day under other influences has regained its early prosperity. Some distance again

Arabia
Eudaemon
(Aden).

Syagrus
Prom. (Cape
Fartak).

beyond this we meet with a conspicuous headland called Syagrus (Cape Fartak), which is here dignified with the title of 'the greatest promontory in the world²'. On its shore there was a depository of frankincense, for the neighbouring region of the interior of Arabia (the Hadramaut) has been in all ages the chief source of the supply of that article; but a more important cause of the celebrity of this cape was its being the starting-point for the direct sea-route to India, which was now beginning to be used by the more adventurous

I. of Diosco-
rides (Socotra).

traders. The island of Socotra, which lies to the southward of this point and eastward of Cape Guardafui, and was called in ancient times the Island of Dioscorides, is noticed in this connexion, because it belonged to the sovereign of this part of Arabia. Beyond Syagrus we meet with another important emporium of frankincense, called Moscha, the mountains at the back of which were inhabited by cave-dwellers³; this neighbourhood is the region of Dhofar, and the account here given is corroborated by Mr Theodore Bent, who has recently explored it, and found the natives and their flocks living together in deep caves in the hill-sides⁴. The survey is then continued as far as the mouths of the Indus along the coasts of Arabia and Gedrosia, passing the entrance of the Persian Gulf. The lofty mountain-chain called

¹ *Periplus*, § 26; Εὐδαίμων δ' ἐπεκλήθη, πρότερον οὖσα πόλις, ὅτε, μήπω ἀπὸ τῆς Ἰνδικῆς εἰς τὴν Αἰγύπτου ἐρχομένων μηδὲ ἀπὸ τῆς Αἰγύπτου τολμώντων εἰς τοὺς ἑσω τόπους διαίρειν, ἀλλ' ἄχρι ταύτης παραγνομένων, τοὺς παρ' ἀμφοτέρων φόρους ἀπεδέχετο, ὥσπερ Ἀλεξάνδρεια καὶ τῶν ἑξωθεν καὶ τῶν ἀπὸ τῆς Αἰγύπτου φερομένων ἀποδέχεται.

² § 30.

³ § 32; ὑψηλὰ ὄρη πετρῶδη καὶ ἀπόκοπα ἀνθρώπων ἐν σπηλαίοις κατοικοῦντων.

⁴ *Geographical Journal*, vol. 6 (1895), p. 122.

Asabon, which is mentioned as rising at the last-named point, is the same as the promontory of Maceta which occurs in the narrative of Nearchus, now Cape Mussendum¹.

The description of the coast of India which follows is even more accurate in its details, and in the directions for sailing which it gives. Beyond the mouths of the Indus lay a large inlet called Eirionon, which was excessively dangerous on account of its currents and shoals; and on the farther side of it was another bay called Baraces, enclosed by a long projecting cape². The latter of these is evidently the Gulf of Cutch, while the former, which lies to the northward of it, is the strange area known as the Runn of Cutch, which at the present time according to the season of the year is either a lake or a salt morass. The next gulf to this is that of Cambay, which leads up to the estuary of the Nerbudda. This river is called in the *Periplus* Namnadius, while the gulf took its name from the trading station of Barygaza, which was situated on the stream at a distance of thirty miles from the sea, and was the most famous emporium of all western India. Among its exports are mentioned perfumes, precious stones, ivory, muslin, and silk³. The bore of the Nerbudda, which presented the same features as the corresponding inrush of the tide at the mouth of the Indus, which so greatly terrified Alexander's soldiers, is very vividly depicted, and the terms in which its effect on the vessels lying in the stream is described leave no doubt that the writer was an eye-witness of it.

Indian Coast.

Baraces and Eirionon Inlets (Gulf and Runn of Cutch).

Barygaza (Baroche).

Bore of the Nerbudda.

"Throughout India there are numerous rivers, and the tide ebbs and flows in a remarkable manner, increasing for the space of three days towards the new moon and the full moon, but decreasing in the intervening periods. This is especially the case near Barygaza, insomuch that there the depths of the sea are on a sudden exposed to view, and while at one time portions of the mainland are covered with water, at another dry ground appears where vessels were but lately sailing; and owing to the inrush of the tide, the neighbouring sea being

¹ *cf. supra*, p. 142.

² *Periplus*, § 40.

³ § 49.

forced into a narrow space, the rivers are driven backwards for many stadia contrary to the natural direction of their current. In consequence of this, sailors who are unacquainted with these waters and visit Barygaza for the first time run great risk in approaching and quitting the port. For, since the rush of the tide does not slacken its force, the anchors cannot hold; and so the vessels, which are carried away by its impetus, being driven out of the straight course by the fury of the stream, run aground on the sandbanks and are broken up, while the smaller ones are even upset; others, again, which to avoid the tide-wave have betaken themselves to the neighbouring canals, are swamped by the first onset of the stream, unless they are shored up, since the bore comes suddenly upon them. So great indeed is the violence with which the sea comes in at the new moon, especially during the nightly flow of the tide, that, while at the commencement of its advance, when the sea is calm, a sound like the shouting of an army far away reaches the ears of those who dwell about the estuary, shortly afterwards the sea itself with a rushing noise comes sweeping over the shallows¹."

Beyond Barygaza, the furthest point which was reached by traders was Nelcynda—a place the position of which is not certainly determined, but it seems to have lain in the neighbourhood of the Malabar coast. It was extensively resorted to in connexion with the pepper trade. With regard to the shape of India the author of the *Periplus* seems to have held truer views than any preceding geographer, as we have already seen to be the case concerning Africa; for he remarks that from Barygaza onwards the direction of the coast is from north to south, thus shewing that he was aware of the existence of the peninsula of Hindostan. He also gives evidence of being acquainted with the name of this region, which he says is called Dachinabades because of its extension from north to south, *dachanos* being the native word for the south wind². This etymology of the appellation 'Deccan' is approximately right, for

¹ *Periplus*, §§ 45, 46.

² § 50; Μετὰ δὲ τὰ Βαρύγαια εὐθέως ἡ συναφὴς ἡπειρος ἐκ τοῦ βορέου εἰς τὸν νότον παρεκτείνει· διὸ καὶ Δαχναβάδης καλεῖται ἡ χώρα· δάχανος γὰρ καλεῖται ὁ νότος τῇ αὐτῶν γλώσσῃ.

dakkhina in Prakrit means 'south,' and *dakkhinābadha* signifies 'the way towards the south'¹.

At this point the writer pauses to remark on the direct trade from the Arabian coast to the Indian ports; and by a comparison of the account which he gives with the notice in Pliny which has been already referred to we obtain a fairly clear view of the history of its development². The first person who ventured to quit the ordinary route by the mouth of the Persian Gulf, and to steer across the open sea from the promontory of Syagrus to India, was a Greek navigator called Hippalus, who availed himself of the periodical blowing of the south-west monsoon for that purpose. Concerning the date of this voyage we have no information, but it was probably somewhat later than the Augustan age, for, while the manner in which it is spoken of implies that it was not a recent event, we gather from the silence of Strabo on the subject that it was subsequent to his time. The pioneering feat of Hippalus was commemorated by his name being attached to the south-west monsoon, as the *Periplus* informs us; and Pliny gives it this title, though he was unacquainted with its origin. It seems probable that the destination which he reached was the mouths of the Indus, and that subsequently to this other traders made their way, first to Barygaza, and afterwards to Nelcynda, though the direction of the monsoon was less favourable for the more southerly voyage. In any case, according to Pliny's account, there was an established line of traffic in his day between the frankincense region of southern Arabia and the last-named place—which, or rather the inhabitants of the neighbouring district, he calls Neacyndi—and also to the port of Musiris, which lay somewhat to the north of it; but he adds that it was necessary for the vessels, in addition to their crews, to be accompanied by bands of archers, on account of the pirates who infested those seas. The passage from Pliny may here be introduced with advantage for the purpose of comparison.

The Direct
Route to India.

Voyage of
Hippalus.

"For those who make the voyage to India the most convenient

¹ C. Müller, *op. cit.*, vol. I. p. 294.

² Pliny, 6. 100, 101, 104, 105; *Periplus*, § 57.

starting-point is Ocelis [in Arabia, near the straits of Bab el-Mandeb]. From that place a voyage of forty days before the wind called Hippalus brings them to Musiris, the first trading station which they reach in India, but not a desirable one, from the neighbourhood of the pirates, who occupy a spot called Nitrias. Nor, indeed, does it furnish many exports, and the roadstead is a long way from the coast, so that the freight has to be carried to and fro in boats. The name of the king at the time when I write is Caelobothras. A more serviceable port is that called Becare, in the territory of the Neacyndi. The ruler of the country, Pandion, dwells at Modura, a city in the interior a long distance from the trading station. The district from which pepper is brought to Becare by the natives in canoes is called Cottonara; but as none of these names of tribes or stations or towns occur in any previous author, it is clear that the relative importance of the places is apt to change. The return voyage from India is made at the beginning of the Egyptian month Tybis, our December, or, at the latest, during the first six days of the Egyptian Mechiris, which fall within the Ides of January according to our computation; thus they manage to reach home within the year. The wind which is favourable to the transit from India is the Vulturnus [SSE. wind], but after they enter the Red Sea the Africus [SW. wind] or Auster [S. wind]¹."

The remainder of the *Periplus* is devoted to notices of the coasts of Asia beyond Nelcynda. As no Greek navigators had as yet advanced so far, these must have been derived from the reports of native traders, and consequently we cannot be surprised if they are vague and inaccurate; at the same time they contain certain elements of truth. Thus the name Comari, which the writer assigns to a place considerably to the southward of Nelcynda, is almost certainly that of Cape Comorin, though he does not associate it with that promontory². He states that opposite the island of Taprobane the coast trends towards the east, after

¹ Pliny, *H. N.*, 6. 104—6. In § 101 we are further told, 'omnibus annis navigatur sagittariorum cohortibus impositis; etenim piratae maxime infestabant.'

² *Periplus*, § 59.

which it pursues for a time a northerly course, and then once more turns eastward towards the mouths of the Ganges. Beyond that point lay a district called Chryse, and an island of the same name, in both of which perhaps we may find an intimation of the Malay peninsula, which in Ptolemy's time was known as the Golden Chersonese¹. Finally, the position of China is indicated, when we are told that far away This (China). towards the north, and bordering on the eastern ocean, there was a land called This, containing a great city named Thinae, from which silk was exported, both raw and spun and woven into textures². To this statement is appended an interesting intimation of the two routes by which that article was brought from China into India, one being by the upper country through Bactria to Barygaza, the other by way of the Ganges to Musiris and Nelcynda. The name of Serica, which was given by the Romans to the northern region of China, is not mentioned in the *Periplus*. It seems to have originally signified the 'silk-producing country,' being derived from the old Chinese word for silk, which in Mongolian is 'sirkek.' From this again was formed the name of Seres to represent the inhabitants³.

Another geographical work should here be mentioned, which, though it was of no real importance, at one period attained a considerable popularity—the *Periegesis* Dionysius Periegetes. or *Description of the World* of Dionysius, who from the title of his book was called Periegetes. The date of its composition has been much disputed, for it has been assigned to various periods from the His Date. Augustan age even down to the beginning of the fourth century of our era: but it seems now to be determined with a fair amount of certainty that it belongs to the reign of Domitian. That it cannot be earlier than Vespasian appears from the account there given of the Aegean islands, for the Cyclades are assigned to Asia, and it was under that emperor that they were first attached as a province to that continent⁴. And it is

¹ *Periplus*, §§ 61–63.

² § 64.

³ Kiepert, *Lehrbuch*, p. 44; cp. Skeat, *Etym. Dict.*, s.v. Silk.

⁴ Dionys., *Perieg.*, vv. 525 foll., in C. Müller's *Geogr. Gr. Minoris*, vol. 2; where see the editor's note.

difficult to think that it is later than the age of Domitian, because the writer goes out of his way to glorify the victory of the prætor Flaccus over the Nasamones in Africa in 86 A.D.¹, of which event Domitian wrote boastfully to the Senate, announcing in the haughty language of divinity that he had 'forbidden the Nasamones to exist'; but the occurrence was one of so slight importance, that it would hardly have been commemorated at a later period—certainly not to the exclusion of more considerable successes of later emperors, of which no mention is made. The work of Dionysius was composed in

His
Geographical
Poem.

Greek hexameters, and extended to 1189 lines.

Its brevity as a survey of geography, and the metrical form in which it was written, seem to have commended it to schoolmasters as a text-book for communicating a knowledge of the subject to their pupils, and in consequence of this numerous copies of the work were made; afterwards, in proportion as learning declined, and the more important authors ceased to be read, its authority came to be greatly overrated.

The *Periegesis* begins with general remarks on the shape of

Its General
Geography.

the habitable world, which the writer compares to that of a sling, and on its division into continents, a choice being given for the boundary of Europe and

Asia between the Tanais and the Caucasian isthmus, and for the boundary of Asia and Africa between the Nile and the Pelusiæ isthmus. It next describes the four sections of the ocean by which this area is surrounded—the Atlantic to the west, the Frozen sea to the north, the Indian Ocean to the east, and the Erythræan or Aethiopian to the south; and also the four principal gulfs which issue from it, and penetrate into the land—the Mediterranean, the Caspian, the Persian, and Arabian gulfs. Then follows a detailed account of the Mediterranean, with all its subordinate bays and inlets, among which the Euxine and the Palus Maeotis are included. The delineation of the

¹ Dionys., *Perieg.*, vv. 209 foll.

² Zonaras *Annal.*, II. 19; vol. 2, p. 500 ed. Bonn.; γινώσκει ὁ Φλάκκος τοῦτο ἐπέθετο αὐτοῖς καὶ πάντας ἀπώλεσε καὶ τοὺς ἀπομάχους διέφθειρεν ἅπαντας· ἐφ' ᾧ ὁ Δομετιανὸς ἐπαρθεὶς εἶπε πρὸς τὴν βουλὴν ὅτι "Νασαμῶνας ἐκώλυσε εἶναι." ἥδη γὰρ καὶ θεὸς ἤξιον νομίζεσθαι.

three continents, to which Dionysius now proceeds, commences with Africa, and the peoples and races which occupied the northern part of that area are first described; next, those to the southward of them in the centre of the country are enumerated, and the Aethiopians in the neighbourhood of Cerne, which he regards, not, as former writers had done, as an island, but as a district bordering on the southern-ocean. The Nile, which flowed from the country of these Aethiopians, he speaks of as bearing the name of Siris in its upper course¹, though it would seem that in reality this appellation, so far as it was used at all, was restricted to a portion of the stream between Meroë and Syene. Descending this river he now enters Egypt, which is the last section of Africa that he describes. It is noticeable that in his account of it he mentions the statue of Memnon as being still vocal²—a statement which might be adduced as evidence to shew that the date of the *Periegesis* cannot at all events be later than the end of the second century. For, whereas the legs of that statue are covered with inscriptions in Greek and Latin, recording that visitors had been present when the sound was emitted, the date of the latest of these is 196 A.D., that is, shortly before the visit of Septimius Severus; and, as the statue was repaired by that emperor, it is natural to suppose that the work which was executed at that time caused the cessation of the sound.

Description
of Africa.

Proceeding now to Europe, Dionysius compares the shape of that continent together with Africa to a cone, the apex of which is towards the west, the base towards the east, bordering on Asia³. In this we find an exaggeration of the description of the world as tapering at its extremities, which is given by Eratosthenes and Strabo; and the same form is attributed by him to Asia⁴; though this statement he modifies in a subsequent passage, where he assigns to that area a quadrangular shape with one side facing east⁵—an inconsistency from which his predecessors were not wholly exempt. In enumerating the various countries of Europe and their inhabitants he proceeds from west to east throughout the northern portion of the

Of Europe.

¹ Dionys., *Perieg.*, vv. 221—4.

² v. 249.

³ vv. 275—8.

⁴ vv. 620—26.

⁵ vv. 881 foll.

continent, and his ignorance of this shews how little he drew from Roman sources. Thus he seems to identify the Eridanus with the Rhone, and places the fountains of that river in the neighbourhood of the Pyrenees; while to the northward of the Euxine the names of the tribes that he mentions are mainly derived from Herodotus. A curious touch of mathematical geography is found in his account of the mouth of the Borysthenes, which he speaks of as lying 'over against the Cyanean rocks on the same meridian line'; and he is also the first author to mention the tribe of Alans, whom he introduces in connexion with the Dacians and the Tauri in the south of Russia¹. The name of the Huns too occurs in his description of Asia in the neighbourhood of the Caspian Sea², but whether these were the progenitors of the famous horde who overran Europe, or only an insignificant clan of the same name, it is impossible to say. The latter part of the section which is devoted to Europe treats of the three great peninsulas, or, as the writer quaintly calls them, 'pedestals,' which project into the Mediterranean.

At this point, before turning to Asia, the writer inserts a long section relating to the islands—first those of the
Of the Islands. Mediterranean, and afterwards those that lie in the outer sea. It is curious to notice the islands that have been selected for special mention under the latter head (vv. 555—611). He begins with Erytheia, a half-mythical name, which we find frequently associated with Gades, but which here is probably intended to designate one of the Fortunatae Insulae. Next to these are mentioned the Cassiterides, or, as he calls them, the 'Hesperides islands, whence tin comes,' and the position assigned to them is in the neighbourhood of the Sacrum Promontorium; but, as Dionysius says that this headland was the westernmost point of Europe, it has been conjectured that he really means the Armorican promontory, which was then believed to project farthest in that direction; and that, like some preceding writers, he identified the Cassiterides with Ushant and the other islands in that neighbourhood. Then follow the two British isles, and somewhere not far off from them the islands of the Amnitae, which were celebrated

¹ Dionys., *Perieg.*, v. 313; ὁρθὸν ἐπὶ γραμμῇ κατεναντία Κυανέων.

² v. 325.

³ v. 730.

on account of the orgiastic rites performed in them by the women in honour of Dionysus. These observances are also related on the authority of Posidonius by Strabo¹, who places these islands off the mouth of the Loire, and calls the inhabitants Samnitae. In Thule, which lies beyond Britain, the continuous duration of daylight during the summer months is noticed. With regard to the Arctic Sea, or Mare Pigrum, it is implied that there was unimpeded navigation through it as far as the Eastern Ocean, for the writer supposes a vessel to sail in that direction from Thule as far as the island of Chryse. His conception of the position of the last-named place, indeed, is vague enough, but he seems to mean the Aurea Chersonesus (Malay Peninsula). After this, Taprobane (Ceylon) is introduced, with the usual exaggerated estimate of its size; and the enumeration ends with two small islands in the Persian Gulf, Ogyris and Icarus, the former of which is probably the modern Ormuz², and was famed as the burial-place of king Erythras, the eponymus of the Erythraean Sea; while the latter contained a famous shrine of Artemis Tauropolos, which also is mentioned by Strabo³.

In his account of Asia Dionysius rightly follows his predecessors in regarding the continent as divided in two parts by the long chain of mountains, running from west to east, to which they gave the name of Taurus. He starts from the river Tanais and the Black Sea, and enumerates all the tribes in the northern part of the country which lie to the eastward of that line; then he returns to Asia Minor, and afterwards treats of Syria, Arabia, and the peoples that lie to the eastward of them as far as India. He traces accurately the courses of the Euphrates and Tigris, and repeats the story which we have noticed above, and which had now become well established, of the latter of these two rivers flowing through the Lake of Van, and afterwards passing

Of Asia.

¹ Strab. 4. 4. 6; 'Ἐν δὲ τῷ ὠκεανῷ φησι [Ποσειδώνιος] εἶναι νῆσον μικρὰν οὐ πᾶν πελάγιον, προκειμένην τῇ ἐκβολῇ τοῦ Αἰγίου ποταμοῦ· οἰκεῖν δὲ ταύτην τὰς τῶν Σαμνιτῶν γυναῖκας, Διονύσω κατεχομένας καὶ Πασκομένας τὸν θεὸν τοῦτον τελευτᾷς τε καὶ ἄλλαις ἱεροποιαῖς.

² *v. supra*, p. 142.

³ 16. 3. 2; ὁ παράπλους ἔχει προκειμένην νῆσον Ἰκαρον, καὶ ἱερὸν Ἀπόλλωνος ἄγιον ἐν αὐτῇ καὶ μαντεῖον Ταυροπόλου.

for some distance underground¹. The direction followed by the Indus is also carefully given, with the two branches into which it divides as it approaches the sea, and the district of Patalene which intervenes between them². Of the fertility and valuable products of India he gives an enthusiastic account; but in no part of his poem is the writer's ignorance of recent discoveries more conspicuous than here, for he is unacquainted with the existence of the peninsula of Hindustan and the Bay of Bengal, and he still represents the Ganges as flowing into the Eastern Sea.

The geographical sketch of the world, which has thus been described in outline, is interspersed with notices of the peculiar features and products of the different countries, and of the customs of various tribes, together with legends and mythological stories which were associated with them. As may be supposed, it is not altogether homogeneous, for the store of facts which it contains has been gathered from various quarters, and from authorities widely differing in date, so that it does not represent the world as it existed at any one particular period. Callimachus and Apollonius Rhodius were among the author's geographical authorities. Still, this medley of information, clothed as it is in easily flowing metre, is even at the present day sufficiently pleasant reading, so that its popularity at the time when it was composed is easily accounted for. The permanent influence which it exercised is proved by its having been translated into Latin verse in the fourth century, for the benefit of readers unacquainted with the Greek language, by Avienus, the author of the *Ora Maritima*, which work has already attracted our attention as containing passages derived from the narrative of the voyage of the Carthaginian explorer, Himilco³. Another Latin translation, which was made at a later period by Priscian the grammarian, became a geographical text-book for school-boys in the middle ages⁴. The *Periegesis* was made the subject of an elaborate commentary by Eustathius of Thessalonica in the twelfth century, and in the West its statements reappear in later writers. An edition of it was issued at an early date after

¹ Dionys., *Perieg.*, vv. 987 foll.

² vv. 1088 foll.

³ *v. supra*, p. 109.

⁴ Bevan and Phillott, *Medieval Geography*, p. xxix.

the invention of printing, and it has several times been reprinted. To us at the present day it is chiefly of interest as shewing how greatly under the Roman empire the popular notion of geography lagged behind the scientific knowledge of the time. An acquaintance with this fact enables us to explain the existence at a later period of traditions on the subject which appear to us exceedingly primitive.

In no part of the world was greater advance made in the knowledge of geography during the first century and a half after Augustus than in Britain. Subsequently to the expeditions of Julius Caesar no serious attempt had been made by the Romans to conquer that country until the time of Claudius (43 A.D.), by whom and his lieutenants the southern part of the island was reduced to submission. Camulodunum, the capital of the Trinobantes, which was taken on this occasion, was afterwards occupied by a Roman colony—the first of many that were established in the island—and was consequently known as *Coloniae Castrum* (Colchester). The province which was now formed was gradually consolidated and extended, until in Nero's reign (61 A.D.) the conquests of Suetonius Paullinus carried its limits as far north as Lindum on the one side and Deva on the other, which two places also became Roman settlements, and were called Lindum Colonia (Lincoln) and Devae Castrum (the fortress on the Dee, or Chester). Already at this time we hear of Londinium (London) as being the greatest and most populous commercial centre in the country on account of its favourable position at the mouth of the Thames, for Tacitus in his account of the campaign of Suetonius Paullinus speaks of it as the principal resort of traders and the chief depot for stores¹. The next advance was made under Domitian by Agricola, who in the course of eight years (78–85 A.D.) extended the Roman dominion as far as the Firths of Clyde and Forth, and defended the isthmus which lies

Progressive
Knowledge of
Britain.

Conquests
of Claudius,

Suetonius
Paullinus,

Agricola,

¹ Tac. *Ann.*, 14. 33; Londinium...copia negotiatorum et com meatuum maxime celebre.

between them by establishing a chain of forts across it¹. He even penetrated into Caledonia to the northward of this line, and defeated the natives in two great battles. It was Agricola's good fortune to have his exploits recorded by Tacitus, who was his son-in-law, and through him we obtain an idea of the impression which the natural features of Britain produced on the foreigners. Thus, besides noticing the mildness and raininess of the climate, the shortness of the summer nights, and other peculiarities, he specially remarks on the depth to which the sea on these coasts penetrates into the land, and on the numerous estuaries which are formed in this manner². Tacitus also records in his *Agricola* the expedition which was despatched by that commander to explore the shores of the island as far as its northern extremity, and he mentions that they reached the Orcades (Orkneys). The land which they saw in the distance beyond this point, but did not visit owing to the lateness of the season, and which they believed to be Thule, is no doubt the same to which Pytheas also assigned that name—Mainland, the chief of the Shetland islands³. In Hadrian's time (119 A.D.) the northern portion of these new conquests was abandoned, and that emperor fixed the line of the Eden and the Tyne as the limit of the Roman possessions, and constructed there the famous rampart, which is known at the present day as the Roman Wall. This continued to be the boundary until under

and Antoninus Antoninus Pius (142 A.D.) the country as far as the Pius.

Forth and the Clyde was again occupied, and a continuous rampart of earth was built across the isthmus parallel to the line of forts which Agricola had erected. By means of these campaigns, and of the numerous settlements that were founded in the country, and the roads by which they were connected with one another, the Romans acquired a satisfactory knowledge of the characteristics of Britain, and of the position of the tribes by whom it was inhabited.

¹ Tac. *Agric.*, 23; Clota et Bodotria, diversi maris fluctibus per inmensum revectae, angusto terrarum spatio dirimuntur: quod tum praesidiis firmabatur.

² *Agric.*, 10; Unum addiderim, nusquam latius dominari mare, multum fluminum huc atque illuc ferre, nec litore tenus adcrecere aut resorberi, sed influere penitus atque ambire, et jugis etiam ac montibus inseri velut in suo.

³ Müllenhoff, *Deutsche Altertumskunde*, 1. p. 388.

The acquaintance of the Romans with Germany derived from personal observation decreased rather than otherwise after the time of Augustus. The rule which was laid down by that emperor to the effect that the Roman arms should not advance beyond the Elbe was strictly adhered to by his successors; indeed, so little did they attempt to penetrate into the country at all, that Tacitus speaks of that river as being known to his contemporaries only by hearsay¹. At the same time there arose a growing intercourse between the two peoples, and from this was derived the enlarged knowledge of the inhabitants of Germany which we find existing at a later period, though we have no evidence whence it came. Much of this was embodied in the *Germania* of Tacitus; but that treatise, interesting as it is from an ethnographical point of view, furnishes us with but little information about the physical features of the country, and even as to the situation of the various tribes. It is noticeable, as a proof of the ignorance which prevailed with regard to the north-eastern part of the country, that the name of so important a river as the Viadrus (Oder) does not occur in any writer before Ptolemy; and though the Vistula was known at an earlier period, and was regarded as the boundary of Germany on its eastern side towards Sarmatia, yet this was probably due to the trade-route from the Baltic which passed through Pannonia, rather than to any intelligence derived from Germany itself. About the regions in the north of Europe, however, some intelligence, though of an imperfect character, was obtained. In Mela the southern portion of the Baltic is mentioned under the name of Codanus Sinus², and the knowledge of this may have been acquired at the time of the naval expedition of Tiberius, which sailed up the Elbe. Mela also is the first writer who mentions Scandinavia, but he regards it, not as a peninsula, but as a large island³; this view is also found in

¹ Tac. *Germ.*, 41; in Hermunduris Albis oritur, flumen inclutum et notum olim; nunc tantum auditur.

• ² Mela, 3. 31; super Albim Codanus ingens sinus magnis parvisque insulis refertus est.

³ *Ibid.* § 54; In illo sinu quem Codanum diximus eximia Scadinavia, quam adhuc Teutoni tenent, et ut fecunditate alias ita magnitudine antestat.

Pliny¹, and was permanently maintained. It is that country, no doubt, to which Tacitus also refers, when he speaks of an island lying out in the ocean off the northern coast of Germany, which was inhabited by a people called the Suiones²; for in that appellation the modern name of Swede is generally recognised. Denmark, too, was known to Pliny under the name of the Cimbrian promontory as a great peninsula extending towards the north³.

In eastern Europe the conquest of Dacia by Trajan opened out a considerable area, which was unexplored before that time. The departure from the established policy of maintaining the Danube as the northern frontier of the empire, which the annexation of that tract involved, was in the first instance unavoidable. During the reign of Domitian, Decebalus, a Dacian chieftain who had succeeded in concentrating in his own hands the government of the whole of that country, overran Moesia, and caused great injury to the Roman power. At the conclusion of the war which followed a peace was concluded, which was far from advantageous to the Romans; and Trajan, when he came to the throne, in order to secure the neighbouring parts of the empire from attacks from that quarter, invaded Dacia, and in the course of his second campaign (104 A.D.) completely defeated Decebalus. Dacia was now reduced to a Roman province, and its capital city, Sarmizegethusa, which was captured, received the name of Ulpia Trajana. The territory which was comprehended in Dacia is that which is bounded on the east by the Dniester and on the west by the Theiss, including the modern kingdom of Roumania, with its wide plains extending to the Danube, the mountainous district of Transylvania and the lands to the northward of it as far as the Carpathians, and the adjoining portion of Hungary which is called the Banat. A large number of military colonies were now established in the

¹ Pliny, 4. 96; sinum, qui Codanus vocatur refertus insulis, quarum clarissima est Scatinavia incomptae magnitudinis.

² Tac. *Germ.*, 44; Suionum hinc civitates, ipso in Oceano, praeter viros armaque classibus valent.

³ Pliny, 4. 97; Promunturium Cimbrorum excurrans in maria longe paeninsulam efficit quae Tastris appellatur.

country, and in addition to these a multitude of civilians resorted thither from various parts of the empire, so that in the course of time Dacia became thoroughly Romanised. In order to secure the communication between these newly acquired domains and the neighbouring provinces, Trajan erected the famous bridge across the Danube that bore his name, the remains of which are still visible near Orsova below the rapids and narrow passage of the stream called the Iron Gate. A century and a half later than this, in the reign of Aurelian, it was found expedient to withdraw the Roman colonists into Moesia on the right bank of the river, where they were constituted into a new province, called the Dacia of Aurelian; but a clear evidence of their occupation of the northern district remains in the modern Roumanian language, which is as lineal a descendant of Latin as French and Italian are.

Some additional material was also contributed towards the knowledge of the interior of Africa by two expeditions which took place during the reigns of Claudius and Nero. In the year 42 A.D. Suetonius Paullinus—the same who, as we have seen, subsequently distinguished himself in Britain—having been appointed proprætor of Mauretania, carried his arms across the Atlas chain, which, as it was the winter season, he found covered with snow. He then advanced through the desert in burning heat, until he reached a river called Ger, the neighbourhood of which abounded with elephants and other wild beasts¹. The name here given has induced some geographers to conjecture that the stream which was intended is the Niger; but there can be little doubt that it was one of those which run southwards from the Atlas and lose themselves in the Sahara, for the word *gir* in the Berber language, signifies ‘running water,’ and even at the present day it is attached to a river which follows that direction. The other expedition, for which Seneca is our chief authority, though it is also noticed by Pliny, was despatched by Nero, and was pacific in its character, being prompted by a spirit of enquiry. Its object was to explore the sources of the Nile; and it advanced in the first instance as far

Suetonius
Paullinus
crosses the
Atlas.

Nero's Ex-
pedition to the
Nile.

¹ Pliny, *H. N.* 5. 14, 15.

as Meroë by way of Syene and Napata, which city has been already noticed in connexion with the campaign of Petronius against Candace. Seneca, whose information (so he tells us) was obtained directly from two centurions employed on the mission, states that they were furnished with an escort by the king of Aethiopia, and with introductions to the neighbouring chiefs, and thus advanced far into the interior. The furthest

**The Marshy
Region.**

point in the Nile valley that was attained they described as occupied by vast marshes, where the water was clogged with herbage so muddy and tangled as only to afford a passage for boats of the smallest size. In these we recognise the extensive swampy region of the White Nile, which is first met with above the junction of that river with the Sobat, about 400 miles to the southward of Khartoum¹. The discovery, however, was not entirely new, for, little as this district was known in antiquity, yet Aristotle had heard of it, since he mentions it in connexion with the race of Pygmies².

¹ Sen. *Nat. Quaest.* 6. 8. 3, 4; Ego quidem centuriones duos quos Nero Caesar, ut aliarum virtutum ita veritatis in primis amantissimus, ad investigandum caput Nili miserat audiivi narrantes longum illos iter peregrisse, cum a rege Aethiopiae instructi auxilio commendatique proximis regibus penetrassent ad ulteriora. 'Equidem,' aiebant, 'pervenimus ad immensas paludes, quarum exitum nec incolae noverant nec sperare quisquam potest, ita implicatae aquis herbae sunt et aquae neque pediti eluctabiles nec navigio, quod nisi parvum et unius capax limosa et obsita palus non ferat. Ibi,' inquit, 'vidimus duas petras, ex quibus ingens vis fluminis excidebat.' Cp. Pliny, 6, 184—6.

² *v. supra*, p. 29.

CHAPTER XIV.

ROMAN FRONTIER DEFENCES AND ROADS.

Natural Limits of the Roman Empire—Frontier Defences—Chiefly organised by Hadrian—The *Periplus* of Arrian—Dio's Account of Hadrian's System—The German Limes—Chains of Military Posts—Defences of the Upper Euphrates—The Roman Roads—The Via Aurelia—Via Aemilia Scauri—Via Julia—Road through Southern Gaul and Spain—The Via Flaminia—Via Aemilia—Passes of the Alpes Cottiae, Graiae, and Penninae—Roman Roads in Gaul, and in Britain—Watling Street—Fosse Way—Ermine Street—Icknield Street—Passes of the Alpes Rhaeticae and Juliae—Road through Pannonia to Byzantium—The Via Appia—The Via Egnatia—Main Roads through Asia and Africa—Roman Itineraries—The Antonine Itinerary—Its Probable Date—Not a completely Homogeneous Document—Its Contents—The *Itinerarium Maritimum*—The Jerusalem Itinerary—The Peutinger Table—Its Transcription, and probable Date of Composition.

THE reign of Hadrian, at which we have now arrived, affords a suitable opportunity for surveying the boundaries of the Roman empire when it had reached its utmost limits, and the defences by which it was protected. The limits which, as Gibbon remarks, appeared to have been permanently placed for that purpose by nature, were on the west the Atlantic Ocean; the Rhine and Danube on the north; the Euphrates on the east; and towards the south the sandy deserts of Arabia and Africa¹. These boundaries were recommended by Augustus to his successors; and they were observed by subsequent emperors, except in Britain, and in two districts into which Trajan carried his victorious arms—Mesopotamia, which for a short time, and Dacia, which for a longer period, became subject to Rome. It was only by gradual stages, however, that a system of frontier defence grew up along these lines. In some instances a belt of allied native states,

Natural
Limits of the
Roman
Empire.

Frontier
Defences.

¹ *Decline and Fall*, vol. 1. p. 139, ed. Smith.

such as Commagene, Cappadocia, and Pontus on the side towards the Euphrates, were allowed to remain, so as to separate the Roman provinces from the nations outside; and it was only after a time that these were annexed to Rome, and were formally recognised as part of the Roman dominion. There can be little doubt that the development of the frontier defences, which followed on this, was quickened by a growing fear of danger arising from the incursions of barbarians from without. The emperors, whose policy was especially devoted to this end, were those of the Flavian and Antonine dynasties, and the sovereign in particular, with whom above all others it should be associated, is Hadrian¹. Not only does the Roman Wall in

Chiefly or-
ganised by
Hadrian.

Britain bear his name, but in other parts of the empire also we find evidence of the attention which he paid to this question. On the site of

the camp constructed by his orders for the Third Legion at Lambaesis in the interior of Numidia, fragments remain of an inscription recording the address which he made to the troops stationed there; and in the course of this Hadrian expresses his admiration of the walls that had been built, and eulogises the excellence of the training of the soldiers, notwithstanding the few opportunities of drilling which they had had owing to their being stationed for long periods continuously in remote posts on the frontiers². Similar testimony with regard to the care expended on the frontier stations and garrisons on the south-eastern coast of the Black Sea is contained in the report

The *Periplus*
of Arrian.

on that subject addressed to Hadrian by Arrian the historian, who was præfect of the province of Cappadocia. The date of this document—which

is known as the *Periplus Ponti Euxini*, and was written in Greek—is 131 A.D.; and it formed a supplement to a Latin official report, such as was regularly sent by these officers to their master,

¹ For many of the remarks which are introduced in connexion with this subject I am indebted to Prof. Pelham's paper on *The Roman Frontier System* in vol. XIV. of the *Transactions* of the Cumberland and Westmorland Antiquarian and Archaeological Society: see also his *Outlines of Roman History*, pp. 490—492.

² *Corp. Inscript. Lat.*, vol. VIII. no. 2532.

the emperor. Throughout it we meet with accounts of the condition of the fortifications along that line, in which the writer mentions that he had replaced earthwork embankments and wooden towers by brick walls, and had everywhere examined the fortifications and trenches; the entire statement being drawn up in such a manner as to imply that the defences were a question of primary interest to the person to whom the letter was addressed¹.

Indeed, Hadrian is spoken of quite plainly by Dio as having been the chief organiser of this system. The following is his account :

‘ Hadrian used to travel from province to province, visiting both the country districts and the cities; and in the course of his inspections of the forts and walls he transferred some to more suitable positions, while others he dismantled or erected at new points. He also personally superintended and examined every detail; not merely the condition of the camps in general—their arms and military engines, their trenches, ramparts and palisades—but also what affected the individual soldiers, the rank and file as well as the officers—their manner of life, and dwellings and habits; and in many cases, where novel arrangements had been introduced tending to greater comfort, he remodelled and amended them. Besides this, he exercised the men in all kinds of fighting, approving some and censuring others, and instructing them all in their duties. And in order that they might profit by his example, wherever he went he led a hardy life, and never at such times availed himself of a carriage or four-wheeled chariot, but always walked or rode; nor did he protect his head, either in

Dio's Account of Hadrian's System.

¹ Arrian, *Periplus Ponti Euxini*, § 12, in C. Müller's *Geographi Graeci Minores*, vol. 1. p. 376: Καὶ τάφρος διπλῇ περιβέβληται τῷ τείχει, εὐρεία ἐκατέρα. Πάλαι μὲν οὖν γῆινον τὸ τεῖχος ἦν καὶ οἱ πύργοι ξύλινοι ἐφειστήκεισαν, νῦν δὲ ἐκ πλίνθου ὀπτῆς πεποιήται καὶ αὐτὸ καὶ οἱ πύργοι, καὶ τεθεμελιώται ἀσφαλῶς, καὶ μηχαναὶ ἐφεισῶσι, καὶ ἐνὶ λόγῳ, πᾶσιν ἐξήρτυται πρὸς τὸ μηδὲ πελάσαι ἂν τινα αὐτῷ τῶν βαρβάρων, μήτιγε δὴ εἰς κίνδυνον καταστήσαι πολιορκίας τοὺς ἐν αὐτῷ φρουροῦντας. Ἐπειδὴ δὲ καὶ τὸν ὄρμον ἐχρῆν ἀσφαλῇ εἶναι ταῖς ναυσὶ, καὶ ὅσα ἔξω τοῦ φρουρίου κατωκεῖτο ὑπὸ τῶν τε πεκαυμένων τῆς στρατιᾶς καὶ τινων καὶ ἄλλων ἐμπορικῶν ἀνθρώπων, ἐδοξέ μοι ἀπὸ τῆς διπλῆς τάφρου, ἣ περιβέβληται τῷ τείχει, ἄλλην τάφρον ἐκβαλεῖν ὡς ἐπὶ τὸν ποταμὸν, ἣ τὸ τε ναύσταθμον περιέξει καὶ τὰς ἔξω τοῦ τείχους οἰκίας. Cp. §§ 7, 14, &c.

heat or cold, but went about with it uncovered both in the snows of Gaul and under an Egyptian sun. In a word; throughout the whole empire he so trained and disciplined the entire military force both by action and precept, that even at the present time the appointments which he then made are their rule of service. This was the main reason why during the greater part of his reign he was at peace with foreign nations: they were aware of his means of defence, and inasmuch as they suffered no ill-treatment, and even received presents of money, they maintained the existing order of things. For his soldiers were so well trained, that the cavalry of the so-called Batavi swam across the Danube with their arms: on seeing which the barbarians were impressed with fear of the Romans, and when they fell out with one another they called in that power to arbitrate in their mutual disputes'.

The most famous of these frontier fortifications was that which served for a defence to the empire against the Germanic tribes, who from their proximity to Italy and their threatening attitude were the enemies whose sudden attacks were most formidable to Rome. The name by which it was known to the Romans was, as long as it skirted the frontiers of Vindelicia, the Limes Rhaetiae, and afterwards the Limes Germaniae: in modern times—for the wall, or at least its foundations, can still be traced almost continuously—it is called the Pfahlgraben or Teufelsmauer. Leaving the left bank of the Danube at Kelheim between Ratisbon and Neustadt, it describes a curve westward as far as Lorch, at which place the Limes Rhaetiae comes to an end after a course of 108 miles. Here the direction which the fortification pursues turns at right angles, and follows the stream of the Neckar as far as Wimpfen, whence it runs due north until it meets the Main at Würth. Descending that stream to the parallel of Frankfort, it then bends round until it meets the northern extremity of the Taunus chain, and after passing those mountains gradually approaches the Rhine, which river it finally reaches between Andernach and Remagen. This section, which formed the Limes Germaniae, measured 228 miles. In respect of construction the Limes Germaniae was greatly superior to the Limes Rhaetiae.

¹ Dion Cass. *Hist. Rom.*, Epitome, l. 69, c. 9.

The former of these consisted of a succession of forts, placed as a rule about nine miles from each other. In certain portions of the line thus formed, the rivers along whose courses the forts were built, the Neckar and the Main, were themselves a sufficient limit to prevent ingress into the Roman territory; elsewhere a boundary wall was erected, not indeed connecting the forts with one another, but running in front of them at a distance seldom exceeding one-third of a mile. This was protected by a fosse on the outside, and had watchtowers built in it at short intervals on the inner side. In the Rhaetian Limes, on the other hand, the forts were constructed without any regular succession, and the barrier was not only destitute of a fosse and watch-towers, but was formed of stones rudely piled together¹.

Walls and embankments, however, such as those just described, by no means formed a necessary part of a frontier fortification. We find them, indeed, Chains of
Military Posts. in the north of Britain, but there is no trace of them along the Numidian border, nor where security was afforded by the presence of a great river, such as the Rhine in its lower course, the Danube, or the Euphrates. What was essential to the system of defence was the chain of military posts; and so independent was this, that, even where a legion was established in the adjacent territory, its camp did not necessarily form part of the chain, but might lie at some distance towards the rear. Such was the case with York and Chester in Britain, with Mogontiacum (Mainz) behind the German Limes, and with Lambaesis in Africa; in all which instances the legion that was stationed there was connected by roads with the outlying posts, so that it was able to support them when necessary. The opposite plan, where the camp was included in the system of defence, is found on the lower Rhine and on the Danube. A certain extent of territory both within and without the line of defence was appropriated by the defenders, so that no barbarians were allowed to occupy it—a precautionary measure which was quite reasonable, since the position to be guarded was so exposed. It is not improbable that all the district between the German Limes and the Upper Rhine, and also the region of Britain north

¹ Mommsen, *The Provinces of the Roman Empire*, vol. I. pp. 154, 155.

of the Humber, and the southern portion of Numidja, were treated as 'march-land.' A tendency soon arose for the soldiers of a certain legion to become the permanent occupants of a particular camp. Thus the Twentieth Legion is found at Chester, the Sixth at York, and the Third Augustan Legion in Africa. The outlying fortresses were garrisoned by auxiliary troops, and these could be more easily transferred from one station to another; yet the evidence goes to prove that they also frequently continued to occupy the same positions¹.

A marked instance of the method of defence by means of a succession of military posts is found on the frontier which followed the upper course of the Euphrates. The importance of this line arose from its commanding the approaches to the Roman dominion on its eastern side, where it bordered on Armenia, which country had become a debateable land between the Romans and the Parthians. The most central point in the military system in this quarter was Melitene (Malatia), which town lay at no great distance from the junction of the river Melas, which flows from the Anti-Taurus, with the Euphrates. To it led the great high-road which traversed Asia Minor from Ephesus by way of Caesareia in Cappadocia; and from it again two other roads diverged to south and north respectively. The former of these passed over the Taurus range to Samosata (Samsat), the position of which city was considerably lower down the course of the great river, where there is an important crossing place of the stream. Here was the permanent station of the Sixteenth legion (Flavia Firma), while at Melitene the Twelfth legion (Fulminata) was quartered. A Roman bridge of magnificent construction still remains near Kiakhta, at some distance to the northward of Samsat, to testify to the existence of this line of communication. The other road, which ran northward from Melitene, was carried to Satala, where were the *stativa* of the Fifteenth legion (Apollinaris). The recent discovery of inscriptions containing the name of that legion at the modern village of Sadagh—at which considerable remains of an ancient fortified town were previously known to exist—renders certain the

¹ Pelham, *The Roman Frontier System*, pp. 120, 131.

identification of that place with Satala¹. It lay near the headwaters of the river Lycus, due west of Theodosiopolis (Erzeroum), but separated from that place, and from the Euphrates valley, by a range of mountains. Afterwards the road was prolonged from Satala to Trapezus, which was also a Roman military station; and ultimately a line of posts guarded by troops was established along the entire route from Samosata to the Euxine.

The communications between the capital and the frontier provinces of the empire were maintained by means of the great system of military roads, the construction of which everywhere followed in the wake of the Roman conquests. The starting-point for these, from which the measurements along them were calculated, was in each case the gate by which the road issued from the walls of Rome; and the distances to which they respectively extended were recorded on the Miliarium Aureum, or Golden Milestone, which was set up for that purpose by Augustus in the Forum at the foot of the Capitoline Hill. Through the facilities which they offered for speedy transit the intelligence which was constantly required by an elaborately centralised system of administration was transmitted to headquarters, and provision was made for the rapid passage of the Roman armies, and for the conveyance of merchandise from distant countries. The massive construction of these roads is made evident by the terraces, raised above the level of the neighbouring ground and paved with solid masonry, which remain in part both in our own country and in other lands which were formerly subject to Rome; and the system of milestones by which they were measured is represented by numerous specimens which are found in all the three continents. We will now proceed to trace the principal lines which were followed by these great arteries of communication, beginning from the western provinces.

The great western road at its commencement was called the Via Aurelia, under which name it extended from Rome to Pisae (Pisa) by way of Cosa and

The Roman
Roads.

The Via
Aurelia.

¹ See Mr V. W. Yorke's paper, *A Journey in the Valley of the Upper Euphrates*, in the *Geographical Journal*, vol. 8 (1896), p. 460. The whole of this paper contains valuable information about the line of Roman defences here spoken of.

Populonium, passing through the unhealthy coast-land of Etruria, which is now known as the Maremma. In the year 109 B.C. it was continued by Aemilius Scaurus over the difficult ground which skirts the head of the Gulf of Genoa, as far as Vada Sabatia (Vado); and this portion was called the Via Aemilia Scauri, to distinguish it from the more famous Aemilian Way in Cisalpine Gaul. During

the reign of Augustus it was again extended under the name of Via Julia along the Ligurian coast to Cemenelum (Cimiez, at the back of Nice), thus reaching the frontier of Gaul. The principal places which it passed in this part were the native towns of Albium Ingaunum (Albenga) and Albium Intemclium (Ventimiglia), and the old Greek colony of Portus Herculis Monoeci (Monaco). At Cemenelum the road

was brought into connexion with the great Roman way through the Provincia, which passed by way of Forum Julii (Fréjus) and Aquae Sextiae (Aix) to Arelate (Arles) at the head of the delta of the Rhone; and from that place, first to Nemausus (Nîmes), and then by Narbo (Narbonne) to the foot of the Pyrenees. That

chain was crossed between Ruscino (Roussillon) and Gerunda (Gerona); and from the latter place the road proceeded to Tarraco (Tarragona), and after crossing the Iberus continued along the coast to Valentia and the mouth of the Sucro (Jucar). It there turned inland, and after passing the watershed which separates the streams that fall into the Mediterranean from those which reach the Atlantic, entered the basin of the Baetis (Guadalquivir), and traversed the province of Baetica by way of Corduba (Cordova), and Hispalis (Seville), until it arrived at the ocean, with which in this way Rome was connected¹.

The Via Flaminia, which was the great northern road from Rome, was constructed in 220 B.C. by Gaius Flaminius during his censorship, with the object of maintaining the communications between the capital and Cisalpine Gaul, which country he had previously subjugated. Leaving Rome by the Porta Flaminia, it crossed

¹ Mommsen, *The Provinces of the Roman Empire*, i. p. 74.

the Tiber at the Milvian bridge, two miles distant from the walls of the city, and passing the foot of Mount Soracte entered Umbria near Ocriculum; from whence by way of Narnia and Mevania it reached the foot of the Apennines. On the further side of that chain it descended the valley of the Metaurus to the Adriatic at Fanum Fortunae (Fano), and then followed the coast as far as its terminus at Ariminum (Rimini). About half a century later this road was continued as far as Placentia (Piacenza) by M. Aemilius Lepidus, and from him this additional portion obtained the name

Via
Aemilia.

of the Aemilian Way. It was carried through the plains of Cisalpine Gaul, skirting the northern spurs of the Apennines, and connected with one another and with its two termini the important cities of Bononia (Bologna), Mutina (Modena) and Parma. From Placentia, where it crossed the Po, it was subsequently prolonged to Mediolanum (Milan). The places thus reached formed the starting-points for the lines of communication which connected Italy with the central regions of Gaul. From Placentia by way of Ticinum (Pavia) and the valley of the Po a road was constructed to Augusta Taurinorum (Turin), whence it ascended along the Duria Minor (Dora Riparia) to the pass over the Alpes Cottiae (Mont Genèvre), and on the western side of these mountains followed the course of the Druentia (Durance) downwards to Arelate. Again, from Mediolanum another route led by Eporedia (Ivrea) and the valley of the Duria Major (Dora Baltea) to Augusta Praetoria (Aosta), which place formed the point of divergence of two other Alpine passes. To the west a way conducted over the Alpes Graiae

Passes of the
Alpes Cottiae,

Graiae,

(Little St. Bernard) to the upper waters of the Isara (Isère); and the course of that stream was pursued as far as Cularo (Grenoble), shortly after passing which the road turned to the north-west and made for the Rhone, which river it struck at Vienna (Vienne) and then followed upwards to Lugdunum. To the north—on the further side of Mont Blanc, the great mass of which is here interposed—was the pass of the and
Alpes Penninae (Great St. Bernard), which led to the upper valley of the Rhone and the Lake of Geneva. The

road then traversed Helvetia, and after crossing the range of the Jura met the Rhine at Augusta Rauracorum, a few miles above Bâle.

In Gaul the chief highways started from Lugdunum, the Roman capital city, which is called by Strabo on account of the importance of its position the acropolis of the country¹. As we have already observed in connexion with Agrippa's work in organising the provinces of Gaul, four great roads diverged from this point in different directions, three of which communicated with three different seas. One ran due south along the course of the Rhone to Arles and the Mediterranean. A second pursued a westerly course through the territory of the Arverni (Auvergne) and by Augustoritum (Limoges) to the mouth of the Garonne, after which it penetrated southward into Aquitania. A third went northward up the valley of the Arar (Saône) to Cabillonum (Châlon), thence by Augustodunum (Autun) and across the upper waters of the Yonne and Seine and Marne to Durocor-torum (Reims), the capital of the Remi, and from that point north-westward to Samarobriva (Amiens) and Gesoriacum (Boulogne), which was the ordinary place of transit for Britain. Again, from Cabillonum a fourth route diverged from the one just described, and followed the stream of the Doubs upwards throughout a great part of its course, but ultimately crossed a watershed into the valley of the Rhine, not far from where the road from the Pennine pass entered it. From this point to the German Ocean a continuous line of road maintained the communications of the Romans throughout the two provinces of Upper and Lower Germany, passing the important stations of Mogontiacum (Mainz) and Colonia Agrippina (Cologne), and reaching at last Lugdunum Batavorum (Leyden) near the mouth of the stream.

We may now proceed to Britain; and in speaking of the lines of road in this country it may not be amiss to retain the familiar names that have been attached to them. The principal landing-place, which from a Roman point of view was the starting-point for communications

¹ Strabo, 4. 6, 11.

with the interior, was Rutupiae (Richborough), the massive fortifications of which testify at the present day to the importance that was attached to it as securing the line of transit from the continent. Between Rutupiae and Londinium a road ran by way of Durovernum (Canterbury) and Durobrivae (Rochester); and the great commercial centre was also connected with the south coast on the side of Sussex by another road (Stone Street), which started from Regnum (Chichester). The Midlands were traversed from south-east to north-west by **Watling Street.** Watling Street, which reached from Londinium by way of Verulamium (St. Albans) to Viroconium (Wroxeter), not far from Shrewsbury at the confluence of the Tern and the Severn. Wroxeter, the numerous Roman remains at which have obtained for it the name of the English Pompeii, was the station of the Fourteenth legion; and its importance from a military point of view arose from its proximity to the Welsh border, where the mountain tribes maintained their independence after the rest of the country had been brought under the Roman rule. The other fortresses on the same frontier were Deva (Chester), further to the north, where, as we have already remarked, the Twentieth legion was posted, and towards the south Isca (Caerleon in Monmouthshire), the station of the Second legion. Transversely to the line of Watling Street **Fosse Way.** the Fosse Way followed a direction from south-west to north-east. Commencing at Isca Dumnoniorum (Exeter), it passed across Somersetshire to the Roman township of Aquae Sulis (Bath), and then took a straight course by Durocornuvium (Cirencester) to Lindum (Lincoln). The last-named place was reached also by a road called Ermine Street, **Ermine Street.** which started from Camulodunum (Colchester), the seat of the earliest Roman colony which was established in Britain, and traversed the eastern part of the country: this was continued beyond Lindum by Danum (Doncaster) to Eburacum (York), and ultimately reached Luguvallium (Carlisle), which was situated on the line of Hadrian's wall. Finally, a road which is known as Icknield Street **Icknield Street.** ran in a direction almost parallel to the Fosse Way from Glevum (Gloucester) to Doncaster. It

may be remarked that the names of highways which have been given above are in several cases assigned also to roads in other parts of the country. Thus the title of Ermine Street is attached to the line of way that leads from Silchester by Cirencester to Gloucester; and that of Icknield Street to the road between Dorchester near Oxford, and Chesterford to the southward of Cambridge: while the road which for a time joined the wall of Hadrian to that of Antonine is known as Watling Street.

The Via Aemilia in Cisalpine Gaul, the connexion of which with the lines of communication in north-western Europe has been traced above, was also the parent of other important roads, which led northward and eastward through the Roman empire. From Milan a branch reached Verona, from which city there was a way by the valley of the Adige to the Brenner pass over the Rhaetian Alps, which led to Augusta Vindelicorum (Augsburg), the Roman outpost in the direction of Germany. From Verona again another road ran eastward to Aquileia at the head of the Adriatic, and through the Julian Alps to Aemona (Laibach) in Pannonia. Here it divided in two, one part following the old line of the Baltic traffic northwards by Poetovio (Pettau) to Carnuntum on the Danube, the other descending the valley of the Save by way of Sirmium (Mitrovitsa) to the junction of that river with the Danube at Singidunum (Belgrade). The latter of these routes continued along the right bank of the Danube as far as Viminacium (Kostolatz), and then turned southwards up the valley of the Morava to Naissus (Nisch), and through the passes of the Balkan by Serdica (Sophia) and Philippopolis to Byzantium.

Passes of the
Alpes Rhaeti-
cae and Juliae.

Road
through
Pannonia to
Byzantium.

An earlier line of transit, however, from Rome to the Bosphorus, and at all times a shorter and more convenient one, than that just mentioned, was the route by way of southern Italy and the Egnatian Way. The first part of this was formed by the Via Appia, the earliest of all the Roman highways, the construction of which was due in the first instance to the censor Appius Claudius Caecus in 312 B.C. By him it was conducted as far as Capua, but from that place it was afterwards continued to Beneventum, and finally by two different

The
Via Appia.

lines to Brundisium. One of these led to this port through the centre of the country by Venusia and Tarentum, while the other, passing at once through the Apennines into Apulia, took a more northerly course by Canusium and the coast of the Adriatic. On the farther side of that sea, opposite Brundisium, the Egnatian Way commenced at two points, The Via Egnatia. Dyrrachium towards the north, and Apollonia towards the south. The highways which started from these converged at a place in the interior called Clodiana; and from that station the road threaded the difficult defiles of the Illyrian mountains as far as the Lacus Lychnitis (Lake of Ochrida); after which it crossed the Scardus range to Heraclea (Monastir), and passed by Edessa and Pella to Thessalonica. This portion of the route was sometimes known by the separate name of the Via Candavia. The remainder of the Egnatian Way proceeded by Amphipolis and Philippi to Byzantium.

In Asia Minor the main road from the Asiatic shore of the Bosphorus led by way of Nicomedia to Ancyra (Angora) in the upland levels of Galatia, and after crossing Cappadocia descended through the Cilician Gates in the Taurus range to Tarsus; from that place it proceeded round the head of the gulf of Issus and over the Mons Amanus to Antioch. From northern Syria there was a choice of routes by which to reach Seleucia on the Tigris at the eastern extremity of the Roman dominion. One of these, which was at once the longer and the easier way, traversed Mesopotamia, after crossing the Euphrates at the Zeugma, or bridge of boats, which was situated in the neighbourhood of the modern Biredjik, where that stream approaches nearest to the Mediterranean; for this place of passage had superseded the earlier transit by Thapsacus, which was two hundred miles lower down the course of the river. The other route, which was more direct, led across the Arabian desert by way of Palmyra. Syria and Palestine, again, were intersected by a highway which ran from Antioch to the frontier of Egypt; and in the latter country a road was carried up the valley of the Nile as far as Coptos, the central trading-station of the country, somewhat to the northward of Thebes. From thence lines of communication reached to the ports of Myos Hormos and

Main Roads
through Asia
and Africa.

Berenice on the Red Sea. Finally, the provinces of northern Africa were connected with one another by a continuous road which skirted the shore of the Mediterranean; and in the western portion of that region, where there is a wider belt of cultivated land, this was supplemented by other highways, which approached nearer to the southern frontier of the empire.

Let us now notice the specimens which have come down to us of the documents by which information was furnished with regard to the roads in the Roman empire. We have already seen¹ that these documents were of two kinds, (1) *Itineraria adnotata*, which contained lists of the principal stations on the roads, accompanied by computations of the distances between them, but without any geographical remarks or explanations, so that they somewhat resembled the Railway Guides of the present day; and (2) *Itineraria picta*, where the same details were given in a form more nearly approaching that of a map, with the addition of various geographical features, especially the courses of the rivers. The wall-map of Agrippa would seem to have been the original source from which the main facts in both of these were drawn; but we cannot doubt that much additional material was from time to time embodied in them, which was furnished by the Roman archives, for these could not fail to possess a catalogue of the Roman roads, with measurements of their length according to the milestones, and this catalogue would be gradually enlarged. The former of

the two classes is represented at the present day by the Antonine Itinerary, or, to give its full title, *Itinerarium Provinciarum Antonini Augusti*. The emperor to whom the first publication of this work is here referred, is commonly supposed to have been either Antoninus Pius, or Marcus Aurelius Antoninus, but some writers are disposed to ascribe it to Caracalla, who also bore the name of Antoninus.

It is clear, however, that the edition of it which we possess is not earlier than the time of Diocletian, since the name of the city of Diocletianopolis, which was so called after him, occurs in it, and Perinthus is here called Heraclea—a name which it did not receive until shortly before

¹ v. *supra*, p. 236.

the reign of that emperor. At the same time in its main features it is hardly later than Constantine's era. Thus Cirta in Numidia, which at that time became Constantina, and Ostudizum in Thrace and Antaratud in Phoenicia, which thenceforward were called Nice and Constantia, here appear under their earlier names; and, what is still more important, Constantinople is not treated as the starting-point or terminus of roads in the same way as Rome is, though this was subsequently the case. In one passage, where the distances on the route between Sirmium and Nicomedia are being computed, the great city on the Bosphorus, which was necessarily passed on the way from the one to the other, is not even noticed. Elsewhere it is introduced under the name of Byzantium, that of Constantinopolis being added by a later hand. Mannert, indeed, maintained, that the date of this document was not earlier than 364 A.D., because Mesopotamia is unnoticed in it, and that country first ceased to be a Roman province in that year, when it was ceded by the emperor Jovian to Sapor, king of Persia¹. This omission, however, is equally well explained by supposing that this part of the Itinerary was either lost, or intentionally removed after Mesopotamia had passed out of the hands of the Romans. At the same time, though we may approve the conclusion which has been stated above as to the approximate date of the bulk of the work as we now possess it, points are not wanting which intimate a plurality of authorship and difference in date of composition in certain parts. Thus in some of the lists the distinctive character of the halting-places, according as they were colonies, or garrisons, or villages, is stated, while in others this is not done. A similar irregularity is noticeable in respect of the insertion or omission, at the end of the description of a certain route, of the total of the number of miles which it contains. Again, the same name, when it recurs in different places, is apt to be spelt in different ways. In the account of the roads through Sicily we meet with the entry, *Item a Catina Agrigentum mansionibus nunc institutis*; here the form of expression seems to suggest that it is a later insertion. Finally, the notice of the route through Thrace is introduced out of its natural position, being placed between

Not a completely Homogeneous Document.

¹ Mannert, *Intro. to Tabula Peutingeriana*, p. 7.

those of Egypt and of Asia. Variations such as these are hardly reconcilable with the view that the whole Itinerary belongs to one period; indeed, a certain amount of accretion is only what we should expect in the formation of such a document.

The contents of the Itinerary may be thus briefly summarised.

Its Contents. First the roads throughout the north of Africa are given, reaching from the extremity of Mauretania to Alexandria. Next come those in Corsica, Sardinia, Sicily, and part of Italy. Then follows the whole route from Rome to Hierasycaminus, on the Nile to the southward of Pselcis, which was the limit of the Roman empire in that direction: this passed by way of Pannonia, Moesia, Thrace, Asia Minor, Syria, and Egypt. From Egypt again we are conducted back by the way of Syria, Armenia Minor, and the Balkan peninsula, after which an excursus is made into Italy. The concluding portion treats of the lines of communication in the northern provinces, and westward through Gaul and Spain, ending with Britain at the limit of the Wall of Hadrian. The order here assigned to the countries traversed by these roads is different from that usually found in ancient geographers, but it will be seen that it has a convenience of its own. To students of ancient geography the chief value of such an itinerary consists in its furnishing a more accurate knowledge of the position of towns, especially in the interior of countries, than would otherwise be obtainable.

Another and shorter Itinerary, which is usually regarded as forming a continuation of the one just mentioned, is the *Itinerarium Maritimum*. In the first of the three parts into which this is divided the same method is pursued as in the *Itinerarium Provinciarum*, for it gives the distances of the coast-towns from one another by sea, and measures of the sea-transits (*trajectus*) from one country to another. This is for the most part, though not entirely, confined to the shores of the Mediterranean. As the distances are here computed in stadia, it seems probable that it was originally the work of a Greek, and that the information was drawn from Greek sources, though it was subsequently modified. The second part, which appears to be a fragment of an unfinished work, enumerates in great detail the ports and roadsteads from the Portus Augusti

at the mouth of the Tiber along the coasts of Italy, Liguria, and Gaul to the mouth of the Rhone, and up the course of that river to Arelate. The third part is devoted to the islands. In this the distances are computed either from one island to another, or from an island to the nearest point on the mainland; but in some cases they are omitted altogether.

Another roadbook of the same kind as the Antonine Itinerary, though planned on a smaller scale and for a different purpose, is the Jerusalem Itinerary (*Itinerarium Hierosolymitanum*). This was drawn up in 333 A.D., and was the work of a Christian, being intended for the use of pilgrims on their way from western Europe to Jerusalem. Its starting point is Burdigala (Bordeaux), from which place it passes by Arles, Turin, and Milan to Aquileia, and afterwards by the way of Sirmium and Sardica to Constantinople. On the further side of the Bosphorus it is continued across Asia Minor by Ancyra to Tarsus, and finally by Antioch to Jerusalem. Two supplementary routes are added for the return journey—one from Heraclea (Perinthus) on the Propontis, where the road diverges from that previously given, to Rome, by Thessalonica and the line of the Egnatian Way, crossing the Adriatic from Avlona to Otranto; the other from Rome to Milan by Ariminum. One feature in which this Itinerary differs from the Antonine is that, while the other has no comments, geographical or otherwise, appended to the names of places, in the Jerusalem Itinerary these are occasionally introduced. Thus of Viminacium in Moesia, on the Danube, we are told (not quite accurately) that it was the place *ubi Diocletianus occidit Carinum*; of Tyana it is said, *inde fuit Apollonius magus*; and of Tarsus, *inde fuit apostolus Paulus*. These remarks are few and far between in the earlier part of the route; but when Palestine is reached, as might be expected, they become more numerous. The account of Jerusalem itself and the sites in its neighbourhood has a peculiar interest, because it is the earliest description which we possess of the Holy Places. The document in general is useful because it mentions numerous minor stations—whether post-stations for changing horses (*mutationes*) or night-quarters (*mansiones*)—which are omitted in the Antonine Itinerary. This arose from the pilgrims belonging to a

The Jerusalem Itinerary.

poorer class, and therefore travelling more slowly, than the state officials, for whom in the first instance the Roman Itineraries were intended¹.

The *Tabula Peutingeriana*, which is our sole existing representative of the *Itineraria picta*, received its name from Conrad Peutinger, a scholar of the first half of the sixteenth century, to whom it was bequeathed by his friend Conrad Celtes, having been previously purchased by him. This original is now in the imperial library at Vienna, but it has several times been copied and edited, the most important editions being that of Mannert (Leipzig, 1824), to which a valuable introduction is prefixed, and that of Desjardins (Paris, 1869, &c.), an elaborate and sumptuous work, which is still unfinished. This map represents, not merely the Roman empire, but the world as known to the Romans, extending from the mouth of the Ganges towards the east to Spain on the west; in the latter direction, however, it is imperfect, only the south-eastern corner of Britain and a fraction of Spain appearing upon it. As it is 21 feet in length by about one foot wide, thus forming a long strip, it necessarily follows that the shapes of countries and other geographical features are extravagantly distorted: the Mediterranean Sea, for instance, assumes the form of a long canal. This however was a matter of no importance to the author, whose primary object was to trace the lines of roads throughout the empire, marking the stations and the distances. For the same reason the natural objects in each district, such as rivers, lakes and mountain chains, though they are not altogether neglected, are treated as subsidiary. In order to distinguish the various kinds of places a number of different symbols are introduced. Ordinary towns are marked by small houses, while those of unusual importance, such as Aquileia, Thessalonica and Nicomedia, are dignified with a circuit of walls and towers. Great prominence is given in all three continents to the watering-places, which are indicated by a bath-house with a tank in the centre. Important public works are also conspicuously delineated; among these may be mentioned the dike which

¹ On the subject of the Itineraries see Parthey and Pinder's Introduction to their edition of them; also Forbiger, *Handbuch der alten Geographie*, vol. 1. pp. 465—9.

was cut by Marius at the mouth of the Rhone, or Fossa Mariana, the Port of Augustus at Ostia, and the Pharos of Alexandria. The highest distinction is reserved for the three cities of Rome, Constantinople, and Antioch, each of which is represented by a figure seated on a throne, which is inscribed within a circle; but whereas the first and last of these are crowned, the figure of Constantinople wears a plumed helmet. These vignettes are elaborately ornamented, so that, while in other parts of the map six colours are introduced, and these are used to discriminate certain classes of objects, they are all combined in the illuminations of the three cities. The most probable explanation of the prominence which is thus assigned to them is, that the figures, or at least their prototypes, were introduced during the period subsequent to the death of Constantine the Great, when the Roman empire was for a while partitioned between his three sons, Constantine, Constantius and Constans.

The existing copy of the Tabula dates from the thirteenth century, for it was made by a monk of Colmar in 1265; but notwithstanding a few insertions of his own, and numerous misspellings of the names of places, such as Riger for Liger, Igeum for Aegeum, the copyist appears in the main to have faithfully transcribed the older map which he had before him. To him we may probably attribute the introduction of *Mons Oliveti*, which is in close juxtaposition to *antea dicta Herusalem, nunc Helya Capitolina*; and other Scriptural names, such as *Mons Syna*, and *Desertum ubi quadraginta annis erraverunt filii Israel ducente Moyse*. M. Desjardins has also pointed out, that only two forests are represented in the Tabula, viz. that of the Vosges (*silva Vosagus*), and the Black Forest (*silva Marciana*); and that both these would no doubt be visible from the windows of the monastery in Colmar. With regard to the date of composition of the original document it is less easy to make a definite statement. Mannert has adduced strong evidence to shew that it was drawn up in the reign of Alexander Severus (222—235 A.D.), or at least between that time and the end of the third century. Thus (to take one or two points in his argument) it must have been later than the overthrow of the Parthians by the Persians in 226 A.D.,

Its Tran-
scription,

and Probable
Date of Com-
position.

for Parthia is marked merely as a province, while an ample space is assigned to the Persian empire, which extends from Babylonia to India. On the other hand, as Palmyra is introduced, this would seem to imply a time earlier than its destruction by Aurelian (273 A.D.). The same thing may be inferred from the delineation of Dacia, which appears with its cities and roads as it was arranged by Trajan, without any intimation of the withdrawal of the Roman colonists from thence across the Danube by Aurelian. Mannert also notices the great care and accuracy with which the details of Mesopotamia are given, and remarks that this may well be due to the campaign of Alexander Severus in those parts in 232, when he defeated the Persians¹. Still, if we concede this writer's conclusion, it does not necessarily follow that no part of the *Tabula* existed before that time, and that no additions were subsequently made. A closer inspection has led M. Desjardins to believe, that some portions of it can be distinguished as belonging to the epoch of Augustus, and others to other periods—to the reign of Trajan, to the middle of the fourth century, to the year 435 under Theodosius II., and finally to the time of Justinian².

¹ Mannert, *Introd. to Tab. Peut.*, pp. 12—16.

² *Revue Historique*, vol. I. p. 184.

CHAPTER XV.

ESTIMATES OF MOUNTAINS IN ANTIQUITY.

Hadrian's Mountain Ascents—Indistinct Conception of Mountain Summits—Strabo on Alpine Features—Use of Crampons and Tobogganing—Mountains differently viewed by the Ancients and the Moderns—Religious Feeling in Antiquity—Ascents of Etna prompted by Research—Strabo on the Summit of Etna—The Poem of *Ætna*—Ascents of Mount Argæus—Of Tmolus—Ascents for the Sake of the Panorama—Sunrise seen from Mt. Ida—Lucian on a Mountain View—Description of a Mountain Climb—Mountains regarded as Look-out Places—Story of Lynceus—Mountains as Signalling Stations—The Beacon-fires in Aeschylus, probably corresponding to a Real Line of Stations—The Shield at Marathon—Mountain Telegraphy in Thucydides, Xenophon, and Polybius—Development of the Art of Signalling—Estimates of the Heights of Mountains—Scientific Measurement by Dicaearchus, and Xenagoras.

THE mountain ascents which are recorded as having been made by the emperor Hadrian may serve, at the present stage of our subject, as a starting-point for a review of the notices that are found in classical writers of this form of geographical exploration, which has been quaintly, and not unsuitably, called 'vertical advance' in geography, as compared with 'lateral extension.' Hadrian, as we have seen, was much more than a 'tourist monarch,' for the constant journeys in which the greater part of his reign was spent were undertaken by him with the view of making himself personally acquainted with the administration of the provinces, and with the condition of the defences of the empire. At the same time the inquisitiveness of his disposition led him to make use of every opportunity that presented itself of investigating the countries through which he passed, and of noting their peculiarities. From this point of view the summits of high mountains, and the extensive panoramas which they afforded, were naturally attractive to him. His biographer

Hadrian's
Mountain
Ascents.

Spartianus informs us that he ascended Etna to see the sunrise from thence¹, and it has been conjectured that the *Torre del Filosofo*—as the building of Roman construction, the ruins of which still remain high up on the shoulder of that mountain, is called from its supposed connexion with Empedocles—was erected on that occasion to afford a night's lodging to the emperor². From this writer also we learn that Hadrian with the same object in view reached the summit of the Mons Casius in Syria near Antioch and the mouth of the Orontes³. And Arrian tells us, that he made his way to the point which was affirmed by tradition to be that from which Xenophon and his companions first beheld the Euxine after quitting the highlands of Armenia⁴.

In speaking of the ideas entertained by the ancients with reference to mountain ascents, it is well to remember that an accurate appreciation of what constitutes a summit is a thing of comparatively recent growth. At a time when peaks were not regarded as objects to be studied for their own sake, it was not unnatural that the highest point that was usually accessible in a chain should not be distinguished in name, or ordinarily even in thought, from the true summits; and thus it happened that the top of a pass was commonly spoken of as if it were the top of the mountain which that pass traversed. This mode of thought prevailed, not only in antiquity, but to a great extent also in modern times, until the establishment of Alpine clubs and the development of the art of mountaineering caused more accurate notions to prevail. When regarded from this point of view, the passage of the Pylæ Persicæ by Alexander after the battle of Arbela, and his crossing the Paropamisus on his way to Bactria, were mountain

¹ Spart., *Hadrianus*, 13. 3: Post in Siciliam navigavit, in qua Aetnam montem conscendit, ut solis ortum videret arcus specie, ut dicitur, varium.

² See Friedländer, *Sittengeschichte Roms*, vol. 2, p. 203. The references on the subject of mountain ascents in antiquity which are given in the following pages are largely taken from that volume.

³ Spart. *op. cit.*, 14. 3: In monte Casio, cum videndi solis ortus gratia nocte ascendisset, imbre orto fulmen decidens hostiam et victimarium sacrificanti adflavit.

⁴ Arrian, *Periplus*, 1. Addressing the emperor, Arrian says, καὶ τὴν μὲν θάλασσαν τὴν τοῦ Εὐξείνου ἄσματοι κατεῖδομεν, δθεν περ καὶ Ξενοφὼν ἐκεῖνος καὶ σὺ.

ascents ; and still more so was the achievement of Hannibal in leading an army over the Alps. It was by these experiences, at all events, and by the knowledge acquired in the course of the construction of the mountain roads, that the features of Alpine scenery came to be familiar. The clearest description of these that occurs in any ancient writer is found in Strabo's account of Alpine passes. Speaking of the tribes in those parts he says :—

‘Some of them have been exterminated, and others have been completely civilised, so that the passes of the mountain-chain through their territory, which formerly were few and difficult, now lead from every quarter, and are safe from attack, and have been rendered easy, as far as may be, by the engineering works. For Augustus Caesar, besides putting down brigandage, constructed roads to the best of his ability ; for it is not possible everywhere to overcome nature in traversing rocks and prodigious precipices, some of which overhang the track, while others descend beneath it, so that but a slight deviation involves inevitable danger, since the fall is into unfathomable ravines. Indeed, in some parts the road is narrow enough to cause giddiness to foot-passengers, and to their beasts of burden too, if they are unaccustomed to it, though the native packhorses convey their burdens in security. It is impossible to provide against these risks, and against the huge layers of ice which slide down from above, with such force as to cut off a whole company, and carry them along with them into the gorges beneath. For there are numerous layers one on the top of the other, because the *névé* is converted into ice again and again, and those on the surface are easily detached from those within before they are completely melted in the sunlight’¹

Strabo on
Alpine
Features.

The same geographer, in a passage derived from Theophanes of Mytilene, the scientific companion of Pompey in his Mithridatic campaigns, describes the mountaineering habits of the natives of some parts of the Caucasus, who wore crampons and practised a kind of tobogganing.

Use of
Crampons and
Tobogganing.

‘The summits of the range,’ we are told, ‘are inaccessible in the winter-time, but in the summer men make their way thither

¹ Strabo, 4. 6. 6.

shod with flat plates of untanned ox-hide, like timbrels, furnished with spikes on account of the ice and snow. They make the descent by lying on skins together with their property, and sliding down; the same thing is done in the part of Media called Atropatene, and in Mount Masius in Armenia, but there they also place beneath the hides small wooden wheels furnished with spikes¹.

A crampon, resembling that which is described in this passage, was found not long ago in an ancient grave near Vladikavkas at the northern foot of the Caucasus, and was brought to England by Mr Douglas Freshfield, who describes it as being 'very similar to the crampons depicted by De Saussure as worn 100 years ago by the natives of Chamonix, when they wanted to go over the glaciers of Mont Blanc².' The wheels, which were said to have been used for tobogganing, probably correspond to those that were attached to the 'cyclopedes,' by the help of which—as we learn from Theophanes the Byzantine historian—Leo the Isaurian, the future emperor of Constantinople, crossed the snows of the Caucasus in the spring-time³. We hear of tobogganing again in Plutarch's *Life of Marius*, where he describes the Cimbri as shewing off in the presence of the enemy, by placing their shields underneath them, and letting themselves be carried down steep places, where there were slides and openings in the cliffs⁴.

To return, however, to the question from which we originally started of the confusion between the highest accessible and the highest actual points, it is noticeable that this prevailed to a greater extent among the Romans than among the Greeks, on account of the greater individuality of structure of the mountains in the country inhabited by the last-named people. This was the case, not only with many of the higher peaks, such as Ossa, Cyllene and Taygetus, but with those which attained a lower

¹ Strabo, 11. 5. 6.

² *R. Geogr. Society's Magazine*, vol. 12, p. 463.

³ Theoph. p. 604, ed. Bonn.: ὁ σπαθάριος.....ὑπερβὰς μετὰ κυκλοπῶδων Μαίον μηνὸς τὰς χιόνας τῶν Καυκασίων.

⁴ Plut. *Marius*, c. 23: ἀνωθεν δὲ τοὺς θυρεοὺς πλατεῖς ὑποτιθέντες τοῖς σώμασιν, εἰτα ἀφιέντες αὐτοὺς ὑπεφέροντο κατὰ κρημνῶν ὀλισθήματα καὶ λισσάδας ἀχαιεῖς ἐχόντων.

elevation, like Ithome, Maenalus, and the Acrocorinth. The sight of these was a continual object-lesson to remind the beholder what was meant by the real summit of a mountain.

The feelings also which were inspired by mountains in antiquity were different from those which are associated with them in modern times. The sentimental and romantic ideas with which we invest them are a growth of late years, and when such impressions are mentioned in connexion with external nature, especially among the Romans, they are almost always introduced with reference to pleasant and gentle, and not to wild, scenery. Thus Cicero, speaking of the influence which habit exercises over men, remarks—as if it was altogether an exception to the general rule—that we take pleasure even in mountainous and wooded regions, if we have dwelt a long time in them¹. And again, when he makes his friend Atticus sing the praises of the island in the river Fibrenus, a tributary of the Liris, in the neighbourhood of which Cicero had a villa, he represents him as saying that he had been agreeably disappointed in it, for he had expected to find there nothing but mountains and rocks². Virgil, indeed, in a fine simile, compares Aeneas exulting in the prospect of battle with the grandeur of the mountains—‘great as Athos, great as Eryx, or as father Apennine himself, when he roars with his gleaming oak-forests, and rejoices in lifting his snowy summit to the skies’³; but this passage is almost unique in the poet’s works; and in the Georgics, when he celebrates the numerous points in which the superiority of Italy over other lands consists, he says not a word about its mountains⁴.

Mountains
differently
viewed by the
Ancients and
the Moderns.

But while the charm of romance, which has attached itself so strongly to solitary peaks during the present century, was wanting in ancient times, its place was taken by the feeling of awe, which gathered round them, and pointed them out as suitable places for the worship of the divinities.

Religious
Feeling in
Antiquity.

¹ *De Amicit.* § 68: cum locis ipsis delectemur, montuosis etiam et silvestribus, in quibus diutius commorati sumus.

² *De Legg.* § 2: nihil enim his in locis nisi saxa et montes cogitabam.

³ *Aen.* 12. 701—3.

⁴ *Georg.* 2. 136 foll.

Not vainly did the early Persian make
 His altar the high places, and the peak
 Of earth-o'ergazing mountains, and thus take
 A fit and unwall'd temple, there to seek
 The Spirit, in whose honour shrines are weak,
 Uprear'd of human hands¹.

Thus wrote Byron, and his words seem like an echo of those of Herodotus, who says of the Persians, 'their custom is to ascend to the highest mountain-tops, and there offer sacrifices to Zeus, calling by that name the whole vault of heaven'. Among the Greeks there is ample evidence of the same form of observance. Thus, to take three instances from different parts of the land which they inhabited: on the summit of Mount Atabyrion, the highest and most central mountain in Rhodes (4070 feet), there remain the foundations of a temple of grey limestone dedicated to Zeus, of whom Pindar speaks as 'holding sway on the ridges of Atabyrion'. Mela mentions altars as existing on the peak of Athos⁴ (6350 feet); and for this reason, it would seem, Aeschylus calls that summit 'the Athoan height sacred to Zeus'. On the highest point of Lycaenum in Arcadia, also (4695 feet), there was an altar; and on a somewhat lower peak of the same mountain, which was known as the Sacred Summit, stood a grove and altar of Zeus Lycaeus, together with a hippodrome and stadium, where games called Lycaea were celebrated in honour of that God. The love of 'high places,' as has often been remarked, has been perpetuated in a striking manner in Greece during Christian times, and this is true in particular of all three of the spots which have just been mentioned. The site of the temple on Atabyrion was afterwards occupied by a chapel of St John the Evangelist; the summit of Athos is the scene of the festival of the Transfiguration, which is observed on the sixth of August; and a not less remarkable celebration takes place on Mount Lycaenum on

¹ Byron, *Childe Harold* 3. 351—6.

² Herod. 1. 131.

³ Pind. *Ol.* 7. 159—161: ὃ Ζεὺ πάτερ, πύρροιαν Ἀταβύριον μεδέων.

⁴ Mela, 2. 2. 31: Atho mons adeo altus est, ut credatur altius etiam quam unde imbres cadunt surgere. Capit opinio fidem, quia de aris quas in vertice sustinet non abluitur cinis, sed quo relinquitur aggere manet.

⁵ Aesch. *Ag.* 285: Ἀθων αἶρος Ζηνοί.

the twentieth of July. The last-named peak is now called after the prophet Elijah, to whom, under the name of Hagios Elias, the great majority of the high mountains in Greece are dedicated.

Of the other motives besides religious feeling which prompted visits to mountain-tops in antiquity, inquisitiveness perhaps is the most prominent, whether taking the form of mere curiosity or of scientific research.

Ascents of
Etna
prompted by
Research.

It is in this strain that Seneca writes about Etna (10,874 feet) to his friend Lucilius, who was procurator in Sicily, and whom he had already requested to investigate for him the currents of Charybdis. 'When you have given me your answer on these points,' he says, 'I shall make bold to give you a further commission, namely, that you should do me the favour of making the ascent of Aetna; for persons argue that the mountain is wasting and gradually sinking, because at one time it used to be visible to mariners from a greater distance than at present. Now the reason of this may be, not the diminution of the height of the mountain, but because its flames are not seen, being emitted with less force and volume; and that would account too for the smoke being more slack in the day-time. Still, there is nothing incredible in either supposition—in the mountain which is being consumed lessening from day to day, or in the fire abating; for this is not generated of itself, but overflows after it has been ignited in some depression in the lower regions, and gets its aliment from elsewhere: what the mountain itself provides is not a supply of fuel, but a passage¹.'

A similar account of the object with which ascents of Etna were undertaken is found in the following interesting passage of Strabo relating to that mountain.

Strabo on
the Summit
of Etna.

'Near Centuripa is the small town of Aetna just mentioned, which is the halting and starting place for those who make the ascent of the mountain, for there the upland district commences. Now the elevated parts are bare and cindery, and are snow-covered in the winter-time, while those below are diversified with oak-forests and a variety of growths. But the summits of Aetna seem to undergo numerous changes owing to the fire

¹ Sen. *Ep.* 79. 2, 3.

distributing itself, since at one time it converges towards a single crater, and at another time it is parted, and sometimes sends forth lava-streams, at other times flames and smoke, and then again ejects red-hot masses; and of necessity the underground passages, too, correspond in their changes to these movements, and so do the vents, the number of which at times increases on the surface of the mountain all round. The account which we received from those who had recently made the ascent was as follows. They found at the top a level plain about twenty stadia in circumference, enclosed by a ridge of ashes as high as a wall, so that those who desired to advance into the plain had to leap down; and in the midst of this they saw a hill of ashen colour, in which respect it resembled the surface of the plain, and over the hill a column of cloud rising steadily—for there was no wind—to the height of about 200 feet, which they compared to smoke. Two of their number ventured to advance into the plain, but when the sand on which they trod became increasingly warm and deep, they returned without having any further account to give of what was to be seen than those had who observed them from a distance. It was from some such appearance, they thought, that many stories had arisen, especially what was reported of Empedocles, that he leapt down into the crater, and left there in evidence of his fate one of the bronze sandals which he wore; for this was found outside at a short distance from the rim of the crater, as if it had been thrown up by the violence of the fire. But these must have been fancies, for it was impossible either to approach the spot or to view it, and they did not conceive that any object could even be thrown down there owing to the opposing force of the winds ascending from below, and to the heat which would naturally meet them before they came near the crater's mouth; and if it were cast down, it would be destroyed before it could be thrown up again in the same condition. No doubt, there might be a temporary cessation of the currents of air and jets of fire, when the material which produced them failed; yet the change would not be so great or continue so long, as to admit of a man's approaching the place. The part of the coast nearest to Aetna is that from the Sicilian strait to Catana, but the mountain also over-

looks that which faces the Tyrrhenian sea and the Lipari islands. By night bright jets of flame may be seen emerging from the summit, but in the day-time it is covered by clouds and smoke¹.

The poem of *Aetna*, which has sometimes been attributed to Seneca's friend, Lucilius, describes the mountain almost entirely from the scientific point of view, and illustrates many of the points which are referred to in Strabo's narrative; especially the small cone of eruption in the middle of the great crater², and the cloud which rises vertically from it, and is said to 'look down from on high on the work going on within the vast receptacle³.'

The Poem
of *Aetna*.

In the account which is given by Strabo of a still higher mountain than Etna, Mount Argaeus in Cappadocia (13,150 feet), he seems to imply that it was ascended for the sake of the view. Speaking of the city of Mazaca, which was also called 'Eusebeia by Argaeus,' he remarks, 'It lies beneath Mount Argaeus, which is the highest mountain of all, and has perpetual snow on its upper parts; and those who make the ascent (though but few do so) say that from these on cloudless days both the seas, that of Pontus and that of Issus, are visible.' He then proceeds to notice the volcanic character of the mountain. 'At a little distance from the town there are plains with igneous soil, full of burning hollows for the distance of many stadia, so that the necessities of life have to be brought from afar, and what seems to be an advantage brings danger in its train; for, whereas there are hardly any trees elsewhere in Cappadocia, Argaeus has a belt of oak-forest, so that wood can be procured close at hand, but there are numerous fiery spots even in the region below the forest, and other places have cold water beneath, though neither the fire nor the water emerge, so that the greater part is covered with verdure; and

Ascents of
Mount
Argaeus.

¹ Strabo, 6. 2. 8.

² *Aetna*, v. 182; *penitusque os erigit ultra*.

³ *vv.* 332—6:

Quamvis caeruleo siccus Jove fulgeat aether
Purpureoque rubens surgat jubar aureus ostro,
Illinc obscura semper caligine nubes
Pigraque defuso circumstupet humida vultu,
Prospectans sublimis opus vastosque receptus.

here and there the surface is marshy, and by night jets of inflammable gas proceed from it. Thus, while those familiar with the neighbourhood take precautions when they are wood-cutting, the majority are exposed to risk, and this is especially the case with the beasts of burden, which fall into hidden pits

of fire¹. In another passage of the same writer
 Of Tmolus.

there is a description of a sort of belvedere, constructed on one of the summits of Mount Tmolus in Lydia, which appears to have been a legacy from the time of the Persian occupation of the country. 'Above Sardis rises Tmolus, a fertile mountain, which has on its ridge a look-out place, consisting of an arcade of white stone, the work of the Persians, from which the surrounding plains are in view, and especially that of the Cayster².' The geographer himself scaled the Acrocorinth, and viewed the panorama from it, which is one of the finest and most interesting in Greece. Of this he introduced a description into his work, but unfortunately it has reached us in a mutilated condition³.

Towards the conclusion of this survey of mountain ascents we have once more met with the same motive for undertaking them by which, as we saw at starting, Hadrian was influenced—namely the desire of obtaining a panorama over a widely extended tract of country. In Livy we find a curious account of an expedition which was made by Philip V. of Macedon to the highest peak of the Haemus range, with the object of reconnoitring from thence in connexion with the war which he had in hand against the Romans, because it was widely believed that it commanded a view over the Danube and the Alps, and both the Adriatic and the Euxine seas. Three days, we are told, were occupied by the king and his companions in ascending from the foot of the mountains to the summit. With regard to the view the historian cautiously remarks, that after their return they said

Ascents
for the Sake
of the
Panorama.

¹ Strabo, 12. 2. 7.

² Strabo, 13. 4. 5; ὑπερκείται δὲ τῶν Σαρδείων ὁ Τμῶλος, εὐδαιμον ὄρος, ἐν τῇ ἀκρωρεῖα σκοπὴν ἔχον, ἐξέδραν λευκοῦ λίθου, Περσῶν ἔργον, ἀφ' οὗ κατοπτεύεται τὰ κύκλῳ πεδία, καὶ μάλιστα τὸ Καῦστριανόν.

³ 8. 6. 21.

nothing in contradiction to the traditional view, but that this was, in all probability, rather to save themselves from being laughed at for the fruitlessness of their enterprise, than because it was possible to see from one point seas and mountains and rivers so far distant from one another¹. As the district from which they originally started was about the head-waters of the Axius, and it took them seven days to reach the base of Haemus, we may conclude that the point intended was towards the middle of the range. Strabo contradicts the statement about the two seas being visible, attributing it to Polybius, who was probably the original authority²; but such fancies are not easily extinguished, and the same idea reappears at a later time in Mela³. We have already noticed the similar belief with regard to Argæus, and here the mistake probably arose from a misconception as to the width of the eastern part of Asia Minor, which was regarded as an isthmus both by Herodotus and Strabo, and by the former of these writers was estimated as only five days' distance across for a vigorous walker⁴. A glance at the map will show that the space of country and the intervening mountain chains render this impossible, and the testimony of modern travellers who have made the ascent is to the same effect⁵.

Two passages remain to be mentioned, in which the habit of ascending mountains seems to be indirectly referred to. One of these, which is found in Diodorus, is a description of a phenomenon which was said to be visible in the summer time from the summit of the Trojan Ida. 'A
Sunrise seen
from Mt. Ida.
strange and peculiar occurrence,' the historian

¹ Livy, 40. 21, 22; Tertio demum die ad verticem perventum. Nihil vulgatae opinioni degressi inde detraxerunt, magis, credo, ne vanitas itineris ludibrio esset, quam quod diversa inter se maria montesque et amnes ex uno loco conspici potuerint.

² Strabo, 7. 5. 1: τὸ Αἶμον....., ἀφ' οὗ φησι Πολύβιος ἀμφοτέρας καθορᾶσθαι τὰς θαλάσσας, οὐκ ἀληθὴ λέγων.

³ Mela, 2. 2. 17; e quis Haemos in tantum altitudinis abit, ut Euxinum et Hadrian ex summo vertice ostendat.

⁴ Herod. 1. 72; ἔστι δὲ αὐτὴν οὗτος τῆς χώρας ταύτης ἀπάσης· μῆκος ὁδοῦ, εὐζώνῳ ἀνδρὶ πέντε ἡμέραι ἀναισιμούνται. Strabo, 11. 1. 7: ὁ διείργων ἰσθμὸς τὴν τε Ποντικὴν καὶ τὴν Κιλικίαν θάλασσαν.

⁵ See the author's *Turkish Armenia*, p. 126.

remarks, 'is wont to happen in connexion with this mountain. On the summit of the peak, about the time of the rising of the dogstar, owing to the stillness of the surrounding atmosphere the highest point is far above the current of the winds, and while it is still night the sun is seen to rise, emitting its rays not in a spherical form, but so that its brilliancy is dispersed in various directions, with the appearance of a number of flames striking the horizon. After a while these contract into a single area, until they cover a space of three hundred feet, and at last when the daylight has spread, the disk of the sun fully manifests itself, and imparts to day its wonted character'.¹ The process of change which is here depicted in somewhat inflated language is not altogether easy to explain, but the description seems to have been suggested by something which was seen from a lofty ridge. The height of Ida is about 5,000 feet. A humorous notice of a panorama viewed from a mountain summit

Lucian on
a Mountain
View.

is found in the Dialogue of Lucian which is entitled *Contemplantes* or 'The Sight-seers.' In this, Charon, who has been allowed a day's holiday from his usual occupation as ferry-man of the dead, requests Hermes, on the strength of their common interest in introducing the shades to the lower regions, to act as his *cicerone* to explain to him the unfamiliar sights of the world of the living, with which he was only acquainted through the grief for the loss of former enjoyments manifested by those who made the passage in his boat. When Hermes consented to this, it was agreed that a high mountain would be a suitable point from which to take a survey of the earth; and accordingly, following the suggestion of Homer, they pile Ossa and Pelion on Olympus. The mass thus formed, however, proved too low for their purpose, so they proceeded to add Oeta and Parnassus also, and took their seats respectively on the two summits of the last-named mountain. In this detail, we may remark, Lucian betrays the influence of Roman poetry, for when the Greek poets spoke of the 'twin peaks' of Parnassus, they meant the two cliffs which rise above Delphi, and it was only through misinterpretation on the part of the Romans that it came to be thought that the mountain itself

¹ Diodor. 17. 7. 4—7.

had more than one summit. However, from this point of vantage the two divinities study the lives and fortunes of mankind, until Charon—egotistically, as it might seem to some, but here lies the moral or sarcasm of the story—concludes with remarking on the small space which he, Charon—or, as we might say, Death—seems to occupy in the thoughts of those who play their parts on this stage. Before leaving the earth, the climbers, from fear of punishment, if not from a sense of propriety, replace in their original positions the mountains which they had removed in order to facilitate their ascent and extend their prospect.

The following unusually careful description of a mountain climb deserves to be quoted from Sallust. The scene of it was a Numidian fort, situated on a precipitous rock, which Marius was besieging.

Description
of a Mountain
Climb.

‘After spending much time and toil on the attempt, Marius seriously debated in his mind, whether he should give it up as hopeless, or wait for the chances of fortune, which had so often favoured him. Now while he was thinking this over undecidedly for several days and nights, it chanced that a Ligurian, a common soldier of the auxiliary cohorts, who had left the camp to fetch water, at no great distance from that side of the fort which faced in the opposite direction to the combatants, noticed snails crawling among the rocks; and as he was picking first one or two, and afterwards more of these, gradually, being absorbed in his occupation, he made his way almost to the top of the mountain. Now when he found that the place was deserted, he proceeded to examine it, with the usual desire of the human mind to investigate the unknown. It happened that just there a great holm-oak had sprung up among the rocks; this at first inclined downwards, but afterwards bent round and rose upwards, as is the way with growing things: so the Ligurian soldier, supporting himself sometimes on its boughs, sometimes on jutting rocks, took a survey of the level of the fort, all the Numidians being intent on watching the combatants. When he had examined every point which he thought might afterwards be serviceable, he returned the same way, not in the random manner in which he had ascended, but testing and scrutinising everything. Thereupon he at once betook himself to Marius, and after telling him what he

had done, urged him to assail the fort from the side by which he had ascended, and undertook to shew the way and to be foremost in the danger.

'Marius sent some of those about him with the Ligurian to examine his proposal; and these reported the matter as easy or difficult according to their prepossessions. Still, the consul's interest in it was to some degree aroused; and accordingly he chose five of the most active of his force of trumpeters and hornblowers, accompanied by four centurions to act as guards, and placed them all under the command of the Ligurian, appointing the following day for the adventure. When the time agreed upon arrived, he set out for the place with everything prepared and in order. Those, however, who were to make the ascent, according to the instructions of their leader had put off their arms and equipment, baring their heads and feet, so as to have a freer view and a firmer foothold among the rocks, and carrying on their backs their swords and shields; but the latter were Numidian bucklers made of leather, both for the sake of lightness, and in order that when they struck any object they might give out less sound. So the Ligurian went in front, and fastened nooses round the rocks and any old roots that jutted out, that by the help of these the soldiers might climb more easily. From time to time he gave them a hand, if they were discouraged by so unusual a mode of progress, and when the gradient was steeper than usual, he sent them on one by one in front of him without their arms, and then followed bearing them. Where the ground appeared untrustworthy, he shewed the example of testing it, and by frequently going up and down the same way, and now and then on the sudden varying the route, inspired the rest with confidence. In this way, with much expense of time and labour, they reached the fort, which was undefended on that side!'

**Mountains
regarded as
Look-out
Places.**

If any additional proof be required, beyond that which has been already given, of the frequency with which mountains were ascended in antiquity—at least at an early period—for the sake of the views which they commanded, it may be found in the recurrent

¹ *Sall. Bell. Jugurth.* 93, 94: cp. *The Alpine Journal*, vol. II. pp. 180, 181.

use, both in Greek and Latin, of the words for 'a look-out place' (σκοπιά, *specula*) to signify 'a mountain height.' In the *Iliad* we meet with two similes in which this occurs:—"as when a goatherd from a place of outlook seeth a cloud coming across the deep before the blast of the west wind"¹; and again—"as far as a man seeth with his eyes into the haze of distance as he sitteth on a place of outlook and ga eth over the wine-dark sea²." In the *Odyssey*, also, Ulysses says—"I went up a craggy hill, a place of outlook³." And Simonides speaks of the summits of Cithaeron as "lonely watch-towers⁴." The Latin word is similarly used in Virgil, though this usually occurs in passages where he is imitating the Greek poets. Thus in the *Bucolics* he makes the despairing lover say—"I will fling myself headlong into the waves from the watch-tower of a soaring mountain summit"⁵; and in the *Aeneid* Turnus when he goes to encounter Pallas is compared to "a lion, that from a lofty place of outlook hath caught sight of a bull which stands afar off on the plains contemplating fight⁶." Again, in a picturesque passage in the same poem, where a mountain glen has just been described, we are told that "above it, in the midst of the watch-towers which form the summit of a mountain, there lies a table-land withdrawn from view⁷." The same thing appears in legend in the story of Lynceus (the

¹ *Il.* 4. 275, 6;

ὥς δ' ἔτ' ἀπὸ σκοπιῆς εἶδεν νέφος αἰπύλοιο ἀνὴρ
ἐρχόμενον κατὰ πόντον ὑπὸ Ζεφύροιο ἰωῆς.

² *Il.* 5. 770, 771;

ὅσσον δ' ἡρωεῖδῃς ἀνὴρ ἔδεν ὀφθαλμοῖσιν
ἥμενος ἐν σκοπιῇ, λεύσσω ἐπὶ αἰότα πόντον.

³ *Od.* 10. 97;

ἔστην δὲ σκοπὴν εἰς παιταλόεσσαν ἀνελθών.

⁴ Simon. 130; Κιθαιρώνες τ' οἰονόμοι σκοπιαί.

⁵ *Ecl.* 8. 59;

Praecepta aërii specula de montis in undas
Deferar.

⁶ *Aen.* 10. 454;

Utque leo, specula cum vidit ab alta
Stare procul campis meditantem in praelia taurum.

⁷ *ibid.* 11. 526, 7;

Hanc super, in speculis summoque in vertice montis
Planities ignota jacet.

'lynx-eyed' man), who was noted for his power of sight in distinguishing distant objects. By Pindar he is represented as watching from the summit of Taygetus, 'for of all men on the face of the earth he had the keenest eye'¹; and elsewhere we are told that 'he went to Taygetus, trusting to his speed of foot, and climbed to the summit, where he overlooked the whole island of Pelops the son of Tantalus'. That mountain, as being the highest in the Peloponnese and rising in the immediate vicinity of Sparta, was considered to be the natural point of view for a panorama in that neighbourhood; hence Aristophanes in the *Lysistrata* makes the Lacedaemonian woman say that she would mount to the top of Taygetus to get a sight of peace². Of Lynceus it is further related that, when the daughters of Danaus at Argos by the desire of their father slew their husbands in one night, and Lynceus only was spared through the fidelity of his wife Hypermnestra, he escaped to Lyrceia, a town seven miles to the north-westward of Argos, and from the hill on which it was built displayed a burning torch, to certify to her that he had reached a place of safety. She in return, according to their agreement, shewed a corresponding signal from the Larissa, or lofty citadel of Argos, in token that she also had escaped from danger³. This story may serve to introduce to our notice another and more practical aspect from which mountains were regarded in ancient times, viz. as signalling stations.

The passage which most readily suggests itself to the mind of a scholar in this connexion is the famous description in the *Agamemnon* of Aeschylus of the line of fire-beacons by which the poet imagines Agamemnon to have transmitted to Clytaemnestra at Argos the news of the capture of Troy.

Mountains
as Signalling
Stations.

The Beacon-
fires in Ae-
schylus, 'From Ida's top Hephaestus, lord of fire,
Sent forth his sign; and on, and ever on,
Beacon to beacon sped the courier flame.

¹ Pind. *Nem.* 10. 61—3.

² Stasinus, *Cypria*, in Düntzer, *Die Fragmente der epischen Poesie der Griechen bis zur Zeit Alexanders des Grossen*, p. 13.

³ Aristoph. *Lysist.* 117, 118.

⁴ Pausan., 2. 25. 4.

From Ida to the crag, that Hermes loves,
Of Lemnos; thence unto the steep sublime
Of Athos, throne of Zeus, the broad blaze flared.
Thence, raised aloft to shoot across the sea,
The moving light, rejoicing in its strength,
Sped from the pyre of pine, and urged its way,
In golden glory, like some strange new sun,
Onward, and reached Macistus' watching heights.
There, with no dull delay nor heedless sleep,
The watcher sped the tidings on in turn,
Until the guard upon Messapius' peak
Saw the far flame gleam on Euripus' tide,
And from the high-piled heap of withered furze
Lit the new sign and bade the message on.
Then the strong light, far-flown and yet undimmed,
Shot thro' the sky above Asopus' plain,
Bright as the moon, and on Cithaeron's crag
Aroused another watch of flying fire.
And there the sentinels no whit disowned
But sent redoubled on, the hest of flame—
Swift shot the light, above Gorgopis' bay,
To Aegiplanctus' mount; and bade the peak
Fail not the onward ordinance of fire.
And like a long beard streaming in the wind,
Full-fed with fuel, roared and rose the blaze,
And onward flaring, gleamed above the cape,
Beneath which shimmers the Saronic bay,
And thence leapt light unto Arachne's peak,
The mountain watch that looks upon our town.
Thence to th' Atrides' roof—in lineage fair,
A bright posterity of Ida's fire.
So sped from stage to stage, fulfilled in turn,
Flame after flame, along the course ordained,
And lo! the last to speed upon its way
Sights the end first, and glows unto the goal.¹

The stations of the fire-beacons which are mentioned in this passage are (1) Mt. Ida, to the southward of the Plain of Troy (5750 ft.); (2) Mt. Hermaeus, the north-eastern promontory of Lemnos; (3) Mt. Athos, the most conspicuous point in the north of the Aegean (6350 ft.); (4) Mt. Macistus in the north-west of Euboea (3967 ft.); (5) Mt. Messapius, on the coast of Boeotia opposite Chalcis (3363 ft.); (6) Mt. Cithaeron, which separates that

¹ Aesch. *Ag.* 281—314 (Morshead's translation).

country from Attica (4629 ft.); (7) Mt. Aegiplanctus, in the range of Geraneia to the northward of the Isthmus of Corinth (3465 ft.); and, finally, (8) Mt. Arachnaeus in Argolis, which is visible from Argos (3934 ft.). All these, with the exception of the Mons Hermacus in Lemnos, which was chosen on account of its intermediate position between Ida and Athos, are conspicuous summits; and it will be seen that the line of beacon-fires which were lighted upon them traversed the northern and western sides of the Aegean. The points thus chosen are visible, successively, one from the other. The longest interval is that from Athos to Macistus; but this is considerably less than that between Samothrace and Pelion or Scyros, both which places can clearly be seen from the former island¹. It has also been proved that a fire-beacon can be seen by the naked eye at a much greater distance than that here implied². The whole description in Aeschylus is, no doubt, imaginary; but the choice of the stations was determined by the knowledge of the writer's own time, and all this geographical detail would hardly have been given, had the audience not been supposed to be in some degree acquainted with it. That they were so follows almost necessarily from the fact that the islands of Imbros and Lemnos, which lay in the remotest part of this area, had for some time been in the possession of the Athenians, and were in constant communication with Athens. It is, moreover, by no means improbable that a line of signalling stations, probably corresponding to a Real Line of Stations, corresponding more or less closely to that of which we are speaking, was actually used before this date. Herodotus, in his account of the commencement of the campaign of Mardonius in Greece which ended in the battle of Plataea, states that one of the chief causes which rendered that general anxious to capture Athens a second time was, that he might be able to report to Xerxes

¹ See the author's *Islands of the Aegean*, p. 348.

² See the late Mr A. C. Merriam's paper on 'Telegraphing among the Ancients,' p. 26, in the *Papers of the Archaeological Institute of America*; Classical Series, III. No. 1. The present writer is indebted to this valuable essay for most of the information on that subject which is given in the following paragraphs.

at Sardis by means of fire-beacons by way of the islands that he was in possession of that city¹. Now it has been justly remarked that the line of communication here intended could not have been the direct one across the Aegean through the Cyclades, since that was not at this time in the hands of the Persians, because after the battle of Salamis the Greeks were masters of the sea². It is probable, therefore, that the elevated positions which were then used lay in the neighbourhood of the land-route by which the Persians had advanced into Greece; and from that point of view none would be so convenient as a line which passed from Boeotia by way of Athos and Lemnos to the coast of Asia Minor.

We may now proceed to notice some other instances of the employment of mountain heights as stations for signalling. The first of these that occurs in Greek history is the graphic incident, which is narrated in the story of the battle of Marathon, of the shield which was displayed on that occasion as a signal to the Persians—probably from the summit of Pentelicus, a position which was admirably suited for such a purpose³. Herodotus, who is our informant on this subject, mentions the rumour which was current in his time with regard to it, that it was a treasonable act on the part of the Alcmaeonidae, who were supposed to be in collusion with the enemies of their country. This charge he himself regarded as unfounded, and at the present day the opinion of the learned seems to incline towards the same view. It is quite possible that this point of vantage had been occupied by a detachment of the Persians, who by means of a shield, the surface of which flashed in the sunlight, communicated some intelligence to their comrades. On this subject, however, the historian gives us no definite information; but with regard to the shield having been employed in some way as a signal—and this for our present purpose is the important point—he says there was no doubt whatsoever⁴.

In Thucydides, again, we meet with an instance of mountain telegraphy in connexion with an incident

The Shield
at Marathon.

Mountain
Telegraphy in
Thucydides,

¹ Herod. 9. 3.

² Rawlinson, note on Herodotus *ad loc.*; Meiriam, *op. cit.*, p. 4.

³ Herod. 6. 115.

⁴ Herod. 6. 115, 121, 124.

of the Peloponnesian war, when the Lacedaemonians in 429 B.C. had planned an attack on the Piraeus by sea, taking Nisaea the port of Megara as their starting-point. This project they failed to accomplish, either through faint-heartedness, or—as they themselves asserted by way of excuse—owing to an unfavourable wind; and instead, they made a descent on Salamis and ravaged that island. In order to convey the intelligence of this to Athens (we are told), fire-signals of an enemy's approach were displayed¹; and the position of these, there can be little doubt, was on the north-eastern heights of the island, which are in view from the

Acropolis. Xenophon also mentions that in 367 B.C.

Xenophon, the city of Phlius, which lies in the upland country that intervenes between the territory of Sicyon and the Argive plain, was attacked by a hostile force aided by some exiles from their own state; but that the citizens were on their guard, having been forewarned by watchers on Mt. Tricaranon, the neighbouring height towards the east, who signalled to them that the enemy were advancing². It is noticeable that Aeneas Tacticus—the writer on the art of war, whose probable date corresponds closely with that of the event just mentioned—in his work on the defence of besieged cities, or *Commentarius Poliorceticus*, recommends that such look-out men—three at least in number, and experienced persons—should be stationed on a height in the neighbourhood of a city, when there was a prospect of an attack, in order that they might signal the numbers and movements of the enemy. He adds, that the signals should be changed from time to time, lest the enemy should come to understand them³. At a later period again

we hear of a system of beacon-stations which was

and Polybius. organised by Philip V. of Macedon in 207 B.C., when he was opposed by the forces of the Aetolians on one side, and by the fleet of the Romans and Attalus in the Aegean on the other. Polybius informs us that that monarch, in order to obtain information with regard to the movements of his adversaries, had given orders to the Phocians, to the inhabitants of Euboea, and to the natives of Peparethus—the furthestmost of those islands stretching from the extremity of Pelion—that they should acquaint him,

¹ Thuc. 2. 94; cp. Diodor. 12. 49.

² Xen. *Hellen.*, 7. 2. 5.

³ *Comment. Poliorc.*, 6. 1.

by means of beacon-fires displayed on elevated positions, with what was taking place in their neighbourhood. The central post, towards which their signals were to be directed was the lofty, and from this point of view central, peak of Mt. Tisaeus, which rises between the Straits of Artemisium and the Pagasaeon Gulf, and was in full view from the city of Demetrias near the head of that gulf, which at this time was Philip's place of residence¹.

It is interesting to trace the progress which appears to have been made in the art of signalling during the period which has just been noticed. In the narrative of Thucydides mentioned above, which speaks of the transmission of intelligence from the heights of Salamis to Athens, the expression used for the signals which intimated the nearness of the enemy is 'hostile fire-signals'²; and the Scholiast on that passage remarks in explanation of this term that, when the news of hostile movements was communicated, the signals—which in this case must have been torches—were waved to and fro; whereas, if they referred to the approach of a friendly force, they were held steady³. Elsewhere in the same author—in the account which he gives of the movements of the Lacedaemonian and Athenian fleets in connexion with the Corcyrean seditions—we are told that the Peloponnesians were made aware by fire signals of the approach of sixty ships of the Athenians⁴. If this passage is interpreted literally, it would imply that the number of the vessels was signalled; but, as Thucydides is fond of condensed expressions, it does not perhaps signify more than that an Athenian fleet was signalled, and that it proved to be composed of sixty ships. Half a century later than this, in 373 B.C., we find that a somewhat more advanced system was in use. The scene again is Corcyra, and the Athenians and Lacedaemonians are the combatants. On this occasion Iphicrates, who commanded the fleet of the former of the two states, hastened to that island, in order to save it

Development
of the Art of
Signalling.

¹ Polyb. 10. 42. 7, 8; cp. Livy, 28. 5.

² φρυκτοὶ πολέμοιοι.

³ Schol. Thuc. 2. 94; καὶ ὅταν μὲν φίλους ἐδήλουν, ἐβάσταζον τοὺς φρυκτοὺς ἡρεμουντες· ὅταν δὲ πολέμους, ἐκίνουν τοὺς φρυκτοὺς, δηλοῦντες τὸν φόβον.

⁴ Thuc. 3. 80; ὑπὸ νύκτα αὐτοῖς ἐφρυκτωρήθησαν ἐξήκοντα νῆες Ἀθηναίων προσπλέουσαι.

from the rival power; and on his arrival he heard that ten triremes, which Dionysius of Syracuse was sending to the assistance of the Spartans, were expected shortly to arrive there. Accordingly he proceeded to inspect in person the points from which he might receive notice of the approach of these vessels; and there can be little doubt that the post which he selected was in the neighbourhood of the modern Pass of Pantaleone among the mountains in the north of Corfu, since from it the city is visible on one side, and on the other the sea in the direction of Italy. Xenophon, from whom our knowledge of these events is obtained, tells us that look-out men were stationed there, and that a system of signals was agreed upon, by which they should inform Iphicrates when the ships were in sight, when they were mooring, and so forth. The result was, that the vessels of Dionysius when they arrived were captured, and their crews who had disembarked were made prisoners¹. From Polyænus, the author of the *Strategemata*, we further learn that the information was conveyed by fire-signals, and that the scene of the capture of the ships was one of the group of small islands which lie off the north-western angle of Corcyra². It was at a still later period, however, that the art of telegraphy received its greatest development. A full account of this is given by Polybius in connexion with the story of Philip V. of Macedon which has been mentioned above. He begins by remarking that the old system of signalling by beacon-fires was comparatively inefficient, because the things to be signalled had to be agreed on beforehand. Hence, while ordinary occurrences could be notified in this manner, the case was not the same with anything unusual, and thus the most important emergencies were unprovided for. He then goes on to notice an intricate plan elaborated by Aeneas Tacticus, which rendered it possible to signal words and short sentences. Finally, he describes in full detail the method devised in the first instance by Cleoxenus and Democleitus, and afterwards brought to perfection by Polybius himself, which approximated in many respects to the system of signalling in use at the present day. By this scheme the letters of the alphabet were represented, according to the rules of a prearranged code, by means of raising torches, varying in number, sometimes on the right hand and sometimes

¹ Xen. *Hell.* 6. 2. 33-5.

² Polyæn. *Strateg.* 3. 9. 55.

on the left, to denote the letter intended: in this way it was possible to transmit any form of intelligence¹. Whether either of the two last-named plans was ever actually employed we have no certain evidence to shew.

The attempts which the ancients made to determine the height of mountains were of necessity little more than guesswork. In some cases there was not even a pretence of scientific measurement, the estimate being made either by the eye, or by the comparison of one mountain with another; in other instances, where greater accuracy was attempted, either the perpendicular height is given, or the distance on foot, or the time usually occupied in the ascent. Thus Polybius is quoted by Strabo as comparing the Alps in respect of their elevation with the highest summits in Greece—Taygetus, Lycaenum, Parnassus, Olympus, Pelion and Ossa, together with Haemus and Rhodope in Thrace; and he is represented as saying that every one of these mountains might be scaled in little more than a day by a good walker, while more than five days were required to ascend the Alps². Strabo himself speaks of the highest summits of the Alpine chain, which he places in the country of the Medulli (in the neighbourhood of St. Jean de Maurienne), as being regarded as 100 stadia ($12\frac{1}{2}$ Roman miles) in direct ascent—from the use of which expression it is evident that perpendicular height is not intended³. Pliny estimates the elevation of Haemus at six Roman miles⁴. The geographer, however, to whom the credit is due of having first attempted the scientific measurement of the height of

Estimates of
the Heights of
Mountains.

¹ Polyb. 10. 43—47.

² Strabo, 4. 6. 12; ὁ δ' αὐτὸς ἀνὴρ (Πολύβιος) περὶ τοῦ μεγέθους τῶν Ἀλπεων καὶ τοῦ ὕψους λέγων παραβάλλει τὰ ἐν τοῖς Ἑλλησιν ὄρη τὰ μέγιστα, τὸ Ταῦγετον, τὸ Λύκαιον, Πάρνασσόν, Ὀλύμπον, Πήλιον, Ὀσσάν· ἐν δὲ Θράκῃ Αἴμον, Ῥοδόπην, Δούνακα· καὶ φησιν, ὅτι τούτων μὲν ἕκαστον μικροῦ δεῖν αὐθημερὸν εὐζῶνους ἀναβῆναι δυνατόν, αὐθημερὸν δὲ καὶ περιελθεῖν, τὰς δ' Ἀλπεις οὐδ' ἂν πεμπταῖος ἀναβαίη τις.

³ Strabo, 4. 6. 5; Μετὰ δὲ Οὐοκορτίους Ἰκόνιοι καὶ Τρικέριοι καὶ μετ' αὐτοὺς Μέδουλλοι, οἵπερ τὰς ὑψηλοτάτας ἔχουσι κορυφάς· τὸ γοῦν ὀρθιωτάτων αὐτῶν ὕψος σταδίων ἑκατὸν ἔχειν φασὶ τὴν ἀνάβασιν, κἀνθὲνδε πάλιν τὴν ἐπὶ τοῦς ὄρους τοὺς τῆς Ἰταλίας κατὰβασιν.

⁴ Pliny, *H. N.* 4. 41; Haemi excelsitas sex mil. pass. subitur.

mountains, is Aristotle's pupil, Dicaearchus, to whom, as we have seen, other discoveries also have been attributed¹. From a passage in Geminus the astronomer we learn that Dicaearchus accomplished this by geometry², and the same thing is affirmed by Pliny, who speaks of Dicaearchus as a man of distinguished learning, and implies that he undertook the investigation of this question in the service of the Macedonian monarchs³. The results of his calculations, however, were anything but satisfactory. Geminus tells us in the passage just referred to, that he estimated the vertical height of Cyllene in Arcadia as less than 15 stadia (9,000 feet), and that of Atabyrium (or, as he calls it, Satabyrium) in Rhodes as less than 14 stadia (8,400 feet); whereas in reality their heights are 7,789 feet and 4,070 feet respectively⁴. Again, Pliny says that the highest mountain measured by Dicaearchus was Pelion, which reached 1250 *passus*, or 6,250 feet⁵. The error in this case was all the more remarkable on account of the neighbourhood of Olympus, the summit of which mountain is 9,754 feet high, while that of Pelion is only 5,310 feet. It seems strange that the observation of the snow-line did not of itself suggest a more accurate estimate. From Plutarch we learn in his *Life of Aemilius Paullus* that Olympus was measured on scientific principles and by one Xenagoras. Speaking of the town of Pythium at the foot of that mountain he says, 'at that point Olympus rises to the height of more than 10 stadia; and this is intimated by the inscription of the person who measured it, which runs thus—"On the summit of Olympus the shrine of Pythian Apollo stands at the height of 10 stadia and a plethrum less four feet (and it was measured vertically). This inscription was set up by Xenagoras son of Eumelus as the measurement of

¹ *v. supra*, pp. 170, 180.

² Geminus, *Element. Astronom.* § 14, in Petav. *Uranologia*, p. 55 E; καὶ ἐστὶ μὲν τῆς Κυλλήνης τὸ ὕψος ἑλασσον σταδίων ιε', ὡς Δικαίλαρχος ἀναμετρικῶς ἀποφαίνεται. τοῦ δὲ Σαταβυρίου ἐλάσσων ἐστὶν ἢ κάθετος σταδίων ιδ'.

³ Pliny, *H. N.* 2. 162; Cui sententiae adest Dicaearchus, vir in primis eruditus, regum cura permensus montis, ex quibus altissimum prodit Pelion MCCL passuum ratione perpendiculari.

⁴ *v. supra*, note 2.

⁵ *v. supra*, note 3.

the distance; hail, O King, and grant us thy blessing." For all this,' Plutarch continues, 'the geometricians maintain that no mountain is of greater height, nor any part of the sea of greater depth, than 10 stadia; yet Xenagoras does not seem to have made his computation carelessly, but strictly with the help of instruments¹.' About the height of Cyllene also there were other, and widely divergent estimates, besides that already given. Apollodorus reckoned it at 80 feet less than nine stadia² (5,320 feet). And Strabo says that by some it was computed by perpendicular measurement as 20 stadia (12,000 feet), by others as about 15 stadia³ (9,000 feet). By the latter of these two numbers the calculation of Dicæarchus was probably meant. Strabo also records the elevation of the Acrocorinth, which he says was estimated as three stadia and a half (2,100 feet) in vertical height⁴. This is approximately true, for the real measurement is 1,887 English feet.

¹ Plut. *Aemil. Paull.* c. 15; 'Ἐνταῦθα τοῦ Ὀλύμπου τὸ ὕψος ἀνατείνει πλέον ἢ δέκα σταδίων· σημαίνεται δὲ ἐπιγράμματι τοῦ μετρήσαντος οὕτως·

Ὀλύμπου κορυφῆς ἐπὶ Πυθίου Ἀπόλλωνος
 ἱερὸν ὕψος ἔχει (πρὸς τὴν κάθετον δ' ἐμετρήθη)
 πλήρη μὲν δεκάδα σταδίων μίαν, αὐτὰρ ἐπ' αὐτῇ
 πλέθρον τετραπέδῳ λειπόμενον μεγέθει.

Εὐμήλου δὲ μιν υἱὸς ἐθήκατο μέτρα κελεύθου
 Ξεναγόρης· σὺ δ', ἀναξ, χαῖρε καὶ ἐσθλὰ δίδου.

Καίτοι λέγουσιν οἱ γεωμετρικοὶ μήτε ὄρους ὕψος μήτε βάθος θαλάσσης ὑπερβάλλειν δέκα σταδίου. Ὁ μὲντοι Ξεναγόρας οὐ παρέργως, ἀλλὰ μεθόδῳ καὶ δι' ὀργάνων εἰληφέναι δοκεῖ τὴν μέτρησιν.

² Steph. Byz. s.v. Κυλλήνη: Eustathius in *Hom. Od.* p. 1951, ed. Rom. 1542.

³ Strabo, 8. 8. 1; μέγιστον δ' ὄρος ἐν αὐτῇ [τῇ Πελοποννησῷ] Κυλλήνῃ τὴν γοῦν κάθετον οἱ μὲν εἴκοσι σταδίων φασί, οἱ δ' ὅσον πεντεκαίδεκα.

⁴ Strabo, 8. 6. 21; ὄρος ὑψηλὸν ὅσον τριῶν ἡμισυ σταδίων ἔχον τὴν κάθετον, τὴν δ' ἀνάβασιν καὶ τριάκοντα σταδίων, εἰς ὁξείαν τελευτῇ κορυφῇ· καλεῖται δ' Ἀκροκόρινθος. On the subject of these measurements see Bunbury, *Hist. of Anc. Geography*, vol. 1. p. 618; Berger, *Geschichte der Erdkunde*, Pt. 3, p. 53.

CHAPTER XVI.

PTOLEMY AND LATER GEOGRAPHERS.

Marinus Tyrius—His Attempt to reform the Map of the World—Its Deficiencies—**Ptolemy**—His Great Reputation—His Error about the Circumference of the Earth, and the Length of the Habitable World—The Fortunate Isles his Prime Meridian—His System of Projection—His Geographical Treatise—His Maps—His Corrections of Previous Maps—His Chief Errors—His Account of Britain—Accurate Delineation of the Coast—Erroneous Position of Scotland—Possible Explanation of this—Ptolemy's Tables of the Coast of Britain—The Southern Coast—The Western Coast—The Eastern Coast—Ireland—Other Additions to Geographical Knowledge—The Volga (Rha)—The Altai Chain (Imaus)—Direct Trade Route to China—Sources of the Nile—Mountains of the Moon—The Soudan—Rivers Gir and Nigir—Pausanias—His Resemblance to Herodotus—His Illustrations of Physical Geography—Fountains—Their Different Colours—Warm Springs—Fountain of Deine—Caverns—The Corycian Cave—Trees—Cotton—Pausanias' Researches in Greece—His Descriptions of Olympia and Delphi—Routes which he followed—Contents of his Book—The Question of his Veracity—The View Adverse to Pausanias—Explanations of his Statements—Difficulties involved in the Supposition—Recent Testimonies in his Favour—Stephanus Byzantinus—His *Ethnica*—Character of its Contents—Solinus—His *Memorabilia*—Mediaeval Estimate of him—Modern Estimate—Orosius—His *Historiae*—Its Geographical Section—Transient Character of Ptolemy's Influence—Earlier Errors revived—Retrospect and Summary—Continuous Advance of Knowledge of General Geography, and of Scientific Geography.

In the middle of the second century of our era there arose two remarkable geniuses, by whom the coping-stone was

**Marinus
Tyrius.**

placed on the geographical study of the ancients.

The former of these, Marinus of Tyre, is comparatively little known, his fame having been eclipsed by that of his successor, Ptolemy ; and of his writings nothing has come down to us, except what is imbedded in the works of that author. Yet Ptolemy is unstinting in his acknowledgment of the debt which he owed him, and he professes to come forward himself rather as the corrector of points in which the work of Marinus was deficient

than as the originator of an independent scheme¹. The particular form which this remarkable revival of scientific geography assumed was largely due to the practical spirit which the Roman temperament had infused into the study—in other words, to the encouragement which the imperial government had given to map-making—for Marinus professes that it was the object of his treatise to reform the map of the world; indeed, from the terms in which Ptolemy speaks of it, we seem to gather that this was declared in its title². In doing this, it is true, he was only following in the steps of Eratosthenes, who had put forward the same project as his primary aim in the study of geography³, but there was this difference in their points of view, namely, that, while scientific questions of general import, such as the measurement of the circumference of the earth, which are of the highest value for exact cartography, held the first place in the scheme of the earlier writer, in the hands of Marinus they fell into the background in comparison with the design of rendering maps more accurate in detail. Still, the fact remains that Marinus was, as far as we know, the first person after the time of Hipparchus who resumed the task—which that great astronomer had suggested as the ultimate aim of scientific geography—of subdividing the surface of the globe by meridians and parallels, and inscribing within the spaces formed by their intersections the places and districts about which information had been attained, their position being determined as far as possible by means of astronomical observation. We have seen that in Hipparchus' age the execution of this was impracticable owing to the limited amount of knowledge that was available for the purpose, and even Marinus could not fail to recognise that the materials at his command were insufficient to enable him to deal with it with any completeness; yet, during the period of nearly three centuries which had elapsed since Hipparchus wrote, a great mass of facts had gradually been accumulated, and the attempt was worth making, though the result might be imperfect. That

His Attempt
to reform the
Map of the
World.

¹ Ptol., *Geographia*, i. 6. 2.

² *Ibid.*, i. 6. 1, where it is called ἡ τοῦ γεωγραφικοῦ πίνακος διόρθωσις.

³ *v. supra*, p. 180.

it was imperfect we gather from Ptolemy, who, while he extols the diligence of Marinus in collecting and sifting his data, and notes that in the successive editions of his work he had done his best to amend and supplement it, remarks also that much was left for himself to accomplish in correcting and improving it. To this must be added a more serious deficiency arising from want of method, for the continuity of the treatise was much broken up by the insertion of discussions of disputed points. The map also left much to be desired, because the parallels of latitude and meridians of longitude were represented upon it throughout by straight lines, and the meridians of longitude were drawn parallel to one another—a system, of the faultiness of which Marinus shewed himself to be aware in the criticisms which he passed on the attempts of his predecessors to delineate the spherical surface of the globe on a plane map¹.

Of the life of Claudius Ptolemy hardly anything is known, but it is probable that he studied and wrote at Alexandria, where the renowned school of letters which had been founded by the Greek rulers of Egypt still flourished. His treatise on geography was published subsequently to the completion of his astronomical works, and its date may be fixed approximately at 150 A.D. The greatness of his fame as a mathematical geographer, which is superior to that enjoyed by any other ancient writer on the subject, has arisen from several causes. In the first place it is to be attributed to the age in which he lived, for it coincided with the decline of learning among the Greeks and Romans, and in consequence of this he towered so conspicuously above the writers who followed him, that an undue importance was attached to his statements. No doubt, also, the renown of Ptolemy as an astronomer was reflected on his geographical studies, and heightened the estimation in which they were held.

¹ Ptol. 1. 20. 3, 4; ὅπερ Μαρῖνος εἰς ἐπίστασιν οὐ τὴν τυχοῦσαν ἀγαθὴν, καὶ πάσαις ἀπαξᾶπλως μεμψόμενος ταῖς μεθόδοις τῶν ἐπιπέδων καταγραφῶν, οὐδὲν ἥττον αὐτὸς φαίνεται κεχρημένος τῇ μάλιστα μὴ ποιούσῃ συμμέτρους τὰς διαστάσεις· τὰς μὲν γὰρ ἀντὶ τῶν κύκλων γραμμὰς τῶν τε παραλλήλων καὶ τῶν μεσημβρινῶν εἰθείας ὑπεστήσατο πάσας.

But the cause which contributed more than any other to produce this result was the completeness of his system, which communicated to his statements an appearance of finality that did not really belong to them.' The evidence on which Ptolemy relied in determining the position of places on the face of the globe was largely derived from the same source which had been used by his predecessors—the computations of distances made by travellers and navigators, whose estimates were from the nature of the case inaccurate. But when the evidence was withdrawn from view, as it was in Ptolemy's work, and the results were embodied in maps and tables of distances symmetrical in form, the definite character which they thus acquired caused them in the course of time to be regarded in the light of exact statements, as if they were based on scientific observations. It should be remembered, however, that Ptolemy himself is not responsible for this result of his mode of treating his subject, for in his Introduction he makes no secret of the 'imperfection of his materials': nor ought the mistakes in his work which are revealed by modern discovery and modern science to blind us to its preeminent merits.

With regard to the measurement of the circumference of the earth Ptolemy followed Marinus in accepting Posidonius' erroneous estimate of 180,000 stadia¹, which fell short of the reality by one-sixth. It resulted from this that, as he adopted from Hipparchus the division of the equator and other great circles into 360 degrees, he made every degree only 500 stadia (50 geographical miles) instead of 600 stadia (60 geographical miles), which is the true computation. This mistake at once affected his calculation of distances on his map, for in consequence of it he overestimated them: thus, if he discovered from his authorities—*itineraries or otherwise*—that the interval between two places was 500 stadia, he would express this on his map by a degree, which in reality is 600 stadia; and when the estimate was made on a large scale, the error in excess became very great. This was especially felt when he came to deal with the second important question of general scientific geography, that of the length of the habitable

His Error
about the
Circumference
of the Earth,

and the Length
of the Habit-
able World,

¹ 1. 2. 4.

² *v. supra*, p. 192.

world, because he greatly over-estimated this relatively to the true circumference of the earth. There was, however, another cause which contributed even more largely to this error—namely, the tendency to exaggerate distances on the part of seamen and traders, on whose reckonings, as we have seen, in default of astronomical observations, which were few in number, he was forced to depend. It is greatly to Ptolemy's credit that, whereas all previous geographers had accepted these without qualification, he clearly perceived the necessity of making allowance for the deviations from the direct line, and the varying rate of progress, which were produced by the windings of roads or the irregular force and direction of winds, and of reducing the distances on the map accordingly¹; his only fault was that his corrections were made on too limited a scale, so that a very considerable excess still remained. On the

The
Fortunate
Isles, his
Prime Meri-
dian.

other hand, a certain error in defect in his calculation arose from his assuming the Fortunate Isles (the Canaries) as the point from which his longitudes were to be reckoned. The westernmost island

of this group, Ferro, long continued to be treated as the prime meridian, and is so among some German geographers at the present day; but in Ptolemy's time the position of those islands was not determined, and accordingly it was only by conjecture that he placed them two degrees and a half to the westward of the Sacred Promontory (Cape St. Vincent), instead of about nine degrees, which is the true estimate. The total result which he produced for the length of the known world, from the Fortunate Isles in the west to the city of Sera in China towards the east, was 180° , whereas the reality is about 130° . In one respect this mistake was advantageous in the consequences which proceeded from it at a later period, for by diminishing the interval between the eastern and western extremities of the world it encouraged the idea that the passage from the one to the other might be accomplished, and thus indirectly contributed to the discovery of America by Columbus. Its breadth he estimated at 80° , from the parallel of Thule (the Shetlands) to that of the Præsum Promontorium (perhaps Cape Delgado) on the eastern coast of Africa to

the south of Zanzibar. As the interval between these is 70° , Ptolemy's computation in this case exceeded the reality by 10° . In connexion with the extension thus assigned to the known world from west to east and from south to north respectively, we may remark that Ptolemy (or Marinus) is the first writer who uses the Greek words for 'length' and 'breadth' (*μῆκος* and *πλάτος*) in the technical sense of 'longitude' and 'latitude,' *i.e.* to signify the distance of a place from a fixed meridian line, or from the equator.

In cartography the great advance which Ptolemy made on the work of his predecessors consisted in his system of projection, which in many respects approximates to that which is in general use at the present day. His System
of Projection. We have seen that former geographers, including Marinus, had drawn the parallels of latitude and meridians of longitude in straight lines, parallel to one another; and in his special maps of the separate countries Ptolemy continued to do this, because, when the area was limited, the inaccuracy thus produced was of small importance. But in a general map of the whole known world he recognised that the error arising from this cause was very great; and that it was necessary to make allowance for the spherical character of the earth, and for the inclination of the meridians to one another. With a view to this he represented the lines of latitude by parallel curves, while, in order to avoid too elaborate a scheme, he represented the meridians of longitude in the first instance by straight lines, converging towards a point outside the limits of the map. Subsequently, however, he reduced the meridians also to a curved form, so as to make them correspond more nearly with the reality. From intimations which are found in various writers it seems probable that Hipparchus in some degree anticipated this method, but there is no reason to believe that he constructed any such complete scheme as is found in Ptolemy. The map on which this network of lines was drawn was not in shape a perfect hemisphere, because it represented the portion of the globe then known; and this, while it extended some distance south of the equator, did not include the regions about the pole. The *climata* of Ptolemy, which also were marked on his map, were—like those spaces on the surface of the globe to

which, as we have already seen, Hipparchus assigned that name¹—the intervals between two parallels of latitude. The width of these intervals, however, was not measured by degrees, as was the case with the *climata* of Hipparchus, but by the increase in the length of the longest day, proceeding northwards from the equator. From this line as far as the 45th degree of N. latitude, where the longest day was of $15\frac{1}{2}$ hours, the breadth of a *clima* was determined by the difference of a quarter of an hour in the length of the longest day; but beyond the 45th degree by the difference of half an hour². The reason for this change in the system of measurement, no doubt, was that a more elaborate division was required for the better known parts of the world, where it was necessary to fix the position of a large number of places, while for the remoter regions towards the north a smaller number of boundary lines was necessary.

The geographical treatise of Ptolemy was intended to elucidate and explain his maps. His aim was to make mathematical geography as complete as possible, and consequently such questions as more properly belong to physical and historical geography are excluded from consideration. In order to understand his work aright, we must remember that the maps preceded the description, and that this is adapted from them. It is divided into eight books, the first of which treats of the principles of mathematical geography and of the projection of maps, together with a discussion of the length and breadth of the habitable world, while the six following books contain tables, which give the names of the places marked on the maps of the separate countries, and the latitude and longitude of each, and are accompanied by notices of the boundaries of the countries, and other remarks which are required for purposes of explanation. These tables were extremely serviceable for purposes of reference, and they also enabled the student who did not possess the author's maps to reconstruct them for himself. The eighth book is written rather from the point of view of astronomy than from that of geography. In it the writer takes the most important positions which had already been determined on his maps, and deduces from their latitudes and longitudes such results as the length of

His Geo-
graphical
Treatise.

¹ v. *supra*, p. 175.

² Ptol. *Geogr.* i. 23.

the longest day at each, and, for those that were situated within the tropics, the course of the sun with respect to them. It is a difficult matter to decide whether we still possess the actual maps which Ptolemy constructed. Such maps are found in some of the manuscripts of his work, and are there attributed to one Agathodaemon of Alexandria; but since nothing further is known about that person, it is impossible to determine whether he was a contemporary of the geographer, who delineated them under his supervision, or whether he reconstructed them several centuries later, when owing to the negligence of copyists the original ones had been omitted from the text. The question is less important than it would otherwise be, because, as we have remarked, the instructions which Ptolemy has provided furnish the means of reproducing them, and this task has been accomplished by various scholars from the fifteenth century onwards.

His Maps.

When we proceed to examine Ptolemy's map of the world in detail, we discover that, while he has corrected certain mistakes which defaced the maps of his predecessors, he has introduced several serious errors of his own. The great southward extension which Strabo attributed to the promontory of Sunium is now avoided, and Taenarum is rightly treated as the southernmost point of the Peloponnese. The eastern coast of Africa is no longer represented as turning towards the west after passing Cape Guardafui; and, what is still more important, the Caspian, which ever since the time of Alexander the Great had been regarded as an inlet from the ocean, is once more recognised as being, what Herodotus had believed it to be, an inland sea. On the other hand, Ptolemy advances the Palus Maeotis and the mouth of the Tanais much too far towards the north—as high, in fact, as the southern shore of the Baltic. In India, he ignores the discoveries which had been embodied in the *Periplus Maris Erythraei*, and places the southernmost point of the peninsula only four degrees south of Barygaza. The size of the island of Taprobane, which had been over-estimated by earlier writers, is exaggerated by him to an enormous degree, so that he makes it about fourteen times as large as the reality. But these mistakes

His Corrections of Previous Maps.

His Chief Errors.

were of slight importance in comparison with those which he introduced in the eastern and south-eastern portion of his map, and for which he was largely indebted to Marinus. The great extension which had come to be attributed to eastern Asia, owing to reports which had reached the West of distant countries in that quarter, induced him to refuse to believe in the existence of a sea which formed the boundary in that direction. Again, when traders extended their voyages beyond India, and intelligence was brought of a great gulf on the further side of the Golden Chersonese—by which perhaps the gulf of Siam may have been meant—and it was further rumoured that the coast of Asia in this part, instead of turning northwards, as had previously been supposed, trended towards the south; on the strength of these intimations Ptolemy adopted the view, not only that the land advanced still further in that direction, but that Asia was connected by an unbroken line of continent with the south-east of Africa. From this assumption it followed that the Indian Ocean was surrounded by land—a view which had long before been advanced as a hypothesis by Hipparchus, but after his time had been generally rejected.

Ptolemy commences his tables with the British Islands, Ivernia and Albion, the native name being used in the latter case instead of that of Britannia apparently for the sake of distinction. In the account which is here given we trace a striking advance in the knowledge of Britain, corresponding to the more intimate acquaintance of the Romans with the island, which was the natural result of the progress of their arms, and of the numerous settlements which they had established there¹. A marked distinction, however, in respect of accuracy is to be drawn between the geography of the coast and that of the interior. The two were evidently derived from different sources, and those which furnished the materials for the latter were of a decidedly inferior character.

Accurate
Delineation
of the Coast.

If we construct a map from the latitudes and longitudes furnished by Ptolemy, we find that the outline of Britain which is thus drawn corresponds very

¹ Ptol. 2. 3.

closely with the reality. The gradual southward slope of the southern coast as it proceeds from east to west clearly appears. The most important inlets—the Bristol Channel, the Solway Firth and that of Clyde, above all the marked indentation produced by the Moray Firth—are all strikingly delineated. The same thing is true of the promontories. The two separate capes at the southwestern angle, the Lizard and the Land's End, St David's Head and the extremity of Cardiganshire in Wales, and the Mull of Galloway and that of Cantire on the western side of Scotland, are carefully distinguished. The general accuracy which is thus apparent makes it the more surprising that in one important particular, namely the position of Scotland relatively to England, Ptolemy's map should be extravagantly in error.

Erroneous
Position of
Scotland.

For, whereas the southern part of the island as far as the line of the Solway Firth is correctly orientated, the northern portion is twisted round towards the east, so that the mouth of the Clyde, instead of lying to the westward of the Firth of Forth, is due north of it, and the farthest extremity of the country; the promontory of Orcas, instead of pointing northward faces due east. On the same principle the western and eastern coasts of Scotland are called by Ptolemy in this part of his tables the northern and southern coasts. It is difficult to account for this extraordinary mistake. The latest, and at the same time the most probable explanation of it is that of Mr Henry Bradley, who would attribute it, not to defective

Possible
Explanation of
this.

information, but to an error in the construction of the map. Either Ptolemy or one of his predecessors, he suggests, had before him three sectional maps, representing severally the countries which we call England, Scotland, and Ireland, and drawn approximately to scale, but without meridians or parallels. It was, no doubt, then, as now, usual for a map to be enclosed in a rectangular frame, with sides towards the four cardinal points. In fitting the three maps together, Ptolemy (or his predecessor) fell into the mistake of turning the oblong map of Scotland the wrong way. Mr Bradley further points out, in explanation of the origin of this mistake on Ptolemy's part, that he had assigned to Ireland a latitude so much too high, that if he had given to the map of

Scotland its proper orientation, a portion of that country must have fallen right across the western island¹.

It may be worth while, in order to illustrate the contents of Ptolemy's work, and to test its accuracy in a particular instance, to follow him in his enumeration of the features of the coast of our island, in order to see how far they correspond with what we find at the present day, omitting those localities about the identification of which much uncertainty exists. In making this comparison we have to depend, in some cases on the similarities of the ancient and modern names, when these are corroborated by the positions which Ptolemy assigns to them, and in others either on certain geographical features being the only ones which can correspond to his data, or on their situation being determined by their occurring in his enumeration between places already known. The majority of the localities which he mentions are the mouths or estuaries of rivers; and the names which he assigns to these are in so many instances traceable in those which are now attached to them, that we discover in them an illustration of the principle embodied in the witty saying that local names, in order to be permanent, should be "writ on water."

Let us take first the southern coast of Britain, commencing from the promontory of Cantion, the north-eastern point of Kent. The first place to the westward of this which Ptolemy mentions is the New Harbour, and this according to his measurements corresponds to Hastings, where a harbour is known to have existed formerly, though there is none at the present day. Again, the Great Harbour can be confidently identified with Portsmouth Harbour, both on historic grounds and because of the longitude which Ptolemy assigns to it. Between these two havens a river Trisanton is introduced, the name of which is the original form of Trent or Tarrant; and

Ptolemy's
Tables of the
Coast of
Britain.

The South-
ern Coast.

¹ See Mr Henry Bradley's article, "Ptolemy's Geography of the British Isles," in *Archæologia*, vol. 48. (1885), pp. 382, 383. The contents of this valuable paper have been used in what follows, though the author has not been able in every case to accept Mr Bradley's conclusions: the map also which is here inserted is based on that by which his paper is illustrated.

we thus discover that it represents the Arun, for that stream appears in old maps as Tarant¹. Plymouth Sound is determined by the mouth of the Tamarus (Tamar) being given. The river Isaca, which is placed to the eastward of it, might be, as far as the name is concerned, either the Exe or the Axe, but the former of these is the more likely to have been mentioned on account of its importance, because the town of Isca (Exeter) was situated on its banks. The two south-western promontories have each of them, for some unknown reason, a double name, the Lizard being called on Ptolemy's table Damnonium or Ocrinum, the Land's End Antivestaeum or Bolerium Promontorium.

On the western side of the island, following the coast northward from this angle we first pass the Herculis Promontorium (Hartland Point), and then reach The Western Coast. the Vexalla estuary, which corresponds to Bridgewater Harbour; while the main inlet in this neighbourhood takes its name of Sabriana from the Severn. On the southern coast of Wales the mouth of the river Tubius (Towey) is noted, and on the western coast that of the Tuerobis (Teify); between these the promontory of Octapitarum (St. David's Head) intervenes, and further to the north a striking projection is formed by the cape of the Gangani, which is the extreme point of Carnarvonshire. In the interval between the north-west angle of Wales and the Ituna estuary (Solway Firth) we meet with the estuaries of Seteïa (or Segeïa) and Belisama, the harbour of the Setantii (or Segantii), and the Moricambe estuary: the position of these identifies them with the well-marked mouths of the Dee, the Mersey, and the Ribble, and with Morecambe Bay. The name Ituna is undoubtedly that of the Eden, which river flows into the Solway Firth; and the original of the appellation Solway itself can be discovered in that of the neighbouring tribe of the Selgovae. On the northern side of this inlet the river Novius (Nith) and the Deva (the Dee of Kirkcudbright) are also mentioned. From this point onward Ptolemy's great error about the coast of Scotland begins to be apparent, for the peninsula of Galloway, which in reality advances westward opposite the

¹ Bradley, *op. cit.*, p. 390.

Irish coast, on his map is made to project northwards. He calls it the promontory of the Novantæ—a tribal name which seems to be connected with that of the Novius; and in its immediate vicinity he places the Rerigonius Sinus, both the name and the position of which identify it with Loch Ryan. Then follow the entrance of the Clota (Clyde), the Lemannonius Sinus (Loch Fyne), and the marked promontory of Epidium (Mull of Cantire), which also is represented as extending into the northern sea. The coast beyond this point was evidently little known, for very few places are marked, but in the far north we can recognise the river Nabæus both by its name and its position as the Naver in Sutherlandshire. Cape Orcas, to which Ptolemy attaches a second name, Tarvedum, had been regarded from the time of Pytheas as the extremity of Britain in this direction, and therefore must be identified with Dunnet Head. From this it follows that the Vervedrum Promontorium on the further side of it must correspond to Duncansby Head.

The eastern (from Ptolemy's point of view the southern) coast of Scotland gives evidence of being better known. The river Ila is the Ullie in the east of Sutherlandshire. The Varar estuary is the Moray Firth, and its name is identical with that of the Farrar river, which finds its way into the Beaully Basin and Inverness Firth at the head of that inlet. Between this and the headland of Taexalum, the north-eastern angle of Aberdeenshire, the river Tuæsis is the Spey, and the Celnus, which lies beyond it, appears from its name to be the stream which runs by the town of Cullen. On the further side of Taexalum we find the Deva (the Dee at Aberdeen), the estuary of Tava (Firth of Tay), and that of Boderia (Firth of Forth), the Bodotria of Tacitus. The river Tina, which is placed between Tava and Boderia, can only be the Eden, which enters the sea between the two firths. As we advance southward along the English coast we recognise the Alaunus as the Alne, the Vedra as the Wear, and the Abus as the Humber; and since the promontory of Ocelum occurs between the two last-named of these, it is probably Flamborough Head. Then follow the Metaris estuary (the Wash), and the river Garriennus, the name of which corresponds to that of the

✓
The Eastern
Coast.

Yare at Yarmouth; and finally our survey ends at the mouth of the Thames, which in the text of Ptolemy (probably through an error in writing) is called Iamesa.

In Ptolemy's notice of Ireland in like manner the number of the places that are mentioned gives evidence of an increased knowledge of that island on the part of the Romans during the period subsequent to the Augustan age¹; and this confirms the statement of Tacitus in the *Agricola*, to the effect that its trade and communication with the sister island had increased in that interval². There are but few of the names which he gives, however, that we can identify with any certainty, and these are mainly river-names on the eastern coast. The Logia of Ptolemy is probably the Lagan at Belfast, the Buvinda is the Boyne, the Oboca is the Avoca in Wicklow; and the Birgus corresponds both in position and name to the Barrow, which flows into Waterford Harbour. But Ptolemy's knowledge of the position of Ireland relatively to England is a great advance on that of Strabo and others, who placed it to the northward of the latter island.

Ireland.

Other Additions to Geographical Knowledge.

The Volga (Rha).

The Altai Chain (Imaus).

We may now proceed to notice the principal additions which Ptolemy made to the map of the world in other countries. In eastern Europe he mentions for the first time by name the Carpathians (Mons Carpatis), with the existence of which the Romans had become acquainted through Trajan's conquest of Dacia; and he rightly fixes them as the boundary between that country and Sarmatia³. The great river Volga also, the absence of all notice of which in the works of former geographers is so remarkable, here appears under the name of Rha, and is described as discharging its waters into the Hyrcanian or Caspian Sea⁴. In his account of Asia we meet with the earliest notice of the great Altai chain, which, commencing from the central group of the Pamirs, diverges from the Himalaya, and

¹ Ptol. 2. 2.

² Tac. *Agric.* 24; melius aditus portusque per commercia et negotiatores cogniti.

³ Ptol. 3. 5. 6.

⁴ 5. 9. 12, 13.

follows a north-easterly direction through Central Asia. To it Ptolemy appropriates the name of Imaus, which had hitherto been assigned to a portion of the Himalaya; and it is from this that the distinction is derived, which is commonly introduced in maps of the ancient world, between Scythia intra Imaum and Scythia extra Imaum¹. He furnishes information,

**Direct Trade
Route to
China.**

too, with regard to the trade-route which traversed the interior of Asia from the passage of the Euphrates to Sera in Northern China. The distances along this, he says on the authority of Marinus, had been computed by a merchant of Macedonian extraction, called Maës, though not from his own observations, but from information which he obtained from his travelling agents².

In the account of Africa which follows, it is highly interesting, in the light of recent discoveries, to read of the sources of the main stream of the Nile as being found in two lakes which lay to the southward of the equator³; and that these lakes were fed by the snows of a mountain range which lay beyond them, called the Mountains of the Moon—a name which was destined to be a source of perplexity to travellers

**Sources of
the Nile.**

and geographers down to our own times⁴. The intelligence which is contained in these statements was probably transmitted, not by way of the Nile valley, which was not followed by traders beyond the marshy region which has been already noticed, but from the coast in the neighbourhood of Zanzibar, where the station of Rhapta had been established. On this supposition it is not improbable that the lakes here spoken of are the Victoria and Albert Nyanza, and the mention of so unusual a phenomenon as snow-covered mountains in the neighbourhood of the equator supports the conjecture that the Mountains of the Moon are none other than Mounts Kilimanjaro (19,700 ft.) and Kenia (18,370 ft.), which lie between those lakes and the sea. With regard to the central districts of Africa also Ptolemy furnishes us with fresh

The Soudan.

information, for he notices—though with a somewhat tantalising

¹ 6. 14, 15.

² 4. 7. 23, 24.

³ 1. 11. 4—7.

⁴ 4. 8. 3.

brevity which gives no clue to the dates—two expeditions in that direction which were reported by Marinus. The starting-point of these was the land of the Garamantes (Fezzan), which had been already reached by Cornelius Balbus in the reign of Augustus¹. Of the first of them we only learn that the name of its commander was Septimius Flaccus, and that the explorers arrived at the country of the Aethiopians after a march of three months towards the south. The account of the other expedition is somewhat more explicit, for it tells us that its leader, Julius Maternus, associated himself with the king of the Garamantes in an invasion of Aethiopia, and in his company, after four months marching southward, arrived at a district abounding in rhinoceroses, called Agisymba². These scanty details do not enable us to determine the exact position to be assigned to that region, but it appears clear that they crossed the Sahara, and reached some part of the Soudan. A more perplexing question presents itself when we endeavour to decide what rivers are intended by the Gir and Nigir, which Ptolemy introduces into his tables of western Africa. We have seen that the former of these two names is a general term signifying 'stream' in the native languages of this part³, and the same thing is true of the latter also⁴. It could consequently be applied to many rivers, and this circumstance requires to be taken into consideration in any attempt to identify the Nigir with the famous river of Timbuctoo, as well as the fact that at the present day the native name of the river which we call the Niger is Joliba in the upper part of its course, and Quorra in the lower. It is difficult, indeed, to identify the two rivers—which Ptolemy describes as large in size, and as flowing into lakes in the interior of the country—with any known African rivers; but from what he says of them it seems more likely that they represent streams north than south of the Sahara⁵.

Rivers Gir
and Nigir.

From Ptolemy we turn to one of his contemporaries, Pausanias, who was in all respects a great contrast to him, and was indeed an antiquarian rather than a geographer;

Pausanias.

¹ *v. supra*, p. 223.

² Ptol. i. 8. 5.

³ *v. supra*, p. 291.

⁴ Kiepert, *Lehrbuch d. a. Geographie*, p. 224.

⁵ Ptol. 4. 6. 13, 14; cp. Bunbury, *op. cit.*, vol. 2. pp. 618—28.

yet his book, which he calls an *Itinerary of Greece*, demands more than a passing notice, inasmuch as it stands alone in antiquity as a topographical description of a country. It is true that his topography is mainly confined to cities and sacred places, and the principal objects on the routes which connected them with one another; and that features of the country, such as mountains and rivers, obtain recognition only so far as they have legends or memories of historical occurrences attached to them: but in a country like Greece, which was thickly sown with towns, the data which are thus provided are of inestimable value for purposes of map-making.

The period at which Pausanias wrote was eminently suitable for the work which he undertook, for at no time in all probability had the monuments of Greece been so numerous and in such good preservation as they were in the middle of the second century of our era. Here and there, indeed, he speaks of temples as being in a ruinous condition, but the decay which this implies was probably not greater than that which appears in the deserted monasteries in our own country at the present day; and this was counterbalanced by the work of restoration, which had been carried out on a large scale through the munificence of the emperor Hadrian in the early part of that century. In Pausanias himself we may trace a resemblance, faint indeed in colour and inferior in all its features, to Herodotus. In the religious, or rather superstitious awe with which he regards questions and objects connected with the worship of the gods, he even surpasses his great predecessor. In like manner as Herodotus moralises on the nemesis which attends on overweening prosperity, Pausanias draws attention to the transitoriness of human greatness as illustrated by the decadence of a large number of the great cities of the world—a topic which he introduces in connection with the desolate condition of Megalopolis in Arcadia in his time, in contrast with the proud hopes with which it was originally founded by Epaminondas¹. The mysteries and the oracles inspire him with unbounded reverence. He is gifted also with something of the same quaint power of observation

His Resemblance to Herodotus.

¹ Pausan. 8. 33.

as the historian, and by this he is similarly led into digressions, which frequently contain information on points which lie beyond the range of his immediate subject. His accuracy has generally been acknowledged by those who have followed in his footsteps. When ancient sites are cleared by the spade of the excavator from the soil which has accumulated in the course of ages, the buildings whose foundations are thus revealed are found to correspond with remarkable closeness to Pausanias' descriptions. In wandering about amongst them with his work as your handbook, "you feel that you are following an invisible guide—a ghost among ghosts¹."

Though Pausanias, as has been said above, had no interest in geography for its own sake, yet owing to his love of curious objects, especially when they were in any way connected with mythological associations, he was led to dwell on numerous phenomena, which illustrate at least the physical branch of that subject. This was the case in a marked manner with springs of water, which are in all countries a fertile source of legends. Thus in one passage he notices the different colours which these display in various places. One of them, he says, in the neighbourhood of Joppa in Palestine had a blood-red hue; another, in the district of Atarneus opposite Lesbos, flowed with black water; while a third, in the neighbourhood of Rome on the further side of the Anio, was white. The last-named source was no doubt the *Aquae Albulae* near Tibur, which from its milk-white sulphureous water is known as *La Solfatara* at the present day; and its low temperature, on which Pausanias remarks, is still a characteristic feature, notwithstanding that vapours arise from its surface. Above all, he draws attention to the 'grey-green' water of *Thermopylae* (*γλαυκότερον ὕδωρ*)—an epithet, the truth of which may be verified at the present day, since the water of these sources, which is very clear, has that colour owing to the sediment with which the bed of the channels in which it runs is incrustated². Elsewhere he draws attention to the warm springs

His Illustrations of Physical Geography.

Fountains.

Their Different Colours.

¹ Dean Stanley, in Sir T. Wyse's *Impressions of Greece*, p. 316.

² Pausan. 4. 35. 9, 10.

which are found in Greece, such as the so-called Bath of Helen near Cenchreae on the Isthmus of Corinth¹, and that on the peninsula of Methana in Argolis. Of the latter of these he says,—“The inhabitants relate that it was when Antigonos son of Demetrius was King of Macedon that the water first appeared; but it was not in the first instance water that gushed forth, but a mass of fire burst out of the earth, and after this ceased to burn the water rushed out, which even down to my time continues to flow, hot and excessively salt².” Another source in the west of Arcadia he speaks of as having jets of flame in its neighbourhood³. Still

Warm
Springs.

Fountain of
Deine.

more interesting is his mention of the fountain of Deine, which appeared in the sea on the Argolic coast near Thyrea, and which may still be seen about a thousand feet from the shore, rising in the midst of the salt water with a column of such volume as to force itself above the sea-level, and throw off concentric eddies all round⁴. The water which supplied this fountain he believed to be derived from the drainage of the Argon Pedion near Mantinea, which passed beneath the intervening range of Mount Artemisium by an underground channel; and at the present day it is thought to proceed from that neighbourhood, though rather from the plain of Tegea than from that of Mantinea.

To notice a few other natural features to which Pausanias draws attention—he describes the grotto at Taenarum, and remarks that there were no signs of subterraneous descent in it, such as the legends of the poets implied⁵: as to another point, however, his account is less accurate than that of Strabo, for he identifies the temple of Poseidon there with the grotto, whereas the earlier writer, as Leake has shewn, was right in regarding them as separate places, though near to one another⁶. Of the

¹ Pausan. 2. 2. 3.

² 2. 34. 1.

³ 8. 29. 1.

⁴ 8. 7. 1, 2; cp. Leake, *Travels in the Morea*, vol. 2. p. 480; E. Curtius, *Peloponnesos*, vol. 2, p. 373.

⁵ Pausan. 3. 25. 4, 5.

⁶ Strabo, 8. 5. 1; Leake, *Travels in the Morea*, vol. 1. pp. 296—300.

The
Corycian Cave.

enthusiasm, for he regards it as the most remarkable cavern he had ever seen, on account of its size and height, of the amount of light which penetrates into it, rendering unnecessary the use of torches, and of the stalagmites which are formed on the floor by the dripping from the roof—all which details correspond to its present appearance. From describing this spot he proceeds, with his usual fondness for digression, to notice three other caves with which he was acquainted in the west of Asia Minor—one called Steunos in Phrygia, which was consecrated to Cybele, another by the town of Themisonium in the neighbourhood of Laodiceia, in which the inhabitants took refuge at the time of the invasion of the country by the Gauls, and a third near Magnesia ad Macandrum, which was famous for a wonder-working statue of Apollo of great antiquity¹. Pausanias also displays great interest in trees. Thus he notices

Trees.

the immense height reached by the cypresses at Psophis in Arcadia², and the girth of the plane trees near Pharae in Achaia, within the hollow trunks of which banquets used to be held³; and he also mentions those which he believed to be the most ancient trees existing in the Greek sanctuaries; the four most conspicuous in that respect being the agnus castus which grew in the temple of Hera at Samos, the sacred oak at Dodona, and the olive trees in the Athenian Acropolis and at Delos⁴. The same taste leads him occasionally to remark on the vegetation of certain districts, as, for instance, where he describes the vast extent of oak-forest which lay between Mantinea and Tegea, and was called Pelagus, apparently from the aspect of the sea-like expanse of waving trees⁵. He mentions also that cotton, an extremely rare product in ancient times, was grown in Elis in his day. He

Cotton.

calls it 'byssus,' but clearly distinguishes it from both flax and hemp, and adds that it was not found elsewhere in Greece⁶.

But, notwithstanding that Pausanias has contributed in these and other ways to the enlargement of our geographical

¹ Pausan. 10. 32. 2—7.

² 8. 24. 7.

³ 7. 22. 1.

⁴ 8. 23. 5.

⁵ 8. 11. 1.

⁶ 5. 5. 2; cp. 6. 26. 6, and E. Curtius, *Peloponnesos*, vol. 1, p. 438; vol. 2, p. 10.

knowledge, the objects which chiefly attracted his attention were the cities with their public buildings, and the temples and other holy places which were found at short intervals from one another throughout the whole country. With the view of investigating these he travelled far and wide in Greece, collecting information everywhere on the spot, and carefully noting down his own observations. As might be expected, he devoted himself with especial enthusiasm to the examination of the great centres of antiquarian and mythological interest, such as Olympia and Delphi; and the excavation of these two sites in our own day, which in the one case has been already accomplished, and in the other is now in progress, has afforded ample opportunities of testing the accuracy of his enquiries. With regard to this point it is not too much to say that the descriptions which he drew up for the information of his contemporaries have proved a satisfactory guide to modern explorers. At Olympia, to which place alone Pausanias devotes forty chapters of his work, the correspondences are hardly less than marvellous. To exemplify this by a single instance—at the north-eastern angle of the Altis, or sacred enclosure, the foundations of the Treasuries, which he describes, are still remaining, and in front of them the bases of the Zanes, or statues of Zeus, the expense of erecting which was defrayed by the fines levied upon athletes who had transgressed the laws of the Olympic contests; and, in addition to this, between the extremity of the line which these formed and the Hall of Echo, exactly where he places it, a vaulted passage of some length has been found, which was the private entrance to the Stadium. And not only has his account of the position of the various buildings and of their architectural and ornamental details been verified, but the dedications on the bases of some of the statues are found to be almost in the same words which Pausanias has used in speaking of them. In like manner, at Delphi the Treasuries of the Athenians, the Sicyonians, and the Siphnians, which have been discovered at the sides of the Sacred Way that ascended in zigzags the steep slope by which the temple was approached from below, are all mentioned by our author.

Pausanias'
Researches in
Greece.

His Descrip-
tions of
Olympia and
Delphi.

A careful study of Pausanias' *Itinerary* enables us to trace with some confidence the routes which he followed in the course of his various tours through Greece. Routes which he followed. For the Peloponnese these were three in number—one in the east and south of that region, from Megara by Corinth, Argos, the Argolic Acte, Sparta, the Taenarian peninsula and Messene, to the frontier of Messenia and Arcadia; a second from the frontier of Arcadia and Elis by Olympia, Achaia, and Sicyon to Corinth; and finally a circular tour through Arcadia. In northern Greece he seems to have made four journeys, but here the question of the routes which he took is more complicated¹. In his description of districts he generally, though not universally, observed the principle of beginning with the central city, and then describing the roads that radiate from it. This is especially noticeable in the case of Mantinea and Megalopolis, from the former of which towns four, from the latter five, divergent routes are traced². In numerous instances also it is possible to assign the reason why the traveller preferred one of two routes in passing from one place to another, by pointing out the objects of special interest which attracted him in this or that direction. For instance, in journeying from Sicyon to Phlius he does not appear to have followed the direct road, for he does not describe it, whereas he does carefully describe the more circuitous one by way of Titane; and his reason for preferring this is easily discoverable in the interest which he shews in the rites observed in the temple of Asclepius in the last-named town³. It was from the journals which Pausanias kept on these tours that his work was ultimately compiled. Contents of his Book. The notes which were thus accumulated were then amplified, and were combined with historical and mythological notices, which were largely drawn from the treatises of earlier writers. The *Itinerary of Greece* is divided into ten books, the first of which is devoted to Attica and Megaris, and the seven following to the various provinces of the Peloponnese, two of them being

¹ See Heberdey, *Die Reisen des Pausanias in Griechenland*, p. 112.

² *Ibid.* pp. 40, 81—3, 89—90.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 41.

assigned to Elis on account of the importance of Olympia, while the ninth and tenth treat of Boeotia and Phocis.

An interesting controversy has prevailed of late years with regard to the trustworthiness of Pausanias as an authority. The traditional view of him as a painstaking archaeologist, conscientious in his investigations, and somewhat witless in his simplicity, was so firmly established, that it was startling to find that the contrary opinion could be maintained, and that the very traits which had produced an impression in his favour could be adduced as testimony against him. At the same time it could not be denied that there were certain features, both in his character as an author, and in his mode of dealing with his subject, which might reasonably excite suspicion. For *naïveté* and religious scrupulousness are certainly unusual traits in a writer of the second century after Christ; and when we find that he uses such expressions as 'they say,' and even 'I heard,' when quoting statements which are clearly borrowed from earlier writers, we are led to enquire whether his veracity is unimpeachable. On the strength of these

**The Question
of his
Veracity.**

and similar points it is maintained that the peculiar personality which is everywhere present to the student of Pausanias' work is merely a mask assumed for purposes of deception, and that its peculiar features are devices of art, intended to throw dust in the reader's eyes. His statements, also, that he had seen certain places and objects with his own eyes, and his mention of local *cicerones* who had furnished him with information on the spot, are to be regarded as mere pretences. Great stress is also laid on the extent of his indebtedness to other works—an obligation which within certain limits is admitted on all hands, though it should be added that this is found much more in the historical and mythological, than in the geographical and descriptive sections of his book. In accordance with this view Pausanias is to be regarded, not as a traveller or an independent investigator, but as a mere compiler, who utilised local handbooks for his purposes, and in default of these borrowed his notices of places from writers, some of whom lived several centuries before his time, so that he even described cities, which at the time when he wrote were for the

**The View
Adverse to
Pausanias.**

most part ruined or deserted, as if they were still flourishing. As he informs us that his place of residence was somewhere in Lydia¹, he is supposed hardly to have left that country; or, if he travelled in Greece at all, it was only over a small portion of it and in a superficial manner, so that he was able by that means to insert in his book recollections of his tours, with the object of giving it a modern colouring.

A little concession has turned the edge of some of the most formidable of these objections. The suspicious character of the ambiguous terms which Pausanias uses in quoting from other treatises is admitted, but they are shown to have been commonly employed by other ancient writers whose veracity is unquestioned; and he is proved to have introduced them *bona fide* and not with the view of disguising the origin of the statements, by the examination of a number of passages, where these expressions are used undisguisedly with reference to earlier compilations. It is also allowed that the name which he sometimes applies to *cicerones* (ἑξηγηταί) is on other occasions, and perhaps more frequently, intended to signify local handbooks, or, as we say, 'guides.' Nor is it doubted that he studied both these and all the other available books which related to the places which he visited, nor that he made extracts from these when it suited his purposes—an admission which explains the existence of numerous coincidences between his statements and those of other writers, but in no way justifies the charge of wholesale plagiarism. The question whether his descriptions of cities are anachronistic, and therefore could not have been derived from personal observation, in many cases cannot be determined from want of data, but in some instances these places are proved by the testimony of coins to have been still in existence in his age. With regard to the whole question it is well to bear in mind the improbabilities that are involved in this attempt to discredit Pausanias. In the first place it is no easy task for a writer to assume the mask so completely, as to leave the impression (which he does) that there is nothing counterfeit either in his enquiries or his religion. And, secondly, it is not likely that Pausanias would have exposed

Explanations
of his
Statements.

Difficulties
involved in the
Supposition.

himself to the ridicule of his contemporaries by taking his materials wholesale from writers who were familiar at that period, or by describing a well-known city like Athens as it existed some centuries before—and nothing less than this is implied. Moreover, it is the reverse of an easy task to make descriptions obtained at second hand from other writers pass muster as if they were derived from personal observation. The mere difficulty of determining the relative position of buildings and other objects within a certain area without ocular inspection is so great, that it seems impossible that a writer should have grouped them so accurately in his narrative as to have furnished again and again the clue to their identification by modern explorers; and this is what Pausanias has done. Good service has also been rendered in his defence by an enumeration of the passages in the *Itinerary* which imply autopsy on his part. By this it is shewn that there are fifty-five instances, in which the words used, if they are not unqualified falsehoods, are direct statements of personal observation; and the same thing is indirectly implied in a hundred and eleven others, in many of which it is further confirmed by other expressions which occur in the same context. In some cases we even find that Pausanias corrects the statements of an earlier authority

Recent Testi-
monies in his
Favour.

from his own inspection. Certain it is, that the confidence of modern archaeologists in the trustworthiness of this writer has not been lessened by these discussions. Thus Miss Harrison, in the Preface to her "Mythology and Monuments of Ancient Athens" (p. vii.), says with special reference to this subject, 'I feel bound to record my conviction that the narrative of Pausanias is no "Reise Romantik," but the careful, conscientious, and in some parts amusing and quite original narrative of a *bona fide* traveller.' Prof. Gardner, in his "New Chapters of Greek History" (p. 80), remarks, 'No part of Pausanias' work bears more satisfactory evidence of autopsy than does the book which treats of Mycenae.' And Mr G. C. Richards, one of the British excavators of Megalopolis, assures us that the result of the discoveries at that place is 'to establish the substantial accuracy of that author in one more instance.'¹

¹ *Excavations at Megalopolis*, p. 105: Supplementary Paper, No. 1, of the Hellenic Society. The leading works that have been written on the subject

The most serviceable treatise on geography which was produced after the time of Pausanias was the *Ethnica* of Stephanus of Byzantium. This author, about whose period very little is known, is believed by his editor, Westermann, who is the chief authority on the subject, to have lived at Constantinople during the beginning and middle of the sixth century, in the reign of Justinian I.¹ His work was a geographical lexicon, containing the names of countries and places that were known in antiquity, arranged in alphabetical order, to which a variety of information relating to their topography, history, mythology and etymology was appended. Unfortunately, the greater part of it survives only in an epitome, which was made at a somewhat later period by a grammarian called Hermolaus; and even this, if we may judge by the extremely meagre character of the articles in certain parts of the treatise, would seem to have been still further abbreviated by subsequent copyists.² Its principal usefulness at the present day arises from its supplementing the knowledge which we obtain from other sources, for the number of places which are named in it is very great—under the head of Alexandria alone eighteen cities of that name are introduced, under Heracleia twenty-three, and under Apollonia twenty-five—and the less important towns and tribes are not neglected. Two fragments of the original work of Stephanus, relating to Spain and Sicily, are preserved in the *De Administrando Imperio* and the *De Thematibus* of the Emperor Constantine Porphyrogenitus; the later articles under the letter Δ also exist in a separate manuscript; and the conclusion of the treatise, from X to Ω, to judge from the greater fulness of treatment which is found in that part, seems to be in the condition in which the author left it. From these portions we learn how much we have lost in not possessing the complete work, for in them we find that the compiler's statements are usually verified by quotations from ancient authors, amongst whom the name of

Stephanus
Byzantinus.

His *Ethnica*.

of Pausanias' trustworthiness are—for the attack, Kalkmann, *Pausanias der Perieget*, Berlin, 1886; for the defence, Gurlitt, *Ueber Pausanias*, Graz, 1890; Heberdey, *Die Reisen des Pausanias in Griechenland*, Vienna, 1894.

¹ *Stephani Ethnica*, ed. Westermann, p. vi.

² *Ibid.* p. xxiv.

Strabo is of frequent occurrence. The primary object of the writer was, no doubt, grammatical, for he makes a point in every case of giving the gentile names derived from those of the towns and countries, and often descants on these at disproportionate length; but he also records mythological traditions and historical events with which we should otherwise be unacquainted. Occasionally, too, his etymological remarks are not without value for the study of geography, owing to the light which they throw on the significance of place names. Thus under the heads of Agnûs, the Attic deme, and Schoenûs, a place in Arcadia, we find him noticing how frequently this termination was used in the nomenclature of places in Greece, to signify the abundance of certain trees and plants in their neighbourhood. Besides Agnûs, which is derived from the agnus castus, he mentions five other demes of Attica which have this peculiarity—Acherdûs from the wild pear-tree, Phegûs from the esculent oak, Myrrhinûs from the myrtle, Rhamnûs from the thorn, and Marathûs from the fennel. In like manner, in addition to Schoenûs, which is called from the rush, he names Pityûs, which is derived from the pine, Daphnûs from the bay, Ericûs from the heather, Scillûs from the squill, and Selinûs from parsley. The method of comparison which these observations imply seems like an anticipation of modern forms of enquiry.

Two writers of this later period remain to be noticed, Solinus and Orosius, though their importance is due, not to any additions which they made to geographical knowledge, but to the influence which they exercised during the middle ages. Their works, rather than those of the famous authors of antiquity, were the source of the classical geography of the men of letters and the map-makers of that period. The earlier of these, C. Julius Solinus, who probably lived in the third century of our era, composed a book entitled *Collectanea Rerum Memorabilium*, which was intended to be a survey of the different countries of the world, with notices of the most interesting objects in them and of the peculiarities of their inhabitants. How great its influence was, is shewn by the use which was made of it by writers like Augustine

Character of
its Contents.

Solinus.

His
Memorabilia.

and Priscian, and especially by Isidore of Seville, the fame of whose profound learning, existing as it did in the midst of the darkness of the seventh century, caused his *Origines* to be widely read by those who came after him¹. To these we may add, as a specimen of those authors who availed themselves of Solinus' writings at a much later date, the literary adviser of Dante's youth, Brunetto Latini, whose encyclopaedic work, *Il Tesoro*, however we may estimate it at the present day, was highly valued by his contemporaries. Not only does Brunetto derive many of his facts of natural history from Solinus, but his geography also is largely drawn from him. Thus, to take one or two instances, the account which we find in the *Tesoro* of the practice of 'dumb commerce' in China, the mention of Canopus as a conspicuous star in the island of Taprobane, the story of the Tigris passing through the Lake of Van, and the description of the burning mountain in south Africa, which was originally derived from Hanno's narrative already mentioned, are all directly borrowed from the *Memorabilia*².

Mediaeval
Estimate of
him.

After thus noticing the high position as an authority which this writer once occupied, it is curious to observe the low estate to which he has fallen in our own day. Mommsen, in his excellent edition of Solinus, in order to shew the sources from which his information is derived, has noted throughout in the margin the name of the author from whom each several statement is borrowed: and by this means what already was generally believed has been proved in detail, namely that the whole work is a mere compilation. By far the greater part of it—including all the passages which we have noticed as being reproduced by Brunetto Latini—is taken from Pliny; a certain amount also from Mela, and from sources which we cannot now identify. The editor severely adds:—'the statements which Solinus introduced on his own account are altogether valueless, and we may be thankful that they are so few³.' It may be

Modern
Estimate.

¹ Compare the tables in Mommsen's ed. of Solinus, pp. 255 foll.

² Dumb commerce, Brun. Lat. *Tesoro*, ed. Gaiter, vol. 2, p. 22, Sol. *Memorabilia*, 50. 4; Canopus, B. L. p. 26, Sol. 53. 7; Tigris, B. L. p. 18, Sol. 37. 5 foll.; burning mountain, B. L. p. 50, Sol. 30. 14.

³ Pref. p. xi.

mentioned, however, in his favour, that he is the only ancient writer who notices the Isle of Thanet (*Tanatus Insula*). This he describes as receiving the breezes of the Gallic strait (Straits of Dover), and as being separated from the mainland of Britain only by a narrow inlet: it rejoices in corn-land and a fruitful soil, and moreover dispenses its benefits beyond its own borders, for not only does it harbour no snakes itself, but the earth that is exported from it kills the snakes in any country to which it is taken¹.

Paulus Orosius, who was a native of Tarragona in Spain, and

Orosius. lived in the early part of the fifth century, was the

His *Historiae*. author of a work entitled *Historiae adversus Paganos*. This, as he tells us in his Preface, was undertaken at the suggestion of St. Augustine, in order to answer the

complaint of the heathen of that age, that the calamities which had then fallen on the empire were due to the neglect of the ancient divinities arising from the spread of Christianity, by shewing that similar disasters had befallen mankind from the earliest period. In reality it is an epitome of the annals of the world down to the writer's own time, and in this character it became the chief mediaeval authority for the facts and dates of ancient history. It is frequently quoted by Bede, and was translated into Anglo-Saxon by Alfred the Great. In Dante's prose works Orosius is several times referred to by name, and in other places he can be recognised as his authority, though unacknowledged. By way of an introduction to this historical sketch, an

Its Geographical Section.

outline of universal geography is prefixed to it, which is principally taken up with describing the boundaries of countries. It has numerous errors,

and the relative positions of the various lands are strangely distorted; but, notwithstanding this, its popularity at a later period was not less than that attained by the historical portion of the work. The influence of this geographical section is frequently traceable in the *Divina Commedia*, and in the *De Monarchia* Dante refers to it in support of the statement that Mount Atlas and the Fortunatae Insulae are the western limits of Africa².

¹ *Memorabilia*, 22. 8.

² Dante, *De Monarch.* 2. 3; Oros. *Hist.*, 1. 2. 11.

The impression which a study of these later writers on geography leaves most strongly imprinted on our minds, is that of the transitoriness of the influence of Ptolemy's geographical work in antiquity—or rather, perhaps, of the slight extent to which it at any time affected the ordinary Roman mind. The same thing may be said of the greater part of the knowledge of distant countries that was acquired after the Augustan age. Most of the old errors now reappear, and the conception of the map of the world is much rather that of Strabo than that of Ptolemy. The habitable globe is once more confined to the northern hemisphere. The southward extension of Africa is ignored, and the Nile is supposed to cross that continent from west to east in a line parallel to the Southern Ocean. Egypt is regarded as forming part of Asia, and Africa commences on the western side of that country. The Ganges flows into the Eastern Ocean, and the Caspian, the true character of which as an inland piece of water Ptolemy had reasserted after centuries of misconception, is once more treated as an inlet from the sea. These and numerous other errors were perpetuated by subsequent writers, and, with the addition of other fictitious features derived from ecclesiastical sources, became embedded in mediæval cartography. It should be remembered, as a partial explanation of this, that Ptolemy's *Geography* was not translated into Latin until the year 1405 A.D., and consequently, in proportion as the knowledge of the Greek language died out in the West, the chances of its contents being known in that part of the world steadily diminished. The Arabian geographers, indeed, became acquainted with it, and through them certain of its principles were imparted to European scholars; but the effect thus produced was very limited in its range. With the revival of letters, however, a new era dawned for Ptolemy's reputation, and a nearer acquaintance with his work, which was then rendered accessible to readers, not only established his authority, but caused even an exaggerated importance to be attributed to his statements.

Transient
Character of
Ptolemy's
Influence.

Earlier Errors
revived.

In the course of the survey of Ancient Geography which we

have now concluded, we have traced the development of the science from its earliest beginnings, when it was confined to a small portion of the east of the Mediterranean, until the time when it embraced the whole of the habitable world that was known to the ancients.

**Retrospect
and Summary.**

We have seen that the Phoenicians were the first civilised people who acquired information on this subject, but that the selfishness of their commercial policy prevented them from imparting to others the knowledge which they possessed themselves; in consequence of which the accumulation of facts bearing on geography, and the practice of recording them in such a way as to render them useful to contemporaries and instructive to future generations, was reserved for the Greeks—a people who, both by the versatility of their intellect and the communicativeness of their temperament, were especially qualified to undertake such a task. The first intimations of an acquaintance on the

**Continuous
Advance of
Knowledge
of General
Geography.**

part of that race with distant lands and peoples have been traced in the incidental mention in the Homeric poems of strange sights which were characteristic of other latitudes, and of objects of commerce which must have been derived from far countries. After this, when the great outburst of colonising enterprise took place which prevailed during the eighth and seventh centuries before Christ, the shores of the Aegean, the Propontis and the Euxine towards the east, those of Sicily, Italy, and to some extent of Gaul and Spain, to the west, and even Egypt and the neighbouring parts of Libya, were revealed to them. Then followed the wars with Persia; and the interest which these excited in that great kingdom and in the races of which it was composed stimulated enquiry into the continent of Asia. The information which was obtained from the two sources just named was embodied in the work of Herodotus, and was verified and enlarged by the personal investigations of that writer. Subsequently to this the knowledge of special districts was increased by the expedition of Hanno the Carthaginian along the west coast of Africa, and by the retreat of the Ten Thousand under Xenophon from Mesopotamia to the Black Sea over the highlands of Armenia. But the period that witnessed the most

marked advance in geographical knowledge was the latter half of the fourth century before our era. It was at that time that Alexander carried his victorious arms as far eastward as Bactria and India, and explored the shores of the Indian Ocean; while in the opposite direction Pytheas was investigating the western coasts of Europe and the wonders of the northern sea. The task of enlarging the field of knowledge now passed into the hands of the Romans, and we have seen how the campaigns of Lucullus and Pompey in Armenia and Iberia, the progressive subjugation of Spain, Gaul, and Britain, and finally the expeditions that were undertaken against Germany and other countries to the northward of the Alps, revealed to view large areas, about which before that time only vague rumours had prevailed. The facts that were thus brought to light were diligently harvested by learned men amongst the Greeks. The Augustan age formed the culminating point of these discoveries, and it was during that period that the sum of the information which had thus been acquired was once for all brought together, and diligently sifted and arranged, in the comprehensive work of Strabo.

We have also traced side by side with the growth of this part of the subject the gradual development of scientific enquiry about the earth and its component elements. In the domain of physical geography it has been seen how the early observation of earthquake movements and volcanic phenomena by the Greeks led up to the speculations of Aristotle on the causes which produced them, and afterwards to the examination and comparison of them by travellers like Posidonius; and how the tides of the ocean were made known to the dwellers about the Mediterranean, and the causes of their recurrence were explained, by Pytheas and other voyagers. In mathematical geography the process of development has been even more apparent. There we have noticed the early introduction of the gnomon as an instrument of measurement, and the primitive attempts at map-making and the division of the world into continents. At the same time the Homeric conception of the earth as a circular plane, which was still maintained by the Ionian school of philosophers, and was not wholly exploded in Herodotus' time,

and of Scientific Geography.

gave way before the belief in its sphericity, the arguments for which were formally stated by Aristotle. Further advances were made at a later period by means of the measurement of the circumference of the earth, and the computation of the size of the habitable world, by Eratosthenes; and the commencement of a system of parallels and meridians was made by that man of science and Hipparchus. It was reserved for Ptolemy after the lapse of several centuries to complete this, and at the same time the new and scientific system of projection which he invented laid the foundation on which a great part of modern cartography is based.

INDEX.

- Acampsis, river, 114**
Acesines, river, 138
Acrocorinth, the, measurement of the height of, 337
Actae of Herodotus, 83; compared with the 'sphragides' of Eratosthenes, 181
Aden, 276
Adramyttium, the same name as Hadrumetum, 5
Adulis, port of Auxuma, 274
Aegean Sea, Phoenician settlements in the, 5
Aeneas Tacticus, on signalling stations, 332; his method of signalling, 334
Aeschylus, on the boundary between Europe and Asia, 68; on the meaning of the name Rhegium, 197; his description of the fire-beacons, 328
Aethale (Elba), 73
Aethiopia, expedition of Petronius into, 224; gold-mines of, 186; Auxuma the capital of, 274; expeditions of Septimius Flaccus and Julius Maternus into, 353
Aethiopians, meaning of the name, 26; mentioned in the Iliad, 26; more definitely in the Odyssey, 27; in Herodotus, 93, 96; in Agatharchides, 204
Aetna, the poem of, 321
Africa, described by Herodotus, 94—7; its northern coast, 94; its interior, 95—7; believed to have been circumnavigated, 99; its southward projection unknown to Eratosthenes, 182; and to Strabo, 250; noticed in the 'Periplus Maris Erythraei', 275; and by Ptolemy, 345; Africa described by Dionysius Periegetes, 283; later errors about, 367
Agatharchides, his work on the Erythraean Sea, 185; on the inundation of the Nile, 63; on the Aethiopian gold-mines, 186—8; on the fauna of Aethiopia, 201; on the Ichthyophagi, 203; on the Aethiopian tribes, 204
Agathodaemon, 345
Agathyrsi, 86
Agisymba, 353
Agricola, his campaigns in Britain, 287; his chain of forts, 288; his expedition to the Orcades, 288
Agrigentum, 54; described by Polybius, 212
Agrippa, his wall-map, 236; the original source of the Itineraries, 306; his roads in Gaul, 236
Alans, first mentioned by Dionysius Periegetes, 284
Albani, customs of the, 222
Albion, 110, 346
Albis (see Elbe)
Alexander the Great, effects of his conquests, 122; his political and social aims, 123; his Eastern expedition, 125 foll.; its importance for geography, 123, 124; his death at Babylon, 141
Alexandria, its importance to geography, 14; its Museum and Library, 145, 166; its central position, 145; Strabo's careful description of, 260
Alexandria ad Caucasum, 133, 137
Alexandria Eschate, 135
Allahabad, 150
Alpheius, river, its disappearance, 10
Alpis, 84
Alps, passes over the, 210; Roman roads over, 301, 304; Strabo's description of their features, 315; estimates of their height, 335

- Altai Chain, first mentioned by Ptolemy, 351
 Amanus, Mt, 126, 257; crossed by the main Roman road, 305
 Amasis, his encouragement of the Greeks, 57
 Amber trade, in Homer, 31; route through Pannonia, 32; route through Gaul, 32; in the hands of the Phoenicians in the Mediterranean, 33; at the mouths of the Po, 33; in the German Sea, 164
 Ammonium, 128
 Amu Daria, river, 134
 'Anabasis,' of Xenophon, 113; of Arrian, 124
 Anaxagoras, on the inundation of the Nile, 63; on earthquakes, 198
 Anaximander, his views on the shape of the earth, 60; introduced the gnomon into Greece, 64; the first map-maker, 64; on earthquakes, 197
 Anthropology, 203; Strabo's interest in, 246
 Antichthones, 262
 Antimenidas, 58
 Antonine Itinerary, the, 306
 Antoninus, his wall in Britain, 288
 Aornos, 137
 Apes, mode of catching in India, 201
 Aquae Albulae, 355
 Arabia, carefully described by Eratosthenes, 183
 Arabia Eudaemon, 276
 Arabian Geographers, acquainted with Ptolemy's 'Geography,' 367
 Arabian Gulf (Red Sea), 81
 Arachosia, 133, 140
 Aral, Sea of, unknown to the ancients, 82, 134
 Ararat, Mt, 114, 130
 Araxes, river, 73, 114, 116; confusion of Herodotus about, 82; its junction with the Cyrus, 221
 Arbela, battle of, 129
 Arcadia, disappearance of rivers in, 196
 Archimedes, on the convexity of the sea, 168
 Archytas, 169
 Arctic circle, different meanings of the term, 179, 180
 Arctic regions, described by Pytheas, 162
 Arctic Sea, supposed to be navigable, 285
 Arelate, 300
 Argaeus, Mt, ascents of, 247, 321; Strabo's description of, 321
 Argippaci, a Kalmuck tribe, 87
 Argonautic legend, 19; its historical significance, 20
 Ariana, description of, 130
 Aristagoras, his map, 65; his share in the Ionian revolt, 70; on the distance from Ionia to Susa, 90
 Aristobulus, 124
 Aristotle, his illustrations frequently derived from Greece, 11, 185; his notice of dwarfish tribes in Africa, 29; on the inundation of the Nile, 63; instructor of Alexander the Great, 125; his mistake about the Jaxartes, 135; his importance to scientific geography, 145, 166; on the zones, 179; on rivers, 196; on earthquakes and volcanic action, 198; on historical geography, 205
 Armenia, geographical features of, 113, 114; Xenophon's march across, 116—118; campaigns of Lucullus in, 218
 Armorica, 110; visited by Pytheas, 155; Strabo's error about, 155
 Aromata, prom., 274
 Arrian, his history of Alexander's campaigns, 124; description of the Oasis of Anmon, 128; account of the voyage of Nearchus, 141; his Periplus, 294
 Arsanius, river, 219, 270
 Arsene, lake, 268
 Artacoana, 133
 Artemidorus, 190
 Asia, boundaries of, 68, 69; meaning of the name of, 69; scanty notices of the geography of in Herodotus, 89; Strabo's account of, 256; notices of Eastern Asia, 280
 Asia Minor, products of, 46; mistaken views about the width of, 79, 89, 323; carefully described by Strabo, 258
 Aspects of nature revealed by Alexander's expedition, 124
 Astaboras, river, 146, 204
 Astacus, 50
 Astarte, represented by the Greek Aphrodite, 5; worship of at Eryx, 6; plants the pomegranate in Cyprus, 39
 Atabyrium, in Rhodes, temple of Zeus on its summit, 318; the same

- name as Tabor, 3; found also in Sicily, 212; measurement of its height, 336
- Atak, 137
- Athos, Mt, an altar on its summit, 318
- 'Atlantic Islands,' the, 225
- Atlantic Ocean, first mentioned by Herodotus, 80; visited by Himilco, 111
- Atlas, supporting the heavens, 21; chain of, 95; crossed by Suetonius Paullinus, 291
- Augila, Oasis of, 96
- Augusta Vindelicorum (Augsburg), 304
- Augustan age, the culminating point of the study of geography, 15, 238
- Automoli, 93, 147
- Auxuma, 274
- Avienus, on the Oestrymnides, 36, 110; his 'Ora Maritima,' 109; his Latin Translation of the 'Periegesis' of Dionysius, 286
- Azov, Sea of, breeding-place of the tunny, 46; (see Macotis)
- Bab el-Mandeb, Straits of, 274
- Babylon, described by Herodotus, 77; occupied by Alexander, 129; death of Alexander at, 141
- Babylonia, system of canals in, 259
- Bactra, 134
- Bactria, invaded by Alexander, 134
- Balbus, his expedition against the Garamantes, 223
- Baltic Sea, 289
- Bambotum, river, 106
- Banyan tree, described by Onesicritus, 139
- Baraces, inlet, 277
- Barca, 56
- Barygaza (Baroche), 277, 281
- Becare, 280
- Belerion, prom., 156
- Beluchistan, 140
- Bent, Mr, on the Hadramaut, 203; on the Locust-eaters in Abyssinia, 204; on the cave-dwellers of Dho-far, 276
- Berenice, commercial station at, 146; Roman road to, 306
- Berger, Dr H., on the Periplus of Scylax, 119; on Pytheas' parallels of latitude, 161
- Bessus, satrap of Bactria, 131; capture of by Alexander, 135
- Bingheul-dagh, 114
- Bissagos, Bay, 106
- Bitlis, 116, 269
- Bolan Pass, 140
- Bore of the Indus, 139; of the Ner-budda, 277
- Boundaries of the three continents, 67, 68, 82, 282
- Bradley, Mr H., on Ptolemy's Geography of the British Isles, 348; his explanation of Ptolemy's error about the position of Scotland, 347
- Brahmans, tenets of noticed by Herodotus, 92; their life described by Megasthenes, 152
- Brenner Pass, 304
- Britain, its tin trade, 36; visited and described by Pytheas, 156; invaded by Caesar, 230; its sunless climate, 245; conquests of Claudius, Suetonius Paullinus, Agricola, and Antoninus Pius, 287, 288; Tacitus' description of, 288; Roman roads in, 302-4; Ptolemy's map of, 346-51
- Brittany, visited by Pytheas, 156; its trade with Britain, 36, 156
- Brunetto Latini, his copious use of Solinus, 365
- Bucephala, 138
- Budini, 86
- Bunbury, Sir E. H., on the wanderings of Ulysses, 29; on the circumnavigation of Africa, 101
- Buvinda, river, 351
- Byzantium, 50
- Cabaeon, prom., 156
- Cabeliri, worship of in Samothrace, 5; meaning of the name, 5
- Cabul, 130, 133
- Caesar, his conquest of Gaul, 228; his ethnographical and geographical notices, 229; his description of the country of the Veneti, 230; his expeditions into Britain, 230; into Germany, 232
- 'Camarae' vessels on the Euxine, 223
- Camarina, 53
- Cambay, Gulf of, 277
- Camulodunum, 287
- Canal from the Nile to the Red Sea, 146
- Canaries, islands, 226, 342
- Candahar, 133
- Cantiu, Cape, 105

- Cantion, prom., 156, 348
 Cappadocia, absence of trees in, 258
 Cardinal points, determined in Greece by the winds, 41
 Carmania, 140
 Carpathians, the, first mentioned by Ptolemy, 351
 Carpis, 84
 Carthage, its admirable position, 6; compared to that of Sinope, 47
 Carthage, New, described by Polybius, 213; silver mines of, 210
 Casius, Mt, ascended by Hadrian, 314
 Caspatyrus, 74, 101
 Caspian Gates, 131
 Caspian Sea, mentioned by Hecataeus, 73; regarded by Herodotus as an inland sea, 81; also by Aristotle, 136; supposed by Alexander to be the Palus Maeotis, 132; regarded as an inlet from the ocean, 136, 282; the error corrected by Ptolemy, 345; revived at a later period, 367
 Cassiterides, islands, opinions as to their situation, 37, 38; voyage of Publius Crassus to, 38; their existence disbelieved by Herodotus, 80
 Caste System in India, 151
 'Catalogue of Ships' in Homer, geographical value of, 24
 Cataracts of the Nile, described by Herodotus, 92
 Caucasus, called by Aeschylus the highest of mountains, 68; described by Theophrastus, 220; tribes of, 222; crampons used, and tobogganing practised in, 315
 Cave-dwellers, in Arabia, 276
 Caverns, described by Pausanias, 356
 Celts, 79, 84, 191, 253; in North Italy, 120
 Cerne, island, 104, 105, 119, 283
 Ceylon (see Taprobane)
 Chalcedon, 50
 Chalcidice, 49
 Chalcis, its colonies in Thrace, 49; in Italy, 50
 Chandragupta, 148
 Chersonese, Tauric, 85; accurately described by Strabo, 255
 Chersonesus, town of, 50
 Chester, 287
 China, 281
 Chitral, traversed by Alexander, 137
 Choaspes, river, 90
 Chryse, 281, 285, 346
 Cicero, on mountain scenery, 317
 Cilician Gates, pass of the, crossed by Alexander, 126
 Cimbrian Promontory, 290
 Cimmerian Bosphorus, 82, 85
 Cimmerians, the, in Homer, 30; their inroad into Asia Minor, 84
 Cinnamon Country, the, 147, 173
 Cinyps, river, 94
 Circumnavigation of Africa, 99
 Climata, of Hipparchus, 175; of Ptolemy, 343
 Climate, Strabo's remarks on, 245
 Climax, pass of, 126, 246
 Clota, 350
 Codanus Sinus, 289
 Colchester, the first Roman colony in Britain, 287
 Coliacum, prom., 273
 Colonies, the Greek, causes of the establishment of, 43; qualifications for the site of, 44, 51; early development of, 44; geography promoted by, 45; Delphic oracle influential in founding, 66
 Commagene, 91, 257
 Comorin, Cape, 273, 280
 Continents, division of the world into, 67, 181; their boundaries, 67, 68; their names, 69; opinion of Herodotus, 81, 82; the three compared by Strabo, 252
 Cophen, river, 137
 Coptos, commercial station on the Nile, 146; Roman road to, 305
 Coral, between India and Ceylon, 273
 Corbilo, 37
 Corinth, its connexion with the purple trade, 5
 Corinth, Isthmus of, its importance to Greece, 214
 Corycian Cave, described by Pausanias, 356
 Cosmas Indicopleustes, 160
 Cosmical beliefs of Herodotus, their primitive character, 78
 Cotton in India, noticed by Herodotus, 92; in Greece, 357
 Cottonara, 280
 Crampons, used in the Caucasus, 315, 316
 Crassus, Publius, his voyage to the Cassiterides, 38
 Craterus, his return march from the Indus, 139, 140

- Croton, 51
 Culture early developed in the Greek colonies, 44
 Cumae, 50
 Curtius, his history of Alexander's campaigns, 124; description of the country to the south of the Caspian, 132; of Bactria, 134
 Curzon, Hon. G. N., on the Oxus, 134; on the Zerafshan, 135
 Cutch, Gulf and Runn of, 277
 Cyllene, Mt, measurements of the height of, 336, 337
 Cypress, the, in Greece, 40; derived from Afghanistan, 40
 Cyrenaica, in Homer, 26
 Cyrene, its site and commerce, 56
 Cyrus, river, date of its junction with the Araxes, 221
 Cyzicus, its situation, 47; its famous arsenal, 48
- Dacinabades, meaning of the name, 278
 Dacia, reduced to a Roman province by Trajan, 290; abandoned by Aurelian, 291
 Dante, on the common source of the Tigris and Euphrates, 271; his use of Orosius as a geographical authority, 366
 Danube, the northern boundary of the Roman empire, 235
 Daphnae, in Egypt, 56
 Darius Codomannus, opposed to Alexander, 125, 126, 129; his death, 131
 Darius Hystaspis, completed the Nile and Red Sea canal, 146
 Dead Sea, the, described by Pliny, 266
 Deccan, the, not known to the ancients before the Christian era, 149; noticed in the 'Periplus Maris Erythraei,' 278; etymology of the name, 278
 Deine, fountain in the sea, 356
 Delphi, regarded as the centre of the earth, 65; its importance in the Homeric age, 66; at a later period, 66; described by Pausanias, 358
 Delta of Egypt, speculations on its formation, 61, 197
 Demavend, Mt, 131
 Denmark, known as the Cimbric promontory, 290
- Descriptive Geography, the subjects it treats of, 2
 Desjardins, M., on the Peutinger Table, 310, 311
 Dhofar, region of, 276
 Dicaearchus, 170; his contributions to map-making, 180; his measurements of the height of mountains, 336
 Dio Cassius, on Hadrian's frontier system, 295
 Diodorus, on the Cassiterides, 37; on the island of Basilis, 164; on sunrise seen from Ida, 323
 Dionysius Periegetes, his geographical poem, 281—7
 Dioscorides, island of, 276
 Dioscurias, 49, 222
 Dongola, 224
 Dorieus, 66
 Drangiana, 133, 139
 Drusus (the elder), his campaigns in Germany, 232; his navigation of the Northern Ocean, 232; his conquest of Rhaetia, 234
 Dumb Commerce, mentioned by Herodotus, 94; by Pliny and Solinus, 365
 Dwarfs, in Africa, 97; mentioned in the story of Sataspes, 103 (see Pygmies)
- Early notices of distant countries among the Greeks, chiefly of Phoenician origin, 20
 Early travellers, means of testing their reports, 17
 Earth, measurement of the, 168 foll.; by Eratosthenes, 170; by Posidonius, 192
 Earthquakes, in Greece, 11; in Lydia, 61; speculations on, 197—200; relieved by volcanoes, 199
 Ecbatana, Alexander's depot at, 130
 Egnatian Way, the, 236
 Egypt, mentioned in Homer, 26; position of the Greeks in, 56, 57; described by Herodotus, 92; occupied by Alexander, 127; a home of learning under the Ptolemies, 145; Strabo's travels in, 241, 260
 Eirion, inlet, 277
 Elbe, river, Augustus' limit of the empire, 289
 Elburz, chain of, 131
 Electrides, islands, 164

- Elephant-hunting on the Astaboras, 146; in India, 152
 Embassies from the West to Alexander, 141; from Taprobane to Rome, 273
 Emodus, mountains, 149; meaning of the name, 149
 Empedocles, story of his death on Etna, 320
 Emporiae, 55
 Eneti, tribe, known to Herodotus, 84
 Ephorus, the forerunner of Polybius, 206; his advanced criticisms, 207
 Epithets, local, accuracy of in Homer, 23
 Erannaboas, river, 150
 Eratosthenes, on the local epithets in Homer, 23; on the inundation of the Nile, 63; his twofold division of the world, 67; his measurement of the earth, 170—72; of the habitable world, 172—4; his parallels of latitude, 174, 175, 181; his meridians of longitude, 177, 178, 181; on the zones, 180; his map of the world, 180; his Sphragides, 181; his geographical treatise, 182
 Eridanus, river, etymology of the name of, 34; disbelieved in by Herodotus, 80
 Ermine Street, 303
 Erythraean Sea, 80; Agatharchides' work on, 185
 Erzeroum, 117
 Essenes, the, described by Pliny, 266
 Etna, ascended by Hadrian, 314; Seneca's notice of, 319; Strabo's description of the summit of, 319—321
 Etymander, river, 133
 Eudoxus of Cnidos, his astronomical observations, 165
 Eudoxus of Cyzicus, his voyages, 189
 Euphrates, its course known to Herodotus, 90; its sources in Armenia, 114, 117; its stream crossed by Xenophon, 116; by Alexander, 128; described by Strabo, 257; its supposed common source with the Tigris, 271; Roman military frontier along, 298; crossings at Thapsacus and the Zeugma, 305
 Euripus, currents of the, 11, 185, 192
 Europe, boundaries of, 67—9; meaning of the name of, 69; where first mentioned, 69; Herodotus' knowledge of, 83, 84
 Euxine, Greek colonies on the, 46; dangers and attractions of, 46
 Evans, Mr A. J., 32
 Exampaeus, in Scythia, 85
 Expeditions, before the time of Alexander, 98 foll.; of Alexander, 122 foll.; of Pytheas, 152 foll.; of Polybius on the African coast, 209; of Agricola to the Orcades, 288; of Suetonius Paullinus to Central Africa, 291; of Nero to the Nile, 291; of Septimius Flaccus to Aethiopia, 353; of Julius Maternus to the Soudan, 353
 Fartak, Cape, 276
 Fauna, observation of, 201
 Ferro, used as a prime meridian, 342
 Flinders Petrie, Mr, discovery of Naucratis by, 57
 Flora, observation of by Theophrastus and others, 200; by Strabo, 246
 Fortunatae Insulae, 226; Ptolemy's prime meridian, 342
 Fosse Way, 303
 Fountains, described by Pausanias, 355
 Freshfield, Mr D. W., on the crampsons of the Caucasus, 316
 Friesland, amber from the coast of, 163, 164
 Frontier fortresses, Roman, 294; chiefly organised by Hadrian, 295
 Gades, meaning of the name, 7; noticed by Herodotus, 84; its immense commerce, 253
 Gaetulia, 95
 Galicia, tin mines of, 35, 37
 Gambia River, 106
 Ganges, the, known to Megasthenes, 149; believed to flow into the Eastern Ocean, 250, 286; the error corrected, 281; and repeated, 367
 Garamantes, the, 96, 353; expedition of Balbus against, 223
 Gardner, Prof. P., 57; on Pausanias' veracity, 362
 Gaugamela, 129
 Gaul, early trade-route through, 32; the Roman province in, 228; Caesar's conquest of, 228 foll.; his description of, 229; Roman roads in, 236, 300, 302; completeness of the river-system in, 253
 Gauls, the, described by Posidonius, 205

- Gaza, captured by Alexander, 127
 Gedrosia, 140
 Gela, foundation of, 53
 Geloni, 87
 Geminus, 159
 Geographical discoveries, their stimulating effect, 15, 16
 Geographical eras and centres, 13—15
 Geography, its central position among the sciences, 1; its subdivisions, 2; the study almost confined to the Greeks, 12; its limited character in antiquity, 16; advanced by the Greek colonies, 43; by Alexander's expedition, 123; by the Roman conquests, 216; its decline after Ptolemy, 367
 Geology, Strabo's interest in, 245
 'Germania' of Tacitus, its ethnographical interest, 289
 Germany, invaded by Caesar, 232; campaigns of Drusus and Tiberius in, 232, 233; the country less known afterwards, 289
 Ghuzni, 133
 Gir and Nigir, rivers, 353
 Glaesiae, islands, 164
 Glaesum, etymology of the word, 164
 Gnomon, invention of the, 64; an improved kind used by Eratosthenes, 170
 Gold mines, Aethiopian, 186
 Golden Chersonese, 281, 346
 Gorillas, 108
 Granicus, battle of the, 125
 Greece, a suggestive country for the study of geography, 9, 184; its principal features, 10, 11; imperfectly described by Strabo, 256; Pausanias' description of, 354 foll.
 Greek explorers, 12
 Greeks, the, their qualifications for the study of geography, 8
 Guardafui, Cape, 100, 147, 274
 Gymnias, 117
 Habitable world, measurement of by Eratosthenes, 172—4; by Ptolemy, 341
 Hadramaut, district of, 142, 276; inhabitants of, 203
 Hadrian, the chief organiser of Roman frontier defences, 294 foll.; his ascents of mountains, 313, 314; his restoration of buildings in Greece, 354
 Haemus, Mt. ascended by Philip V of Macedon, 322; Pliny's estimate of its height, 335
 Halys, river, not mentioned in Homer, 22; the western limit of the Cappadocians, 90; crossed by the Royal Road, 90
 Hanno, expedition of, 104; his 'Periplus,' 104
 Harang, island, 107
 Harmosia, 142
 Harpasus, Xenophon's name for the Acampsia, 117
 Harrison, Miss, on Pausanias' veracity, 362
 Harud, river, 133
 Heberdey, on Pausanias' routes in Greece, 359; on his veracity, 360—63
 Hecataeus, on the formation of the Delta, 62; on the inundation of the Nile, 63; his division of the world into continents, 67, 68; the Father of Geography, 70; his political wisdom, 70; his sources of information, 71; his geographical work, 71; its general geography, 72; its contents, 73, 74
 Hecatompylus, 132
 Hellas, in Homer, 23
 Hellespont, compared with the Straits of Gibraltar by Polybius, 214
 Helmund, river, 133
 Hemeroscopeium, 55
 Heptastadion of Alexandria, 146
 Heraclea, 50
 Heracleides Ponticus, on the rotation of the earth, 166
 Heracles, representing the Phoenician Melcarth, 5, 20; the fire-god, 11
 Herat, 130, 133
 Herodotus, his life, 76; extent of his travels, 77; his general view of geography, 78; his primitive cosmical beliefs, 78; his attempts at drawing a meridian, 79; his conception of the map of the world, 80; contents of his work, 83; date of its composition, 76; his remarks on frankincense and cinnamon in Arabia, 7; his disbelief in the Cassiterides, 38; on the Nile and the Delta of Egypt, 61—3; on Mardonius' line of fire-beacons, 330; on the shield displayed at Marathon, 331
 Hesiod, his description of the Styx, 24

- Hibernia, Caesar's notice of, 231;
Ptolemy's account of, 351
- Himalaya, its classical names, 149
- Himera, 54
- Himilco, his expedition, 36, 109
- Hindustan, the peninsula of, known to the author of the 'Periplus Maris Erythraci,' 278; Ptolemy's error about, 345
- Hindu Kush, 124, 133; crossed by Alexander, 134, 137
- Hippalus, voyage of, 279
- Hipparchus, his confidence in Pytheas, 155, 166; his *Climata*, 175; his method of determining longitudes, 178
- Hippopotami, 106
- Historians of Alexander's expedition, 124
- Historical Geography, the subjects it treats of, 2; as found in Aristotle, 205; in Ephorus, 206; in Polybius, 208, 211; in Strabo, 246, 252
- History of Geography, its importance, 1
- Hogarth, Mr, 91
- Homer, extravagant veneration of as a geographical authority, 256
- Homeric conception of the earth, 20
- Homeric Poems, geography of the, 21 foll.; rumours of distant countries in, 29; trade-routes implied in, 31; foreign trees mentioned in, 40; accuracy of local epithets in, 23
- Honey, poisonous, affecting Xenophon's and Pompey's soldiers, 118
- Horace, on the pine-trees of Pontus, 46; on the site of Tarentum, 52
- Hot springs in Greece, 11; described by Pausanias, 355, 356
- Humboldt, on the Sargasso Sea, 111; on the merits of Strabo's work, 249
- Hydaspes, river, 138
- Hyderabad, 138
- Hydraotes, river, 138
- Hypanis, river, 85
- Hyperboreans, their offerings sent to Delos, 32
- Hyphasis, river, 138, 150
- Hyrcani, subdued by Alexander, 132
- Iardanos, river in Crete, the same name as Jordan, 5
- Iberia (in Asia), described by Theophrastus, 220
- Iberians, described by Posidonius, 204
- Ichthyophagi, on the coast of Gedrosia, 142; on the coast of Arabia, 203
- Icknield Street, 303
- Ictis, island, 156
- Ida, Mt, description of sunrise from, 323
- Iliad, the inner geography of, 21—24; outer geography of, 25; its notices of the Aegean islands and Asia Minor, 22; of Greece, 23
- Imaus, mts., 149; in Ptolemy the Altai chain, 352
- India, known to Hecataeus, 73; Herodotus' account of, 92; ancient administration of, 150; caste-system in, 151; its southern projection known to the author of the 'Periplus Maris Erythraci,' 277—9; wrongly represented by Ptolemy, 345
- Indian Ocean, visited by Alexander, 139; supposed by Ptolemy to be surrounded by land, 346; (see Erythraean Sea)
- Indians, life of the, 151; widow-burning practised by, 152
- Indus, river, crossed by Alexander near Atak, 137; descended by Alexander, 138; delta of, 138; bore of, 139; Dionysius Periegetes, description of, 286
- Inlets from the Ocean, 81
- Inundation of the Nile, various explanations of, 62, 63
- Ionian School, the, 14; their geographical speculations, 59, 60; opinions as to the formation of the Delta, 61
- Iran, description of, 130
- Isidore of Seville, 271, 365
- Issedones, mentioned by Hecataeus, 73; by Herodotus, 88
- Issus, battle of, 126
- Ister, river, not mentioned in Homer, 25; correspondence to the Nile, 78, 79; course of according to Herodotus, 79; supposed to divide into two branches, 120; (see Danube)
- Isthmus between the Euxine and the Caspian, 68
- Isthmus of Suez, 68
- Istri, tribe of, mentioned by Scylax, 121
- Ithaca, inaccurately described in the Odyssey, 24
- Itineraries, Roman; two kinds of,

- 236, 306; the Antonine, 306; its probable date, 306; not a completely homogeneous document, 307; its contents, 308; the Maritime Itinerary, 308; the Jerusalem Itinerary, 309; the Peutinger Table, 310; its transcription and probable date of composition, 311
- Ituna, estuary, 349
- Ivernia, 346
- Jaxartes, river, reached by Alexander, 135; mistakes concerning it, 135
- Jerusalem Itinerary, 309; the Holy Places first described in, 309
- Jihoun, river, 134
- Job, the Book of, mining operations described in, 188
- Jomanes, river, 150
- Jordan, river, described by Pliny, 265
- Juba, his treatise on Africa, 226
- Julius Maternus, his expedition into the Soudan, 353
- Kafiristan, traversed by Alexander, 137; visited by Sir G. S. Robertson, 137
- Karachi, 142
- Katakekaumene, volcanoes of the, 61
- Khartoum, 147
- Khyber Pass, traversed by Hephaestion and Perdiccas, 137
- Kiepert, Prof. H., on the etymology of Eridanus, 34
- Kilimanjaro and Kenia, Mounts, perhaps the Mountains of the Moon, 352
- Kohik, river, 135
- Korosko, 224
- Lambaesis, a Roman frontier station, 294
- Lampsacus, meaning of the name, 5; founded by the Phoenicians, 46
- Lassen, his corroboration of Megasthenes, 149; on the character of the ancient Indians, 152
- Latitude, of Massilia determined by Pytheas, 154; parallels in the neighbourhood of Britain determined by him, 160; the parallels of Eratosthenes, 174, 175; of Ptolemy, 343
- Legions, Roman, permanently fixed in certain camps, 298
- Libya, in Homer the Cyrenaica, 26; commerce of by way of Cyrene, 56; origin of the name of, 70; (see Africa)
- Lilybaeum, meaning of the name, 6
- Liguria, a source of amber, 31; its rugged coast, 55
- Limes, the Rhaetian and German, 296
- Lincoln, 287
- Lipari Islands, eruption in the, 199, 210
- Lixus, river, 105
- Locri Epizephyrii, 52
- Locust-eaters, tribes of, 204 *note*
- Londinium, the commercial centre of Britain, 287
- Longitude, meridians of, difficulty of determining, 177; Hipparchus' method of measuring, 178; system of Ptolemy, 342, 343
- 'Look-out place,' used as equivalent to 'mountain height,' 326—8
- Lotophagi, in Homer, 28; Polybius' description of their country, 28; rightly placed by Herodotus, 94; mentioned by Scylax, 121
- Lotus plant, described by Polybius, 28 *note*
- Lucian, his humorous description of a mountain ascent, 324
- Lucullus, campaigns of in Armenia and Mesopotamia, 218, 272
- Lyceum, Mt., altar on its summit, 318
- Lyell, Sir C., his high praise of Strabo, 251
- Lynceus, story of, 328
- Macan, Mr R. W., on the Ister as the boundary of Scythia, 85; on the zones of Africa, 95
- Macaria, a corruption of the name Melcarth, 5
- Macauley Island, 108
- Maceta, prom., 142, 277
- Madeira, 225
- Maeander, river, accretion of soil at its mouth, 61
- Maecotis, Palus, its size overestimated by Herodotus, 81; its area lessened since classical times, 81; ice of, 85; breeding-place of the tunny, 46; misplaced by Ptolemy, 348
- Malay Peninsula, 281
- Man, Isle of, 231
- Manaar, Gulf of, 273
- Mannert, on the date of the Antonine Itinerary, 307; of the Peutinger Table, 311

- Map-making, its early difficulties, 64; commenced by Anaximander, 64; reformed by Eratosthenes, 180; by Marinus, 339; by Ptolemy, 343 foll.
- Maps, of Aristagoras, 65; of Eratosthenes, 180; of Marinus, 339; of Ptolemy, 345
- Maracanda, 135
- Marathon, the shield displayed at, 331
- Mardi, the, subdued by Alexander, 132
- Marinus Tyrius, Ptolemy's debt to him, 338; his attempt to reform the map of the world, 339
- Maritime Itinerary, the, 308
- Marvellous narratives, not necessarily incredible, 17
- Massagetæ, 82
- Massilia, an early entrepôt of tin and amber, 32; founded by the Phocæans, 55; its colonies, 55; a starting-point for geographical discovery, 55; its rivalry with the Phœnicians, 154; its political constitution described by Strabo, 253
- Mathematical Geography, the questions it treats of, 2; early speculations on, 59, 60; slow development of, 165; promoted by Aristotle, 166; and Eratosthenes, 166; Strabo's imperfect treatment of, 251; developed by Marinus, 338; and by Ptolemy, 340
- Mausoleum of Augustus, minutely described by Strabo, 254
- Mead, used in Britain, 18, 157
- Measurement of the earth, 168 foll., 192, 341; of the habitable world, 172, 341
- Media, described by Polybius, 211
- Mediterranean Sea, the starting-point for the history of geography, 3; its superiority over the other seas, 3
- Megara, its colonies on the Propontis, 50
- Megara Hyblæa, 53
- Megasthenes, envoy from Seleucus Nicator to Chandragupta, 148; his work on India, 148 foll.; on catching apes, 201
- Mekran, province of, 140
- Mela, his *Chorographia*, 262; his knowledge of Southern Spain, 262; of the Baltic and Scandinavia, 289
- Melanchlaeni, mentioned by Hecataeus, 73; by Herodotus, 86
- Melcarth, represented by the Greeks as Heracles, 5, 20; as Melicertes, 6
- Melitene, 298
- Memnon, vocal statue of, 283
- Memnon, commander under Darius, 126
- Memphis, occupied by Alexander, 127
- Menelaus, his voyages, 26
- Menuthias, 275
- Meridian line, Herodotus' attempts at drawing a, 79; (see Longitude)
- Meroë, 92, 292; its latitude determined by Philon, 175
- Merriam, Mr A. C., on telegraphing among the ancients, 330
- Messana, its position, 51
- Messina, Straits of, guarded by Rhegium and Messana, 51; capture of sword-fish in, 203
- Metapontum, 52
- Methana, eruption of, described by Ovid, 198; warm spring at, 356
- Methone, 49
- Miletus, a geographical centre, 14, 59; its colonies on the Euxine, 46 foll.
- Miliarium Aureum at Rome, 299
- Military posts, chains of, 297
- Mines; gold, 186; silver, 210; tin, 35
- Mining operations, described by Agatharchides, 186; in the Book of Job, 188
- Mithridatic war, its importance to geography, 217
- Mommsen, on the Rhaetian and German Limes, 296, 297; his estimate of Solinus, 365
- Monsoon, the south-west, cause of the rainy season in India, 150; called by Megasthenes the Etesian winds, 195; afterwards called Hippalus, 279
- Mountain ascents, made by Hadrian, 313; of Etna, 319; of Argæus, 321; of Haemus, 322; description of a climb, 325
- Mountains, differently viewed by the ancients and the moderns, 317; religious feeling about them in antiquity, 317, 318; their summits not always distinguished from the chain, 314; used as places of worship by the Persians and the Greeks, 318; regarded as look-out places, 326—8; as signalling stations, 328 foll.; estimates of their heights, 335

- Mountains of the Moon, 352
 Müllenhoff, on the early trade-route through Gaul, 33; on Pytheas' visit to the Tanais, 158; his depreciation of Strabo, 249
 Müller, Dr C., on the voyage of Hanno, 105; on the Periplus of Scylax, 119
 Mungo Park, on burning grass in Africa, 107
 Murad-Su (Eastern Euphrates), 116
 Mush, plain of, 116, 271
 Musiris, 279
 Mussendum, Cape, 142, 277
 "Mutterrecht," practised by the Issedones, 88
 Myos Hormos, commercial station at, 146, 274; Roman road to, 305
- Namnadius, river, 277
 Napata, 224, 292
 Narbo, a commercial centre in the time of Hecataeus, 73; seat of a Roman colony, 228
 Nasamones, expedition of the, 96; victory of Domitian over, 282
 Naucratis, 57
 Naxos, in Sicily, 53
 Neapolis, its favourable position, 51; a centre of Hellenic culture, 51, 254
 Nearchus, voyage of, 140, 141—3
 Necho, circumnavigation of Africa ordered by, 99; Nile and Red Sea Canal commenced by, 146
 Nelcynda, 278, 279, 281
 Nerbudda, bore of the, 277
 Neuri, were-wolf superstition among the, 86
 Niger, river, 97, 291; not the Nigir of Ptolemy, 353
 Nile, the river Egyptus of Homer, 26; interest awakened by its strange features, 61; absence of tributaries, 61; derived from the Ocean stream, 63; regarded as the boundary between Asia and Africa, 68, 82; its correspondence to the Ister, 78, 79; its two branches unnoticed by Herodotus, 93; increased knowledge of it through the Ptolemies, 147; expedition of Petronius on, 224; Nero's expedition to, 291; marshy region of, 292; its sources in two lakes, 352
 Northern Europe, long days and nights of referred to in Homer, 30; first explored by Pytheas, 153
 Northern races, vaguely known to Homer, 25
 Northern Sea, disbelieved by Herodotus, 80; Pytheas' account of, 158; navigated by Drusus, 232
- Oases, the, described by Herodotus, 96; the Oasis of Ammon visited by Alexander the Great, 128; compared by Strabo to the spots on a leopard's skin, 248
 Oceanus, the river, parent of waters, 20; encircling the earth, 20; origin of this idea, 21; in the far south, 29; in the far north, 30; the Nile derived from it, 63
 Ocelis, 280
 Odyssey, the inner geography of, 22, 24; outer geography of, 26—29
 Oenotrian tribes, akin to the Greeks, 52
 Oestrymnides, islands, 36, 110, 156
 Olbia, 48; Herodotus' residence at, 85
 Olympia, described by Pausanias, 358
 Olympus, Mt., measurement of the height of, 336
 Onesicritus, companion of Alexander, 124; his description of the banyan tree, 139
 Opone, 275
 Orcades, islands, Agricola's expedition to, 288
 Orcas, prom., 156, 350
 Organa, island, 142
 Ormuz, 142; mentioned in 'Paradise Lost,' 142
 Orosius, his 'Historiae,' 366; its geographical section, 366; its popularity in the middle ages, 366
 Osismii, 156
 Ostimii, 156
 Ovid, his description of the eruption of Methana, 198
 Oxus, river, crossed by Alexander, 134; its modern and ancient courses, 134; trade route along, 134, 266
- Pachynus, prom., dreaded by Greek sailors, 53
 Paestum, 51
 Palaesimundus, capital of Taprobane, 273
 Palestine, described by Pliny, 265
 Palibothra, on the Ganges, 148

- Palm-trees, imported into Greece, 39;
at Jericho, 259
- Palmyra, described by Pliny, 266
- Pamir, mountains of the, 134; source
of the Oxus in, 134
- Pannonia, trade route through, 32;
conquered by the Romans, 234;
Roman road through, 304
- Panormus, 54
- Panticapaeum, 49
- Paraetonium, 128
- Parallels (see Latitude)
- Parmenides, his theory of zones, 60
- Parmenio, 126
- Parnassus, meaning of its 'twin
peaks,' 324
- Paropamisus range, 133; crossed by
Alexander, 134, 137
- Parthia, Alexander in, 131
- Pasitigris, river, 143
- Pataliputra, 148, 150
- Patrocles, on the connexion between
the Caspian and the Indian Ocean,
136; Strabo's authority for north-
eastern Asia, 257
- Pattala, depot of Alexander at, 138
- Pausanias, 353 foll.; his 'Itinerary of
Greece,' 354; his resemblance to
Herodotus, 354; his accuracy, 355;
his illustrations of physical geo-
graphy, 355; his descriptions of
fountains, 355; of caverns, 356; of
trees, 357; his descriptions of
Olympia and Delphi, 358; routes
which he followed in Greece, 359;
contents of his book, 359; question
of his veracity, 360—62
- Pearl fishery, in the Persian Gulf, 142
- Pelham, Prof., on the Roman fron-
tier system, 294
- Pelion, Mt., ancient description of its
vegetation, 201; measurement of
the height of, 336
- Pentland Firth, rise of the tide in,
159
- 'Periegesis' of Dionysius Periegetes,
its date, 281; its general geography,
282; its contents, 283—6; remarks
upon it, 286
- 'Periodos,' name of Hecataeus' geo-
graphical work, 71
- 'Periplus,' of Hanno, 104; of Scylax,
118; doubts as to its genuineness,
119, 120; 'Periplus of the Eryth-
raean Sea,' 274—81; of Arrian, 294
- Persepolis, occupied by Alexander,
130; his return to, 140
- Persian Gulf, not known to Hero-
dotus, 81; pearl fishery in, 142
- Persian kingdom, Herodotus' account
of, drawn from a statistical docu-
ment, 88
- Persis, mountains of, 130; its three
regions described by Strabo, 259
- Peuceolaotis, 137
- Peutinger Table, the, 310—12
- Phaeacia, not to be identified with
Corfu, 27
- Phaëthon, story of the sisters of, 33
- Phanagoria, 49, 68
- Pharos, island, in Homer, 26; visited
by Alexander, 128; protection af-
forded by it to the harbours of
Alexandria, 146
- Phasiani, tribe, 116
- Phasis, town of, 49
- Phasis, river, regarded as the bound-
ary between Europe and Asia,
68, 82; Xenophon's name for the
Araxes, 117
- Philip V. of Macedon, his ascent of
Haemus, 322; his beacon stations,
332
- Philon, determined the latitude of
Meroë, 175
- Phocaeans, their bold navigation, 54;
their foundation of Massilia, 55
- Phoenicians, their early maritime im-
portance, 4; their settlements in
the Aegean, 5; in Africa and
Sicily, 6, 54; at Gades, 7; their
selfish policy, 7; trees imported by
them into Greece, 40; supposed to
have circumnavigated Africa, 99;
their connexion with the tin and
amber trades, 33, 36, 154
- Physical Geography, the subjects it
treats of, 2; early speculations on,
60 foll.; treatment of the subject
in antiquity, 184 foll.; interest of
Polybius in, 210; of Strabo, 245;
Pausanias' illustrations of, 355
- Pindar, on Delphi as the centre of
the earth, 65; on the story of
Lynceus, 328
- Plane-tree, imported into Greece from
Asia Minor, 40
- Pliny, his life, 263; character of his
'Natural History,' 264; its sta-
tistical geography, 265; on the
Romans as geographers, 13; on
the trade-route through Pannonia,
32; his explanation of the story of
the sisters of Phaëthon, 34; on the

- amber islands, 164; on the features of Palestine, 265, 266; on the Tigris and Euphrates, 267—72; on Taprubane, 272; on the direct route to India, 279
- Plutonium, the, at Hierapolis, 11
- Political Geography, the subjects it treats of, 2
- Polyaenus, author of the 'Strategemata,' 334
- Polybius, how affected by the circumstances of his age, 208; his travels in Western Europe, 209; voyage on the African coast, 209; his interest in physical geography, 210; descriptions of countries, 211; of cities, 212—14; general remarks on historical geography, 214; on signalling stations, 332; on the art of signalling, 334; on the heights of mountains, 335; his depreciation of Pytheas, 18, 153, 157; his description of the lotus plant, 28; on the erosive power of rivers, 196; on deposits of alluvium, 197; on the capture of the sword-fish, 202
- Pomegranate, imported into Greece, 39
- Pompey, campaigns of, in Iberia and Albania, 219
- Portus Alexandri, 142
- Portus Herculis Monoeci, 55; origin of the name, 55
- Poseidon, representing earthquakes, 11
- Posidonius, 190; his travels, 191; error about the circumference of the earth, 191; on the tides, 193; on tidal waves, 197; on earthquakes and volcanic eruptions, 199, 200; on Plato's island of Atlantis, 200; on the Iberians and Gauls, 204, 205
- Prasum, prom., 342
- Projection, Ptolemy's system of, 343
- Propontis, meaning of the name, 47
- Pteria, "over against Sinope," 80
- Ptolemais Epitheras, 146
- Ptolemies, the, their patronage of literature, 145; their exploration of the Nile valley, 147
- Ptolemy (the Geographer), an Alexandrian, 15, 340; his obligation to Marinus, 338, 339; his great reputation, 340; his chief errors, 341, 342, 345; his prime meridian, 342; his system of projection, 343; his Climata, 343; his geographical treatise, 344; his maps, 345; his account of Britain, 346—51; of Ireland, 351; his additions to geographical knowledge, 351—3; brief duration of his influence in antiquity, 367
- Ptolemy Euergetes, stations established by, near the Straits of Bab el-Mandeb, 147
- Ptolemy Philadelphus, restored the Nile and Red Sea canal, 146; established stations on the Red Sea, 146
- Pulmo Marinus, Pytheas' mention of the, 162
- Punjab, Alexander's campaign in the, 137; rivers of, 137, 138, 150
- Purple-fisheries of the Phoenicians in the Aegean, 5
- Pygmies, the, mentioned by Homer, 29; meaning of the name, 29; a dwarfish race in Africa, noticed by Herodotus, Aristotle, and modern travellers, 29, 30, 97, 103
- Pylae Caspiae, 131
- Pylae Persicae, 130
- Pyrene, city of, 84
- Pyrenees, error about their direction, 252
- Pythagoreans, the, taught the spherical form of the earth, 60
- Pytheas, 12; varying estimates of him, 153; his work, 153; his scientific attainments, 154; his parallels of latitude, 160; his northern expedition, 17, 152; evidence in favour of it, 157; his remarks on the use of mead in Britain, 18, 157; on the rise of the tide in the Pentland Firth, 18, 159; on Britain and its inhabitants, 156, 157; on the Northern Sea, 158—60; on the "Sleeping-place of the Sun," 159; his supposed visit to the Baltic, 158; on the arctic circle, 159; his description of the arctic regions, 162; his visit to the amber coast, 163
- Quorra, river, 353
- Rainy season in India, 150
- Ras Hafoun, 275
- Rawlinson, Canon, on the Jaxartes of Herodotus, 82; on the fire-beacons of Mardonius, 331

- Red Sea, mistakes about its width, 101; stations established on by the Ptolemies, 146
- Retreat of the Ten Thousand, 112 foll.
- Rha, river (Volga), first mentioned by Ptolemy, 351
- Rhapta, 352
- Rhegium, 51; meaning of the name, 197
- Rhinoceroses, in the Soudan, 353
- Rhodaune, river, 34
- Richards, Mr G. C., on Pausanias' veracity, 362
- Rio do Ouro, 104
- Rivers, disappearance of in Greece, 10; their character, as described by Aristotle and others, 195—7
- Roads, the Roman, their importance to geography, 235; description of them, 299 foll.; (see Royal Road)
- Roman Empire, its natural limits, 293; its frontier defences, 293 foll.
- Roman geographers, few and inferior, 13, 261; Strabo's and Pliny's opinions of them, 13
- Rome, first mentioned in the 'Periplus' of Scylax, 120; Strabo's description of, 254
- Royal Road, in India, 150; in Persia, 90; its course through Asia Minor, 90; through Cilicia, Armenia, Mætiene, and Cissia, 91
- Sagres, Mt., 108
- Sahara, the, 97, 291; crossed by Julius Maternus, 353
- St. Michael's Mount, 156
- Sallust, on the common source of the Euphrates and Tigris, 272; his description of a mountain climb, 325
- Samarcand, 135
- Samos, meaning of the name, 5
- Samosata, 298
- Sargasso Sea, 111, 112
- Saspires, 83
- Satala, 298
- Sataspes, voyage of, probably authentic, 103
- Satibarzanes, satrap of Aria, 133
- Sauromatae, 86
- Scandinavia, regarded as an island, 289
- Sciapodes, 74
- Scilly Islands, 37
- Scotland, erroneous position of on Ptolemy's map, 347
- Scylax of Caryanda, 74; his voyage, 80, 101; improbability of it, 101; the so-called 'Periplus' of, 118
- Scylla and Charybdis, an embodiment of the dangers of the Straits of Messina, 28
- Scythia, Herodotus' knowledge of, 84, 85; position of tribes in, 85
- Seistan, Lake, 130, 133
- Seleucus Nicator, his empire in the East, 148; cedes the Indus valley to Chandragupta, 148
- Selinus, 54
- Sembritae, 93, 147, 173
- Seneca, on Nero's expedition to the Nile, 292; on Etna, 319
- Senegal River, 106
- Septimius Flaccus, his expedition into Aethiopia, 353
- Sera, city of, in China, 342, 352
- Serica, origin of the name, 281
- Shape of the earth, primitive views concerning the, 59, 60; arguments in favour of its sphericity, 167, 168
- Sherboro Sound, 108
- Sicels, the, akin to the Greeks, 53
- Sidon, meaning of the name, 4; mentioned in Homer, 25
- Signalling, development of the art of, 333—5
- Silk, brought from China, 281
- Sinope, an early trading-station, 47; colonised by the Milesians, 47; described by Polybius, 212; its position compared to that of Carthage, 47
- Sirdar Pass, 131
- Sir Daria, river, 135
- Siris, name of a portion of the Nile, 283
- "Sleeping-place of the Sun," 159
- Sobat, river, 292
- Socotra, 270
- Sogdiana, 135
- Solinus, his 'Memorabilia,' 364; its influence in the middle ages, 365; modern estimate of, 365
- Solois, prom., 94, 103, 105
- Somaliland, 147
- Soudan, the, expeditions to, mentioned by Ptolemy, 352, 353
- Southern Horn, in Africa, 108
- Southern Ocean, belief in the continuity of the, 80
- Spain, Roman conquest of, 226 foll.; Strabo's description of, 252; chief Roman road in, 300

- Spartel, Cape, 94, 103
 Speculations, early, on Mathematical Geography, 59, 60; on Physical Geography, 60 foll.
 Sphericity of the earth, arguments of Aristotle and others in favour of, 167, 168
 Sphragides of Eratosthenes, 181
 Stanley, Dean, on Pausanias' descriptions, 355
 Stephanus of Byzantium, 71; his 'Ethnica,' 363; etymological remarks in, 364
 Strabo, his life and places of residence, 239, 240; extent of his travels, 240—42; his philosophical and political opinions, 242; date and place of the composition of his 'Geography,' 243; its comprehensiveness, 246; its artistic character, 247; various estimates of it, 248, 249; its contents, 251 foll.; on the advantages of the Mediterranean, 3; on the Romans as geographers, 12; on the Cassiterides, 37; on the summit of Etna, 319, —21; on Mt. Argæus, 321; on the height of the Alps, 335
 Styx, waterfall of, described by Homer, 23; by Hesiod, 24
 Suetonius Paullinus, his conquests in Britain, 287; his crossing the Atlas, 291
 Suiones, 290
 Susa, occupied by Alexander, 129
 Sutlej, river, not reached by Alexander, 138
 Suttœ, custom of in India, 152
 Sword-fish, capture of in the Straits of Messina, 202
 Syagrus, prom., 276
 Sybaris, 51
 Syena, close under the tropic, 100, 172
 Syracuse, 52
 Syrtæ, the, 121
 Tacitus, his 'Germania,' 289; his 'Agricola,' 288, 354
 Tænarum, ancient descriptions of the grotto at, 386
 Tænarus, river, 340
 Taneis, river, regarded as the boundary between Europe and Asia, 68; mistakes concerning, 135, 136, 138
 Taneis, town of, 49
 Taprobana, mentioned by Megasthenes, 140; Ptolemy's account of, 272; life of the inhabitants of, 273; its size overestimated by Ptolemy, 345
 Tarentum, foundation of, 44; its advantageous site and fisheries, 52
 Tarsus, 126
 Tartessus, origin of the name, 7; its position in Southern Spain, 7; visited by the Phocæans, 54; by Colæus of Samos, 58; mentioned by Hecataeus, 73
 Tatta, 139
 Tauric Chersonese, noticed by Herodotus, 85; carefully described by Strabo, 255
 Tauromenium, 53
 Taurus, mts., not mentioned by Herodotus, 89; regarded as intersecting the whole of Asia, 181; accurately described by Strabo, 257
 Teleboas, river, 116; its nearness to the source of the Tigris, 116, 277
 Telegraphy, Mountain, 331 foll.
 'Temple of the Winds' at Athens, 195
 Ten Thousand, retreat of the, 112 foll.; their first view of the sea, 117
 Thales, his views on the shape of the earth, 60; on the inundation of the Nile, 62
 Thanet, Isle of, noticed by Solinus, 366
 Thapsacus, 128, 305
 Thebes, Egyptian, mentioned in Homer, 26
 Theon, Ochema, Mt., 108
 Theophanes of Mytilene, his description of the Caucasus, 220; of the Iberi and Albani, 221—3; Strabo's chief authority for those regions, 257
 Theophrastus, his 'History of Plants,' 202
 Thera, volcanic island of, 11; eruption of, 202
 Thermopylae, water of, described by Pausanias, 355
 Thinae, 281
 Things (Tangier), 74
 This (China), 281
 Thospites, lake, 267
 Thraee, Herodotus' knowledge of, 84
 Thucydides, mention of mountain telegraphy in, 334
 Thule, first mentioned by Pytheas, 159; the arctic circle placed there, 159, 172; noticed by Dionysius Periegetes, 285; seen by Agricola's expedition, 286

- Tibboos**, 96, 105
Tiberius, the emperor, his campaigns in Germany, 233; in Rhaetia, 234
Tides, discovery of their movement by the Greeks, 192; influence of the moon on them first reported to the Greeks by Pytheas, 155; that of the sun and moon conjointly noticed by Posidonius, 193
Tigranocerta, position of, 219
Tigra, river, its course known to Herodotus, 90; its sources in Armenia, 114, 116; crossed by Alexander, 139; its upper course described by Strabo and Pliny, 267 foll.; its supposed disappearance, 270; its supposed common source with the Euphrates, 271; account of by Dionysius Periegetes, 285
Timaeus, 210
Timavus, river, remarks of Polybius on, 210
Timosthenes, on the winds, 194
Tin, largely used in the Homeric times, 35; not brought from India, but from Spain and Britain, 35; by way of Gades, 36; through Gaul, 36; Pytheas' voyage connected with, 154, 156
Tmolus, Mt, a look-out place, 322
Tobogganing, in the Caucasus, 316; practised by the Cimbri, 316
Torone, 49
Tracts of Africa according to Herodotus, 95
Trade-routes, primitive, evidence of in Homer, 31, 35; from the Ural mountains to the Sea of Azov, 87; from Upper Asia to Sinope, 91; from India to Europe by the Oxus, 134, 266; through Pannonia, 32; through Gaul, 32, 154; the direct trade-route to India, 279; from China to India, 281; from the Euphrates to Northern China, 352
Trade-winds, 103
Trajan, his conquest of Dacia, 290; of Mesopotamia, 293; his bridge over the Danube, 291
Transference to towns of names of tribes in Gaul, 229
Trapezus, its commercial importance, 47; visited by Xenophon, 117; a Roman military station, 299
Travel, advantage of to the geographer, 210, 241
Travellers' tales, how far trustworthy, 17
Trees, imported from abroad into Greece, 39, 40; Pausanias' description of, 357
Trisanton, river, 348
Tritonis, Lake, 94, 121
Troglodyte Aethiopians, 96, 204
Tyre, meaning of the name, 4; sieges of, 127; captured by Alexander, 127
Ulysses, mythical character of his wanderings, 27
Umbrians, known to Herodotus, 84
Ural mountains, gold of the, 87
Ushant, 156
Utica, early foundation of by the Phoenicians, 6
Uxisama, island, visited by Pytheas, 156
Van, Lake of, 114, 116, 257, 268, 269
Varar, estuary, 350
Vegetation, of India, 139; of Greece, as described by Theophrastus, 200; by Pausanias, 357; Strabo's interest in, 246
Veneti, the, in Brittany, 36; Caesar's description of their country, 230
Verde, Cape, 106
Vervedrum, prom., 350
Via Aurelia, 299; Aemilia Scauri, 300; Julia, 300; Flaminia, 300; Aemilia, 301; Appia, 304; Egnatia, 305; Candavia, 305
Viadrus, river (Oder), first mentioned by Ptolemy, 289
Victoria and Albert Nyanza Lakes, perhaps referred to by Ptolemy, 352
Virgil, on the zones, 180; on mountain scenery, 317
Viroconium, 303
Vistula, 289
Volcanic phenomena, in Greece, 11, 185; in Asia Minor, 61; speculations on, 197—200; at Methana, 198; in the Lipari Islands, 199; at Thera, 200; extensively noticed by Strabo, 254
Volga, the, first mentioned by Ptolemy, 351
Voyages, of Sataspes, 103; of Hanno, 104; of Himilco, 109; of Nearchus, 141; of Pytheas, 152; of Eudoxus

- of Cyzicus, 189; of Polybius, 209;
of Hippalus, 279
- Wady Draa, 105
- Wall-map of Agrippa, 236
- Walls of Hadrian and Antonine in Britain, 288
- Wanderings of Ulysses, their mythical character, 27
- Watling Street, 303
- Were-wolf superstition among the Neuri, 86
- Western Horn, in Africa, 106
- Whales, encounter of Nearchus' vessels with, 143
- Winds, the, as known to Homer, 41; character of in Greece, 41; divisions of, 193; schemes of Aristotle and Timosthenes, 194; periodical, 195
- Wonders, pseudo-Aristotelian treatise on, 112
- World, the habitable, division of into continents, 67—9; shape of, 181; compared by Strabo to the Greek chlamys, 251; measurement of the length and breadth of by Eratosthenes, 172, 173; by Ptolemy, 341—3
- Wroxeter, 303
- Xanthus, the historian, 60
- Xenagoras, his measurement of the height of Olympus, 336
- Xenophon, 98; his 'Anabasis,' 113; his retreat from Cunaxa to Armenia, 115; across Armenia, 116—118; mention of mountain telegraphy by, 332
- Yorke, Mr V. W., on the fortresses of the Upper Euphrates Valley, 299
- Zabatus (Zab), river, 90, 129
- Zagrus, Mt., 130
- Zanzibar, 275
- Zaradrus, river, 138, 150
- Zerafshan, river, 135
- Zeugma, over the Euphrates, 305
- Zeus Ammon, Alexander's visit to the temple of, 128
- Zones, theory of, taught by Parmenides, 60, 179; Aristotle's view of, 179, 180; Virgil's description of, 180

ADDITIONAL NOTES

Egyptian's knowledge of geography

The slate palette of Narmer¹ is regarded as one of the most important monuments of the first dynasty (4777—4514 B.C., according to Petrie.²). On the obverse is the king wearing the crown of Upper Egypt. He is in the act of killing an enemy who was "Chief of the Sea", i.e., a king possessing sea-going ships. The heiroglyphs on the reverse read "The Great port", which suggests that when Narmer reached the sea, he celebrated his victory at a port³. According to another interpretation this famous palette is a record of Narmer's expedition to Tranjorden and a 'taming' of The Twin rivers. It is further claimed that 'Asiatics appear to be depicted on it, and the panthers with elongated intertwined necks on the reverse were a current symbol of the Twin rivers in the Uruk period of predynastic Mesopotamia.'⁴ If this interpretation is accepted, it proves that Narmer played an important role in exploring the secrets of Asia. Two large pottery vessels discovered on Tell Gath, in Israel, carry the incised name of King Narmer, while we know from other records that the kings of the first dynasty sent trading missions under military escort to Sinai to obtain Copper. A sculptured scene on a rock near the copper mines shows King Semerkhet (about 4514 B.C.) killing a Bedawy Chief. This means that the military force had to fight for possession of mines. There are indications that during the reign of Pharaoh Perabsen of the second dynasty

-
1. Narmer has been identified with Menes who was the first mortal King of Egypt.
 2. According to J.W. Breasted (*Ancient Records of Egypt*) the Proto-Dynastic period of Egypt begins from 3400 B.C.
 3. Margret A. Murray, *The Splendour That Was Egypt*, London : 1972. p.p. 13-15.
 4. Culican, William, *The First Merchant Venturers*, (Thames and Hudson, 1956), page 15.

(between 4514 and 4212 B.C.), there was trade with the north as far as the Black Sea⁵.

It was during the third dynasty (4212-3998 B.C.) that Egypt used big sea-going ships that were used for trading purposes, both in the Mediterranean and the Red Sea. The Palermo Stone records Snefrus' 100 ships of *meru*-wood and sixteen-oared barges of the King. The cedar-wood was probably imported from Lebanon. According to the same document the King imported forty shiploads of cedar logs for shiploading⁶. This alongwith the vase mentioned above proves that Egypt's contact with Lebanon goes back to the dawn of the Egyptian history. The Egyptians knew the geographical position of all these trading centres in Lebanon, Syria, Transjordan, Turkey and Greece.

During the fifth and the sixth dynasty (3721-3355 B.C.), Egypt witnessed immense increase in travel and trade. This period is remarkable for the records of trading expeditions with armed escorts and for punitive campaigns against tribes who interfered with these expeditions. Egyptian trade with Lebanon was on sure footing and Egyptian records reveal the existence of special Bylbos ships constructed for trade with Lebanon. These records suggest that Egypt was the prime financier in this trade and led the Mediterranean shipping of the time. Under Mentu hotep III (middle of the third millennium B.C.) trade had increased so much that it was considered worthwhile to send a ship to the Land of Punt⁸ for spices, incense, gold and ivory.⁹ The reign of King Senusert II of the Twelveth Dynasty¹⁰ was remarkable for Egypt's trade and Cultural links with Western Asia, Greece, Crete and Malta. Egyptian objects of this period have been found in

5. Margret A. Murray : *Op. Cit.* page 14.

6. According to William Culican (*Op. Cit.*) page 183, the record is dated about 2600 B.C.

7. 2750 to 2475 B.C., according to Breasted.

8. According to Margret A. Murray (*Op. Cit.* page 222) India, Persia and other countries were grouped together by the Egyptians under the name of the Land of Punt.

9. Golenischeff, *Hammamat*, xv-xvii.

10. 2778-2568 B.C., according to Petrie and 2000-1788 B.C., according to Breasted.

these countries.¹¹ Gifts from Amenemhet III and IV (1840-1785 B.C.) found in the tombs of the kings of Byblos suggest that Egypt's relations with Byblos were very close and Egyptians' titles, with the names of these kings suggest that they were vassal kings or governors appointed by Egyptian kings.

Towards 1525 B.C., Tuthmosis I led his forces into Western Asia and continued advancing as far as the Euphrates. The campaigns of Tuthmosis III (1483-1463 B.C.) are graphically informed by the reliefs carved on the Temple at Karnak. He came to grips with Canaanites and Hurrians in their homeland. He conquered the strategic town of Arwad along with the Syrian coast towns of Smyra and Ullaza and a stretch of the north Lebanese south Syrian coast.

Egypt had close contacts with Indo-Aryan Kings of the Mitanni dynasty of North Syria. They had similar relations with Kassite kings of Babylon and the Hittite kings of Anatolia. Trade routes were naturally developed on account of these contacts. Egyptian records clearly show that during the period 1570-1314 B.C., ships carrying purple dye and other products from Lebanon used to reach the Delta. The reign of Queen Hatshepsut (1495 B.C.) is remarkable for great strides in Egypt's over-sea trade. The expedition extended to Socotra and India (the Land of Punt¹²). Some Egyptologists identify 'Punt' with Somaliland¹³ but Murray holds this view; "The type of men of Punt, as depicted by Hatshepsut's¹⁴ artists suggests an Asiatic rather than an African race; and the sweet-smelling woods point to India as the land of their origin." "Moreover the "Cheetah or hunting leopard which appears to be peculiar to India, is among the products of Punt brought to India"¹⁵. There is no doubt that it was the first commercial and diplomatic expedition sent to India from Egypt in the ancient period for the pomp and glory with which the Queen's envoy and his retinue were received by the King of the Land of Punt, Parihu. his wife, his

11. Margret A. Murray, *Op. Cit.* page 23.

12. Hourani, G.F. *Arab Seafaring*, Princeton, 1951, pp. 7-8.

13. Donald, A. Mackenzie. *Egyptian Myth and Legend*, p. 172.

14. Murray, Margret A, *Op. Cit.* Introduction, p. xx.

15. *Ibid*, p. 318.

daughters and others, the farewell feasts given, the exchange of unique and precious gifts and treasures which were conveyed in five boats, indicate that it was an historic, exploratory, and diplomatic mission.

The envoy returned to his royal mistress bringing gold, ivory, incense, apes, birds and trees.¹⁶ There are reasons to believe that there were definite connections between ancient Egypt and Southern Russia, more particularly with the Caucasus¹⁷. There are traces of connections in the xii—dynasty with Malta and even farther west.¹⁸

It is true that the Egyptians never attempted to write a history of geography but their records show that they had ample knowledge of geography. They employed seagoing ships and well protected convoys for their commercial intercourse with Sudan, Mesopotamia, Anatolia, Assyria, Arabia, and even India. Their knowledge of geography helped them to undertake military expeditions into Sudan and Western Asia. Names of a large number of places in foreign lands are found in their documents. The text of Ramesse's poem reconstructed from eight duplicates in the temples of Karnak, Luxor, Abydos and the Ramessum reveals that he had to fight against the Hittite king who was supported by the armies of Arizawa, Mass, Pidas, Keshkesh, Arwen, Kizzuwadna, Khalep, Ugarit, Kadesh, and Luka. The first three names belong to countries to the southwest of Khatti and so too the last (Luka, the Lycians). The Koshkesh is the Gashgash of the cuneiform tablets, to the north-east. Arwen is unidentified while Kizzuwadna is identified with Galicia. Khaleh is Aleppo. Ugarit is present-day Ras-esh-Shamra on the coast a little to the north of Laodicea¹⁹. Similarly Tell-al-Amarna tablets reveal the names

16. *Ibid*, p. 49 ; Maqbul Ahmed, *Indo-Arab Relations*, Bombay, 1969, p. 2 ; for further reading on this subject see Murray, *Op. Cit.* pp 22-23.
17. Murray, *Ancient Egypt*, 1916 and *Antiquity*, xv (1941) p. 384.
18. For further studies, see Breasted, *History of Egypt* especially pp. 274-8 ; Aldred. Cyril, *Egypt to the end of the Old Kingdom*, (Thames and Hudson) ; Cottrell Leonard, *The Anvil of Civilization*, (A Mentor Book) London. 1957.
19. Alan Gardinar, *Egypt of the Pharaohs* (Oxford, 1963) p. 254.

of several towns and countries whose rulers or governors conducted regular correspondence with Egyptian kings.²⁰

Phoenicians and Minions

Towards the end of the second millennium the Egyptians allowed their oversea trade to fall into the hands of the Phoenicians and subsequently to the Minions and the Greeks. The excavations of Sir Arther Evan, on the Cretan site of Cnossus²¹ and discoveries made in Greece and Western Anatolia, have revolutionised our ideas of seafaring in the Mediterranean in ancient times.

Trade and cultural relations between Crete and Egypt were visible as early as 3000 B.C. In all probability cretan mariners started visiting the Western Mediterranean not later than 2500 B.C. The discovery of a hoard of silver vessels and other articles found at Tod in Upper Egypt and dated by the Cartouche of Ammenemes II (1929-1895 B.C.) to the later twentieth century was an important historic event. They have a striking resemblance to the Cretan type. Either they were made in Crete or were strongly influenced by Cretan models. Cretan bowls have also been excavated from tombs at Byblos of the years around 1800 B.C. An entry in the palace archives of Mari on the Euphrates reveals the existence of a Kaph-torite (i.e. Cretan) weapon of which the top is entrusted with lapis lazuli and top and bottom are embellished with gold.²² This entry dates from the later eighteenth century B.C. This document proves the existence of commercial intercourse

20. For further reading see Betrick, W.M.F. *Tell-al-Amarna*, London, 1894 ; Campbell, E.F. *The Chronology of Amarna Letters*, Baltimore, 1964 ; Mercer, S.A.B. *Tell-el-Amarna Tablets*, Toronto, 1939 Op-; penheim, A. Leo, *Letters from Mesopotamia*, Chicago, 1917 ; Petrie, W.M.F. *Syria & Egypt from the Tell-el-Amarna Letters*, London. 1898, Pendleburg, J.D.S. *Tell-el-Amarna*, London, 1935 ; Pritchard, James, B. *The Ancient Near-East Supplementary Texts and Pictures Relating to Old Testament*, Princeton, 1969 ; Leonard Cottrell, *The Penguin Book of Lost Worlds*, 1966, Vol : I.
21. For further studies see Reynold Higgins : *Minoan and Mycenaen Art*, (Thames and Hudson), London, 1967) ; Robert J. Littman, *The Greek Experiment : Imperialism and Social Conflict* London, 1974) ; Robert Payne, *The Splendour of Greece* (Pan Paper 1960).
22. Reynold Higgins *Op. Cht.*, p. 43.

between Crete and Mesopotamia. The Use of Lapis lazuli in Crete reveals direct or indirect commercial intercourse²³ of crete with Afghanistan. Cretan jewellery was influenced by advanced technical processes of Babylonia. Some of the seals discovered in Crete are inscribed with figures of animals such as monkeys, lions, dogs and birds²⁴. These are dated about 2300 B.C. It leads us to believe that Cretans had direct or indirect contacts with India. The discovery of Cretan pottery in north-western Asia Minor is also significant.

A procession of about a dozen women wearing the usual Cretan costume of long flounced skirt and low-cut jacket leaving the breasts exposed, with beads round their necks and their wrists, was found in the usual fragmentary condition in the ruins of a palace at Thebes. This is a significant proof of commercial contacts between Crete and Egypt in the early fourteenth century B.C.²⁴

Minoans were the real pioneers of Mediterranean navigation. Herodotus, the father of history, who lived between about 484 and 495 B.C., gives an account of King Minos, his fleet, and a Cretan expedition against Sicily. Thucydides, an extremely objective student of history who was born in 455 B.C., tells us about Mino's sea-power. Aristotles who was born in 384 B.C., wrote that Crete's geographical location was so convenient that it had enabled the king to dominate the whole Aegean area. He subjugated Athens and received tribute from her.²⁵

The Cretans were a sea-faring people and maintained commercial intercourse with Egypt, the Near East, and all its members of the Aegean and Mediterranean communities as far as Italy and Spain.²⁶ The Phoenicians extended and completed navigation work, started by the Cretans, in the Mediterranean, Shortly after 1400 B.C. the palace at Knossos (Crete) was destroyed by Aryan invaders who are identified with Achaeans of Homer.

23. Reynolds Higgins, *Op. Cit.*, p. 51.

24. *Ibid*, p. 102.

25. Lissner Ivar, *The Living Past*, (Penguin, 1957), p. 391.

26. *Ibid*, p. 399.

They occupied Mycene, Cyprus and several places on the coast of southern Asia-Minor and founded settlements in Syria. Thus the ascendancy of the Aegean people in the Mediterranean was maintained almost to the end of the second millennium when another group of Aryan invaders, the Dorians (1100 B.C.) invaded Greece with the result that the Minoan civilization came to an end. The Greeks had to abandon the maritime enterprise of the Minoans and left the Mediterranean to the Phoenicians²⁷. Phoenician's skill in navigation and seafaring is testified by the fact that in the 3rd and 2nd millennium B.C., they were already building and manning seagoing ships known in Egypt as 'Byblos ships'. They ventured into regions where none else dared to go. They carefully guarded the secrets of their trade routes, their knowledge of winds and currents and discoveries. The Bible refers to their ships as 'ships of Tarshish'. Their presence in Greek Waters at the beginning of the Greek history is repeatedly attested by Hormer and Herodotus²⁸. According to Greek mythology, the mythical Cadmus led a band of Phoenicians to Boeota in Greece. They established their colony in Gades soon after the Trojan War, i.e., in the 12th Century B.C.²⁹ They were familiar with the way to the Straits by 1000 B.C., while they had already established their trading centres all along the coast of southern Asia Minor from Cilicia westward and in several other places such as Bethpelet and er-Regeish, near Gaza.

In Egypt, Phoenician traders, had settlements not only in the Delta but at Memphis, where Herodotus mentions an area of the city called the 'Tyrian Camp'³⁰ Itanos was one of their settlements in Crete. Panoramus (Palermo) and Soloeis (Pizzo Cannita) were among their towns in Sicily.³¹ In Italy they had their commercial centres at Pyrgi and perhaps at Rome too. In north Africa, apart from Carthage, there was a traditionally very early settlements at Utica (B.C. 1100),³² and

27. For details of Minoan seafaring read Sir Arthur Evan, *The Palace of Minos and Knossos*.

28. Herodotus, II, 40 ; V, 57.

29. Strabo, 1, 3, 2, P. 48.

30. Herodotus, II, 112.

31. M. Gindo, *Sicily : An Archaeological Guide*, 1967, p. 54.

32. Pliny, *Natural History*, pp. 16, 216.

at Hadrumentum (Sousse), Lepcis and Magna. Malta was settled by the early eighth century. They occupied Sardinia in the ninth century. From the key points of Carthage, Utica and Malta, they controlled the narrow central Mediterranean passage to Gades and beyond. In France they established their colony at Massalia in B.C. 600.

Alatia, in Corsica and Caralis, Tharros, Sulis and Nora, in Sardinia, were Phoenician colonies. Port Mahon and Ebusus (Ibiza) were their colonies in Balearic islands. In Spain, they established themselves in Gades (Cadiz) Carmona, Osuna, and Acebuchal Sevilla, Gibralter, Malaga, Sexi, Abdera, Villaricos, Carthaga Nova, Elche, Akra Leuke and Saguntam. They settled in Tingies (Tangier), Lixusand, Ceuta, and Tamuda in Morocco. In this way they were able to control the strait of Gibralter. In North Western Africa Mogador, a strategic port on the Atlantic coast, was under their control.

Herodotus³³ describes how some phoenicians sailed in ships down the Red Sea at the bidding of Pharaoh Necho II (609-593 B.C.) to circumnavigate Africa. They completed this feat in just under three years, stopping each year between seed time and harvest 'to provision themselves before continuing their journey.'³³ Subsequently Hanno (425 B.C.) and Himilco (450 B.C.) undertook two voyages. Hanno went to west Africa while Himilco sailed north ward round Iberia. Both journeys are mentioned by the elder Pliny. It is claimed that Himilco reached Britain but there is no direct archaeological evidence of Phoenician's contacts with Britain at this period.

According to latest information, based on archaeological discoveries Phoenicians colonised Utica in North Africa in the 10th century. Strabo's statement (1, 48) that the Phoenicians settled at Gades (in Spain) shortly after the Trojan War has found no archaeological support. The expansion of the Phoenicians at the beginning of the 1st millennium B.C. may be connected with the alliance of King Hiram of Tyre with Solomon of Israel in the second half of the 10th Century B.C. During the next

33. Herodotus, iv, 42.

34. For details read, B. H. Warmington, *Carthage* (Pelican, 1969) ; Donald Harden, *Phoenicia* (Pelican, 1971) ; William Culican, *The First Merchant Venturers*, (Thames and Hudson, 1966).

three hundred years they established themselves in Zincirli (Sam'al), Kundu and Sisu (modern Sivas) in eastern Cilicia. They also occupied Karatepe in the Taurus Mountains and thus were able to command the routes through the mountains to the mineral and other products of Anatolia. They entered Cyprus in the 9th Century B.C. and their principal colony in this island was Citium, known to the Greeks as Kition (biblical Kittin), in the southwest corner. Idalion, Tamassus, Lapethus, Chytri, Athionon and Golgi were occupied between the 8th and the 5th Century B.C. Smaller Phoenician settlements at Cythera (an island south of the Peloponnese), and in the islands of Lampas (modern Lempedusa), Cossyra (modern Pantelleria), Gaullus (modern Gozo), and Melita (modern Malta) were occupied between the 9th and the 8th Century B.C. In Sicily they established themselves by the end of the 8th Century. Modern scholars do not subscribe to Thucydides' statement that the Phoenicians occupied Motya, near Marsala before the arrival of the Greeks. They are of the view that Phoenicians arrived only after the Greeks were installed.

Carthage, in North Africa, was occupied probably during the second half of the 8th Century B.C. A list of 20 sites in Tunisia bearing Phoenician remains has been compiled, most of them being along the coast. The finds range from the 5th to the 2nd Century B.C. Their earliest colony in Algeria was Djidjelli which they founded in the 7th Century B.C. Ebusi (Ibiza), in the Balearic Islands was occupied by them in the 6th Century B.C. although according to Diodorus (V, 16, 3), it was founded on 654/653 B.C.

Phoenicians' inscriptions found in Sardinia belong to the 7th Century B.C. Similarly archaeological finds from Gades in Spain and La Aliseda are not regarded earlier than the late 6th and the 7th Century B.C., respectively. It is probable that the Phoenicians (and Carthaginians) organised tremendous colonial activities during the 8th and the 6th Centuries B.C. as result of blows which were wrecking their trade in the Levant.

After their defeat at the hands of the Greeks of Sicily, the Carthaginians set up a vast empire in North Africa over the older Phoenician settlements. They invaded Sicily (436-339 B.C.) and embarked on a further imperialistic campaign in

Spain in the 2nd Century B.C. However, the Romans dealt a crushing blow to them in 146 B.C. The Phoenicians are stated to have brought gold from Ophir³⁵ King Solomon's (960-20 B.C.) navy is stated to have brought gold, almond trees and precious stones from Ophir. Later, we read of Jehoshaphat, King of Judah, making 'ships of Tarshish' to go to Ophir for Gold.³⁶ The position of Ophir is disputed. It is often placed in south Arabia but others identify it with Suppara, near Bombay, in India³⁷. It is said that the "Phoenicians had long and significant contacts with the Scandinavian countries and even with Iceland. It is said that during the course of these trips more than one of their ships was blown off course and sent scudding down to New England in the USA". It is further claimed that "more than one Phoenician ship came to America that way". To substantiate this claim, the discovery of a few stones, bearing strange markings, in the Cumberland valley, and Brazil, is stated to be an historic event. It is claimed that the authors of these documents were ship-wrecked Phoenicians.³⁸ There is no doubt that the Phoenicians never wrote any book on their explorations and did not tell the rest of the world about their discoveries but they knew trade routes in Western Asia, north African, south European and east African countries and Arabia. As the geographical position of all these places are known to us, it is not difficult to reconstruct the history of geography of their time. See Map A, **The Sumerians, Akkadians and Assyrians**

Sumer, or rather the land which came to be known as Shumer during the third millennium B.C., was probably first settled sometime between 4500 and 4000 B.C. The first ruler of Sumer, whose deeds are recorded, is a king by the name of

35. I Kings ix, 26 and x, II.

36. I Kings, xxii, 48.

37. Barnett, R. D., *A Catalogue of the Nimrud Ivories in the British Museum* (London, 1957) pp 59 f., 168 ; Commander, K. Sridharan, *A Maritime History of India* (Publication Division, New Delhi, 1965) p. 12 : Radha Kumud Mookerji, *A History of Indian Shipping* (Delhi)—1967 p. 64 ; Caldwell, *A Comprehensive Grammar of the Dravidian Languages*, pp. 96, 122 ; T. Foulkers, *The Indian Antiquary*, Vol. VIII.

38. Charles Michael Boland, *They All Discovered America* (New York, 1963) pp. 23-53.

Etana of Kish. He may have come to the throne quite early in the third millennium B.C. Fortunately, we know the names of his successors as well as rulers of subsequent dynasties from large number of documents in which names of various towns, countries, rivers and mountains are mentioned. Some of these documents relate to military expeditions, diplomatic missions and treaties as well as demarcation of boundries.

Like the ancient Greeks, Hindus and Teutons, the Sumerians, Akkadians, and Assyrians passed through a heroic age, the spirit and temper of which are revealed in the epic lore and inscriptions. The Sumerian kings and princes had bards attached to their courts. These bards composed poems which depicted their adventures and achievements. In the world renowned Epic of Gilgamesh, names of several places like Kish, Erech, the "Land of the felled Cedar", Magan, and two towns on the bank of the Fupharates, are mentioned.³⁹ A Sumerian Epic Tale entitled "Enmerkar and the Lord of Aratta, a Sumerian Epic Tale of Iraq and Iran, translated by Kramer in 1952, reveals that the state of Aratta was conquered by Enmerkar, son of the sun-god, Utu. The people of Aratta presented him with, gold, silver and Lapis lazuli.⁴⁰ We are reasonably certain that Aratta was located in Iran. The traders of Aratta imported Lapis lazuli from Afghanistan and exported this product to Sumer. This is testified by the discovery of a dagger with lapis lazuli from the grave of King Mes-Kalam-dug (3000 B.C.), the Hero of the good Land, in Ur. From the same graveyard was discovered queen Shub-ad's name inscribed on a lapis-lazuli seal.⁴¹ This is another proof of commercial intercourse between Afghanistan and Sumer in the fourth and the third millennium B.C. In all probably the Sumerians knew the land and sea routes between the two countries.

An eight-line passage from the poem entitled "The Curse of Agade" mentions the country of Meluhha for all kinds of exotic wares" that were imported for the Akkadian King Naram-Sin (2291-2255 B.C.). His grandfather Sargon the

39. Kramer, S. N., *The Sumerians : Their History, Culture and Character*, (Chicago. 1972), p. 187.

40. *Ibid*, pp. 27, 272, 274.

41. Hans Baumann, *The Land of Ur*. (Oxford, 1969) pp. 63-65.

Great (2370-2284 B.C.), in his own inscriptions, writes that the boats of Magan, Meluhha, and Dilmun rode at anchor in his Capital, Agade. Naram-Sin is stated to have captured Manium, the King of Magan and brought back booty from Magan. A number of alabaster vases dedicated by Naram-Sin and inscribed with the words "booty of Magan" have been excavated. Gudea (2120-2114 B.C.) writes that he obtained diorite for his statues from Magan and wood for the building of his temple from both Magan and Meluhha. He claims to have obtained gold from Anatolia and Egypt, silver from Taurus range, cedar, from Amanus, timber from Dilmun and carnelian from Ethiopia. He brought craftsmen from Susa and Elam⁴². A two-line passage in god Ninurata's hymn⁴³ is significant. This poem was composed during the middle of the 3rd millennium B.C. A.L. Oppenheim regards Meluhha as the Indus Valley and its civilization⁴⁴. Geoffrey Bibby who has conducted excavations in Bahrain, is of the opinion that Dilmun, referred to in these poems, is to be identified with Bahrain⁴⁵. Kramer prefers to identify Dilmun itself with Indo-Pakistan sub-continent⁴⁶. Magan may be identified with modern Makran (?) or Muscat. In another poem⁴⁷ Meluhha is mentioned for importing as a place from where lapis lazuli was exported to Sumer. This is an indication that the traders of the Indus Valley used to obtain lapis lazuli from Afghanistan and exported from Lothal in Gujrat. The establishment of colonies of Indian merchants in Bahrain, the Euphrates-Tigris valley and the Diyala region is attested to by the seals bearing Indus motifs and script found at Ras-al-Uala, Ur, Kish, Tell-Asmar and the Diyala rites⁴⁸. A bowl made of steatites, discovered from Royal Cemetery at Ur bears the picture of typical Indian bull

42. Kramer, *Op. Cit.*, pp 67, 276, 277.

43. Published as text No. 61 in Kramer *Sumerian Literary Text from Nippur*.

44. Sir Mortimer Wheeler, *Civilization of the Indian 'Valley and Beyond'*, (London, 1966), p. 65.

45. Geoffrey Bibby, *Looking for Dilmun*, (Pelican, 1970).

46. Kramer, *Op. Cit.*, p. 281.

47. *Ibid*, p. 279.

48. S.N. Rao, *Lothal and the Indus Civilization* (Bombay, 1973) p. 45.

49. *Ibid*, p. 117.

with its hump back. This bull dates from 2700/2500 B.C. This stone is familiar with Baluchistan. In Hissar, southern Iran, we find evidence of a transit trade between south Mesopotamia and the Indus valley⁵⁰.

"The Cedar Land" referred to in these poems may be identified with a land east of Elam, because the sun-god, Utu, is described as rising from the land of Cedar. Significantly, Cedar is mentioned as a tree native to Dilmun. To judge from the available evidence, both literary and archaeological, the world known to the Sumerians, extended to India on the east, Anatolia and more western parts of Central Asia on the North ; the Mediterranean Sea on the east, and Egypt and Ethiopia on the south. Probably they knew Cyprus and Crete.

Assyrian's knowledge of geography, as is evident from their inscriptions, was wider than the Sumerians. Ashur-Uballit I of Assyria (1365-1330 B.C.) not only freed his country from the Indo-Aryan (Mitannian) King's domination, but brought about the downfall of their kingdom. His son Adad-nirari I, crossed the Jazirah and conquered that region as far as Karkemish which is on the bank of the Euphrates. Shalmaneser (1274-1245 B.C.) occupied Urartu and the land of the Gutti. He defeated the Hurrian king Shattuana and his Hittite and Ahlamu, allies. Tukulti-Ninurta I (1244-1208 B.C.) occupied Susa and killed the Kassite King Kashtiliash and annexed his country to his empire. Adad-nirari II (911-891 B.C.) was a great conqueror. When he died, the frontier of Assyria encompassed the whole of northern Iraq. Sargon II (771-705 B.C.) enlarged his domain. The whole of Syria-Palestine, and most of the Zagros range were firmly in Assyrian hands ; the Medes were considered as Vassals. He occupied Babylon. He received tributes from the Phrygian king Midas and King Uperi of Dilmun and seven kings of Iatnana (Cyprus). Sargon ruled directly or indirectly, over the entirely 'Fertile Crescent' and over parts of Iran and Asia Minor. He had a window on the Mediterranean and a window on the Persian Gulf. He controlled the entire course of the Tigris and

50. M. E. L. Mallowan, *Early Mesopotamia and Iran*, (Thames and Hudson, 1965) pp. 22, 23.

the Euphrates as well as the great trade routes crossing the Syrian desert, the Taurus and the Zegros. His successors, Sennacherib, Esarhaddon and Ashurbanipal (750-631 B.C.) occupied Egypt and destroyed the capital of Elam. Discovery of several thousand cuneiform documents from the library of Ashurbanipal throws ample light on glory and prosperity that was attained by Assyria. We find names of several cities, countries, rivers, mountains, and fortified border towns in their documents. Assyrians had their own merchant fleet and they had commercial intercourse with foreign countries. These documents prove helpful in reconstructing the geography of the Assyrian Empire.

The Hittites, Mitannis and Kassites

Indo-European and Indo Aryan Kings of the dynasties of the Hittites, Mitannis and Kassites who ruled Western Asia from 1800 to 1208 B.C. established powerful kingdoms.⁵¹ Their treaties of friendship with Egypt and other countries throw ample light on their well-guarded borders, their commercial activity and their knowledge of geography. They were the pioneers in smelting of iron and use of horses for war-chariots. The Hittites organised a powerful espionage system. The Kassites were the first to use boundry stones⁵². Their trade routes were well-guarded. They had diplomatic and matrimonial relations with Egypt with whose rulers they were in regular correspondence.⁵³

In addition to these Kings several other petty Indo-Aryan kings ruled in other parts of Western Asia. They are referred to in Tell-el-Amarna⁵⁴ correspondence and several other his-

51. For further studies see Georges Roux, *Ancient Iraq* (London, 1964) ; Ivan Lissner, *The Living Past*, (London, 1957) ; Sabatino Moscati, *Ancient Semitic Civilizations* (London, 1957) ; Carleton S. Coon, *The History of Man* (Pelican, 1962) pp. 264-6, 281, 302, 918 ; Geoffrey Bibby, *Four thousand years Ago* (London, 1962).

52. C. Leonard Wolley, *Ur of the Chaldes*, (London 1930) p. 202.

53. Jamna Das Akhtar, *Indo-Aryan Kings of Western Asia* (Delhi, 1975) ; D.R. Gurney, *The Hittites*, (Pelican, 66) C.W. Ceram, *The Secrets of the Hittites* (New York, 73) Kurt Bittel, *Hatusha : The Capital of the Hittites* (Oxford, 1970) ; Sayce, A, *The Hittites : The Story of a Forgotten Empire*, London, 1910.

54. For Tell-el-Amarna correspondence see, James, B. Pritchard, *The Ancient Near East*, (London, 1958) pp. 262, 263, 277,

toric documents such as the Treaty of Friendship between the Hittites and Sunasura King of Kizzuwadna and the Treaty of Friendship between the Hittites and the Mitannis⁵⁵. A list of countries conquered by the Hittite King Suppiluliuma and the route which he followed during his march upon the Mitaani kingdom, forms part of the introduction to his Treaty of Friendship with the Mitannian king Kurtiwaza⁵⁶. This and other relevant documents are useful for reconstruction of the geography of these kingdoms.

The Persians

The Persians (Achamenians) founded a vast empire consisting of countries from Egypt, Ionian Islands, parts of the Greek mainland and Turkey to Sind and Western Punjab in India. They could not have been able to control this vast empire without a sound communication system and knowledge of geography. We know from Buddhist and Jaina texts that even during the lifetime of the Buddha students from Bihar used to visit Taxila for higher studies. Taxila was then a part of the Persian Empire. Alexander the Great, during his military campaign, found fortified cities in the Persian Empire. Persian kings secured the services of Greek doctors and scholars who lived in Persipolis. Greek, Indian, Ehiopian and Bactrian soldiers were recruited in the Persian Army. Persian Kings employed warships in their naval battles and made use of merchant ships for their oversea trade. They developed trade routes which they inherited from the Assyrians.

We know that Alexander the Great destroyed all Persian records during his military compaigns with the results that not a single Persian document relating to the history and geography of this period is available. However, Greek historians, Generals and authors wrote their own memoirs which are available to us. The only Persian document that explains the geography of the ancient world is Avesta. This religious document was discovered by western scholars in India in the last

55. James B. Pritchard, *Ancient Near Eastern Texts* (Princeton, 1969) : pp. 205-206.

56. *Ibid*, pp. 318, 319.

57. *Sacred Books of the East*, Vol. V, *Vandidad*, pp. 1-10.

century. Airyana Veejo was, according to Avesta, the motherland of the Aryans. It is generally identified with northern Azarbaijan. The other sixteen⁵⁷ countries occupied by the Aryans were Sughdha (Samarkand), Mouru (Merv), Baghdi (Balkh), Haroyu (Harirud), Vehrkanā (Gorgan), Harahvaiti (Harat), Haptahindu (Punjab), Nisaya⁵⁸, Vaekereta (Kabul), Khnenta⁵⁹, and Kakhra (Karkh in ancient Khorasan ?).

The author of the Vendidad says that "there are still other lands and countries beautiful and deep, desirable and bright, and thriving". In all probability these countries were not inhabited by the Aryans when this book was written. However, names of several rivers and mountains are mentioned in this religious text. Darius the Great's famous inscription at Behistun⁶⁰ is one of the most important sources for Persian history in the Achaemenian period (555-330 B.C), recounting the exploits by which Darius became master of the most extensive territories ever to be ruled from one centre before the time of Rome. Inscriptions of his successors alongwith historical records written by Herodotus, Xenophon, Ktesias the Knidian, Strabo, Plinius, Aelianus, Kosmas, Diodorus, Plutarch, Arrian and other classical writers prove that ancient Persian empire was very well-organised, well defended and prosperous. In one of his inscriptions Cyrus the younger says, "My father's Kingdom extends to the South where man cannot live because of heat and northward to where he cannot live because of extreme cold."⁶¹ This statement is a significant proof of his knowledge about geography.

According to Herodotus (IV, 44) "a great part of Asia was explored under the direction of Darius. He being desirous to know in what part the Indus, which is the second river that produces crocodiles, discharges itself into the sea, sent in ships both others on whom he could rely to make a true report and also Scylax of Caryanda. They accordingly setting out

58 It may be Niasaia, the capital of Parthia as stated by Pliny 6, 25 (29) and Ibid. of Charax 12.

59 Some place on the bank of Gorgan river.

60 *The Sculptures and Inscriptions of Behistun*, L. W. King and R. C. Thompson, London (1907). pp. 1-83.

61 Hatami, Aziz, *Iran*, (Tehran, 1963) p. 8.

Key to Cyprus

- 1 SALAMIS
- 2 ITALIUM
- 3 KITTON (Larnaca)
- 4 PAPHOS

Scale of Miles

0 500

Phoenician colonization in the Mediterranean

.

from the city of Caspatyrus and the country of Pactyca, sailed down the river towards the east. They arrived in the thirteenth month at that place where the king of Egypt despatched the Phoenicians to sail round Lybia. After these persons had sailed round, Darius subdued the Indians and frequented the sea”.

The work of Scylax was undoubtedly written at the commands of Darius. A copy of it might have been preserved in the Persian archives and destroyed as result of Alexander's invasion. References to it occur in the works of later writers.

Ctesias of Cnidus was in his early life a physicians, to Artaxerxes II, and he accompanied the monarch in his campaign against his brother Cyrus the younger. It is presumed that his book *Persica* was based on the Persian archives. It consisted of 23 books containing accounts of rivers, of the Persian revenues, of India and of a history of Assyria and Persia. The work, however, is unfortunately lost, though we possess an abridgment of it by Photius, and fragments in Athenaeus, Plutarch and especially Diodorus whose second book is mainly based on it.

Geography in Ancient India

The Indus Civilization takes us back more than 3600 years to a prehistoric era of the Indo-Pak subcontinent. Infact, Mohenjo-Daro, Harappa, Lothal and several other cities connected with the Indus Valley Civilization represent the oldest examples of town planning in the world. The houses which once stood in the ruins of these places were provided with all amenities such as baths, lavatories, drainage and fresh water tanks, handsome interior courtyards, comfortable bedrooms, guestrooms, dinning rooms and porter's lodges. “It all existed at a period when central Europe's only form of housing accomodation was the cave^{62a}”. Traders of these cities used to get timber, gold, silver, copper, lapis lazuli, etc., from distant places such as Afghanistan, Kashmir, Karnataka, Rajasthan and probably Tibet and Burma⁶². They had commercial contacts with the Gulf States, Iraq, Persia, Central Asia and

62 Mackay, Earnest, *Early Indus Civilizations* (1948) pp. 83, 93

62a Lissner, Ivar : *Op. Cit* ; p. 171.

Egypt⁶³. In all probability they had developed land as well as sea-trade routes.

Pictures of Indian peacocks inscribed on three bowls, pertaining to the protoliterate period (3500-3,000 B.C.), excavated at Samarra⁶⁴, in Mesopotamia indicate that commercial links between Harappa and Mesopotamia existed even in that ancient period. These traders had established their offices or they themselves had settled down in their colonies in Mesopotamia⁶⁵. In the light of all these facts, we presume that the rulers and traders of the Indus Valley Empire knew distances and geographical position of all these places⁶⁶. They knew the art of writing although their writing has not yet been deciphered. Probably "their larger written documents were executed on bark, cotton, leather, palm-leaves, or wood, all of which material would obviously have decayed away to nothing long ago, buried in the damp and saline earth⁶⁶" :

The Rgveda had definite knowledge of rivers, oceans and several trade routes, ocean navigation and ocean trade as is evinced by 1.25.7 ; 1.182.5-6-7 and 1.56.2 respectively. Use of "hundred-oared ships⁶⁷" further establishes this assertion. The Rig Vedic people were acquainted with the wealth of oceans⁶⁸. They undertook naval expeditions to another islands⁶⁹. In the Aitarey Brahman⁷⁰ as well as in the Satapathabrahamna⁷¹ occurs the information that the earth is encircled by ocean. Dr. Bulhar has shown that there was maritime intercourse between India and Mesopotamia in the eighth century B.C.⁷².

63 Murray, Margret A ; *The Splendour That was Egypt*, p. 222 ; Rao, S. R., *Lothal and the Indus Civilization* pp. 114-125 ; Fairervis, Walter, A, *The Roots of Ancient India* (Allen & Unwin), pp. 296-8 ; Wheeler Mortimer, *The Indus Civilization*, pp. 79-84.

64 Frankfort, Henri, *The Art and Architecture of the Ancient Orient* (Pelican) p. 17.

65 Basham, A.L., *The Wonder that was India*, p. 19 ;

66 Lissner Ivar, *Op. Cit.*, p. 169

67 *Rg. Veda*. I 116.5

68 *Ibid*, I. 167.2 ; ix. 33.6

69 *Ibid.*, I. 116 3-4-5.

70 Eng. Tr. Keith, VIII. 25.

71 Eng. Tr. Eggeling VII. 4-1-9 vide VI. 4-1-3.

72 Introduction to Eng. Trans. of Manusmrti.

According to Richard Lannoy the Indus valley empire which stretched over to half a million square miles was not a city state. It was a unified empire, the largest before Roman times, and at least twice the size of Old Kingdom Egypt (*The Speaking Tree* Oxford, 1974), p. 18.

A reference in the Suparraka Jataka convincingly proves that there was sufficient ocean-borne trade of India with Western Asia near about the 8th century B.C.⁷³ In the 4th century B.C. Kautilya had very accurate knowledge of naval organisation, custom duties and commercial links with almost all parts of India⁷⁴. Asoka's rock edict XIII shows that the great monarch had foreign relations with various rulers beyond seas. That centuries before the Christ, Indians undertook risky voyages and faced all perils of open sea is proved by the following statement : "The first Greek reached from Egypt was Eudoxus. On the first ocean voyaga (120 B.C.) he was accompanied by an Indian who had been blown from India to the coast of Africa⁷⁵. Indian merchants from South India had started establishing their colonies in South East Asia even before the birth of Christ. Within a few hundred years the whole of this region was Indianised. The authors of the Puranas knew the geography of Jambudvipa which included India, Afghanistan, Persia and major parts of the U.S.S.R., and China⁷⁶. They knew the geography of Siberia, north-western China, eastern Africa, northern Africa, and southern Europe⁷⁷. According to their descriptions, Pataliputra (Patna) was linked with Peshawar by land route while it was also linked with another land route to Tamralipti on the coast of the Bay of Bengal. Similarly, Tamralipti was linked with almost all the coastal cities of eastern India by land routes. Kausambi, in Central India, was linked with Pataliputra as well as with Bharukaccha on the Western Coast⁷⁸.

73 Cowell Vol. III p. 124, Fauseboll, 188

74 Chap. XI, p, 86, Eng. Trans ; R. Shamashastri ; *Kathasaritsagara*, 3-4. 296, V. 3- 116.

75 Baker, J.L., *A History of Geographical Discovery and Exploration*, p. 19.

76 Muzafar Ali, S ; *The Geography of the Puranas*, (PPH, Delhi 1966).

77 *Ibid.*

78 *Ibid*, Fig. 16.

The world renowned grammarian Panini (about 500 B.C.) was well acquainted with the ancient geography of India⁷⁹. The authors of the two epics as well as Varahamihra and Kalidasa knew the geography of India and some neighbouring countries. The advent of the Roman Empire established India's commercial links with Rome. There are documents to prove that Indian Embassies visited the courts of a number of Roman emperors. There were regular commercial and political contacts between India and South East Asia. It was during the Kushan and Parthian periods that Indian traders and religious preachers started visiting Central Asia and China⁸⁰.

The Chinese envoy Chang K'ien who visited Bactriana in 138 B.C., reported to his king that he found to his great surprise that bamboo and cotton stuff from the south-western provinces of China were being sold in the local markets. These, he heard, had been brought by Indian caravans, through Northern India and Afghanistan. It was during the Kushan period that colonizers from Kashmir and North-Western India proceeded to the region of Khotan and Kashgar and set up small colonies with kings who claimed descent from Indian royal families.

Buddhist tradition runs that when Kapilavastu, the birth-place of the Buddha, was destroyed by the king of Kosala, four princes of the Sakya family were compelled to leave their country for having opposed the attack. One of them was received by the people of Bamiyan as their king and Hiuntsang in the middle of the seventh century still pretended to have seen a descendant of the Sakya family on the throne of Bamiyan.

Persian kings of Achaemenian dynasty used to recruit Indians in their armies. These armies invaded Western Asia, Asia-Minor, Greece, Egypt and Sudan. Naturally Indian soldiers would bring back memories of these lands. It was due to these descriptions that the authors of the Puranas counted Kush Dvip (ancient Sudan) as one of the seven continents of the World.⁸¹

79 For reference see Agrawala's *India As Known to Panini*.

80 Bagchi, Praboth Chandra, *India and China* (Bombay, 1950), pp. 28-57.

81 *Matsya Purana*, Chapter 122 (45-77) : *Vayu Purana*, Charter X 48.

We learn from classical references that the Persian King Cyrus the Great (559-530 B.C.) sought the help of an Indian ruler (of Gandhara) to organize espionage and sabotage in Asia-Minor in preparation for his own military offensive.⁸² The statement of the Bhagvata Purana that Sun shines continuously for six months in the Pitriloka (i.e., fatherland) leads us to believe that the ancient Indians knew the geographical position of the northern parts of the Soviet Union.

The Argonauts

The Argonaut legend (described in the text, pp 19-20) is probably related to an actual raid by adventurers from Greece into the Black-Sea. They were able to lay hands on heaps of gold from Colchis. According to Strabo (II, 2, 19) drift-gold was collected on fleeces hung out in the mountain streams. As Jason is said to have lived in the second generation before the Trojan War, he may have undertaken this voyage about C. 1250. This cruise may have heralded the opening up of the Black Sea in the prehistoric time.

The Aethopians

In the Iliad, Homer locates the Aethopians to the borders of Ocean. However, in the Odyssey, he positions them into a far western and a far-easterns section. This is probably due to his belief that the earth was a flat disc, and that "the sun at dawn and dusk grazed its run, so that the adjacent people were scorched to blackness".

The Hyperboreans

The Hyperboreans, referred to in the text (page 32) are described by ancient Greek writers as protected by Appollo. According to Herodotus they had a definite abode. According to him the starting point of their journey was Scythx which may mean the Rumanian 'Corn-land'. According to C.T. Seltman (vide *Classical Studies*, 1928, pp 155-9), they were Greek

82 *Cyropaedia* III, 2. 29, English trans. of Walter Miller, Vol. I. p. 265.

emigrants who had gone to the Danube lands and had then lost contacts with the mother-land. However they continued remitting a yearly offering to Apollo.

Crassus

Crassus, referred to on page 38 may be identified with the governor of Further Spain in 96-93 B. C. His identification with the well-known lieutenant of Caesar in Gaul, is wrong.

Sinope

Sinope, referred to on page 47, was one of the most ancient Greek colonies. The discovery of 'sub-Myceyean' pottery in its hinterland tends to confirm the earlier foundation date, i.e., about 630 B. C. For details see John Boardman, *The Greek Overseas*, (Pelican, 1964), pp 217-20, 223-6, 230.

Greek Colonies in Spain

The first Greek mariners to Spain probably set out from Cumea to Sardinia and the Balears. They landed at Pueneta de Iftach. They built their outposts at Lucentum (Alicante) and Dianium (modern Denia) during the period between the 6th and the 5th Century B.C. For details refer to R. Carpenter's book *"The Greeks in Spain !*

Colaëus

According to Herodotus (4. 152), Colaëus had set out for Egypt when an easterly gale forced his ship off to the Straits of Gibraltar. In a way he became one of the discoverer of this passage.

Hecataeus (pp. 70-73)

His geographical fragments are collected in Jacoby, *Die Fregmente der Griechischen Historiker*, Vol. I. Hecateus had a fairly good knowledge of the coastal routes of southern and eastern Spain. His knowledge of western Europe was wider than of Herodotus.

The Royal Road (page 90)

The Royal Road referred to by Herodotus was in reality the ancient trunk road of the Hittites whose capital Boghas Koi, lay in the bend of the middle Halys. Phrygians used this road for their military offensives (Encyclo. Britannica, Vol. 17 page 1007). It ran from Sardis through Central Anatolia to the Cilician Gates (Kuleck Bighazi) and thence to Susa. Probably the Persians developed this road and expanded into several branches for their own military and administrative advantage.

The Circumnavigation of Africa (pp 99-101)

Herodotus's story of circumnavigation of Africa has been carefully analysed in Cary and Warmington, *The Ancient Explorers*, Ch. 5. We have already referred to his description on page 5. The fact that the Phoenicians cruise was not repeated does not prove that it did not take place. We do not know the details of the voyages undertaken by Nearchus and Pytheas.

Scylax of Caryanda (page 101)

An inscription of King Darius at Suez confirms the historical character of Scylax of Caryanda. The king claims that he had dug a canal from Nile to the Red Sea and had issued orders for ships to proceed by this canal to Persia.⁸³

Avienus

Himilco who discovered Britain about 500 B.C. was the real source of Avienus for his discovery of Britain but it is said that Avienus's main informant was a Massiliote captain who had visited Spain in the 6th Century B.C.

Alblon (page 110)

Alblon was a pre-Celtic name for Britain.

⁸³ Gray, G.B. *Ancient History*, Vol. 4, p. 200.

Patrocles on the Caspian Sea (page 136)

According to Strabo, he made an exploratory cruise in the Caspian by the order of King Seleucus and sailed some distance upto point which once connected it with the Aral Sea and discovered a trade-route from India to the Aral Sea by way of the Oxus.

The Fortress of Aornos

The fortress of Aornos referred to in the text (page 137) has been located in the Swat Valley of Pakistan. According to Stein this strong-hold was situated at a place where the converging ridges of Pir-Sar and Una-Sar constitute a natural redoubt with a broad and habitable plateau at the summit. According to Cunningham, Aornos is to be identified with the grand hill-fort of Rani-gat above Nogram. Ranigat, or the Queen's rock, is a huge upright block on the north edge of the fort. The fort itself is attributed to Raja Vara, and some ruins at the foot of the hill are called Raja Vara's stables.⁸⁵

The Red Sea Canal


The Red Sea canal referred to in the text (page 146), was dug by the order of the Pharaoh of the Twelfth Dynasty (2000 B.C.). Necho, Darius, Ptolemy of Philadelphus Augustus and Trajan repaired it respectively.

Tides and the Moon (pp. 192-193)

Seleucus of Babylon (2nd Century B.C.) was a great astronomer. He discovered relation between the tides and the moon's phases. Infact, his discovery foreshadowed the modern theory that gravitation is a universal force.

84 II. 7.3. p. 509

85 Alexander Cunningham, *The Ancient Geography of India*, pp. 49-66.



The Geography of the Puranas as constructed by J. Muzafur Ali.

Caesar's conquests (pp. 229-231)

According to Cicero, everyday brought news to Caesars of names (of the cities of Gaul) previously unknown.⁸⁶ This explains the extent to which the ruler's campaign in Gaul extended the geographical knowledge of that country at Rome. For further studies see Caesar, *The Conquest of Gaul*, (Penguin, 1967). Caesar's report on Britain reveals that his knowledge of the size of Britain was substantially correct.

The Roman campaigns in the Danube lands (p. 234)

These campaigns brought knowledge of the entire course of the Danube. Their first campaign in 35 B.C. revealed that the Danube of Southern Germany and the Ister of the Balkan countries were one and the same river. According to Strabo Tiberius visited the source of the Danube in 15 B.C.⁸⁷ Tiberius's invasion of Franconia and Bohemia in 6 A.D. cleared the mystery of the geographical position of the Hercynian Forest. For further study see Tacitus, *On Britain and Germany*, (Penguin, 1965) pp. 23-29.

Strabo on the British Isles and Asia-Minor (pp. 252-258)

His description of Britain is generally based upon the reports of travellers. Similarly, his description of the so called savage customs of the people of Ireland is not authentic. He placed Ireland to the north of Britain which was due to his erroneous idea that the western coast of Europe had a general trend from West to East.⁸⁸ He made several blunders in his description of Asia-Minor because he had no personal knowledge of this region.

Hippalus and the direct route to India (p. 279)

The absence of any reference to him in Strabo indicates that Hippalus made his discovery of the law of monsoons, in the

86. *De Provinciis Consularibus*, p. 522.

87. Strabo 7.1.5, p. 292.

88. Ibid. 4.5. 1-4, pp 199-201

reign of Augustus. In all probability he set off from Fartak on the South Arabian Coast and found the Indian mainland near Broach. Soon after Greek mariners followed a more southerly course and reached Muziris and Nelkynda in Southern India.⁸⁹

The Law of Monsoons was an important discovery for the Greeks but Indian's knew it much earlier. According to Plinny "under suitable conditions people could go to India or return within one year".

Eastern Asia (pp 280-281)

In the second century A.D., Alexander crossed the Bay of Bengal and continued his journey along the coast of Thailand to a Chinese port named Kattigara (Hangchow, at the mouth of the Yang-tse-Kiang ?). Some of his followers travelled northward from Malayasia to Burma. In 166 A.D., a group of Greek adventurers, who claimed to be the envoys of the emperor M. Aurellius Antoninus, presented their credentials at the court of the Chinese emperor Huan-ti-Ro-Yang.

Germany and Scandinevia (p 289)

A Roman agent of a Minister of Nero travelled from the middle Danube to the Baltic amber coast via Moravia, Silicia and Posania. He initiated a new trans-continental trade route to Sweden. For further reading see Tacitus, *The Annals of Imperial Rome* (Penguin, 1968) *The Roman Frontier System and Roman Roads* (pp 294-299)

For comprehensive study see the following books :

Sir George Macdonald, *The Roman Wall in Scotland* ; I.C. Bruce, *A Handbook to the Roman Wall* ; Leonard Cottrell, *The Seeing Roman Britain*, (Pan Piper, 1963); H.F. Pelham, *Essays*; V. Chapot, *The Roman World* ; A.R. Burn, *Agricola and Roman Britain*, London, 1953.

⁸⁹ For detailed study see M.D. Charlesworth, *Classical Quarterly*, 1928, pp. 92-100 ; E.H. Warmington, *Ancient Explorers*, pp. 73-80 and *The Commerce Between The Roman Empire and India*, London, 1974, pp. 6-35.

90 Pliny 37, 45, 87

A military mountain climb (p. 325)

For details see Arrian, *Arabasis* 4, 18, 19; A.R. Burn, *Alexander the Great and the Hellenistic Empire* (London, 1959), pp 201, 254, 256, 259

The story of this episode is described thus : "Early in 329 Alexander broke camp, still to finish off Sogdina, and laid seige to a reputedly impregnable fortress, the Sogdian Rock, probably in what is still called the Hissar (= the Fortress) Rang. In it many of the guerrilla fighters still in arms, including Oxyartes, had left their families for safety, while they kept up the guerrilla war. It was amply provisioned, and the defenders laughed at Alexander's summons to surrender. Alexander's men stormed the fortress and made the guerrillas surrender. Oxyartes' beautiful young daughter Roxana was captured. Alexander, seeing her, fell deeply in love. They were married by the Iranian ceremony.

Measurements of Mountains (pp 335-336)

Thales (c 600 B.C.) is said to have measured the height of an Egyptian pyramid.

